

## A note on the vanishing of certain ‘ $L^2$ -cohomologies’

By R. PARTHASARATHY

(Received April 7, 1971)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a connected noncompact semisimple Lie group admitting a finite dimensional faithful representation. Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Throughout, we assume that  $G/K$  is a hermitian symmetric space. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $K$ . Then, as is well known,  $\text{rank of } \mathfrak{k} = \text{rank of } \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{k}$ . For an ordering of the roots  $\Sigma$  of  $(\mathfrak{h}^c, \mathfrak{g}^c)$  compatible with the complex structure on  $G/K$ , let  $P$  be the set of positive roots and  $P_k$  the set of positive compact roots. Let  $\rho$  be half the sum of the roots in  $P$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all integral linear forms on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{F} \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle \neq 0, \text{ for } \alpha \in P \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}'_0 = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{F}' \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0, \text{ for } \alpha \in P_k \}.$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$ , let  $\tau_\lambda$  be the irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  on a vector space  $V_\lambda$ . Let  $\tau_\lambda^*$  be the contragredient representation of  $K$  on the dual  $V_\lambda^*$  to  $V_\lambda$  and let  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  be the holomorphic vector bundle on  $G/K$  associated to  $\tau_\lambda^*$ . Let  $H_{\frac{0}{2}, q}^{0, q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable harmonic forms of type  $(0, q)$  with coefficients in  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  and let  $\pi_\lambda^q$  be the unitary representation of  $G$  on  $H_{\frac{0}{2}, q}^{0, q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ . If  $\lambda + \rho$  is “sufficiently regular” it was proved in [5, Theorem 2, §7] that  $H_{\frac{0}{2}, q}^{0, q}(E_{V_\lambda^*}) = 0$ , if  $q \neq q_\lambda$ , where  $q_\lambda$  is the number of non-compact positive roots  $\alpha$  such that  $\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0$  and that  $[\pi_\lambda^{q_\lambda}] = \omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$  where  $[\pi_\lambda^{q_\lambda}]$  denotes the equivalence class of the representation  $\pi_\lambda^{q_\lambda}$  and  $\omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$  is the discrete class contragredient to the discrete class  $\omega(\lambda + \rho)$  which corresponds to  $\lambda$  (the correspondence being in the sense of Lemma 2.4 in [5]).

Define for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$ ,

$$P^{(\lambda)} = \{ \alpha \in \Sigma \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0 \}.$$

$P^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots with respect to a linear order in  $\Sigma$ . The main theorem (Theorem 1, §1) of this note is that if every noncompact root in  $P^{(\lambda)}$  is totally positive (in the sense of definition, p. 752 in [2.b]) in the

above linear order then  $H_{2,q}^0(E_{V_\lambda}) = 0$  if  $q \neq q_\lambda$  and that  $[\pi_\lambda^q] = \omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$ .

In § 2, from the results of [6] about "the spaces of square integrable Dirac Spinors" we deduce the vanishing Theorems for "the spaces of square integrable harmonic forms with coefficients in  $E_{V_\lambda}$ " under some condition on the parameter  $\lambda$  which is less restrictive than the one in [5, Theorem 2, § 7].

§ 1.

Let  $G$  be a noncompact semisimple Lie group. We assume that  $G$  has a finite dimensional faithful representation and that the complexification  $G^c$  of  $G$  is simply connected. Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . We assume that  $G/K$  is hermitian symmetric. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $K$ . Then as is well known rank of  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{rank of } \mathfrak{g}$ . We now fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $B$  be the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{Y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for every } X \in \mathfrak{k}\}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}, \quad \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}.$$

For any subset  $m$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  we denote by  $m^c$  the complex subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  generated by  $m$ . We identify  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  in the usual way with the complexification of the real tangent space at  $\{K\} \in G/K$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{p}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  consisting of antiholomorphic and holomorphic tangent vectors respectively of  $\mathfrak{p}^c$ . Then one knows that

$$\mathfrak{p}^c = \mathfrak{p}_+ + \mathfrak{p}_-, \quad \mathfrak{p}_+ \cap \mathfrak{p}_- = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{k}^c, \mathfrak{p}_\pm] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\pm.$$

Now put  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  is a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . If  $\theta$  denotes the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ , we write  $X^* = -\theta X$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ . We define an inner product  $(,)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  by  $(X, Y) = B(X, Y^*)$ , for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of nonzero roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ . For each root  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , we choose an eigenvector  $X_\alpha$  belonging to the root  $\alpha$  such that  $(X_\alpha, X_\alpha) = 1$ . A root  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  is called compact if  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}^c$  and noncompact if  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}^c$ . We choose, as we can, a linear order in  $\Sigma$ , such that if  $P$  is the set of positive roots and  $P_n$  the set of noncompact positive roots with respect to that linear order, then

$$\mathfrak{p}_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in P_n} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha.$$

We denote by  $P_k$  the set of all compact positive roots. Then we have  $P = P_k \cup P_n$ . For any linear form  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , we shall denote by  $H_\lambda$  the element of  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , such that  $B(H_\lambda, H) = \lambda(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}^c$ . For any pair  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of linear forms on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , we put  $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \lambda(H_\mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all integral linear

forms on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , i. e. the set of linear forms  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  such that  $2\frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$  is an integer for every root  $\alpha$ . We put

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{F} ; \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle \neq 0, \text{ for } \alpha \in \Sigma \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}'_0 = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{F}' ; \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0, \text{ for } \alpha \in P_k \}$$

where  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P} \alpha$ . Then one can verify that any  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{F}'$  is in  $\mathcal{F}'_0$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is dominant with respect to  $P_k$  (i. e.  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $\alpha \in P_k$ ).

