

## On a problem of Alexandroff concerning the dimension of product spaces II.

By Yukihiro KODAMA

(Received Oct. 1, 1958)

### § 1. Introduction.

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a class of topological spaces. A topological space  $X$  is called a *dimensionally full-valued space* for  $\mathcal{Q}$ , if, whenever  $Y$  is a space of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the following equality holds:

$$\dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y.$$

Here  $\dim X \leq n$  means that every finite open covering of  $X$  has a refinement of order not greater than  $n$ .

A sequence  $\mathfrak{a} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_i, \dots)$  of positive integers is called a *k-sequence*<sup>1)</sup> if  $q_i$  is a divisor of  $q_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $q_i > 1$  for some  $i$ . There exists a natural homomorphism  $h(\mathfrak{a}, i)$  from  $Z_{q_{i+1}}$  onto  $Z_{q_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $Z_q$  means the factor group  $Z/qZ$  and  $Z$  means the additive group of all integers. Let us denote by  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  the inverse limit group of the inverse system  $\{Z_{q_i} : h(\mathfrak{a}, i)\}$ . Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of topological spaces. We shall denote by  $H_n(X, A; G)$  the  $n$ -dimensional Čech homology group of  $(X, A)$  with  $G$  as a coefficient group based on all open coverings of  $X$ . Consider the following property **P** of an  $n$ -dimensional topological space  $X$ .

**P.**  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every } k\text{-sequence } \mathfrak{a} \text{ there exists a closed subset } A_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ of } X \text{ such that} \\ H_n(X, A_{\mathfrak{a}}; Z(\mathfrak{a})) \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$

In the first paper under the same title [10] we have proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a class of all compact metric spaces. In order that an  $n$ -dimensional compact metric space  $X$  be a dimensionally full-valued space for  $\mathcal{Q}$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $X$  have the property **P**.*

In the proof of this theorem (cf. [10, pp. 391–393]) the compactness of  $X$  played an essential role. By making use of the unrestricted Čech homology groups we can remove the compactness condition of  $X$  from the sufficient condition of the theorem. Throughout this paper we shall denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$  the class of all locally compact fully normal spaces. We shall prove the following theorem.

---

1) Cf. [10, § 1].

THEOREM 1. *An  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space  $X$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$  if  $X$  has the property  $P$ .*

By Theorem 1 we can prove the following main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 2. *In order that an  $n$ -dimensional locally compact fully normal space  $X$  be a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $X$  has the property  $P$ .*

Our Theorem 2 is a generalization of the theorem [10] referred to above in two respects. Firstly, Theorem 2 does not assume the metrizability of spaces. Secondly, the compactness condition of spaces is weakened to the local-compactness condition; this generalization seems not to be trivial since in the formulation of property  $P$  we do not assume the compactness of the closed subset  $A_a$  of  $X$ . By the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove the following K. Morita's theorem.

THEOREM 3. (K. Morita [13, Theorem 6]). *A 1-dimensional fully normal space  $X$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$ .*

Finally, as a consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY. *An  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space  $X$  which contains a closed subset  $A$  such that  $H_n(X, A; Z) \neq 0$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$ .*

In Addendum of the previous paper [10] we have proved that our property  $P$  is equivalent to Boltyanskii's property in compact metric spaces (cf. §3, Remark). But, in case  $X$  is non-compact, we do not know whether our property  $P$  is equivalent to Boltyanskii's property even for locally compact fully normal spaces. In §2 we shall prove several lemmas and introduce the notations used later on. The theorems mentioned above are proved in §3. In §4 we shall show that the converse of the corollary is not true even for the case where  $X$  is a two-dimensional compact metric space.

## § 2. Lemmas and notations.

A system  $\mathfrak{B}$  of subsets in a topological space  $X$  is called to be *locally finite* if for each point  $x$  of  $X$  there exists a neighborhood  $U(x)$  such that  $U(x)$  intersects a finite number of sets of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . A normal space is called *fully normal* if every open covering has a locally finite open refinement (cf. [14] and [15]). Throughout this paper we mean by a *covering* a locally finite open covering. Let  $X$  be a fully normal space. A system  $\mathbf{U} = \{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$  of coverings of  $X$  is called a *cofinal system* of coverings of  $X$  if for each open covering  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $X$  there exists a member  $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{U}$  such that  $\mathfrak{U} < \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  ( $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}$ ). If  $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha < \mathfrak{U}_\beta$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and  $\beta \in \Omega$ , we denote it simply by  $\alpha < \beta$ . The *order* of a covering is the largest integer  $n$  such that there exist  $n+1$  members of the covering which has a non-empty intersection. By the

*dimension* of  $X$  (we denote it by  $\dim X$ ) we mean the least integer  $n$  such that every (finite or infinite) open covering has a locally finite refinement of the order  $n$ . By [3, Theorem 3.5] or [12, Theorem 2.1], this dimension is equivalent to the usual Lebesgue dimension. By the *nerve* of a covering we mean the nerve with the Whitehead weak topology (cf. [16] or [5]). Let  $K$  be the nerve of a covering  $\mathfrak{U}$ . We shall denote the vertex of  $K$  corresponding to an element  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  by the same notation  $U$ . Since  $X$  is a normal space, for each covering  $\mathfrak{U}$  there exists a canonical mapping<sup>2)</sup> of  $X$  into the nerve  $K$  of the covering  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be coverings of  $X$  such that  $\mathfrak{U} > \mathfrak{B}$ , and let  $(K, L)$  and  $(M, N)$  be the pairs of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  corresponding to  $(X, A)$  respectively. A projection of  $(K, L)$  into  $(M, N)$  defined as usual is continuous (cf. [5, § 4]). Let  $\{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  be a cofinal system of coverings of  $X$ , and let us denote by  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  corresponding to  $(X, A)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$  and by  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$  a projection of  $(K_\beta, L_\beta)$  into  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ . We mean by  $H_n(K_\alpha, L_\alpha; G)$  the  $n$ -dimensional homology group of finite cycles of  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  with coefficients in  $G$ . For each pair  $\beta > \alpha$  a projection  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}: (K_\beta, L_\beta) \rightarrow (K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  induces the homomorphism  $(\pi_{\alpha\beta})_*: H_n(K_\beta, L_\beta; G) \rightarrow H_n(K_\alpha, L_\alpha; G)$ . The limit group  $H_n(X, A; G)$  of the inverse system  $\{H_n(K_\alpha, L_\alpha; G): (\pi_{\alpha\beta})_* \mid \alpha < \beta: \alpha \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \beta \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  is called the  *$n$ -dimensional unrestricted Čech homology group* of  $(X, A)$  with coefficients in  $G$  (cf. [3] or [4]). In compact spaces unrestricted Čech homology groups are equal to usual Čech homology groups based on all finite coverings. Let  $R_1$  be the additive group of rational numbers mod 1. The following lemmas are well known (cf. [11, § 2] and [12, Theorem 3.2]).

LEMMA 1. (*Hopf's extension theorem*). *Let  $A$  be a closed subset of an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional compact space  $X$ . In order that a mapping  $f$  of  $A$  into the  $n$ -dimensional sphere  $S^n$  be extensible to a mapping of  $X$  into  $S^n$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the condition  $f_*\partial H_{n+1}(X, A; R_1) = 0$  hold, where  $f_*$  is the homomorphism of  $H_n(A; R_1)$  into  $H_n(S^n; R_1)$  induced by the mapping  $f$  and  $\partial$  is the boundary homomorphism<sup>3)</sup> of  $H_{n+1}(X, A; R_1)$  into  $H_n(A; R_1)$ .*

LEMMA 2. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact fully normal space. In order that  $\dim X = n$  it is necessary and sufficient that*

- (1) *there exists a closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $H_n(X, A; R_1) \neq 0$ ,*
- (2) *for every closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and every integer  $j > n$  we have  $H_j(X, A; R_1) = 0$ .*

LEMMA 3. *Let  $X$  be a locally compact fully normal space. In order that*

---

2) A mapping of  $X$  into  $K$  is called a *canonical mapping* if the inverse image of the open star of each vertex  $U$  is contained in the open set  $U$ . Throughout this paper we shall mean by a *mapping* a continuous transformation.

3) Cf. [6, Chap. I and Chap. IX].

$\dim X \leq n$  it is necessary and sufficient that for every compact subset  $A$  of  $X$  we have  $\dim A \leq n$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a covering of  $X$ . Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex with the Whitehead weak topology and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the covering of  $K$  consisting of its open stars. By an  $(\mathfrak{U}, K)$ -mapping of  $X$  into  $K$  we mean a mapping  $f$  of  $X$  into  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{U} < f^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$ <sup>4)</sup>. The following lemma is well known (cf. [9, Chap. V, § 8]).

