# Theory of Finsler spaces based on the contact structure

By Minoru KURITA

(Received May 28, 1965)

Most of the studies on Finsler spaces seem to aim at the generalization of the fruitful results on Riemannian spaces as are resumed in the book of H. Rund [4]. Another approach to Finsler spaces is found in the book of E. Cartan [2] and C. Caratheodory [1]. We treated in the previous paper [3] some results given in [2] from a modern geometrical point of view, but a further step was not taken. Here we develop along this way the theory of Finsler spaces based upon a certain contact structure associated with the spaces.

### 1. Contact structure on Finsler spaces

Finsler spaces can be defined as follows. Let M be an n-dimensional differentiable manifold and the local coordinates of a point x on M be  $x^1, \dots, x^n$ . In the tangent space T(x) at x we take a natural frame and denote the components of a vector y in T(x) by  $y^1, \dots, y^n$  and those of a vector p in the dual tangent space  ${}^cT(x)$  by  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . We take a function F = F(x, y) on the tangent bundle T(M) of M which has the following properties:

- (I) F(x, y) is of class  $C^4$  and is positively homogeneous of degree one with respect to  $y^1, \dots, y^n$ ,
- (II) the rank of the matrix  $(\partial^2 F/\partial y^i \partial y^j)$   $(i, j = 1, \dots, n)$  is n-1. The manifold M with such a function F(x, y) is called a Finsler space. The length of a curve x = x(t) on the Finsler space is defined by  $\int F(x, \dot{x}) dt$ , where  $\dot{x} = dx/dt$ .

A mapping  $\varphi: T(M) \rightarrow {}^{c}T(M)$  is defined by  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, p)$  with

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} . \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$
 (1.1)

Then  $N = \varphi(T(M))$  is a submanifold of  ${}^{\circ}T(M)$  by virtue of (I) and (II) (cf. [3]). We call N a p-manifold of M and denote its local equation by

$$G(x, p) = 0, (1.2)$$

where  $(G_{p_1}, \dots, G_{p_n}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ . We denote  $\partial G/\partial p_i$  by  $G_{p_i}$  and  $\partial^2 F/\partial y^i \partial y^j$  by  $F_{y^iy^j}$  etc.. In a certain neighborhood of each point of T(M) we can assume

$$\det(F_{nanb}) \neq 0$$
  $(a, b = 1, \dots, n-1)$  (1.3)

without loss of generality. Then the local equation (1.2) of N can be written as

$$p_n = -H(x^1, \dots, x^n, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}). \tag{1.4}$$

Throughout our discussion we use indices as

$$i, j, k, h = 1, \dots, n$$
  $a, b, c, e = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

As (1.1) satisfies (1.2), we get by differentiation with respect to  $y^j$   $G_{p_i}\partial p_i/\partial y^j = 0$ , namely  $G_{p_i}F_{y^iy^j} = 0$ . On the other hand we have by (I)  $y^iF_{y^iy^j} = 0$ . Hence we get

$$y^i = \lambda G_{p_i} \,, \tag{1.5}$$

and so

$$F = y^i F_{yi} = p_i y^i = \lambda p_i G_{pi}. \tag{1.6}$$

For a point  $(x, p) \in {}^cT(M)$  corresponding to  $(x, \dot{x}) \in T(M)$  along a curve x = x(t) we have

$$\dot{x}^i = \lambda G_{p_i} \,. \tag{1.7}$$

We consider a 1-form

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = p_i dx^i \tag{1.8}$$

on N. We can show that  $\omega \wedge (d\omega)^{n-1} \neq 0$  at the point (x, p) corresponding to (x, y) such that  $F(x, y) \neq 0$ , which means that  $\omega$  defines a contact structure on N with the exception of the case F(x, y) = 0 (cf. [3]). We can assume  $G_{p_n} \neq 0$  without loss of generality. Then we have

$$dp_n = -G_{p_n}^{-1}(G_{p_a}dp_a + G_{xi}dx^i)$$

and get

$$\alpha = d\omega = dp_a \wedge dx^a - G_{p_n}^{-1}(G_{p_a}dp_a + G_{xi}dx^i) \wedge dx^n$$
.

Hence by taking interior products with  $\partial/\partial p_a$ ,  $\partial/\partial x^a$ ,  $\partial/\partial x^n$  we get

$$\theta^a = i(\partial/\partial p_a)\alpha = dx^a - G_{p_a}^{-1}G_{p_a}dx^n \tag{1.9}$$

$$\rho_a = i(\partial/\partial x^a)\alpha = -dp_a - G_{p_n}^{-1}G_{xa}dx^n \tag{1.10}$$

$$\rho_n = i(\partial/\partial x^n)\alpha = -dp_n = G_{p_n}^{-1}(G_{xa}\theta^a - G_{p_a}\rho_a). \tag{1.11}$$

We get by (1.10) (1.6)

$$\omega = p_i dx^i = p_a (\theta^a + G_{p_n}^{-1} G_{p_a} dx^n) + p_n dx^n$$

$$= p_a \theta^a + G_{p_n}^{-1} G_{p_i} p_i dx^n$$

$$= p_a \theta^a + G_{p_n}^{-1} \lambda^{-1} F dx^n$$
(1.12)

Hence  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  are independent 1-forms on N. For (x, p) corresponding to  $(x, \dot{x})$  along a curve x = x(t) on M we have by (1.7) (1.9)

$$\theta^a = 0. (1.13)$$

The solution curves of differential equations

$$\theta^a = 0, \quad \rho_a = 0 \quad (a = 1, \dots, n-1)$$
 (1.14)

are curves on N which we call e-curves. The projections of e-curves of M are extremals of the Finsler space M (cf. [3]).