Now let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  and let  $\tau_\lambda$  be the irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  on a space  $V_\lambda$ . Let  $\tau_\lambda^*$  denote the unitary representation of  $K$  contragredient to  $\tau_\lambda$  on the dual space  $V_\lambda^*$  to  $V_\lambda$ . Let  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  denote the holomorphic vector bundle on  $G/K$ , associated with the representation  $\tau_\lambda^*$  of  $K$  (see [5, § 1]). The inner product  $(,)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  restricts to a  $K$  invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and gives rise to a hermitian metric on  $G/K$ . Also, the  $K$  invariant inner product in  $V_\lambda^*$  gives rise to a canonical hermitian metric on  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$ . Let  $C^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  (resp.  $L_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ ) denote the space of all  $C^\infty$  (resp. square integrable) differential forms of type  $(0, q)$  with coefficients in  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$ .  $G$  acts on the bundle  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  and gives rise to an action of  $G$  on the space of forms on  $G/K$  with values in  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$ . The action of  $G$  on  $C^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  gives rise to an action  $\nu$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  on  $C^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  which extends to an action, also denoted by  $\nu$ , of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  on  $C^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ . Let  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  be the space of square integrable harmonic forms of type  $(0, q)$  on  $G/K$  with coefficients in  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  (see § 4, in [5]). One knows that  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*}) \subseteq C^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ . Moreover,  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  is a closed subspace of  $L_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  and hence a Hilbert space. Also  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  is invariant under the action of  $G$  on  $L_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ . Thus, we get a unitary representation, denoted  $\pi_\lambda^*$ , of  $G$  on  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{E}_d$  be the set of discrete class representations of  $G$ . (see § 1 in [5]). Denote by  $Ad_+^q$  (resp.  $Ad_-^q$ ) the representation of  $K$  on  $\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}_+$  (resp.  $\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}_-$ ) induced by the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ ) and put  $\tau_\lambda^q = Ad_+^q \otimes \tau_\lambda$ . We now have the following (Proposition 4.1 in [5])

PROPOSITION 1.1. Put

$$\mathcal{E}_d(\lambda) = \{ \omega \in \mathcal{E}_d ; \chi_\omega(\Omega) = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \}$$

where  $\chi_\omega$  denotes the infinitesimal character of  $\omega$  and  $\Omega \in U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  the Casimir of  $G$ . Then, we have

$$[\pi_\lambda^q] = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}_d(\lambda)} (\omega | K : [\tau_\lambda^q]) \omega^* \quad (\text{finite sum})$$

where  $[\pi_\lambda^q]$  denotes the equivalence class of the representation  $\pi_\lambda^q$  of  $G$  (similarly for  $[\tau_\lambda^q]$ ) and  $(\omega | K : [\tau_\lambda^q])$  denotes the intertwining number of  $\omega | K$  and  $[\tau_\lambda^q]$  (see

§ 1 in [5]).

For any set  $Q$  of linear forms on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , we define

$$\langle Q \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in Q} \alpha$$

( $\langle Q \rangle = 0$  if  $Q = \phi$ , the empty set) and

$$[Q] = \text{the number of elements in the set } Q.$$

Fix any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'_0$ . Let

$$Q_\lambda = \{\alpha \in P_n \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0\}$$

and define

$$q_\lambda = [Q_\lambda].$$

Let  $m = [P_n] = \frac{1}{2} \dim G/K$  and fix any  $q$  such that  $0 \leq q \leq m$ . Define

$$\Gamma_q = \{\langle Q \rangle \mid Q \subseteq P_n, [Q] = q\}.$$

Define

$$P^{(\lambda)} = \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0\}.$$

Then  $P^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots with respect to a linear order in  $\Sigma$ . Following [2.b], if  $\Sigma_+$  is the set of positive roots with respect to some linear order in  $\Sigma$ , then we say that a noncompact root  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  is *totally positive* (with respect to that ordering) if  $\alpha + \beta$  is a noncompact root in  $\Sigma_+$  for any compact root  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root. We now have the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'_0$  and assume that with respect to the linear order in  $\Sigma$  for which  $P^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots, every noncompact root in  $P^{(\lambda)}$  is totally positive. Then*

$$H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\lambda}^*) = 0, \quad \text{if } q \neq q_\lambda$$

and

$$[\pi_\lambda^{q_\lambda}] = \omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$$

where  $\omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$  is the discrete class contragredient to the discrete class  $\omega(\lambda + \rho)$  which corresponds to  $\lambda$ , in the sense of Lemma 2.4 in [5] and  $[\pi_\lambda^{q_\lambda}]$  the equivalence class of the representation  $\pi_\lambda^{q_\lambda}$  of  $G$ . Moreover,

$$(\omega(\lambda + \rho) : [\tau_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle}]) = 1$$

where  $\tau_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle}$  is the representation of  $K$  with  $\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle$  as highest weight.

**PROOF.** First we make a few observations which are consequences of our assumption about  $P^{(\lambda)}$ . Let  $W_G$  be the subgroup of the Weyl group of  $(\mathfrak{h}^c, \mathfrak{g}^c)$  generated by reflections with respect to compact roots. Let

$$\bar{P}^{(\lambda)} = -\kappa P^{(\lambda)},$$

where  $\kappa$  is the unique element of  $W_G$ , such that  $\kappa P_k = -P_k$ . Clearly,  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots with respect to a linear order in  $\Sigma$ . Note that

$$P^{(\lambda)} = P_k \cup Q_\lambda \cup -Q'_\lambda,$$

where  $Q'_\lambda$  is the complement of  $Q_\lambda$  in  $P_n$ . Since by assumption in the positive root system  $P^{(\lambda)}$ , every noncompact root is totally positive, we have  $sP_n^{(\lambda)} = P_n^{(\lambda)}$ , for every  $s \in W_G$ , where  $P_n^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of noncompact roots in  $P^{(\lambda)}$ . Also,  $sP_n = P_n$  for every  $s \in W_G$ . Since, clearly, we have  $Q_\lambda = P_n \cap P_n^{(\lambda)}$  we see that for every  $s \in W_G$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad sQ_\lambda = sP_n \cap sP_n^{(\lambda)} = P_n \cap P_n^{(\lambda)} = Q_\lambda.$$