LEMMA 4. *Let  $X$  be a normal space. In order that  $\dim X \leq n$  it is necessary and sufficient that for every covering  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $X$  there exist an  $n$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $K$  and an  $(\mathfrak{U}, K)$ -mapping of  $X$  into  $K$ .*

The following lemma was proved by K. Morita (cf. [13, Theorem 4]).

LEMMA 5. *Let  $X$  be a fully normal space and  $Y$  a locally compact fully normal space. Then the topological product of  $X$  and  $Y$  is fully normal, and we have  $\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$ .*

A topological group  $G$  is called to satisfy the minimal condition if, whenever  $\{G_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed subgroups of  $G$ , there exists some integer  $n$  such that  $G_n = G_{n+1} = \dots$ . The following lemma is easily proved and we omit the proof.

LEMMA 6. *Let  $\{G_\alpha : \pi_\alpha^\beta\}$  be an inverse system of compact topological groups over a directed set  $\Omega = \{\alpha\}$ <sup>5)</sup> such that each  $G_\alpha$  satisfies the minimal condition. Let  $G$  be the limit group of  $\{G_\alpha\}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Omega$  there exists an element  $\beta$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $\pi_\alpha G = \pi_\alpha^\beta G_\beta$ , where  $\pi_\alpha$  is the projection of  $G$  into  $G_\alpha$ .*

Let  $q$  be a positive integer such that  $q > 1$  and let us denote the  $k$ -sequence  $(q, q^2, \dots, q^i, \dots)$  by  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ . There is a natural homomorphism  $\rho_q$  from  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  onto  $Z_q$  defined by  $\rho_q(c) = c_1$ , where  $c_1$  is the first coordinate of an element  $c = \{c_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ .

LEMMA 7. *Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of  $n$ -dimensional fully normal spaces. If  $H_n(X, A : Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)) \neq 0$ , then the homomorphism  $(\rho_q)_* : H_n(X, A : Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)) \rightarrow H_n(X, A : Z_q)$  induced by the homomorphism  $\rho_q : Z(\mathfrak{a}_q) \rightarrow Z_q$  is non-trivial.*

PROOF. Let  $\{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a cofinal system of coverings of  $X$  each member of which has the order  $n$ ; let us denote by  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  corresponding to  $(X, A)$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and by  $\pi_\alpha^\beta$  a projection of  $(K_\beta, L_\beta)$  into  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ . Let  $a = \{a_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a non-zero element of  $H_n(X, A : Z(\mathfrak{a}_q))$ , where  $a_\alpha \in H_n(K_\alpha, L_\alpha : Z(\mathfrak{a}_q))$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Since  $\dim K_\alpha = n$ , we can consider  $a_\alpha$  as a cycle of  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  with coefficients in  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Let  $a_\alpha = \sum_i t_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\alpha i}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , where  $t_{\alpha i} \in Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  and  $\sigma_{\alpha i}$ 's are  $n$ -simplexes of  $K_\alpha$  for each  $i$ . Put  $a_{\alpha j} = \sum_i t_{\alpha i}^j \sigma_{\alpha i}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , where  $t_{\alpha i}^j$  is the  $j$ -th coordinate of

4) Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V\}$  be a covering of a topological space  $Y$  and let  $f$  be a mapping of  $X$  into  $Y$ . By  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$  we mean the covering  $\{f^{-1}(V)\}$  of  $X$ .

5) Cf. [6, Chap. VIII].

the element  $t_{\alpha i}$  of the inverse limit group  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Then  $a_{\alpha j}$  is a cycle of  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha) \bmod q^{(6)}$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . If  $(\rho_q)_* a^{(6a)} = 0$ ,  $(\rho_q)_* a_\alpha^{(6a)} = 0$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Accordingly we have  $a_{\alpha j} \equiv 0 \bmod q^{(7)}$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Therefore, since  $\frac{1}{q} a_{\alpha j}^{(8)}$  is a cycle of  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha) \bmod q^{j-1}$  for  $j=2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} a_\alpha$  is a cycle of  $(K_\alpha, L_\alpha)$  with coefficients in  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Since  $(\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_* \left( \frac{1}{q} a_\beta \right) = \frac{1}{q} a_\alpha$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $\left\{ \frac{1}{q} a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega \right\}$  determines a non-zero element  $a(1)$  of  $H_n(X, A: Z(\mathfrak{a}_q))$ . If  $(\rho_q)_* a(1) = 0$ , by the same argument as above, we can see that  $\left\{ \frac{1}{q^2} a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega \right\}$  determines a non-zero element  $a(2)$  of  $H_n(X, A: Z(\mathfrak{a}_q))$ . If we could repeat infinitely this process, we should have  $a_{\alpha j} \equiv 0 \bmod q^i$  for  $i, j=1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . This contradicts  $a \neq 0$ . Thus there exists an integer  $i$  such that the element  $a(i) = \left\{ \frac{1}{q^i} a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega \right\}$  of  $H_n(X, A: Z(\mathfrak{a}_q))$  has a non-zero image under the homomorphism  $(\rho_q)_*$ .

LEMMA 8. Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of  $n$ -dimensional fully normal spaces such that  $H_n(X, A: R_1) \neq 0$ . Then there exist a prime number  $p$  and an element  $\{a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$  of  $H_n(X, A: R_1) = \varprojlim \{H_n(K_\alpha, L_\alpha: R_1): (\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_*\}$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$  the order of  $a_\alpha$  is a power of  $p$ .

PROOF. We may assume that  $\dim K_\alpha = n$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Let  $\{b_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a non-zero element of  $H_n(X, A: R_1)$ . Let  $q_\alpha$  be the order of  $b_\alpha$ . Let  $b_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$  for some  $\alpha_0 \in \Omega$ . Then  $q_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number which is a divisor of  $q_{\alpha_0}$ . For each  $\beta > \alpha_0$ , put  $q_\beta = p^{\lambda_\beta} \cdot r_\beta$ , where  $\lambda_\beta$  is a positive integer,  $p$  and  $r_\beta$  are coprime numbers. If  $\alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta$ , we have  $\lambda_\alpha \leq \lambda_\beta$  and  $r_\alpha$  is a divisor of  $r_\beta$ . Put  $c_\beta = r_\beta \cdot b_\beta$  for  $\beta > \alpha_0$ . Since  $r_\beta$  and  $p$  are coprime numbers,  $c_\beta$  is a non-zero element of  $H_n(K_\beta, L_\beta: R_1)$ . Let us denote by  $G_\beta$  the subgroup of  $H_n(K_\beta, L_\beta: R_1)$  generated by the element  $c_\beta$ . Then  $G_\beta$  is a finite group of the order  $p^{\lambda_\beta}$ . If  $\alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta$ , since  $r_\alpha$  is a divisor of  $r_\beta$ , we have  $(\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_* c_\beta = (\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_* r_\beta \cdot b_\beta = r_\beta \cdot (\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_* b_\beta = (r_\beta/r_\alpha) \cdot r_\alpha \cdot b_\alpha = (r_\beta/r_\alpha) \cdot c_\alpha$ . Thus we have  $(\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_* G_\beta \subset G_\alpha$ . Therefore the system  $\{G_\alpha: (\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_*\}$  forms an inverse system. Put  $G = \varprojlim \{G_\alpha: (\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_*\}$ .

6) Let  $q$  be a positive integer such that  $q > 1$ . By a cycle mod  $q$  we mean a cycle with coefficients in  $Z_q$ . By a cycle mod 1 we mean a cycle with coefficients in  $R_1$ .

6a) These  $(\rho_q)_*$  mean the homomorphisms induced by the homomorphism  $\rho_q$  between the coefficient groups  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  and  $Z_q$ .

7) Let  $c = \sum_i t_i \sigma_i$  be an integral chain of  $(K, L)$ . By  $c \equiv 0 \bmod q$ , where  $q$  is an positive integer, we mean that  $t_i \equiv 0 \bmod q$  for each  $i$ .

8)  $c = \sum_i g_i \sigma_i$  be a chain of  $(K, L)$ , where  $g_i \in R_1$  or  $g_i \in Z$  for each  $i$ . Let  $q$  be an integer. By  $\frac{1}{q} c$  we mean the chain  $\sum_i \frac{1}{q} g_i \sigma_i$  of  $(K, L)$ .

Assume that  $G = 0$ . Since each  $G_\alpha$  is a finite group, there exists  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  such that  $(\pi_{\alpha_0, \alpha})_* G_\alpha = 0$  by Lemma 6. On the other hand, we have  $(\pi_{\alpha_0, \alpha})_* c_\alpha = r_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $r_\alpha$  and  $p$  are coprime numbers and the order of  $b_{\alpha_0}$  is  $p^{\lambda \alpha} r_{\alpha_0}$ , we have  $(\pi_{\alpha_0, \alpha})_* c_\alpha = r_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ . This contradicts  $(\pi_{\alpha_0, \alpha})_* G_\alpha = 0$ . Therefore  $G \neq 0$ . Since an order of every element of  $G_\alpha$  is a power of  $p$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ , we can find an element required in the lemma. This completes the proof.