As  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  are linearly independent forms on N, they can be taken as local base of differential forms on N. We have by virtue of (1.9) (1.10) (1.11)

$$\begin{split} d\omega &= dp_a \wedge dx^a + dp_n \wedge dx^n \\ &= -(\rho_a + G_{p_n}^{-1} G_{xa} dx^n) \wedge (\theta^a + G_{p_n}^{-1} G_{p_a} dx^n) - G_{p_n}^{-1} (G_{xa} \theta^a - G_{p_a} \rho_a) \wedge dx^n \\ &= \theta^a \wedge \rho_a \,. \end{split}$$

Thus we get

THEOREM 1. Forms  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  defined by (1.8) (1.9) (1.10) are linearly independent and

$$d\omega = \theta^a \wedge \rho_a \,. \tag{1.15}$$

(x, p) along a curve x = x(t) on M is characterized by (1.13) and (x, p) along an extremal by (1.14).

In the case (1.4) we have  $G(x, p) = p_n + H$  and so

$$\omega = p_a dx^a - H dx^n, \quad \theta^a = dx^a - H_{pa} dx^n, \quad \rho_a = -dp_a - H_{ra} dx^n. \tag{1.16}$$

We calculate  $d\theta^a$ ,  $d\rho_a$  and get

$$d\theta^a = -(H_{p_a p_b} dp_b + H_{p_a x^i} dx^i) \wedge dx^n = (H_{p_a p_b} \rho_b - H_{p_a x^b} \theta^b) \wedge dx^n$$

$$d\rho_a = -(H_{xaxb} dx^b + H_{xap_b} dp_b) \wedge dx^n = -H(_{xaxb} \theta^b - H_{xap_b} \rho_b) \wedge dx^n.$$

We have by virtue of (1.12)

$$dx^n = -(H - p_c H_{p_c})^{-1}(\omega - p_c \theta^c)$$

Hence

$$d\theta^a = -(H - p_c H_{p_c})^{-1} (H_{p_a p_b} \rho_b - H_{p_a x^b} \theta^b) \wedge (\omega - p_c \theta^c)$$

$$\tag{1.17}$$

$$dp_a = -(H - p_c H_{pc})^{-1} (-H_{xa_xb}\theta^b + H_{xa_pb}\rho_b) \wedge (\omega - p_c\theta^c)$$
 (1.18)

Here we calculate the relations between the derivatives of H and F. By (1.1) (1.4) we have  $F_{yn} = -H(x^1, \dots, x^n, F_{y^1}, \dots, F_{y^{n-1}})$ . As F is of homogeneous degree one we get

$$F_{ya}y^a - y^n H = F.$$
 (1.19)

Differentiating with respect to  $y^b$  we get  $F_{yayb}(y^a-y^nH_{p_a})=0$ . As in our case

 $\det(F_{yayb}) \neq 0$ , we get

$$y^a = y^n H_{p_a} . ag{1.20}$$

Differentiating with respect to  $y^c$  we get  $\delta_{ac} = y^n H_{p_a p_b} F_{y^b y^c}$ . Hence

$$(H_{p_a p_b}) = 1/y^n (F_{yayb})^{-1}. (1.21)$$

By (1.19) (1.20) we get

$$-y^n(H-p_cH_{p_c}) = F \tag{1.22}$$

and so

$$-(H-p_cH_{p_c})^{-1}(H_{p_ap_b}) = F^{-1}(F_{yayb})^{-1}. (1.23)$$

# 2. Adapted orthogonal coframe

Here we consider a coframe  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  which is more general than that in Theorem 1. We assume that

- (A)  $\omega = p_i dx^i$  is the fundamental 1-form
- (B)  $\theta^a(a=1,\dots,n-1)$  are forms which are linear combinations of  $dx^1,\dots,dx^n$
- (C)  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^1$ , ...,  $\theta^{n-1}$ ,  $\rho_1$ , ...,  $\rho_{n-1}$  are independent
- (D)  $d\omega = \theta^a \wedge \rho_a$ .

We call the coframe with these properties an adapted coframe of the p-manifold N. The transformation between two adapted coframes  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^b$ ,  $\rho_a$  and  $\omega$ ,  $\overline{\theta}^a$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_a$  is given by

$$\overline{\theta}^a = s_b^a \theta^b$$
,  $\overline{\rho}_a = t_a^b \rho_b + r_{ab} \theta^b$ , (2.1)

where

$$s_b^a t_c^b = \delta_c^a = \begin{cases} 1 & (a = c) \\ 0 & (a \neq c) \end{cases}, \quad s_b^a r_{ac} = s_c^a r_{ab}.$$
 (2.2)

This can be verified by the relations

$$\overline{\theta}^a = s_b^a \theta^b + s^a \omega$$
,  $\overline{\rho}_a = t_a^b \rho_b + r_{ab} \theta^b + r_a \omega$ ,  $d\omega = \theta^a \wedge \rho_a = \overline{\theta}_a \wedge \overline{\rho}_a$ .

By virtue of (2.1) we can conclude

THEOREM 2. The assertion in Theorem 1 holds good for adapted coframes. We can put

$$d\theta^a = \frac{1}{2} k_{bc}^a \theta^b \wedge \theta^c + l_b^a \omega \wedge \theta^b + m_c^{ab} \rho_b \wedge \theta^c + h^{ab} \rho_b \wedge \omega , \qquad (2.3)$$

$$d\rho_a = \frac{1}{2} u_a^{bc} \rho_b \wedge \rho_c + v_a^b \omega \wedge \rho_b + w_{ab} \omega \wedge \theta^b + y_{ac}^b \theta^c \wedge \rho_b + \frac{1}{2} z_{abc} \theta^b \wedge \theta^c , \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$k_{bc}^a = -k_{cb}^a$$
,  $u_a^{bc} = -u_a^{cb}$ ,  $z_{abc} = -z_{acb}$ .

On account of the relation  $d\theta^a \wedge \rho_a - \theta^a \wedge d\rho_a = d(d\omega) = 0$  we get

$$k^a_{bc} = y^a_{bc} - y^a_{cb}$$
,  $l^a_b = -v^a_b$ ,  $m^{ab}_c - m^{ba}_c = u^{ab}_c$ ,  $h^{ab} = h^{ba}$ ,  $w_{ab} = w_{ba}$ ,  $z_{abc} + z_{bca} + z_{cab} = 0$ .