Thus,

$$\bar{P}^{(\lambda)} = P_k U - Q_\lambda U Q'_\lambda.$$

Now, assume that  $H_{\frac{1}{2}^q}(E_{V_\lambda^*}) \neq 0$ . We know that the representation  $\pi_\lambda^q$  of  $G$  on  $H_{\frac{1}{2}^q}(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  decomposes into a finite direct sum of discrete class representations (Proposition 1.1). Let  $\omega$  be a discrete class such that  $\omega^*$  occurs in this decomposition. Let  $\pi$  be a member of the equivalence class  $\omega$  and  $H$  the representation space of  $\pi$ . Denote also by  $\pi$  the derived representation of the enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  on the space of analytic vectors for  $\pi$  in  $H$ . Then, we assert that with respect to the linear order in  $\Sigma$  for which  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots there exists a positive extreme weight vector (for definition see pp. 750-751 in [2.b]) with weight  $\mu$  of the form  $\lambda + \gamma$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ . For proving this we proceed as follows:

Let  $\chi_\omega$  be the infinitesimal character of  $\omega$ . By Proposition 1.1 we know that

$$(1) \quad \chi_\omega(\Omega) = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle$$

and

$$(2) \quad (\omega | K : [\tau_\lambda^q]) \neq 0.$$

The second condition implies that there exists an irreducible representation  $\delta$  of  $K$  which is a subrepresentation of both  $\pi|K$  and  $\tau_\lambda^q$ . Let  $H_\delta$  be the subspace of  $H$  spanned by elements which transform under  $\pi|K$  according to  $\delta$ . Fix a unit weight vector  $\phi_\mu \in H_\delta$  belonging to the highest weight  $\mu$  of  $\delta$ . Then  $\phi_\mu$  is infinitely differentiable under  $\pi$  and we have by (1)

$$\pi(\Omega)\phi_\mu = \chi_\omega(\Omega)\phi_\mu = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \phi_\mu.$$

From the fact that  $\mu$  is the highest weight of an irreducible subrepresentation of  $\tau_\lambda^q$ , one can show that

$$(1.2) \quad \mu = \lambda + \gamma$$

for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ . In fact if  $\sigma$  is any finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$ , one can easily show that an irreducible subrepresentation of  $\tau_\lambda \otimes \sigma$  has  $\lambda + \nu$

as highest weight, where  $\nu$  is a suitable weight of  $\sigma$ .

Now, choose a Weyl basis  $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c \pmod{\mathfrak{h}^c}$  with respect to a compact real form  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\Omega_K$  be the Casimir of  $K$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega - \Omega_K &= \sum_{\alpha \in P_n} (E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} (E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of noncompact roots in  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$ . After some computations (see proof of Theorem 2, § 7 in [5]) we see that

$$-2 \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2 = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle - \langle \mu - 2\rho_n^{(\lambda)} + 2\rho_k, \mu \rangle$$

where  $\rho_n^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \alpha = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \alpha$ . Note that we have from (1.1),  $\rho_n^{(\lambda)} = \langle Q_\lambda \rangle - \rho_n$ . Substituting for  $\mu$  from (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-2 \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2 \\ &= \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle - \langle \lambda + \gamma - 2\rho_n^{(\lambda)} + 2\rho_k, \lambda + \gamma \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle - \langle \lambda + \gamma - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} + \rho_k, \lambda + \gamma - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} + \rho_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)}, \rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle - \langle \lambda + \rho + \gamma - \rho_n - \rho_n^{(\lambda)}, \lambda + \rho + \gamma - \rho_n - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)}, \rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{P}^{(\lambda)} \rangle = \sigma \cdot \rho$  for some  $\sigma$  belonging to the Weyl group of  $(\mathfrak{h}^c, \mathfrak{g}^c)$  since  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots with respect to some linear ordering in  $\Sigma$ . Hence,  $\langle \rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)}, \rho_k - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} \rangle = \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2 &= -2 \langle \lambda + \rho, \gamma - \rho_n - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} \rangle - \langle \gamma - \rho_n - \rho_n^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \rho_n - \rho_n^{(\lambda)} \rangle \\ &= -2 \langle \lambda + \rho, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle - \langle \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda + \rho$  is a regular integral linear form which is dominant with respect to  $P^{(\lambda)}$ , we see that  $\lambda + \rho - \rho^{(\lambda)}$  is dominant with respect to  $P^{(\lambda)}$ . Since  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ ,  $\gamma = \langle Q \rangle$  for some subset  $Q$  of  $P_n$ . Thus

$$\gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle = \langle Q \cap Q'_\lambda \rangle - \langle Q_\lambda \cap Q' \rangle$$

where  $Q'_\lambda$  and  $Q'$  are respectively the complements of the sets  $Q_\lambda$  and  $Q$  in  $P_n$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2 &= -2 \langle \lambda + \rho - \rho^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + 2\rho^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the two terms on the right hand side. Since  $\gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle = \langle Q \cap Q'_\lambda \rangle - \langle Q_\lambda \cap Q' \rangle$  and since  $P^{(\lambda)} = P_k \cup Q_\lambda \cup (-Q'_\lambda)$  it follows using the fact that  $\lambda + \rho - \rho^{(\lambda)}$  is dominant with respect to  $P^{(\lambda)}$  that  $-2\langle \lambda + \rho - \rho^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle$  is nonnegative. Also

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + 2\rho^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle \\ &= -\langle \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + \rho^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + \rho^{(\lambda)} \rangle + \langle \rho^{(\lambda)}, \rho^{(\lambda)} \rangle \\ &= -\langle \rho^{(\lambda)} - \langle Q_1 \rangle, \rho^{(\lambda)} - \langle Q_1 \rangle \rangle + \langle \rho^{(\lambda)}, \rho^{(\lambda)} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_1 = (Q_\lambda \cap Q') \cup (-Q'_\lambda \cap -Q)$ . Note that  $Q_1 \subset P^{(\lambda)}$ . By [4, Lemma 5.9],  $\rho^{(\lambda)} - \langle Q_1 \rangle$  is a weight of the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with  $\rho^{(\lambda)}$  as highest weight with respect to  $P^{(\lambda)}$ . Hence  $\langle \rho^{(\lambda)} - \langle Q_1 \rangle, \rho^{(\lambda)} - \langle Q_1 \rangle \rangle \leq \langle \rho^{(\lambda)}, \rho^{(\lambda)} \rangle$  so that  $-\langle \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + 2\rho^{(\lambda)}, \gamma - \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \rangle$  is nonnegative. Thus it follows that  $-2 \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2$  is nonnegative. But, clearly  $-2 \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2 \leq 0$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}} \|\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu\|^2 = 0$ . Thus,  $\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}$ .