### § 3. Theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *An  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space  $X$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $\mathcal{Q}$  if  $X$  has the property **P**.*

**PROOF.** Let  $Y$  be an  $m$ -dimensional locally compact fully normal space. By Lemmas 3 and 2, there exists a pair  $(A, B)$  of compact subsets of  $Y$  such that  $H_m(A, B; R_1) \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  be a cofinal system of finite coverings of  $A$  each member of which has the order  $m$ . Let us denote by  $(M_\alpha, N_\alpha)$  the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  corresponding to  $(A, B)$  and by  $\pi_{\alpha^\beta}$  a projection of  $(M_\beta, N_\beta)$  into  $(M_\alpha, N_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\beta > \alpha$ . By Lemma 8 there exist a prime number  $p$  and a non-zero element  $\{a_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  of  $H_m(A, B; R_1) = \varprojlim \{H_m(M_\alpha, N_\alpha; R_1) : (\pi_{\alpha^\beta})_*\}$  such that the order of each  $a_\alpha$  is a power of  $p$ . Since  $X$  has the property **P**, there exists a closed subset  $X_0$  such that  $H_n(X, X_0; Z(a_p)) \neq 0$ , where  $a_p$  is the  $k$ -sequence  $(p, p^2, \dots, p^i, \dots)$ . Let  $\mathbf{U} = \{\mathfrak{U}_\mu | \mu \in \Gamma\}$  be a cofinal system of coverings of  $X$  each member of which has the order  $n$ . Let us denote by  $(K_\mu, L_\mu)$  the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu$  corresponding to  $(X, X_0)$  and by  $\delta_{\mu^\nu}$  a projection of  $(K_\nu, L_\nu)$  into  $(K_\mu, L_\mu)$  for  $\nu, \mu \in \Gamma$  and  $\nu > \mu$ . By Lemma 7, there exists an element  $\{c_\mu | \mu \in \Gamma\}$  of  $H_n(X, X_0; Z(a_p)) = \varprojlim \{H_n(K_\mu, L_\mu; Z(a_p)) : (\delta_{\mu^\nu})_*\}$  such that  $(\delta_p)_* \{c_\mu\} \neq 0$ . Since  $\dim K_\mu = n$ , we may consider  $c_\mu$  as a cycle of  $(K_\mu, L_\mu)$  with coefficients in  $Z(a_p)$  for each  $\mu \in \Gamma$ . Take an element  $\mu_0$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $(\rho_p)_* c_{\mu_0} \neq 0$ . This means that, if  $c_{\mu_0} = \{c_{\mu_0}(i) | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $c_{\mu_0}(i)$  is a cycle of  $(K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0}) \bmod p^{i-9}$ , there exists some positive integer  $j_0$  such that  $c_{\mu_0}(j) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{10}$  for each  $j \geq j_0$ . Take an element  $\alpha_0$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ . We shall prove that the covering  $\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} \times \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_0} = \{U \in \mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} \text{ and } W \in \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_0}\}$  of  $X \times A$  has no refinement whose order  $< m + n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} \times \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exist a covering  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu = \{U_k^\mu | k \in \kappa_\mu\}$  of  $\mathbf{U}$  and coverings  $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_k} = \{W_l\}$ ,  $k \in \kappa_\mu$ , of  $\mathbf{W}$  such that the covering  $\{U_k^\mu \times W_l | k \in \kappa_\mu \text{ and } W_l \in \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_k}\}$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Obviously,  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0}$ . Let  $S_\mu$  be the subcomplex of  $K_\mu$  consisting of all closed  $n$ -simplexes with a non-zero coefficient in the cycle  $c_\mu$  of  $(K_\mu, L_\mu)$  with coefficients in  $Z(a_p)$ . Since  $c_\mu$  is a finite chain,  $S_\mu$  is a

9) Cf. the proof of Lemma 7.

10) See footnote 7).

finite subcomplex of  $K_\mu$ . Let  $\{U_{k_i}^\mu | i=1, 2, \dots, t\}$  be all vertexes of  $S_\mu$ . Take a covering  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  of  $W$  which is a common refinement of coverings  $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_i}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_{k_i}}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, t$ . Put  $\mathfrak{B} = \{U_{k_i}^\mu \times W_i | i=1, 2, \dots, t \text{ and } W_i \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha\}$ . Let  $M^*$  be the nerve of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and let  $N^*$  be the nerve of  $\mathfrak{B} \cap (X \times B \cup X_0 \times A)$ <sup>11)</sup>. By [1, Theorem 12.42], there exists a homomorphism into,  $\theta: (S_\mu, S_\mu \cap L_\mu) \times (M_\alpha, N_\alpha)$ <sup>12)</sup>  $\rightarrow (M^*, N^*)$ , whose image is a deformation retract<sup>13)</sup> of  $(M^*, N^*)$ . Let  $(M_0^*, N_0^*)$  be the pair of the nerves of the coverings  $\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} \times \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_0}$  corresponding to  $(X, X_0) \times (A, B)$ . By [1, Theorem 12.42], there exists a homeomorphism into,  $\theta_0: (K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0}) \times (M_{\alpha_0}, N_{\alpha_0}) \rightarrow (M_0^*, N_0^*)$ , whose image is a deformation retract of  $(M_0^*, N_0^*)$ . Define a simplicial mapping  $\pi$  of  $(M^*, N^*)$  into  $(M_0^*, N_0^*)$  by  $\pi(U, W) = (\delta_{\mu_0}^\mu(U), \pi_{\alpha_0}^\alpha(W))$ , where  $U$  and  $W$  are vertexes of  $S_\mu$  and  $M_\alpha$  respectively. Define a cellular mapping<sup>14)</sup>  $\pi_0$  of  $(S_\mu, S_\mu \cap L_\mu) \times (M_\alpha, N_\alpha)$  into  $(K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0}) \times (M_{\alpha_0}, N_{\alpha_0})$  by  $\pi_0(x, y) = (\delta_{\mu_0}^\mu(x), \pi_{\alpha_0}^\alpha(y))$ ,  $(x, y) \in S_\mu \times M_\alpha$ . By the definition of  $\theta$  and  $\theta_0$  (cf. [1, p. 317]), we have  $\pi\theta \cong \theta_0\pi_0: (S_\mu, S_\mu \cap L_\mu) \times (M_\alpha, N_\alpha) \rightarrow (M_0^*, N_0^*)$ <sup>15)</sup>. Let  $i$  be a positive integer such that the order of the element  $a_\alpha = p^i$ . Put  $i_0 = \max(i, j_0)$ . Consider the product chain  $c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha$ <sup>16)</sup> of the chain group  $C_{m+n}(S_\mu \times M_\alpha: R_1)$ . Since  $c_\mu(i_0)$  is a cycle of  $(S_\mu, S_\mu \cap L_\mu) \bmod p^{i_0}$ ,  $a_\alpha$  is a cycle of  $(M_\alpha, N_\alpha) \bmod 1$  and the order of  $a_\alpha$  is a divisor of  $p^{i_0}$ , we see that the chain  $c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha$  is a cycle of  $(S_\mu, S_\mu \cap L_\mu) \times (M_\alpha, N_\alpha) \bmod 1$ . Since  $c_\mu(i_0) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ , we have  $c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha \equiv 0 \bmod 1$ <sup>16a)</sup>. Since  $(\delta_{\mu_0}^\mu)_* c_\mu(i_0) \equiv c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \bmod p^{i_0}$ ,  $(\pi_{\alpha_0}^\alpha)_* a_\alpha \equiv a_{\alpha_0} \bmod 1$  and the order of  $a_{\alpha_0}$  is a divisor of  $p^{i_0}$ , we have

11) Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \{W_i\}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . By  $\mathfrak{B} \cap A$  we mean the collection  $\{W_i \cap A\}$  of subsets of  $A$ .

12) Let  $(X, A)$  and  $(Y, B)$  be pairs of topological spaces. By  $(X, A) \times (Y, B)$  we mean the pair  $(X \times Y, X \times B \cup A \times Y)$  of spaces.

13) Let  $(X, A)$  and  $(Y, B)$  be pairs of topological spaces such that  $X \subset Y, A \subset B, X$  and  $A$  are closed subsets of  $Y$ . It is called that  $(X, A)$  is a *deformation retract* of  $(Y, B)$  if there exists a homotopy  $F: (Y \times I, B \times I) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  such that  $F|X \times I =$  the identity,  $F|Y \times 0 =$  the identity,  $F(Y \times 1) \subset X$  and  $F(B \times 1) \subset A$ , where  $I$  is the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ .

14) A mapping  $f$  of a cell complex  $K$  into a cell complex  $M$  is called a *cellular mapping* if  $f(K^i) \subset M^i$ , where  $K^i$  means the  $i$ -section of  $K$ .

15) Let  $(X, A)$  and  $(Y, B)$  be pairs of topological spaces and let  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  be two mappings of  $(X, A)$  to  $(Y, B)$ . By  $f_0 \cong f_1: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  we mean that there exists a homotopy  $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H|X \times 0 = f_0, H|X \times 1 = f_1$  and  $H(A \times I) \subset B$ .

16) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two abelian groups paired to a third group  $G$ , that is, there exist a function  $\phi(g_1, g_2)$  of  $G_1 \times G_2$  into  $G$  which is distributive in both variable and whose values are in  $G$ . Let  $c = \sum t_j^{i_1} \sigma_j^{i_1}$  be a chain of  $(K_i, L_i)$  with coefficients in  $G_i, i=1, 2$ , where  $\sigma_j^{i_1}$ 's are simplexes of  $K_i, i=1, 2$ . By the product chain  $c_1 \times c_2$  of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  we understand the chain  $\sum \phi(t_{j_1}^{i_1}, t_{j_2}^{i_2}) (\sigma_{j_1}^{i_1} \times \sigma_{j_2}^{i_2})$  of the cell complex  $(K_1, L_1) \times (K_2, L_2)$  with coefficients in  $G$ .

16a) Let  $c = \sum_i t_i \sigma_i$  be a chain of  $(K, L)$  with coefficients in  $R_1$ . By  $c \equiv 0 \bmod 1$  we mean that each  $t_i$  is an integer.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\pi_0)_*(c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha) &\equiv (\delta_{\mu_0}^\mu \times \pi_{\alpha_0}^\alpha)_*(c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha) \\
 &\equiv (\delta_{\mu_0}^\mu)_*c_\mu(i_0) \times (\pi_{\alpha_0}^\alpha)_*a_\alpha \\
 &\equiv c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \times a_{\alpha_0} \quad \text{mod } 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\rho_p)_*c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \neq 0$ ,  $a_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$  and  $\dim(K_{\mu_0} \times M_{\alpha_0}) = m+n$ ,  $c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \times a_{\alpha_0}$  is a non-zero cycle of  $(K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0}) \times (M_{\alpha_0}, N_{\alpha_0}) \text{ mod } 1$ . Since  $\theta_0((K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0}) \times (M_{\alpha_0}, N_{\alpha_0}))$  is a deformation retract of  $(M_0^*, N_0^*)$ ,  $(\theta_0)_*(c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \times a_{\alpha_0})$  is a non-zero element of  $H_{m+n}(M_0^*, N_0^*; R_1)$ . Assume that the covering  $\mathfrak{B}$  has the order  $< m+n$ . Let  $(C, D)$  be the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{B}$  corresponding to  $(X, X_0) \times (A, B)$  and let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be projections of  $(M^*, N^*)$  and  $(C, D)$  into  $(C, D)$  and  $(M_0^*, N_0^*)$  respectively. Then we have  $\pi \cong \pi_2 \pi_1 : (M^*, N^*) \rightarrow (M_0^*, N_0^*)$ . Since  $\dim C < m+n$ , we have  $(\theta_0)_*(c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \times a_{\alpha_0}) = (\theta_0)_*(\pi_0)_*(c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha) = (\theta_0 \pi_0)_*(c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha) = (\pi \theta)_*(c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha) = (\pi_2)_*(\pi_1 \theta)_*(c_\mu(i_0) \times a_\alpha) = 0$ . This contradicts  $(\theta_0)_*(c_{\mu_0}(i_0) \times a_{\alpha_0}) \neq 0$ . Therefore the covering  $\mathfrak{B}$  has the order  $\geq m+n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is any refinement of the covering  $\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} \times \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_0}$  of  $X \times A$ , we have  $\dim(X \times A) \geq \dim X + \dim A$ . Since  $\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$  by Lemma 5 and  $X \times A$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ , we have  $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional locally compact fully normal space. In order that  $X$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $X$  has the property **P**.*

Before proving Theorem 2 we state the following lemma which is proved easily (cf. [7, Theorem 5.1]).

**LEMMA 9.** *Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of compact spaces. Let  $G$  be the limit group of an inverse system  $\{G_\alpha | h_{\alpha^\beta}\}$  of abelian groups. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$H_n(X, A; G) \approx \varprojlim \{H_n(X, A; G_\alpha) : (h_{\alpha^\beta})_*\},$$

where  $(h_{\alpha^\beta})_*$  is the homomorphism of  $H_n(X, A; G_\beta)$  into  $H_n(X, A; G_\alpha)$  induced by the homomorphism  $h_{\alpha^\beta} : G_\beta \rightarrow G_\alpha$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** The sufficiency of Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 1. To prove the necessity of Theorem 2, it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

**LEMMA 10.** *If an  $n$ -dimensional locally compact fully normal space  $X$  has not the property **P**, there exists a 2-dimensional compactum  $Y$  such that  $\dim(X \times Y) = n+1$ .*

This lemma is proved by a similar way as [10, Lemma 18], but for completeness we shall give the proof.

**PROOF OF LEMMA 10.** Since  $X$  has not the property **P**, there exists a  $k$ -sequence  $\alpha = (q_1, q_2, \dots)$  such that for each pair  $(A, B)$  of closed subsets of  $X$ ,  $H_n(A, B; Z(\alpha)) = 0$  by [10, Lemma 7]. Let  $Q(\alpha)$  be the 2-dimensional compactum constructed in [10, § 3, 3]. We shall prove that  $\dim(X \times Q(\alpha)) = n+1$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\dim(A \times Q(\alpha)) = n+1$  for each compact subset  $A$  of  $X$

by Lemma 3. Take an  $n$ -dimensional compact subset  $X_0$  of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  be a cofinal system of coverings of  $X_0$  each member of which has the order  $n$ . Let us denote by  $\phi_\alpha$  a canonical mapping of  $X$  into the nerve  $M_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and by  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$  a projection of  $M_\beta$  into  $M_\alpha$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ . We shall use the same notations as in the proof of [10, Lemma 18]. Let  $\mathfrak{u}$  be a covering of  $X_0 \times Q(a)$ . Since  $X_0$  and  $Q(a)$  are compact spaces, there exist an element  $\alpha_0$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and a positive integer  $i_0$  such that, if  $\mathfrak{B}_{i_0}$  is the covering of the simplicial polytope  $Q(q_1, \dots, q_{i_0})$  consisting of the open stars and  $\theta_{i_0}$  is the projection from  $Q(a)$  onto  $Q(q_1, \dots, q_{i_0})$  (cf. [10, § 3, 3]), the covering  $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_0} \times (\theta_{i_0})^{-1}\mathfrak{B}_{i_0}$  of  $X_0 \times Q(a)$  is a star refinement<sup>17)</sup> of  $\mathfrak{u}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an  $n$ -dimensional simplex of  $M_{\alpha_0}$  and let  $\mu$  be a 2-dimensional simplex of  $Q(q_1, \dots, q_{i_0})$ . Put  $A(\sigma) = \phi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(\sigma)$ ,  $B(\sigma) = \phi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(\dot{\sigma})$ ,  $C(\mu) = \theta_{i_0}^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $D(\mu) = \theta_{i_0}^{-1}(\dot{\mu})$ . For each  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ , let us denote by  $(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$  the pair of the subcomplexes of  $M_\alpha$  corresponding to  $(A(\sigma), B(\sigma))$ . For each  $j > i_0$ , let us denote by  $(C_j, D_j)$  the pair of the subcomplexes of  $Q(q_1, \dots, q_j)$  which is the image of  $(C(\mu), D(\mu))$  under the projection  $\theta_j: Q(a) \rightarrow Q(q_1, \dots, q_j)$ . Since  $A(\sigma)$  and  $C(\mu)$  are compact sets, we have an isomorphism  $H_{n+2}((A(\sigma), B(\sigma)) \times (C(\mu), D(\mu)): R_1) \approx \varprojlim \{H_{n+2}((A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \times (C_i, D_i)): (\pi_{\alpha\beta} \times \theta_i^j)_* | \alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta \text{ and } i_0 < i < j\}$  by [10, Lemma 5], where  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\theta_i^j$  are the restricted projections  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}|_{A_\beta}: (A_\beta, B_\beta) \rightarrow (A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$  and  $\theta_i^j|_{C_j}: (C_j, D_j) \rightarrow (C_i, D_i)$  respectively. Take an element  $a = \{a_{\alpha,i} | \alpha > \alpha_0 \text{ and } i = i_0 + 1, i_0 + 2, \dots\}$  of  $H_{n+2}((A(\sigma), B(\sigma)) \times (C(\mu), D(\mu)): R_1)$ , where  $a_{\alpha,i} \in H_{n+2}((A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \times (C_i, D_i): R_1)$ . By a similar way as in the proof of [10, Lemma 18], we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha, i_0+1} &= u_\alpha \times \frac{1}{q_{i_0+1}} \delta(i_0+1), \\ a_{\alpha, i_0+2} &= \sum_{h_1=1}^{l_1} \left( u_{\alpha, h_1} \times \frac{1}{q_{i_0+2}} \delta_{h_1}(i_0+2) \right), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ a_{\alpha, i_0+k} &= \sum_{h_1=1}^{l_1} \cdots \sum_{h_{k-1}=1}^{l_{k-1}} \left( u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}} \times \frac{1}{q_{i_0+k}} \delta_{h_1 \dots h_{k-1}}(i_0+k) \right), \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}}$  is a cycle of  $(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \bmod q_{i_0+k}$  and  $\delta_{h_1 \dots h_{k-1}}(i_0+k)$  is the fundamental chain with the value  $\pm 1$  on each 2-simplex of the Möbius band  $M_{h_1 \dots h_{k-1}}(q_{i_0+k}/q_{i_0+k-1}, q_{i_0+k})$ ,  $h_1 = 1, \dots, l_1, \dots, h_{k-1} = 1, \dots, l_{k-1}$ , of which the complex  $C_{i_0+k}$  consists (cf. [10, pp. 390 and 396]). Since  $(\pi_\alpha \times \theta_{i_0+k}^{i_0+k+1})_* a_{\alpha, i_0+k+1} = a_{\alpha, i_0+k}$ ,