Now we consider the effect of the transformation (2.1). We denote the coefficients in the structure equations for  $\bar{\theta}^a$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_a$  corresponding to (2.3) (2.4) by  $\bar{k}^a_{bc}$ ,  $i^a_b$ , ..., etc.. We substitute (2.1) into

$$d\bar{\theta}^a = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{k}^a_{bc} \bar{\theta}^b \wedge \bar{\theta}^c + l^a_b \omega \wedge \bar{\theta}^b + \bar{m}^{ab}_c \bar{\rho}_b \wedge \bar{\theta}^c + \bar{h}^{ab} \bar{\rho}_b \wedge \omega \tag{2.5}$$

and get

$$ds_b^a \wedge \theta^b + s_b^a d\theta^b = \cdots + s_b^a h^{bc} \rho_c \wedge \omega = \cdots + \bar{h}^{ab} (t_b^c \rho_c + r_{bc} \theta^c) \wedge \omega$$
.

We compare the coefficients of  $\rho_c \wedge \omega$  and we get

$$\bar{h}^{ab} = s_c^a s_e^b h^{ce} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

We denote the matrices inversive to  $(\bar{h}^{ab})$ ,  $(h^{ab})$  by  $(\bar{h}_{ab})$ ,  $(h_{ab})$  respectively and get  $\bar{h}_{ab} = t_a^c t_b^e h_{ce}$ . Hence

$$A = h_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b \,. \tag{2.7}$$

$$B = \omega^2 + h_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b \tag{2.8}$$

are quadratic differential forms on N which are independent with the choice of adapted coframes. We can show that these are forms which are fundamental in the theory of Finsler spaces hitherto studied, namely

$$A = F \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} dx^i dx^j, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial v^i \partial v^j} dx^i dx^j. \tag{2.10}$$

These can be verified by using (1.16) as follows. In this case

$$\theta^a = dx^a - H_{p_a}dx^n, \quad \omega = p_a dx^a - H dx^n = F_{yi}dx^i$$
 (2.11)

and by comparing (1.17) (2.3) we get

$$h^{ab} = -(H - p_c H_{p_c})^{-1} H_{p_a p_b}$$

Hence we get by (1.22)

$$h_{ab} = F F_{uaub} \tag{2.12}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} F^{-1}h_{ab}\theta^{a}\theta^{b} &= F_{yayb}(dx^{a} - H_{pa}dx^{n})(dx^{b} - H_{pb}dx^{n}) \\ &= F_{yayb}dx^{a}dx^{b} - 2F_{yayb}H_{pb}dx^{a}dx^{n} + F_{yayb}H_{pa}H_{pb}(dx^{n})^{2} \,. \end{split}$$

As  $F_{yn} = -H$  we get

$$F_{ynya} = -H_p F_{yhya}, \quad F_{ynyn} = -H_{pa} F_{yayn} = H_{pa} H_{ph} F_{yayb}$$

and so

$$F^{-1}h_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b = F_{yiyj}dx^idx^j$$
.

(2.10) can also be verified by remarking

$$\frac{\partial^{z}F^{z}}{\partial y^{i}\partial y^{j}} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}\left(F\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^{j}}\right) = 2(FF_{y^{i}y^{j}} + F_{y^{i}}F_{y^{j}}).$$

Here we make the third assumption on our Finsler space.

(III) The matrix  $(F \partial^2 F / \partial y^i \partial y^j)$  is positive semidefinite.

This means that  $(h_{ab})$  is positive definite in the case  $\det(F_{yayb}) \neq 0$ , which we can assume without loss of generality. In fact  $(FF_{yayb})$  is then positive definite and so  $(h_{ab})$  with respect to special frame (2.11) is positive definite. This means the same holds good for the general frame.

Now we can take suitable  $\bar{\theta}^a$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_a$  in such a way that

$$h_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \tag{2.13}$$

hold good. The transformation between the coframes satisfying (2.13) is given by (2.1) with orthogonal  $(s_b^a)$ . We take a special one among these transformations, namely

$$\bar{\theta}^a = \theta^a, \quad \bar{\rho}_a = \rho_a + r_{ab}\theta^b. \qquad (r_{ab} = r_{ba}).$$
 (2.14)

By (2.3) and (2.14) we get

$$d\bar{ heta}^a = d heta^a = \frac{1}{2} k^a_{bc} heta^b \wedge heta^c + l^a_b \omega \wedge heta^b$$

$$+m_c^{ab}(\bar{\rho}_b-r_{be}\theta^e)\wedge\theta^c+(\bar{\rho}_a-r_{ab}\theta^b)\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}$$
.

By comparing the terms  $\omega \wedge \bar{\theta}^b = \omega \wedge \theta^b$  we get by (2.5)

$$l_b^a = l_b^a + r_{ab}$$
.

So if we take  $r_{ab}$  such that  $r_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}(l_b^a + l_a^b)$ , we get

$$l_b^a = \frac{1}{2} (l_b^a - l_a^b) = l_a^b$$
.

Thus corresponding to any frame  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  we can take  $\overline{\theta}^a = \theta^a$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_a$  uniquely so as to (2.13) and

$$l_b^a = -l_a^b \tag{2.15}$$

hold good. We call an adapted coframe satisfying these conditions an adapted orthogonal coframe. Then we can prove

THEOREM 3. The frame transformation between adapted orthogonal coframes  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  and  $\omega$ ,  $\overline{\theta}^a$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_a$  is given by

$$\bar{\theta}^a = s_b^a \theta^b, \quad \bar{\rho}_a = s_b^a \rho_b, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $(s_b^a)$  is an orthogonal matrix.

PROOF. First we verify that  $\bar{\theta}^a$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_a$  given by (2.16) is an adapted orthogonal coframe with  $\omega$  when  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  is so. We have

$$d\bar{\theta}^a = ds_b^a \wedge \theta^b + s_b^a d\theta^b = ds_b^a s_b^c \wedge \bar{\theta}^c + s_b^a (\cdots + l_c^b \omega \wedge s_c^e \bar{\theta}^e + \cdots)$$
.