But by the choice of  $\phi_\mu$ ,  $\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_\mu = 0$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in P_k$ . Since  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)} = P_k \cup \bar{P}_n^{(\lambda)}$  our claim in the beginning is proved.

Now, suppose  $H_{\frac{1}{2}q}(E_{V_\lambda}) \neq 0$  and  $H_{\frac{1}{2}q'}(E_{V_\lambda}) \neq 0$  where  $q$  and  $q'$  are distinct and  $0 \leq q, q' \leq n$ . If  $\omega$  (resp.  $\omega'$ ) is a discrete class such that  $\omega^*$  (resp.  $\omega'^*$ ) occurs in the decomposition of  $[\pi_\lambda^q]$  (resp.  $[\pi_\lambda^{q'}]$ ) then we assert that  $\omega \neq \omega'$ . This can be proved as follows. The representations of  $U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  on the spaces of analytic vectors for the representations  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  possess positive extremal weight vectors (for definition see pp. 750-751 in [2.b]) of weights  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  respectively with respect to  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  where  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are of the form  $\mu = \lambda + \gamma$  and  $\mu' = \lambda + \gamma'$  with  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$  and  $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{q'}$ . Let  $J \in \mathfrak{h}$  be the unique element such that  $ad J|_{\mathfrak{p}}$  gives the complex structure on the real tangent space at  $\{K\} \in G/K$ , when  $\mathfrak{p}$  is identified with that tangent space in the usual way. (One knows that such a  $J$  exists. See [3, Theorem 4.5].) Thus

$$\mathfrak{p}_+ = \{Y \in \mathfrak{p}^c \mid ad J(Y) = -iY\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_- = \{Y \in \mathfrak{p}^c \mid ad J(Y) = iY\}.$$

Hence, we have  $\alpha(J) = -i$  for every  $\alpha \in P_n$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(J) &= \lambda(J) + \gamma(J) \\ &= \lambda(J) - qi \end{aligned}$$

since  $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ . Similarly,  $\mu'(J) = \lambda(J) - q'i$ . Since  $q \neq q'$ , we then conclude that  $\mu \neq \mu'$ . Then, using [Lemma 2, 2.b], we conclude that the representations of  $U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  on the spaces of analytic vectors for  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  are not equivalent.

Consequently  $\omega \neq \omega'$  and hence also  $\omega^* \neq \omega'^*$ .

Since for each  $q$ ,  $[\pi_\lambda^q]$  decomposes into a finite direct sum of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  (Proposition 1.1), we now conclude using the "alternating sum formula," (i. e. [5, Theorem 2, § 6]) and [2.a, Theorem 6 and its corollary] that there exists  $q_0$  such that  $H_{2^q}^q(E_{V_\lambda}) = 0$  for  $q \neq q_0$  and that  $[\pi_\lambda^{q_0}] = \omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$ . Now, it follows using Proposition 1.1, that if  $0 \leq q \leq n$  and  $\omega$  is a discrete class such that  $\chi_\omega(\Omega) = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle$  where  $\chi_\omega$  is the infinitesimal character of  $\omega$  and  $\Omega \in U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  the Casimir, then

$$(\omega | K : [\tau_\lambda^q]) = 0 \quad \text{if } \omega \neq \omega(\lambda + \rho)$$

and

$$(\omega(\lambda + \rho) | K : [\tau_\lambda^q]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q \neq q_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } q = q_0. \end{cases}$$

We now prove that  $q_0 = q_\lambda$ . From the assumption about  $P^{(\lambda)}$  and from the fact that  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)} = -\kappa P^{(\lambda)}$ , it is easy to see that with respect to the linear order in  $\Sigma$  in which  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots, every noncompact root in  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is totally positive.

Now let

$$\bar{\rho}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}^{(\lambda)}} \alpha$$

and let

$$\lambda' = \lambda + \rho - \bar{\rho}^{(\lambda)}.$$

Note that  $\bar{\rho}^{(\lambda)} = \rho_k - \frac{1}{2} \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q'_\lambda \rangle$ , so that one has

$$\lambda' = \lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle.$$

Observe that  $\lambda'$  is dominant with respect to  $P_k$ ; as a matter of fact  $\lambda$  is dominant with respect to  $P_k$  since  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'_0$  and also  $\langle Q_\lambda \rangle$  is dominant with respect to  $P_k$  (see proof of [5, Corollary 2, § 7]). Let  $\tau_{\lambda'}$  be the irreducible representation of  $K$  with  $\lambda'$  as highest weight. Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}^{(\lambda)}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ . Since with

respect to the linear order in  $\Sigma$  for which  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is the set of positive roots every noncompact root in  $\bar{P}^{(\lambda)}$  is totally positive it follows that  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\lambda)}$  is stable under the adjoint action of  $K$ . Denote by  $\bar{\tau}$  the representation of  $K$  on  $\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\lambda)}$  induced by the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\lambda)}$ . We assert that

$$\tau_{\lambda'} \otimes \bar{\tau} \cong \sum_{q=0}^n \tau_\lambda \otimes Ad^q_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

where  $Ad^q_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is the representation of  $K$  on  $\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}_+$  induced by the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_+$ . This can be proved as follows: For any representation  $\delta$  of  $K$  we denote by  $\text{Trace } \delta$  the character of the representation  $\delta$ . Then we have



by Weyl's character formula

$$\text{Trace } \tau_\lambda|_H = \frac{\sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) e^{s(\lambda + \rho_k)}}{\sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) e^{s\rho_k}}$$

and similarly

$$\text{Trace } \tau_{\lambda'}|_H = \frac{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s(\lambda' + \rho_k)}}{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s\rho_k}}.$$