17) Let  $\mathfrak{u} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be coverings of topological space. It is called that  $\mathfrak{u}$  is a star refinement of  $\mathfrak{B}$  if the covering  $\{ \bigcup_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset} U_\beta | \alpha \in \mathcal{Q} \}$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{B}$  (Cf. [15, Chap. V]).

if we denote by  $h_i^j$  a natural homomorphism from  $Z_{q_j}$  onto  $Z_{q_i}$  for  $j > i$ , we have  $(h_{i_0+k}^{i_0+k+1})_* u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_k} = u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}}$ . Let  $\alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta$ . Since  $(\pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \times \theta_{i_0+k}^{i_0+k})_* a_{\beta, i_0+k} = a_{\alpha, i_0+k}$ , we have  $(\pi_{\alpha}^{\beta})_* u_{\beta, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}} \equiv u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}} \pmod{q_{i_0+k}}$ . Let  $\alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta$  and  $i_0 < i < j$ . Define a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\alpha, i_0)}^{(\beta, j)} : H_n(A_{\beta}, B_{\beta} : Z_{q_j}) \rightarrow H_n(A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} : Z_{q_i})$  by a composition of homomorphisms  $(h_i^j)_* : H_n(A_{\beta}, B_{\beta} : Z_{q_j}) \rightarrow H_n(A_{\beta}, B_{\beta} : Z_{q_i})$  and  $(\pi_{\alpha}^{\beta})_* : H_n(A_{\beta}, B_{\beta} : Z_{q_i}) \rightarrow H_n(A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} : Z_{q_i})$ . Since  $(A(\sigma), B(\sigma))$  is a pair of compact spaces, we have an isomorphism  $H_n(A(\sigma), B(\sigma) : Z(\alpha)) \approx \varprojlim \{H_n(A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} : Z_{q_i}) : \mathfrak{P}_{(\alpha, i_0)}^{(\beta, j)} | \alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta \text{ and } i_0 < i < j\}$  by Lemma 9. Let  $\alpha_0 < \alpha < \beta$ . We have  $\mathfrak{P}_{(\alpha, i_0+k)}^{(\beta, i_0+k+1)}(u_{\beta, h_1 \dots h_k}) = (\pi_{\alpha}^{\beta})_*(h_{i_0+k}^{i_0+k+1})_* u_{\beta, h_1 \dots h_k} = (\pi_{\alpha}^{\beta})_* u_{\beta, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}} = u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_{k-1}}$ . Therefore, a collection  $\{u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_k} | \alpha_0 < \alpha \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  determines an element of the group  $\varprojlim \{H_n(A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} : Z_{q_i})\}$ . Since  $H_n(A(\sigma), B(\sigma) : Z(\alpha)) = 0$ , each  $u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_k}$  must be zero. This means that  $u_{\alpha, h_1 \dots h_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{q_{i_0+k+1}}$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ ,  $h_1 = 1, \dots, l_1$ ,  $h_2 = 1, \dots, l_2, \dots, h_k = 1, \dots, l_k$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, we have  $a_{\alpha, i} = 0$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  and  $i = i_0 + 1, i_0 + 2, \dots$ . Thus we can conclude  $H_{n+2}((A(\sigma), B(\sigma)) \times (C(\mu), D(\mu)) : R_1) = 0$ . By Lemma 1, the restricted mapping  $(\phi_{\sigma_0} \times \theta_{i_0})|(A(\sigma) \times D(\mu) \cup B(\sigma) \times C(\mu))$  is extended to a mapping  $\psi(\sigma, \mu)$  of  $A(\sigma) \times C(\mu)$  into  $(\sigma \times \mu) \cup (\sigma \times \mu)$ . Define a mapping  $\psi$  of  $X_0 \times Q(\alpha)$  into  $(M_{\sigma_0} \times Q(q_1, \dots, q_{i_0}))^{n+1}$  by  $\psi(x, y) = \psi(\sigma, \mu)(x, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in A(\sigma) \times C(\mu)$ , where  $L^k$  means the  $k$ -section of the cell complex  $L$ . Since the covering  $\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma_0} \times (\theta_{i_0})^{-1} \mathfrak{B}_{i_0}$  is a star refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}$ , the mapping  $\psi$  is a  $(\mathfrak{U}, K)$ -mapping, where  $K$  means the  $k$ -section of the cell complex  $M_{\sigma_0} \times Q(q_1, \dots, q_{i_0})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is any covering of  $X_0 \times Q(\alpha)$ , we have  $\dim(X_0 \times Q(\alpha)) \leq n+1$  by Lemma 4. Since  $\dim(X_0 \times Q(\alpha)) \geq n+1$  by [8], we can conclude that  $\dim(X_0 \times Q(\alpha)) = n+1$ . Since  $X_0$  is any  $n$ -dimensional compact subset of  $X$ , this completes the proof.

By a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 11. *An  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space  $X$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$  if  $X$  has the following property (\*):*

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{There exist a cofinal system } \mathfrak{U} = \{\mathfrak{U}_{\mu} | \mu \in \Gamma\} \text{ of coverings of } X \text{ and a} \\ \text{covering } \mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} \text{ of } \mathfrak{U} \text{ which satisfy the following condition; for each prime} \\ \text{number } p \text{ there exists a closed subset } A_p \text{ of } X \text{ such that, if } \mu > \mu_0, \\ 0 \neq (\rho_p)_*(\delta_{\mu_0}^{\mu})_* : H_n(K_{\mu}, L_{\mu} : Z(\alpha_p)) \rightarrow H_n(K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0} : Z_p), \text{ where } (K_{\mu}, L_{\mu}) \text{ is the} \\ \text{pair of the nerves of } \mathfrak{U}_{\mu} \text{ corresponding to } (X, A_p), \delta_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \text{ is a projection of} \\ (K_{\mu}, L_{\mu}) \text{ into } (K_{\mu_0}, L_{\mu_0}) \text{ and } \rho_p \text{ is a natural homomorphism from } Z(\alpha) \\ \text{onto } Z_p. \end{array} \right.$$

LEMMA 12. *A 1-dimensional fully normal space has the property (\*) mentioned in Lemma 11.*

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a 1-dimensional fully normal space. Since  $\text{Ind } X^{18)} \geq 1$

18) By  $\text{Ind } X$  we mean the dimension of  $X$  defined inductively in terms of the boundaries of neighborhoods of closed sets of  $X$  (cf. [2, p. 102]).