The coefficient of  $\omega \wedge \overline{\theta}^e$  on the right side is skew symmetric with respect to a, e because  $ds_a^a s_b^e$ ,  $s_a^a l_b^b s_c^e$  are so. Thus  $\overline{\theta}^a$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_a$  is an adapted orthogonal coframe. As  $\rho_a$  is uniquely determined by  $\theta^1$ , ...,  $\theta^{n-1}$  in an adapted orthogonal coframe, our theorem is proved.

With respect to adapted orthogonal coframes

$$C = \rho_a \rho_a \tag{2.17}$$

is a quadratic differential form on N in addition to  $A = \theta^a \theta^a$  and  $B = \omega^2 + \theta^a \theta^a$ . Next we prove the following fundamental theorem.

THEOREM 4. With respect to adapted orthogonal coframes the structure equations can be uniquely represented in the following way.

$$d\boldsymbol{\omega} = \theta^a \wedge \rho_a \tag{2.18}$$

$$d\theta^a = \theta^b \wedge \lambda_b^a + \rho_b \wedge \mu^{ba} + \rho_a \wedge \omega \tag{2.19}$$

$$d\rho_a = \theta^b \wedge \nu_{ba} + \rho_b \wedge \lambda_b^a - w_{ab}\theta^b \wedge \omega + \Phi_a, \qquad (2.20)$$

where  $w_{ab}$  are functions,  $\lambda_b^a$ ,  $\mu^{ab}$ ,  $\nu_{ab}$  are 1-forms and  $\Phi_a$  are 2-forms in  $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$ , each satisfying the following conditions

$$w_{ab} = w_{ba}$$
,  $\lambda_b^a = -\lambda_a^b$   
 $\mu^{ab} = \mu^{ba} \equiv 0 \pmod{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{n-1}}$   
 $\nu_{ab} = \nu_{ba} \equiv 0 \pmod{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}}$ .

PROOF. We consider (2.3) (2.4) with  $h_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$  and  $l_b^a = -l_a^b$ . We put

$$\lambda_{b}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} (k_{bc}^{a} + k_{ba}^{c} + k_{ba}^{b}) \theta^{c} - l_{b}^{a} \omega + \frac{1}{2} (u_{a}^{bc} + u_{b}^{ba} + u_{b}^{ca}) \rho_{c}$$

$$\mu^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (m_{c}^{ab} + m_{c}^{ba} + u_{a}^{cb} + u_{b}^{ca}) \theta^{c}$$

$$\nu_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (k_{bc}^{a} + k_{ca}^{b} + y_{ab}^{c} + y_{ba}^{c}) \rho_{c}$$

$$\Phi_{a} = \frac{1}{2} z_{abc} \theta^{b} \wedge \theta^{c}$$
(2.21)

Then all the relations in Theorem 4 are satisfied. Uniqueness follows as is shown in the next. When  $\frac{1}{2}k_{bc}^a\theta^b\wedge\theta^c$  is given,  $\Gamma^a_{bc}$  satisfying the relations

126 M. KURITA

$$rac{1}{2}k_{bc}^a heta^b\wedge heta^c= heta^b\wedgearGamma_{bc}^a heta^c$$
 ,  $arGamma_{bc}^a=-arGamma_{bc}^b$ 

are uniquely given by  $\Gamma^a_{bc} = \frac{1}{2} (k^a_{bc} + k^c_{ba} + k^b_{ca})$  and similarly for  $\frac{1}{2} u^{bc}_a \rho_b \wedge \rho_c$ . Thus  $\lambda^a_b$  and hence  $w_{ab}$ ,  $\mu^{ab}$ ,  $\nu_{ab}$ ,  $\Phi_a$  are uniquely determined.

Next we examine how  $w_{ab}$ ,  $\lambda_b^a$ ,  $\mu^{ab}$ ,  $\nu_{ab}$ ,  $\Phi_a$  are transformed by the frame transformation (2.16). We have

$$d\overline{\theta}^{a} = ds_{b}^{a} \wedge \theta^{b} + s_{b}^{a} d\theta^{b} = ds_{b}^{a} \wedge \theta^{b} + s_{b}^{a} (\theta^{c} \wedge \lambda_{c}^{b} + \rho_{c} \wedge \mu^{cb} + \rho_{b} \wedge \omega)$$

$$d\overline{\rho}_{a} = ds_{b}^{a} \wedge \rho_{b} + s_{b}^{a} d\rho_{b} = ds_{b}^{a} \wedge \rho_{b} + s_{b}^{a} (\theta^{c} \wedge \nu_{cb} + \rho_{c} \wedge \lambda_{c}^{b} - w_{bc} \theta^{c} \wedge \omega + \Phi_{b}).$$

We put  $\theta^b = s_b^c \overline{\theta}^c$  and  $\rho_b = s_b^c \overline{\rho}_c$  into these and by the comparison with (2.5) we get

$$\bar{\lambda}_{b}^{a} = s_{c}^{a} s_{e}^{b} \lambda_{e}^{c} - d s_{c}^{a} s_{c}^{b}, \quad \bar{\mu}^{ab} = s_{c}^{a} s_{e}^{b} \mu^{ce}, \quad \bar{\nu}_{ab} = s_{c}^{a} s_{e}^{b} \nu_{ce}, 
\bar{w}_{ab} = s_{c}^{a} s_{e}^{b} w_{ce}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_{a} = s_{b}^{a} \Phi_{b}.$$
(2.22)

Hence  $w_{ab}$ ,  $\mu^{ab}$ ,  $\nu_{ab}$ ,  $\Phi_a$  are tensorial forms with respect to adapted orthogonal coframes, while  $\lambda_b^a$  are connection-like.

Here we resume the fundamental properties of adapted orthogonal coframes  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  on the p-manifold N of M.