Also

$$\text{Trace } Ad^q|_H = \sum_{Q \subseteq P_n, [Q]=q} e^{\langle Q \rangle}$$

and

$$\text{Trace } \bar{\tau}|_H = \sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{P}_n} e^{\langle Q \rangle}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace } (\tau_{\lambda'} \otimes \bar{\tau})|_H &= (\text{Trace } \tau_{\lambda'}|_H) \cdot (\text{Trace } \bar{\tau}|_H) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) e^{s(\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle + \rho_k)}}{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s\rho_k}} \cdot \sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{P}_n} e^{\langle Q \rangle} \\ &= e^{\langle Q_\lambda \rangle} \cdot \frac{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s(\lambda + \rho_k)}}{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s\rho_k}} \cdot \sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{P}_n} e^{\langle Q \rangle} \\ &\quad \text{(since } s\langle Q_\lambda \rangle = \langle Q_\lambda \rangle \text{ by (1.1))} \\ &= \frac{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s(\lambda + \rho_k)}}{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s\rho_k}} \cdot \sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{P}_n} e^{\langle Q \rangle + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s(\lambda + \rho_k)}}{\sum_s \varepsilon(s) e^{s\rho_k}} \cdot \sum_{Q \subseteq P_n} e^{\langle Q \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the characters of the representations  $\tau_{\lambda'} \otimes \bar{\tau}$  and  $\bigoplus_q (\tau_\lambda \otimes Ad^q)$  are equal and hence these two representations are equivalent.

One can introduce a new  $G$  invariant complex structure on  $G/K$  such that when the complexification of the real tangent space at  $\{K\} \in G/K$  is as usual identified with  $\mathfrak{p}^c$ , the space of antiholomorphic tangent vectors in  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  is precisely  $\sum_{\alpha \in \bar{P}_n} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ . (We show this when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, the general case being

easily deducible from this. Thus let  $P$  be a positive root system in  $\Sigma$  compatible with a  $G$  invariant complex structure on  $G/K$ . Let  $P_k$  and  $P_n$  be the set of compact and noncompact roots in  $P$ . Then one knows that  $P_k \cup (-P_n)$  is also a positive root system in  $\Sigma$  which is compatible with a  $G$  invariant complex structure on  $G/K$ . Now let  $\tilde{P}$  be any positive root system in  $\Sigma$  such

that every noncompact root in  $\tilde{P}$  is totally positive and such that  $\tilde{P}_k = P_k$  where  $\tilde{P}_k$  is the set of compact roots in  $\tilde{P}$ . One knows that there exists exactly one noncompact simple root  $\alpha$  in  $\tilde{P}$ . (See [2.b, Corollary 2, § 5].) Then it is clear that  $\tilde{P} = P$  or  $\tilde{P} = P_k \cup (-P_n)$  according as  $\alpha \in P_n$  or  $\alpha \in -P_n$ . Let  $\bar{\tau}^a$  be the representation of  $K$  on  $\wedge^{q\bar{p}^{(2)}}$  which is induced by the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $\bar{p}^{(2)}$ . The representation  $\tau_\lambda^*$  of  $K$  on  $V_\lambda^*$  induces a vector bundle  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  on  $G/K$  and as in [5, § 1]  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  can be made into a holomorphic vector bundle (the complex structure of  $G/K$  being the new complex structure). We have  $\lambda' + \bar{\rho}^{(2)} = \lambda + \rho$ . Since  $\langle \lambda' + \bar{\rho}^{(2)}, \alpha \rangle > 0$  for every compact root in  $\bar{P}^{(2)}$  and  $\langle \lambda' + \bar{\rho}^{(2)}, \alpha \rangle < 0$  for every noncompact root in  $\bar{P}^{(2)}$  one knows that (see [2.c] or [1]) the space  $H$  of square integrable (with respect to a hermitian metric on  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  induced by a  $K$  invariant metric on  $V_\lambda^*$ ) holomorphic sections of  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  is nonzero.  $H$  is nothing but the space of square integrable harmonic forms of type  $(0, 0)$  (See [5, § 4] for definition) on  $G/K$  with coefficients in the vector bundle  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$ . If  $\pi$  denotes the action of  $U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  on the space  $C(E_{V_\lambda^*})$  of  $C^\infty$  sections of the bundle  $E_{V_\lambda^*}$  which is derived from the action of  $G$  on  $C(E_{V_\lambda^*})$ , then by [5, Lemma 1.1] we have

$$H = \{ \varphi \in C(E_{V_\lambda^*}) \mid \varphi \text{ square integrable, } \pi(\Omega)\varphi = \langle \lambda' + 2\bar{\rho}^{(2)}, \lambda' \rangle \varphi \}$$

where  $\Omega \in U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  is the Casimir of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . Let  $[\beta]$  be the equivalence class of the unitary representation  $\beta$  of  $G$  on  $H$ . Then we know that  $[\beta]$  is a finite sum of discrete classes of  $G$  (Proposition 1.1). Since  $H \neq 0$  there exists a discrete class  $\omega_0$  of  $G$  such that  $\omega_0^*$  occurs in the decomposition of  $[\beta]$ . Note that by Proposition 1.1 we have

i)  $\chi_{\omega_0}(\Omega) = \langle \lambda' + 2\bar{\rho}^{(2)}, \lambda' \rangle$

and

ii)  $(\omega_0 | K : [\tau_{\lambda'}]) \neq 0$ .