by [2, 1.7], there exists a closed subset  $A$  such that, whenever  $U$  is an open set of  $X$  containing  $A$ , we have  $\bar{U} - U \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\bar{U}$  is the closure of  $U$  in  $X$ . Let  $x$  be a point of  $X$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a covering of  $X$ . By  $A \sim x$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  we shall mean that there exists a finite number of elements  $U_i$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $U_1 \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $x \in U_n$  and  $U_i \cap U_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Since the set  $\bigcup \{x | A \sim x \text{ in } \mathfrak{U}\}$  is a closed and open set containing  $A$ , we have  $A \sim x$  for each  $x \in X$ . Take a point  $x_0$  of  $X - A$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{U}_\mu' | \mu \in \Gamma\}$  be a cofinal system of coverings of  $X$  each member of which has the order 1. Let  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu' = \{U_{\mu k}' | k \in \kappa_\mu\}$ ,  $\mu \in \Gamma$ . We may assume that there exists an open set  $U_{\mu k_0}'$  of  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu'$  such that  $U_{\mu k_0}' \cap A = \emptyset$ ,  $x_0 \in U_{\mu k_0}'$  and  $x_0 \notin U_{\mu k}'$  for  $k \neq k_0$ . By [12, Theorem 1.1], there exists a covering  $\mathfrak{B}_\mu = \{V_{\mu k} | k \in \kappa_\mu\}$  such that  $\bar{V}_{\mu k} \subset U_{\mu k}'$  for each  $k \in \kappa_\mu$ . Put  $U_{\mu_0} = X - \bigcup_{k \neq k_0} \bar{V}_{\mu k}$ ,  $U_{\mu k_0} = V_{\mu k_0} - x_0$  and  $U_{\mu k} = V_{\mu k}$  for  $k \neq k_0$ . Then  $\{\mathfrak{U}_\mu = \{U_{\mu_0}, U_{\mu k_0}, U_{\mu k} | k \in \kappa_\mu\} | \mu \in \Gamma\}$  forms a cofinal system  $\mathfrak{U}$  of coverings of  $X$  each member of which has the order 1. Let  $(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0})$  be the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu$  corresponding to  $(X, A \cup x_0)$ ,  $\mu \in \Gamma$ , where  $U_{\mu_0}$  means the vertex corresponding to the open set  $U_{\mu_0}$  containing  $x_0$ . Since  $A \sim x_0$  in  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu$  for each  $\mu \in \Gamma$ , the group  $H_1(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0}; Z)$  contains a non-zero cycle  $z_\mu$  such that the 1-simplex  $(U_{\mu_0}, U_{\mu k_0})$  of  $K_\mu$  appears in  $z_\mu$  with the coefficient  $\pm 1$ ,  $\mu \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\rho$  be the homomorphism of  $Z$  into  $Z(\mathfrak{a}_p)$  defined by  $\rho(1) = \{h_i(1) | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $h_i$  is a natural projection of  $Z$  into  $Z_{p^i} = Z/p^i Z$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The image  $\tilde{z}_\mu$  of  $z_\mu$  under the induced homomorphism  $(\rho)_*$  is a non-zero element of  $H_1(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0}; Z(\mathfrak{a}_p))$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}_\nu$  be a refinement of  $\mathfrak{U}_\mu$  and let  $\delta_\mu^\nu$  be a projection of  $(K_\nu, L_\nu \cup U_{\nu_0})$  into  $(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0})$ . By the construction of the coverings  $\{\mathfrak{U}_\mu\}$ , the image of  $z_\nu$  under the induced homomorphism  $(\delta_\mu^\nu)_* : H_1(K_\nu, L_\nu \cup U_{\nu_0}; Z) \rightarrow H_1(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0}; Z)$  is a cycle which has the coefficient  $\pm 1$  on the 1-dimensional simplex  $(U_{\mu_0}, U_{\mu k_0})$  of  $K_\mu$ . Therefore we have  $(\rho_p)_*(\delta_\mu^\nu)_*\tilde{z}_\nu \neq 0$ , where  $(\delta_\mu^\nu)_* : H_1(K_\nu, L_\nu \cup U_{\nu_0}; Z(\mathfrak{a}_p)) \rightarrow H_1(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0}; Z(\mathfrak{a}_p))$  and  $(\rho_p)_* : H_1(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0}; Z(\mathfrak{a}_p)) \rightarrow H_1(K_\mu, L_\mu \cup U_{\mu_0}; Z_p)$ . This shows that, if we put  $A_p = A \cup x$  for each prime number  $p$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_0} = \text{any covering of } \mathfrak{U}, X$  has the property (\*). This completes the proof.

By making use of Lemma 9 the proof of Lemma 12 shows that the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 13. *A 1-dimensional locally compact fully normal space has the property P.*

The following theorem is a consequence of Lemmas 11 and 12.

THEOREM 3. *A 1-dimensional fully normal space is a dimensionally full-valued space for Q.*

The following lemma is proved by a similar way as in the proof of [10, Lemma 20] and we omit the proof.

LEMMA 14. *If an n-dimensional fully normal space contains a closed subset*

A such that  $H_n(X, A: Z) \neq 0$ , then  $X$  has the property  $\mathbf{P}^{19)}$ .

By Lemma 14 and Theorem 1 we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If an  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space  $X$  contains a closed subset  $A$  such that  $H_n(X, A: Z) \neq 0$ , then  $X$  is a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$ .*

The following corollary which is a generalization of [10, Corollary 2] is a consequence of Corollary 1 and [10, Lemmas 21-23].

**COROLLARY 2.** *The following spaces are dimensionally full-valued spaces for  $Q$ .*

- 1) *Finite or infinite polytopes with the Whitehead weak topology.*
- 2) *Two dimensional locally compact ANR's.<sup>20)</sup>*
- 3)  *$M$ -dimensional ANR's containing points which are  $HL^{m-1}$  and  $(m-1)$ -HS<sup>21)</sup>.*
- 4) *Finite dimensional and locally compact ANR's which have the property  $\Delta$  in the sense of Borsuk<sup>22)</sup>.*

**REMARK.** Consider the following properties of an  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space  $X$ .

$\mathbf{P}_1.$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every prime number } p \text{ and every } k\text{-sequence } \alpha \text{ each member of which} \\ \text{is a power of } p \text{ there exists a closed subset } A_\alpha \text{ of } X \text{ such that } H_n(X, A_\alpha: \\ Z(\alpha)) \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$

$\mathbf{P}_2.$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every prime number } p \text{ there exists a closed subset } A_p \text{ of } X \text{ such} \\ \text{that } H_n(X, A_p: Z(\alpha_p)) \neq 0, \text{ where } \alpha_p \text{ is the } k\text{-sequence } (p, p^2, \dots, p^k, \dots). \end{array} \right.$

By a similar way as [10, Lemmas 2 and 3 in Addendum], we can prove that the three properties  $\mathbf{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_1$  and  $\mathbf{P}_2$  of an  $n$ -dimensional fully normal space are equivalent. Therefore we have

**THEOREM 2'.** *In order that an  $n$ -dimensional locally compact fully normal*

19) In this case we can prove easily that  $X$  has the property (\*) mentioned in Lemma 11, too.

20) A metric space  $X$  is called an ANR if, whenever  $X$  is a closed subset of a metric space  $Y$ , there exists a mapping from some neighborhood of  $X$  in  $Y$  into  $X$  which keeps  $X$  point-wise fixed.

21) Let  $E^{j+1}$  be a  $(j+1)$ -cell whose boundary is a  $j$ -sphere  $S^j$ . A point  $x_0$  of a topological space is called  $HL^k$  if for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that any mapping  $f: S^j \rightarrow V - x_0$  is extensible to a mapping  $F: E^{j+1} \rightarrow U - x_0$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . A point  $x_0$  of a topological space is called  $k$ -HS if there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that for any neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  there exists a mapping  $f: S^k \rightarrow V - x_0$  which has no extension  $F: E^{k+1} \rightarrow U - x_0$ . (Cf. Y. Kodama, On homotopically stable points and product spaces, *Fund. Math.*, **44** (1957), 171-185.)

22) A topological space  $X$  is said to have the property  $\Delta$  if for each point  $x$  of  $X$  and each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that every compact subset  $A$  of  $V$  is contractible in a subset of  $U$  of the dimension  $\leq \dim A + 1$ . (Cf. K. Borsuk, Ensembles dont les dimensions modulaires de Alexandroff coincident avec la dimension de Menger-Urysohn, *Fund. Math.*, **27** (1936), 77-93.)

space  $X$  be a dimensionally full-valued space for  $Q$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $X$  have any one property of  $\mathbf{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_1$  and  $\mathbf{P}_2$ .

In [10, Addendum], we have proved that our property  $P$  is equivalent to the following Boltyanskii's property for  $n$ -dimensional compact metric spaces.

**B.**  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every prime number } p \text{ there exists a pair } (A_p, B_p) \text{ of closed subsets} \\ \text{of } X \text{ such that } H^n(A_p, B_p; Q_p) \neq 0, \text{ where } Q_p \text{ means the additive group} \\ \text{of all rational numbers of the form } m/p^k \text{ reduced modulo 1 and} \\ H^n(A, B; G) \text{ means the } n\text{-dimensional unrestricted } \check{C}\text{ech cohomology} \\ \text{group of } (A, B) \text{ with coefficients in } G. \end{array} \right.$

But we do not know whether Boltyanskii's property  $\mathbf{B}$  is equivalent to our property  $\mathbf{P}$  even for locally compact fully normal spaces, since it seems that the duality between the unrestricted Čech homology groups and cohomology groups does not hold generally.