- (i) For a curve (x, p) in N induced by a curve x = x(t) in M we have  $\theta^a = 0$ . When x = x(t) is an extremal, we have  $\theta^a = 0$  and  $\rho_a = 0$ .
- (ii)  $A = \theta^a \theta^a$ ,  $B = \omega^2 + \theta^a \theta^a$ ,  $C = \rho_a \rho_a$  are quadratic forms on N.
- (iii) The structure equations are given by (2.18) (2.19) (2.20).
- (iv) Transformations of  $w_{ab}$ ,  $\lambda_b^a$ ,  $\mu^{ab}$ ,  $\nu_{ab}$ ,  $\Phi_a$  induced by the transformation of adapted orthogonal coframes are given by (2.22).

### 3. Connections

We consider connections on the p-manifold N of the Finsler spaces. Firstly we summerise fundamental concepts of an affine connection on an n-dimensional differentiable manifold. An affine connection is given by a matrix  $\Gamma = (\omega_i^j)$  of 1-forms  $\omega_i^j$  (on the i-th row and on the j-th column) with respect to fundamental coframe  $\pi = (\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n)$ . Torsion forms  $\tau = (\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)$  and curvature forms  $\Omega = (\Omega_i^j)$  are given respectively by

$$au = d\pi - \pi \wedge \Gamma$$
, namely  $au^i = d\omega^i - \omega^j \wedge \omega^i_j$ ,  $\Omega = d\Gamma - \Gamma \wedge \Gamma$ , namely  $\Omega^j_i = d\omega^j_i - \omega^k_i \wedge \omega^j_k$ 

and the relations

$$d\tau + \tau \wedge \Gamma = \pi \wedge \Omega$$
,  $d\Omega + \Omega \wedge \Gamma - \Gamma \wedge \Omega = 0$  (3.1)

hold good. A covariant differential of a contravariant vector  $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$ 

is given by  $Dv = dv + v\Gamma$ . A covariant tensor field  $A = (a_{ij})$  is parallel with respect to our connection when and only when

$$dA - A^t \Gamma - \Gamma A = 0. (3.2)$$

We take on the p-manifold N of the n-dimensional Finsler space M adapted orthogonal coframes  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$ . We put

$$\pi = (\omega, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{n-1}, \rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{n-1})$$
(3.3)

$$\Lambda = (\lambda_b^a) \qquad (a, b = 1, \dots, n-1). \tag{3.4}$$

By virtue of (2.22) we can define a connection on N by

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.5}$$

with respect to adapted orthogonal coframes. We denote torsion forms by

$$\tau = (\tau^p) = d\pi - \pi \wedge \Gamma$$
.  $(p = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-2)$ 

Then we get by virtue of (2.18) (2.19) (2.20)

$$\begin{split} \tau^0 &= d\omega = \theta^a \wedge \rho_a \\ \tau^a &= d\theta^a - \theta^b \wedge \lambda^a_b = \rho_b \wedge \mu^{ba} + \rho_a \wedge \omega \\ \tau^{a+n-1} &= d\rho_a - \rho_b \wedge \lambda^a_b = \theta^b \wedge \nu_{ba} - w_{ab}\theta^b \wedge \omega + \varPhi_a \,. \end{split}$$

As for curvature forms  $d\Gamma - \Gamma \wedge \Gamma$  we have essentially

$$\Psi_0 = d\Lambda - \Lambda \wedge \Lambda \,. \tag{3.6}$$

We take up the tensors on N corresponding to the forms

$$\omega$$
,  $A = \theta^a \theta^a$ ,  $C = \rho_a \rho_a$ ,  $d\omega = \theta^a \wedge \rho_a$ .

These tensors have components

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{n-1} \\ 0 & -E_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.7)$$

where  $E_{n-1}$  is a unit matrix of degree n-1.

We can easily verify the following result by (3.2).

THEOREM 5. For an affine connection (3.5) the tensors given by (3.7) are parallel.

Next we define a second connection by

$$\Gamma_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -'\rho & 0 \\ \rho & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  ${}^{t}\rho = (\rho_{1}, \dots, \rho_{n-1})$ . This is well defined by virtue of (2.22) and (2.16). The torsion components of the connection are

$$\tau^{0} = d\omega - \theta^{a} \wedge \rho_{a} = 0$$

$$\tau^{a} = d\theta^{a} - \theta^{b} \wedge \lambda_{b}^{a} - \omega \wedge (-\rho_{a}) = \rho_{b} \wedge \mu^{ba}$$

$$\tau^{a+n-1} = d\rho_{a} - \rho_{b} \wedge \lambda_{b}^{a} = \theta^{b} \wedge \nu_{ba} - w_{ab}\theta^{b} \wedge \omega + \Phi_{a}.$$
(3.9)

When we denote curvature forms by

$$\Omega_1 = d\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_1 \wedge \Gamma_1$$
,

we get

$$\Omega_{1} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -d^{t}\rho + {}^{t}\rho \wedge \Lambda & 0 \\
d\rho - \Lambda \wedge \rho & \Psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Psi_{0}
\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Psi = \Psi_0 + \rho \wedge {}^t \rho = d\Lambda - \Lambda \wedge \Lambda + \rho \wedge {}^t \rho . \tag{3.10}$$

We can easily verify

THEOREM 6. For an affine connection (3.8) tensors given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{n-1} \\ 0 & -E_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \begin{pmatrix} E_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are all parallel.

#### 4. Riemannian space

In the case of Riemannian spaces

$$B = \omega^2 + \theta^a \theta^a = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial v^i \partial v^j} dx^i dx^j$$

is represented by  $x^1, \dots, x^n$  exclusively and does not contain auxiliary variables, which we denote here as  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ . When we take vector fields  $X = \xi^i(x) \partial/\partial x^i$ ,  $Y = \eta_a(u) \partial/\partial u_a$ , we have [X, Y] = 0 and hence by virtue of (2.18) we have

$$X(\omega(Y)) - Y(\omega(X)) = \theta^a(X)\rho_a(Y) - \theta^a(Y)\rho_a(X)$$
.