Observe that since  $\lambda' + \bar{\rho}^{(2)} = \lambda + \rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda' + 2\bar{\rho}^{(2)}, \lambda' \rangle &= |\lambda' + \bar{\rho}^{(2)}|^2 - |\bar{\rho}^{(2)}|^2 = |\lambda + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2 \\ &= \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The representation  $\tau_{\lambda'} = \tau_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle}$  is a subrepresentation of the representation  $\tau_\lambda^{q_\lambda}$  of  $K$  on  $V_\lambda \otimes \wedge^{q_\lambda} \mathfrak{p}_+$ . (This is because, as we already saw,  $\langle Q_\lambda \rangle$  is the highest weight of an irreducible component of the representation of  $K$  on  $\wedge^{q_\lambda} \mathfrak{p}_+$ .) Thus from i) and ii) we have

a)  $\chi_{\omega_0}(\Omega) = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle$

and

b)  $(\omega_0 | K : [\tau_\lambda^{q_\lambda}]) \neq 0$ .

Thus, using Proposition 1.1, it follows that  $\omega_0^*$  occurs in the decomposition of  $[\pi^q]^\lambda$ . Then, by what we have proved already it follows that

- i)  $q_0 = q_\lambda$   
 ii)  $[\pi^q]^\lambda = \omega_0^* = \omega(\lambda + \rho)^*$

and

- iii)  $(\omega(\lambda + \rho) | K : [\tau_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle}]) = 1.$

(Q. E. D.)

REMARK. Now, choose an element  $\pi$  in the equivalence class  $\omega(\lambda + \rho)$  and let  $H$  be the representation space of  $\pi$ . Let  $\delta = \tau_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle}$  and let  $H_\delta$  be the subspace spanned by the set of vectors in  $H$  which transform under  $\pi | K$  according to  $\delta$ . Then the proof of Theorem 1 actually shows that if  $\phi_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle}$  is a nonzero element of  $H$  which belongs to the highest weight  $\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle$  then  $\pi(E_\alpha)\phi_{\lambda + \langle Q_\lambda \rangle} = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \bar{P}^{(\omega)}$ .

REMARK. The set of discrete classes realized in Theorem 1 by  $L^2$ -cohomology method, i. e.,

$\{\omega \in \mathcal{E}_d \mid \omega = \omega(\lambda + \rho)^* \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0 \text{ such that any non-compact root in the positive root system } \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0\} \text{ is totally positive}\}$

is just the subset of  $\mathcal{E}_d$  whose classes are constructed by Harish-Chandra in [2.c]. When  $G$  is simple, the set of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  satisfying the condition of Theorem 1 is just the set

$$\{\lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0 \mid q_\lambda = 0 \text{ or } n(= [P_n])\}.$$

## § 2.

We continue with the notation of the previous section. Let  $so(\mathfrak{p})$  be the Lie subalgebra of  $\text{End}(\mathfrak{p})$  which corresponds to the rotation group  $SO(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{p})$ , under the positive definite bilinear form  $B | \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $B$  is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . We make the following observations the details of which can be found in [6].

Let  $\sigma : so(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$  be the spin representation of  $so(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then  $\sigma$  is the direct sum of two subrepresentations  $\sigma^\pm : so(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{End}(L^\pm)$  which are called the half spin representations of  $so(\mathfrak{p})$ . Let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow so(\mathfrak{p})$  be the homomorphism induced by the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{k}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\chi, \chi^+$  and  $\chi^-$  be the representations of  $\mathfrak{k}$  defined by  $\chi = \sigma \circ \alpha$ ,  $\chi^+ = \sigma^+ \circ \alpha$  and  $\chi^- = \sigma^- \circ \alpha$ . The sets  $\Gamma, \Gamma^+$  and  $\Gamma^-$  of weights of the representations  $\chi, \chi^+$  and  $\chi^-$  respectively are given by

$$\Gamma = \{\rho_n - \langle Q \rangle \mid Q \subseteq P_n\},$$

$$\Gamma^+ = \{\rho_n - \langle Q \rangle \mid Q \subseteq P_n, [Q] \text{ is even}\}$$

and

$$\Gamma^- = \{\rho_n - \langle Q \rangle \mid Q \subseteq P_n, [Q] \text{ is odd}\}.$$

Define a subset  $W^1$  of the Weyl group  $W(\mathfrak{h}^c, \mathfrak{g}^c)$  by setting

$$W^1 = \{\sigma \in W(\mathfrak{h}^c, \mathfrak{g}^c) \mid \sigma(-P) \cap P \subseteq P_n\}$$

where  $P \subseteq \Sigma$  is the fixed positive root system compatible with the complex structure on  $G/K$  and  $P_n$  is the set of noncompact roots in  $P$ . For  $\sigma \in W^1$  we define

$$j(\sigma) = +, \quad \text{if } [\sigma(-P) \cap P] \text{ is even}$$

and

$$j(\sigma) = -, \quad \text{if } [\sigma(-P) \cap P] \text{ is odd.}$$

For every  $\sigma \in W^1$ ,  $\sigma\rho - \rho_k$  is dominant with respect to  $P_k$  and the representation of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  with  $\sigma\rho - \rho_k$  as highest weight occurs in  $\chi$ . We have further the following

LEMMA 2.1. *For every  $\sigma \in W^1$ , the representation  $\tau_{\sigma\rho - \rho_k}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  with  $\sigma\rho - \rho_k$  as highest weight occurs with multiplicity one in  $\chi$  and we have a decomposition*

$$(2.1) \quad L = \sum_{\sigma \in W^1} V_{\sigma\rho - \rho_k}.$$

Moreover in the same notation

$$L^+ = \sum_{\sigma \in W^1, j(\sigma) = +} V_{\sigma\rho - \rho_k}$$

and

$$L^- = \sum_{\sigma \in W^1, j(\sigma) = -} V_{\sigma\rho - \rho_k}$$

(For proof see [6, Lemma 9.1 and Remark 9.2]).