**§ 4. Examples.**

Let  $\mathfrak{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  be a sequence of positive integers. We shall construct a 2-dimensional continuum  $R(\mathfrak{p})$  for each  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $E$  be a 2-cell whose boundary is a 1-sphere  $S$ . For a positive integer  $q$ , let us denote by  $N(q)$  a polytope obtained from  $E$  by identifying points on  $S$  corresponding to each other under the rotation of angle  $2\pi/q$ . Let  $f$  be the identification mapping. We shall call  $f(S)$  the "boundary" of  $N(q)$ . The boundary of  $N(q)$  is a 1-sphere. In general,  $N(q)$  is a 2-dimensional curvilinear polytope. We shall consider  $N(q)$  as a simplicial polytope with a fixed triangulation. Let  $T$  be the boundary of  $N(q)$ . Let us give an orientation to each 2-simplex of  $N(q)$  such that the integral chain  $c(N(q))$  which has the value 1 on each 2-simplex is a cycle relative to  $T$ . Obviously  $H_2(N(q), T; Z) \approx Z$  and  $c(N(q))$  is a generator of  $H_2(N(q), T; Z)$ . We call  $c(N(q))$  the fundamental chain of  $N(q)$ . The following lemma is proved easily by a similar way as in the proof of [10, Lemma 14].

LEMMA 15. *Let  $f$  be a topological mapping from the boundary  $T$  of  $N(q)$  onto the 1-sphere  $S$  which is the boundary of the 2-cell  $E$  and let  $F: (N(q), T) \rightarrow (E, S)$  be an extension of  $f$ .<sup>23)</sup> If  $F_*$  is the induced homomorphism of  $H_2(N(q), T; Z)$  into  $H_2(E, S; Z)$ , we have  $F_*(c(N(q))) = q \cdot \nu$ , where  $\nu$  is a generator of  $H_2(E, S; Z)$ .*

Put  $R(p_1) = N(p_1)$ . Let us replace every triangle  $\tau$  of  $R(p_1)$  by  $N_\tau(p_2)$  such that  $N_\tau(p_2) \cap N_{\tau'}(p_2) = T \cap T'$ , where each  $N_\tau(p_2)$  is a topological image<sup>23a)</sup>

23) Since  $E$  is contractible in itself, it is obvious that there exists at least one extension  $F$  of  $f$ .

23a) By a *topological image* of a topological space  $X$  we mean a space homeomorphic to  $X$ .

of  $N(p_2)$ ,  $T$  and  $T'$  are the boundaries of  $N_\tau(p_2)$  and  $N_{\tau'}(p_2)$  respectively. We have a 2-dimensional simplicial complex  $R(p_1, p_2) = \bigcup_{\tau} N_\tau(p_2)$ . Let  $\Delta_1$  be the 1-section of  $R(p_1)$ . We may consider  $\Delta_1$  as a subset of  $R(p_1, p_2)$ . There exists a projection  $\phi_1^2$  from  $R(p_1, p_2)$  onto  $R(p_1)$  such that the restricted mapping  $\phi_1^2|_{\Delta_1}$  is topological. The integral chain  $c(p_1, p_2) = \sum_{\tau} c(N_\tau(p_2))$  is a cycle of  $R(p_1, p_2)$  relative to the boundary  $T$  of  $R(p_1)$ , where  $c(N_\tau(p_1))$  is the fundamental chain of  $N_\tau(p_2)$ , and  $c(p_1, p_2)$  is a generator of the group  $H_2(R(p_1, p_2), T: Z)$  which is isomorphic to  $Z$ . Moreover, by Lemma 15, we have  $(\phi_1^2)_*c(p_1, p_2) = p_2 \cdot c(p_1)$ , where  $c(p_1)$  is the fundamental chain of  $R(p_1)$ . Let us suppose that for some  $i$  we have constructed the following 2-dimensional simplicial polytope  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$ : (1)  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  contains the 1-section  $\Delta_{i-1}$  of  $R(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})$ , (2) there exists a projection  $\phi_{i-1}^i$  from  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  onto  $R(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})$  such that the restricted mapping  $\phi_{i-1}^i|_{\Delta_{i-1}}$  is topological, (3)  $H_2(R(p_1, \dots, p_i), T: Z) \approx Z$ , (4) the integral chain  $c(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  which has the value 1 on each 2-simplex of  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  is a generator of  $H_2(R(p_1, \dots, p_i), T: Z)$  and  $(\phi_{i-1}^i)_*c(p_1, \dots, p_i) = p_i \cdot c(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})$ . Let us replace every triangle  $\mu$  of  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  by  $N_\mu(p_{i+1})$  such that  $N_\mu(p_{i+1}) \cap N_{\mu'}(p_{i+1}) = T_\mu \cap T_{\mu'}$ , where  $N_\mu(p_{i+1})$  is a topological image of  $N(p_{i+1})$ ,  $T_\mu$  and  $T_{\mu'}$  are the boundaries of  $N_\mu(p_{i+1})$  and  $N_{\mu'}(p_{i+1})$  respectively. We have a 2-dimensional simplicial complex  $R(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{\mu} N_\mu(p_{i+1})$ . If  $\Delta_i$  is the 1-section of  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$ , we may consider  $\Delta_i$  as a subset of  $R(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1})$ . There exists a projection  $\phi_i^{i+1}$  from  $R(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1})$  onto  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  such that the restricted mapping  $\phi_i^{i+1}|_{\Delta_i}$  is topological. Obviously  $H_2(R(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1}), T: Z) \approx Z$  and the integral chain  $c(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1}) = \sum_{\mu} c(N_\mu(p_{i+1}))$  is a generator of  $H_2(R(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1}), T: Z)$ , where  $c(N_\mu(p_{i+1}))$  is the fundamental chain of  $N_\mu(p_{i+1})$ . Moreover, by Lemma 15, we have  $(\phi_i^{i+1})_*c(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1}) = p_{i+1} \cdot c(p_1, \dots, p_i)$ . Put  $R(p) = \varprojlim \{R(p_1, \dots, p_i) : \phi_{i-1}^i\}$ . Let  $\phi_i$  be the projection from  $R(p)$  onto  $R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$ . We shall call the boundary of  $R(p)$  the "boundary" of  $R(p)$ .

LEMMA 16. For each sequence  $p$  of positive integers the space  $R(p)$  is a 2-dimensional continuum.

PROOF. Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots)$ . Put  $q_i = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $T$  be the boundary of  $R(p)$ . By the continuity theorem of Čech homology groups (cf. [6, Chap. X]), we have an isomorphism  $H_2(R(p), T: R_1) \approx \varprojlim \{H_2(R(p_1, \dots, p_i), T: R_1) : (\phi_i^{i+1})_*\}$ . Consider the collection  $\left\{ \frac{1}{q_i} c(p_1, \dots, p_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$ , where  $c(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  is a generator of the group  $H_2(R(p_1, \dots, p_i), T: Z)$ . Since  $(\phi_i^{i+1})_*c(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1}) = p_{i+1} \cdot c(p_1, \dots, p_i)$ , we have  $(\phi_i^{i+1})_*\left(\frac{1}{q_{i+1}} c(p_1, \dots, p_{i+1})\right) = \frac{1}{q_i} c(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore  $\left\{ \frac{1}{q_i} c(p_1, \dots, p_i) \right\}$  determines a non-zero element of  $H(R(p), T: R_1)$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\dim R(p) \geq 2$ . Since  $\dim R(p) \leq 2$  by [10, Lemma

12], we have  $\dim R(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$ .

The following lemma shows that the converse of Corollary 1 is not true.