As  $\omega(Y) = 0$ ,  $\theta^a(Y) = 0$  in this case, we get

$$Y(\omega(X)) = -\rho_a(Y)\theta^a(X)$$
.

Similarly we get by (2.19)

$$Y(\theta^a(X)) = -\lambda_b^a(Y)\theta^b(X) + \rho_b(Y)\mu^{ba}(X) + \rho_a(Y)\omega(X)$$
.

Hence

$$Y(\omega(X)^2 + \theta^a(X)\theta^a(X))$$

$$=2(\omega(X)Y(\omega(X))+\theta^{a}(X)Y(\theta^{a}(X)))=2\rho_{b}(Y)\theta^{a}(X)\mu^{ba}(X). \tag{4.1}$$

A condition for our Finsler space to be Riemannian is that (4.1) vanishes for every X and Y. The condition reduces to  $M_c^{ba} + M_a^{bc} = 0$  when we put  $\mu^{ba} = M_c^{ba} \theta^c$ . As we have  $M_c^{ab} = M_c^{ba}$  moreover, we get  $M_c^{ab} = 0$ . Thus we get

Theorem 7. A necessary and sufficient condition for a Finsler space to be Riemannian is

$$\mu^{ab} = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for its p-manifold.

In this case the connection on N given by (3.8) induces the Riemannian connection

$$\Gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -^{t}\rho \\ \rho & A \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.3}$$

on M, which can be verified by (3.9) and  $\lambda_b^a = -\lambda_a^b$ . The curvature forms of our Riemannian space M are given by

$$Q_{0} = d\Gamma_{0} - \Gamma_{0} \wedge \Gamma_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i(d\rho - \Lambda \wedge \rho) \\ d\rho - \Lambda \wedge \rho & \Psi \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to orthogonal coframes  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^1$ , ...,  $\theta^{n-1}$ . When we put

$$d\rho - \Lambda \wedge \rho = (\Omega_a^0), \quad \Psi = (\Omega_a^b),$$

 $\Omega_a^0$  and  $\Omega_a^b$  are differential forms which are linear combinations of  $\omega \wedge \theta^a$  and  $\theta^a \wedge \theta^b$  by the property of curvature forms. On the other hand we have by (2.20)

$$\Omega_a^0 = d\rho_a - \lambda_a^b \wedge \rho_b = d\rho_a - \rho_b \wedge \lambda_b^a = \theta^b \wedge \nu_{ba} - w_{ab}\theta^b \wedge \omega + \Phi_a. \tag{4.4}$$

Hence we have  $\nu_{ba} = 0$  on account of (2.21). Thus we get

THEOREM 8. For Riemannian spaces we have necessarily

$$\nu_{ab} = 0$$

By (4.4) we have then

$$\mathcal{Q}_a^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = -w_{ab}\theta^{\scriptscriptstyle b}\wedge\omega + \Phi_a \qquad (\Phi_a = rac{1}{2}z_{abc}\theta^{\scriptscriptstyle b}\wedge\theta^{\scriptscriptstyle c})$$
 .

130 M. KURITA

Hence for the Riemannian space with constant curvature K we have

$$w_{ab} = K\delta_{ab} \quad (K \text{ const.}), \qquad \Phi_a = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

We assume that these are satisfied. Then we have

$$\Omega_a^0 = -K\theta^a \wedge \omega$$
.

On the other hand we have by Bianchi's identity (3.1)  $d\Omega_a^0 - \lambda_a^b \wedge \Omega_b^0 + \Omega_a^b \wedge \rho_b = 0$ . Here

$$\begin{split} d\Omega_a^0 - \lambda_a^b \wedge \Omega_b^0 &= -Kd(\theta^a \wedge \omega) + K\lambda_a^b \wedge \theta^b \wedge \omega \\ &= -K(d\theta^a - \theta^b \wedge \lambda_b^a) \wedge \omega + K\theta^a \wedge d\omega = -K\rho_a \wedge \omega \wedge \omega + K\theta^a \wedge \theta^b \wedge \rho_b \,. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\rho_b \wedge (\Omega_a^b + K\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) = 0$$
.

As  $\Omega_a^b$  does not contain  $\rho_c$  we get

$$\Omega_a^b = -K\theta^a \wedge \theta^b$$
.

Thus we have got

Theorem 9. A necessary and sufficient condition for a Riemannian space to be of constant curvature K is (4.5).

Any unit tangent vector on a Riemannian manifold has components  $v=(v^0,v^1,\cdots,v^{n-1})=(1,0,\cdots,0)$  with respect to a suitably chosen coframe  $\omega,\theta^1,\cdots,\theta^{n-1}$ , and on account of (4.3) the covariant derivative  $Dv=dv+v\Gamma_0$  is given by

$$Dv^0 = 0$$
,  $Dv^a = -\rho_a$ .

Hence the third form C is in this case

$$C = \rho_a \rho_a = Dv^k Dv^k$$
.  $(k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$  (4.6)

This metric is of constant curvature 1, if we restrict to a fixed fiber of  ${}^{c}T(M)$  for a fixed point x on M.

As an analogy to the Riemannian space we take up a Finsler space on which a volume element can be given by

$$\sigma = \omega \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{n-1}. \tag{4.7}$$

This form contains auxiliary variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$  in general. If they do not appear, a volume element can be defined. We put

$$\mu^{ab} = M_c^{ab} \theta^c$$
. and  $M_b^{ab} = M^a$ .

Then we have  $d\sigma = -M^a \rho_a \wedge \omega \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{n-1}$  by (2.18) (2.19). Hence

Theorem 10. A necessary and sufficient condition for a Finsler space to have a volume element defined by (4.7) is the vanishing of  $(M^a)$ .