Let  $D$  be the set of all linear forms  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  such that  $\frac{2\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$  is a nonnegative integer for every  $\alpha \in P_+$ . Then one can easily see that the map  $D \times W^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_0$  given by

$$(\lambda, \sigma) \longmapsto \lambda^{(\sigma)}$$

where  $\lambda^{(\sigma)} = \sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ , is a bijection (see [4, Lemma 6.4]). Now, choose  $\lambda \in D$  and  $\sigma \in W^1$  and consider  $\lambda^{(\sigma)} \in \mathcal{F}'_0$ .  $\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n$  is dominant with respect to  $P_k$ . Let  $\tau_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$  be the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$  with  $\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n$  as highest weight on a space  $V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$ . The representation  $\chi^\pm \otimes \tau_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$  can be integrated to a representation, also denoted by  $\chi^\pm \otimes \tau_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$ , of  $K$ . Let  $E_{L^+ \otimes V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}}$  and  $E_{L^- \otimes V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}}$  be the homogeneous vector bundles on  $G/K$  induced by the representations  $\chi^+ \otimes \tau_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$  and  $\chi^- \otimes \tau_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$  of  $K$ . We choose  $K$  invariant hermitian metrics in the spaces  $L^+ \otimes V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$  and  $L^- \otimes V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}$  and induce metrics on the fibres of  $E_{L^\pm \otimes V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}}$ . Let  $H^2_\pm(E_{V_{\lambda^{(\sigma)} + \rho_n}})$  denote the Hilbert spaces of square integrable sections  $\varphi$ , which are infinitely differentiable and such that

$$\pi(\Omega) \cdot \varphi = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \varphi$$

where  $\pi(\Omega)$  denotes the action of the Casimir  $\Omega \in U(\mathfrak{g}^c)$  on the space of  $C^\infty$  sections of  $E_{L^\pm \otimes V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}$ . In view of [6, Proposition 4.2] these are the spaces of 'square integrable Dirac spinors' defined in [6, §7]. Suppose

$$\langle \sigma\lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in P_n.$$

Let  $j = +$  or  $-$ . Then in [6] it was proved that

$$H_2^j(E_{V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}) = 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq j(\sigma).$$

The proof of [6, Theorem 2, §9] actually yields a sharper form which we will now state. The decomposition (2.1) gives rise to a decomposition

$$E_{L \otimes V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}} = \bigoplus_{\xi \in W^1} E_{V_{\xi\rho - \rho_k \otimes V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}}$$

of the vector bundle  $E_{L \otimes V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}$ . Let  $H_2^\xi(E_{V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}})$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable infinitely differentiable sections  $\varphi$  of  $E_{V_{\xi\rho - \rho_k \otimes V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}}$  which satisfy

$$\pi(\Omega)\varphi = \langle \lambda + 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \varphi$$

where  $\pi(\Omega)$  denotes the action of  $\Omega$  on the space of  $C^\infty$  sections of the bundle  $E_{V_{\xi\rho - \rho_k \otimes V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}}$ . Then we have the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\lambda \in D$  and  $\sigma \in W^1$ , so that  $\lambda^{(\sigma)} \in \mathcal{F}'_0$ . Then, for  $\xi \in W^1$ ,*

$$H_2^\xi(E_{V_{\lambda(\sigma) + \rho_n}}) = 0, \quad \text{if } \xi \neq \sigma,$$

*if  $\langle \sigma\lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$ , for  $\alpha \in P_n$ .*

From this we now deduce the following

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\lambda \in D$  and  $\sigma \in W^1$  and let  $\mu = \sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$  so that  $\mu \in \mathcal{F}'_0$ . Assume that*

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \sigma\lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in P_n.$$

Then

$$H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_\mu^*}) = 0 \quad \text{if } q \neq q_\mu$$

when  $q_\mu$  is the number of  $\alpha \in P_n$  such that  $\langle \mu + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0$ .

**PROOF.** Put  $\mu' = -\kappa(\mu + \rho) - \rho$ , where  $\kappa$  is the unique element of  $W_\sigma$  which takes  $P_k$  into  $-P_k$ . One can easily verify that  $\mu' \in \mathcal{F}'_0$ . Let  $\varphi \in D$  and  $\sigma' \in W^1$  be the unique elements such that  $\mu' = \sigma'(\varphi + \rho) - \rho$ . Then by Theorem 2 above one has, for  $\xi \in W^1$ ,

$$H_2^\xi(E_{V_{\mu' + \rho_n}}) = 0, \quad \text{if } \xi \neq \sigma'$$

provided

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \sigma'\varphi, \alpha \rangle \neq 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in P_n.$$

Since  $\mu' + \rho = \sigma'(\varphi + \rho)$ , we have

$$\sigma'\varphi = \mu' + \rho - \sigma'\rho.$$

Note that  $\sigma'\rho = -\frac{1}{2}\langle P' \rangle$ , where

$$P' = \{\alpha \in P \cup -P \mid \langle \mu' + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0\}.$$

But since  $\mu' + \rho = -\kappa(\mu + \rho) = -\kappa\sigma(\lambda + \rho)$ , we have

$$\{\alpha \in P \cup -P \mid \langle \mu' + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0\} = -\kappa\sigma P.$$

Hence,

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma'\rho = -\frac{1}{2}\langle P' \rangle = -\kappa\sigma\rho.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'\varphi &= \mu' + \rho + \kappa\sigma\rho = -\kappa(\mu + \rho) + \kappa\sigma\rho \\ &= -\kappa\sigma(\lambda + \rho) + \kappa\sigma\rho = -\kappa\sigma\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition (2.3) is just that

$$\langle -\kappa\sigma\lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in P_n$$

i. e.

$$\langle \sigma\lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in P_n.$$

Thus, when (2.2) is satisfied, for  $\xi \in W^1$

$$(2.5) \quad H_2^\xi(E_{V_{\mu'+\rho_n}}) = 0 \quad \text{if } \xi \neq \sigma'.$$

Consider the irreducible representation  $\tau_{\rho_n}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  which occurs in the decomposition (2.1) of  $\chi$ . One knows that  $\langle \rho_n, \alpha \rangle = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in P_k$ . (This is clear since  $s_\alpha\rho_n = \rho_n$  where  $s_\alpha \in W_G$  denotes the reflection with respect to  $\alpha$ .) It follows from this that  $\tau_{\rho_n}$  is a one dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . One knows that the set of weights of  $\chi$  is  $\{\rho_n - \langle Q \rangle \mid Q \subseteq P_n\}$  (See [6, Remark 3.1 and Remark 3.2]). On the other hand, the set of weights of the representation  $Ad^q$  of  $K$  on  $\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}_-$  is  $\{-\langle Q \rangle \mid Q \subseteq P_n, [Q] = q\}$ . Thus it follows that

$$\sum_q \tau_{\rho_n} \otimes Ad^q = \chi$$

on comparing the set of weights of the representations on the two sides. Because of Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \tau_{\rho_n} \otimes Ad^q = \bigoplus_{\nu \in S_q} \tau_{\nu, \rho - \rho_k}$$

where  $S_q$  ( $q = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are subsets of  $W^1$  such that

$$S_q \cap S_{q'} = \emptyset \quad \text{if } q \neq q' \text{ and } \bigcup_q S_q = W^1.$$

Since  $\tau_{\rho_n}$  is one dimensional,  $\tau_{\mu'+\rho_n} = \tau_\mu \otimes \tau_{\rho_n}$ . One knows that if  $\tau$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ , then the representation  $\tau^*$  which is dual to  $\tau$  has highest weight  $-\kappa\lambda$ . Thus,

$$\tau_{\mu'+\rho_n} = \tau_{-\kappa(\mu+\rho_n)} = \tau_{\mu+\rho_n}^* = \tau_{\mu}^* \otimes \tau_{\rho_n}^* .$$

Thus, for  $\nu \in W^1$ ,

$$\tau_{\nu\rho-\rho_k} \otimes \tau_{\mu'+\rho_n} = \tau_{\nu\rho-\rho_k} \otimes \tau_{\mu}^* \otimes \tau_{\rho_n}^* .$$

Hence from (2.6) it follows that

$$\bigoplus_{\nu \in S_q} \tau_{\nu\rho-\rho_k} \otimes \tau_{\mu'+\rho_n} = Ad^q \otimes \tau_{\mu}^* .$$

From the definitions of the spaces  $H_2^\nu(E_{V_{\mu'+\rho_n}})$  (for  $\nu \in W^1$ ) and  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_{\mu}^*})$  and from [5, Lemma 1.1] it now follows that

$$\bigoplus_{\nu \in S_q} H_2^\nu(E_{V_{\mu'+\rho_n}}) = H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_{\mu}^*}) .$$

Now, in view of (2.5), in order to prove that  $H_2^{0,q}(E_{V_{\mu}^*}) = 0$  if  $q \neq q_{\mu}$ , it is enough to prove that  $\sigma' \notin S_q$  if  $q \neq q_{\mu}$ , or equivalently that  $\sigma' \in S_{q_{\mu}}$ .

For this we prove that  $\tau_{\sigma'\rho-\rho_k}$  is a subrepresentation of  $\tau_{\rho_n} \otimes Ad^{q_{\mu}}$ . Since by (2.4)  $\sigma'\rho = -\kappa\sigma\rho$ , we have  $\sigma'\rho - \rho_k = -\kappa(\sigma\rho - \rho_k)$ . Note that  $\sigma\rho = \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma P \rangle$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma P &= \{ \alpha \in P \cup -P \mid \langle \sigma\rho, \alpha \rangle > 0 \} \\ &= \{ \alpha \in P \cup -P \mid \langle \sigma(\lambda + \rho), \alpha \rangle > 0 \} \\ &= \{ \alpha \in P \cup -P \mid \langle \mu + \rho, \alpha \rangle > 0 \} \\ &= P_k \cup Q_{\mu} \cup (-Q'_{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q'_{\mu}$  is the complement of  $Q_{\mu}$  in  $P_n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\rho &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma P \rangle = \rho_k + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q_{\mu} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle Q'_{\mu} \rangle \\ &= \rho_k + \langle Q_{\mu} \rangle - \rho_n . \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sigma\rho - \rho_k = \langle Q_{\mu} \rangle - \rho_n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'\rho - \rho_k &= -\kappa(\sigma\rho - \rho_k) = -\kappa(\langle Q_{\mu} \rangle - \rho_n) \\ &= \rho_n - \kappa \langle Q_{\mu} \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\sigma'\rho-\rho_k} &= \tau_{\rho_n} \otimes \tau_{-\kappa \langle Q_{\mu} \rangle} \\ &= \tau_{\rho_n} \otimes \tau_{\langle Q_{\mu} \rangle}^* . \end{aligned}$$

But  $\tau_{\langle Q_{\mu} \rangle}$  is a subrepresentation of  $Ad^{q_{\mu}}$  (see proof of [5, Corollary 2, §7]). Since obviously  $Ad^{q_{\mu}}$  is the representation of  $K$  dual to the representation  $Ad_{+}^{q_{\mu}}$  of  $K$ , we then see that  $\tau_{-\kappa \langle Q_{\mu} \rangle}$  is a subrepresentation of  $Ad^{q_{\mu}}$ . Thus  $\tau_{\sigma'\rho-\rho_k}$  is a subrepresentation of  $\tau_{\rho_n} \otimes Ad^{q_{\mu}}$ .

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research  
Bombay 5 BR (India)

**References**

- [ 1 ] F. Bruhat, Travaux de Harish-Chandra, Seminaire Bourbaki, exposé 143 (1957), 1-9.
  - [ 2 ] Harish-Chandra,
    - (a) Representations of semisimple Lie groups; III, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 76 (1954), 243-253.
    - (b) Representations of semisimple Lie groups; IV, Amer. J. Math., 77 (1955), 743-777.
    - (c) Representations of semisimple Lie groups; V, Amer. J. Math., 78 (1956), 1-41.
  - [ 3 ] S. Helgason, Differential geometry and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
  - [ 4 ] B. Kostant, Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil Theorem, Ann. of Math., 74 (1961), 329-387.
  - [ 5 ] M. S. Narasimhan and K. Okamoto, An analogue of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem for hermitian symmetric pairs of non-compact type, Ann. of Math., 91 (1970), 486-511.
  - [ 6 ] R. Parthasarathy, Dirac operator and the Discrete series, (to appear).
-