#### 5. Dilatation

A dilatation in the Euclidean space is to translate each plane element to the direction orthogonal to it by a constant length, and it is proved that the dilatation is a contact homogeneous transformation. The geodesic flow in the Riemannian space is a generalization of the dilatation in the Euclidean space. We can define the dilatation in the Finsler space as the translation along an e-curve of a point (x, p) in the p-manifold N of M, which we proved to be a contact homogeneous transformation in [3]. This means that the fundamental form  $\omega = p_i dx^i$  is preserved by the dilatation. As a result we showed in [3] that a volume element in N is invariant under a dilatation, and in the special case of Riemannian space of constant curvature even a certain Riemannian metric is invariant. Here we will prove a generalization of the latter theorem.

THEOREM 11. When the tensor  $(w_{ab})$  satisfies the relation

$$w_{ab} = K\delta_{ab}$$
 (K const),

the Riemannian metric KB+C on N is invariant under any dilatation.

PROOF. We take a dilatation  $\varphi_s$ , where s means an arc-length along an extremal which is a projection of an e-curve. We consider the product space  $N \times l$ , where l is a segment  $0 \le s \le s_1$ . We define a mapping  $\varphi: N \times l \to N$  by  $(x, p, s) \to \varphi_s(x, p)$ . We denote the forms  $\varphi^* \omega$ ,  $\varphi^* \theta^a$ ,  $\varphi^* \rho_a$  conventionally by  $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$ . We take a vector field  $S = \partial/\partial s$  and a vector field X which is independent with s. As  $\varphi_s(x, p)$  describes an e-curve for fixed x, p, we have

$$\omega(S) = 1$$
,  $\theta^a(S) = 0$ ,  $\rho_a(S) = 0$ .

As  $\lceil S, X \rceil = 0$ , we get by (2.18)

$$S(\omega(X)) - X(\omega(S)) = \theta^{a}(S)\rho_{a}(X) - \theta^{a}(X)\rho_{a}(S)$$

and hence

$$S(\omega(X)) = 0$$
.

Similarly by (2.19) and (2.20)

$$\begin{split} S(\theta^a(X)) &= -\lambda_b^a(S)\theta^b(X) - \rho_a(X) \\ S(\rho_a(X)) &= -\rho_b(X)\lambda_b^a(S) + w_{ab}\theta^b(X) \,. \end{split}$$

By these relations we get

$$\begin{split} S(K(\omega(X)^2 + \theta^a(X)\theta^a(X)) + \rho_a(X)\rho_a(X)) \\ &= 2\{K(\omega(X)S(\omega(X)) + \theta^a(X)S(\theta^a(X))) + \rho_a(X)S(\rho_a(X))\} \\ &= 2\{-K\theta^a(X)\rho_a(X) + w_{ab}\rho_a(X)\theta^b(X)\} \; . \end{split}$$

This vanishes by the assumption  $w_{ab} = K \delta_{ab}$ . Hence  $K(\omega(X)^2 + \theta^a(X)\theta^a(X)) + \rho_a(X)\rho_a(X)$  does not contain s.

In the case of Riemannian space of constant curvature K the metric KB+C is  $Kds^2+Dv^kDv^k$  by virtue of (4.6), where  $ds^2$  is the Riemannian metric of the space.

#### 6. Minkowskian space

A Minkowskian space is a one for which F(x, y) does not contain x when the coordinates  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  are suitably chosen. In these coordinates the p-manifold N can be represented as G(p) = 0, and hence

$$p_n = -H(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}) \tag{6.1}$$

when  $G_{p_n} \neq 0$ . Conversely, when (6.1) holds good, we can represent  $p_a$  by  $y^i$  by solving (1.20) with respect to  $p_a$  and so F(x, y) does not contain  $x^1, \dots, x^n$  by virtue of (1.22). Thus the space in Minkowskian.

Here we will seek for the tensorial condition for a Finsler space M to be Minkowskian. We assume (6.1) and take a local coframe (1.15). Then we get

$$\omega = p_a dx^a - H dx^n$$
,  $\theta^a = dx^a - H_{p_a} dx^n$ ,  $\rho_a = -dp_a$ . (6.2)

Hence by (1.17) (1.18)

$$d\theta^a = h^{ab}\rho_b \wedge (\omega - p_c\theta^c), \qquad d\rho_a = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$h^{ab} = -(H - p_c H_{p_c})^{-1} H_{p_a p_b}. ag{6.4}$$

 $\omega$ ,  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  is not an adapted orthogonal coframe in general and we can transform it by

$$\bar{\theta}^a = s_b^a \theta^b$$
,  $\bar{\rho}_a = t_a^b \rho_b$   $(s_b^a t_c^b = \delta_c^a)$  (6.5)

into orthogonal  $\bar{\theta}^a$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_a$  as follows. We have

$$d\overline{\theta}^a = ds^a_b \wedge \theta^b + s^a_b d\theta^b = ds^a_b t^b_b \wedge \overline{\theta}^b + s^a_b h^{bc} s^e_c \overline{\rho}_e \wedge (\omega - p_c t^c_b \overline{\theta}^b).$$

As  $h^{bc}$  do not contain  $x^1, \dots, x^n$ , we get  $s_b^a h^{bc} s_c^e = \delta^{ae}$  for suitably chosen  $s_b^a$  which are functions of  $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ . Thus we get

$$d\overline{\theta}^a = ds_h^a t_h^b \wedge \overline{\theta}^c + \overline{\rho}_a \wedge (\omega - b_c t_h^c \overline{\theta}^b), \qquad d\overline{\rho}_a = dt_h^b s_h^c \wedge \overline{\rho}_c. \tag{6.6}$$

Here  $dt_a^b s_b^c$  does not contain  $x^1, \dots, x^n$  and is a linear combination of  $\overline{\rho}_1, \dots, \overline{\rho}_{n-1}$ . We denote  $\overline{\theta}^a$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_a$  by  $\theta^a$ ,  $\rho_a$  anew. Hence we get by the comparison of (6.6) with (2.3) (2.4)

$$k_{bc}^a = 0$$
,  $l_a^b = 0$ ,  $w_{ab} = 0$ .  $y_{ac}^b = 0$ .  $z_{abc} = 0$ .

Thus we get by (2.20)

$$w_{ab} = 0$$
,  $v_{ab} = 0$ ,  $\Phi_a = 0$ , (6.7)

and (6.6) can be written as

$$d\theta^a = \theta^b \wedge \lambda_b^a + \rho_b \wedge \mu^{ba} + \rho_a \wedge \omega , \qquad d\rho_a = \rho_b \wedge \lambda_b^a . \tag{6.8}$$

As  $\omega$  and  $\theta^a$  are linear combinations of  $dx^1, \dots, dx^n$  with coefficients which are functions of  $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ , there exists a matrix  $P = (p_j^i)$  such that

$$(\omega, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{n-1}) P = (dx^1, \cdots, dx^n), \tag{6.9}$$

where  $p_j^i$  are functions of  $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ . We take an exterior differential of (6.9) and get

$$(d\boldsymbol{\omega}, d\theta^1, \cdots, d\theta^{n-1})P - (\boldsymbol{\omega}, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{n-1}) \wedge dP = 0. \tag{6.10}$$

Hence

$$(d\omega, d\theta^1, \cdots, d\theta^{n-1}) = (\omega, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{n-1}) \wedge (dPP^{-1}).$$

We write this briefly as

$$(d\omega, d\theta) = (\omega, \theta) \wedge \Gamma$$
, where  $\Gamma = dPP^{-1}$ . (6.11)

When we put

$$\mu^{ab} = M_c^{ab} \theta^c$$

and

$$\sigma^a_b = M^{\,ca}_{\,b} 
ho_c$$
 ,

we have

$$d\theta^{a} = \theta^{b} \wedge \lambda_{b}^{a} + \rho_{b} \wedge M_{c}^{ba} \theta^{c} + \rho_{a} \wedge \omega = -\omega \wedge \rho_{a} + \theta^{b} \wedge (\lambda_{b}^{a} - M_{b}^{ca} \rho_{c})$$

$$= -\omega \wedge \rho_{a} + \theta^{b} \wedge (\lambda_{b}^{a} - \sigma_{b}^{a}).$$

By comparing this with (6.11) we get

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -^{t}\rho \\ \rho & A - \Sigma \end{pmatrix},$$
(6.12)

where we have put

$$\Lambda = (\lambda_a^b)$$
 ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_a^b)$  ,  $^t 
ho = (
ho_1, \cdots, 
ho_{n-1})$  .

Since  $dPP^{-1} = \Gamma$ , we get

$$d\Gamma - \Gamma \wedge \Gamma = 0. \tag{6.13}$$

Namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d^{\iota}\rho + \rho^{\iota} \wedge (\Lambda - \Sigma) \\ d\rho - (\Lambda - \Sigma) \wedge \rho & d(\Lambda - \Sigma) - (\Lambda - \Sigma) \wedge (\Lambda - \Sigma) + \rho \wedge^{\iota}\rho \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The components of  $d\rho - (\Lambda - \Sigma) \wedge \rho$  vanish by (6.8) and  $M_a^{bc} = M_a^{cb}$ . As to the components of  $-d^t\rho + {}^t\rho \wedge (\Lambda - \Sigma)$ 

$$-d\rho_a+
ho_b\wedge(\lambda_b^a-M_b^{ca}
ho_c)=-M_b^{ca}
ho_b\wedge
ho_c$$

and their vanishing means

$$M_b^{ca} = M_c^{ba}$$
. (6.14)

Next we put

$$d\Sigma - \Lambda \wedge \Sigma - \Sigma \wedge \Lambda + \Sigma \wedge \Sigma = T$$
.

Then we get by the vanishing of  $d(\Lambda - \Sigma) - (\Lambda - \Sigma) \wedge (\Lambda - \Sigma) + \rho \wedge {}^{t}\rho$ 

$$\Psi = T \,, \tag{6.15}$$

where  $\Psi$  is given by (3.10).

As (6.7) (6.14) (6.15) are tensorial relations with respect to adapted orthogonal coframes we get the following.

Theorem 12. In the Minkowskian space we have the following relations with respect to adapted orthogonal coframes.

The converse is also true.

We will give the proof of the converse. From the first three conditions we get

$$d\rho_a = \rho_b \wedge \lambda_b^a$$
,

and as  $\lambda_b^a = -\lambda_a^b$  we see that  $\rho_a \rho_a$  can be represented by suitably chosen variables  $u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ . We can also find  $\bar{\rho}_a = s_b^a \rho_b$  such that  $(s_b^a)$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $\bar{\rho}_a$  are 1-forms in  $u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ . Then there exist uniquely such  $\bar{\lambda}_b^a$  that

$$d\overline{\rho}_a = \overline{\rho}_b \wedge \overline{\lambda}_b^a$$
,  $\overline{\lambda}_b^a = -\overline{\lambda}_a^b$ .

We take  $\overline{\theta}^a = s^a_b \theta^b$ . Then  $\overline{\theta}^a$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_a$  is a new adapted orthogonal coframe and (6.8) holds good for this coframe. Then (6.13) holds good by the last two conditions in the Theorem 12. Hence there exists P such that  $dPP^{-1} = \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is given by (6.12) corresponding to the new frame. As  $\overline{\lambda}^a_b$ ,  $\overline{\sigma}^a_b$  are 1-forms which are linear combination of  $\overline{\rho}_1, \cdots, \overline{\rho}_{n-1}$ , each component of the matrix P can be taken as a function of  $u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ . Hence we have (6.10) and there exist such  $x^1, \cdots, x^n$  that (6.9) holds good. Hence  $\omega = p_i dx^i$ , where  $p_i$  are functions of  $u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ , and our space is Minkowskian.

Mathematical Institute Nagoya University

## References

- [1] C. Caratheodory, Geometrishe Optik, Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin, 1937.
- [2] E. Cartan, Leçons sur les invariants intégraux, Hermann & Fils, Paris, 1922.
- [3] M. Kurita, On the dilatation in Finsler spaces, Osaka Math. J., 15 (1963), 87-97.
- [4] H. Rund, The differential geometry of Finsler spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1959.