LEMMA 17. *There exists a 2-dimensional continuum  $X$  such that (i)  $X$  has the property **P**, (ii) for each pair  $(A, B)$  of closed subsets we have  $H_2(A, B; Z) = 0$ .*

PROOF. Let  $p$  be a prime number. Let  $\mathfrak{p}(p)$  be the sequence  $(p, p, \dots)$ . Let us prove that the continuum  $R(\mathfrak{p}(p))$  has the following properties: (1)  $H_2(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), T; Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)) \neq 0$  for each prime number  $q \neq p$ , where  $T$  is the boundary of  $R(\mathfrak{p}(p))$ , (2)  $H_2(A, B; Z) = 0$  for each pair  $(A, B)$  of closed subsets. Let us

denote by  $R_i$  the 2-dimensional simplicial polytope  $R(p, \dots, p)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Put  $\phi_i^j = \phi_i^{i+1} \dots \phi_{j-1}^j$ ,  $j > i$ , where  $\phi_i^{i+1}$  is the projection from  $R_{i+1}$  onto  $R_i$ . Let  $h_i^j$  be a natural homomorphism from  $Z_{q^j}$  onto  $Z_{q^i}$ ,  $j > i$ . For  $j > i$  and  $j' > i'$ , define a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{B}_{\{i, j\}}^{\{i', j'\}}: H_2(R_j, T; Z_{q^{j'}}) \rightarrow H_2(R_i, T; Z_{q^{i'}})$  by a composition of the homomorphisms  $(h_{i'}^{j'})_*: H_2(R_j, T; Z_{q^{j'}}) \rightarrow H_2(R_j, T; Z_{q^{i'}})$  and  $(\phi_i^j)_*: H_2(R_j, T; Z_{q^{i'}}) \rightarrow H_2(R_i, T; Z_{q^{i'}})$ . By Lemma 9 we have an isomorphism  $H_2(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), T; Z(\mathfrak{a}_q))$

$\approx \varprojlim \{H_2(R_i, T; Z_{q^{i'}}): \mathfrak{B}_{\{i, j\}}^{\{i', j'\}}\}$ . Put  $c_i = c(\overbrace{p, \dots, p}^{i\text{-fold}})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $c_i$  is an integral cycle relative to  $T$ , we may consider  $c_i$  as a cycle relative to  $T \bmod p^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $j > i$  and  $j' > i'$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime numbers, we have  $\mathfrak{B}_{\{i', j'\}}^{\{i, j\}} c_j \equiv (\phi_i^j)_* (h_{i'}^{j'})_* c_j \equiv (\phi_i^j)_* c_j \equiv p^{(j-i)} \cdot c_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{i'}}$ . Accordingly we have  $0 \neq \mathfrak{B}_{\{i, j\}}^{\{i', j'\}} H_2(R_j, T; Z_{q^{j'}}) \subset H_2(R_i, T; Z_{q^{i'}})$ . Since  $H_2(R_i, T; Z_{q^{i'}})$  is a finite group for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i' = 1, 2, \dots$ , we can conclude that  $H_2(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), T; Z(\mathfrak{a}_q)) \neq 0$  by Lemma 6. This completes the proof of (1). To prove (2), by [10, Lemma 7], it is sufficient to prove that  $H_2(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), A; Z) = 0$  for each closed subset  $A$  of  $R(\mathfrak{p}(p))$ . Put  $A_i = \phi_i(A)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\phi_i$  is the projection from  $R(\mathfrak{p}(p))$  onto  $R_i$ . Let  $\bar{A}_i$  be the smallest closed subcomplex of the simplicial polytope  $R_i$  containing  $A_i$ . Then the projection  $\phi_i^{i+1}$  maps  $\bar{A}_{i+1}$  into  $\bar{A}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), A) = \varprojlim \{(R_i, \bar{A}_i): \phi_i^{i+1}\}^{24)}$ , by the continuity

theorem of Čech homology groups, we have an isomorphism  $H_2(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), A; Z) \approx \varprojlim \{H_2(R_i, \bar{A}_i; Z): (\phi_i^{i+1})_*\}$ . Take a 2-simplex  $\sigma$  of  $R_k - \bar{A}_k$  for some  $k$ . Put  $\sigma_j = (\phi_k^j)^{-1} \sigma$ ,  $j > k$ . Let  $a = \{a_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be any element of  $H_2(R(\mathfrak{p}(p)), A; Z)$ , where  $a_i \in H_2(R_i, \bar{A}_i; Z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $a_i$  is an integral cycle, for each  $j > k$   $a_j$  has the same integral coefficient  $t_j$  on each 2-simplex of  $\sigma_j$ . Let  $j' > j > k$ . Since  $(\phi_{j'}^{j'})_* t_{j'} \cdot \sigma_{j'} = t_{j'} \cdot (\phi_{j'}^{j'})_* \sigma_{j'} = t_{j'} \cdot p^{(j'-j)} \cdot \sigma_j = t_j \cdot \sigma_j^{25)}$  by Lemma 15, we have

24) Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of topological spaces and let  $\{(X_\alpha, A_\alpha): \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  be an inverse system of pairs of topological spaces. By  $(X, A) = \varprojlim \{(X_\alpha, A_\alpha): \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  we mean that

$$X = \varprojlim \{X_\alpha: \pi_{\alpha\beta}\} \text{ and } A = \varprojlim \{A_\alpha: \pi_{\alpha\beta} | A_\beta\}.$$

25) In this case, we mean by  $t_j \cdot \sigma_j$  the integral chain which has the integral coefficient  $t_j$  on each 2-simplex of  $\sigma_j$  and by  $(\phi_{j'}^{j'})_*$  the chain homomorphism induced by  $\phi_{j'}^{j'}$ .

$t_j = t_{j'} \cdot p^{(j'-j)}$  for each  $j' > j$ . Therefore  $t_j$  is zero for  $j > k$ . Since  $\sigma$  is any 2-simplex of  $R_k - \bar{A}_k$ , we have  $a_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $a$  is any element of  $H_2(R(p), A:Z)$ , we have  $H_2(R(p), A:Z) = 0$ . This completes the proof of (2). To complete the proof of the lemma, let  $p$  and  $q$  be two different prime numbers. Let  $T$  and  $T'$  be the boundaries of  $R(p)$  and  $R(q)$  respectively, and let  $f$  be a topological mapping of  $T$  into  $T'$ . Let us denote by  $X$  the space obtained from  $R(p) + R(q)$ <sup>26)</sup> by identifying points on  $T + T'$  corresponding to each other under the homeomorphism  $f$ . Let  $g$  be the identification mapping and put  $S = g(T + T')$ . Let  $r$  be a prime number. We have  $p \neq r$  or  $q \neq r$ . Let  $p \neq r$ . Since  $H_2(R(p), T:Z(a_r)) \neq 0$  and  $H_2(R(p) + R(q), T + T':Z(a_r)) \approx H_2(X, S:Z(a_r))$  by the map excision theorem [17], we have  $H_2(X, S:Z(a_r)) \neq 0$ . Similarly, if  $q \neq r$ , we have  $H_2(X, S:Z(a_r)) \neq 0$ , too. Put  $X_1 = g(R(p))$  and  $X_2 = g(R(q))$ . Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . If  $H_2(X, A:Z) \neq 0$  we have  $H_2(X, A \cup S:Z) \neq 0$  by [10, Lemma 7]. On the other hand, since  $H_2(X, A \cup S:Z) \approx H_2(X_1, X_1 \cap A:Z) + H_2(X_2, X_2 \cap A:Z)$  and  $H_2(X_1, X_1 \cap A:Z) = H_2(X_2, X_2 \cap A:Z) = 0, H_2(X, A \cap S:Z)$  must be zero. Therefore, we have  $H_2(X, A:Z) = 0$  for each closed subset  $A$  of  $X$ . By [10, Lemma 7], this shows that the continuum  $X$  has the property (ii) mentioned in the lemma. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 18. For each prime number  $p$ , there exists a 2-dimensional continuum  $X(p)$  such that (i) there exists a closed subset  $A$  of  $X(p)$  such that  $H_2(X(p), A:Z(a_p)) \neq 0$ , (ii) for any prime number  $q \neq p$  and any pair  $(A, B)$  of closed subsets of  $X(p)$  we have  $H_2(A, B:Z(a_q)) = 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $p_p = \{p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots\}$  be a sequence consisting of all positive integers of the form  $q^k$ , where  $q$  ranges over all prime numbers except  $p$  and  $k$  ranges over all positive integers. Put  $X(p) = R(p_p)$ . Let  $T$  be the boundary of  $R(p_p)$ . Since each member  $p_i$  of the sequence  $p_p$  and  $p$  are coprime numbers, we can see by a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 18 that  $H_2(X(p), T:Z(a_p)) \neq 0$ . To prove that  $X(p)$  has the property (ii) mentioned in the lemma, let  $q$  be a prime number different from  $p$ . Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X(p)$ . Put  $R_i = R(p_1, \dots, p_i)$  and  $A_i = \phi_i(A), i = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\phi_i$  is the projection from  $X(p)$  onto  $R_i$ . Let  $\bar{A}_i$  be the smallest subcomplex of  $R_i$  containing  $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Lemma 9 and the continuity theorem of Čech homology groups, we have an isomorphism  $H_2(X(p), A:Z(a_q)) \approx \varprojlim \{H_2(R_i, \bar{A}_i:Z_{q^{i'}}) : \mathfrak{P}_{\{i', i''\}}^{(j', j'')} | j > i \text{ and } j' > i''\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}_{\{i', i''\}}^{(j', j'')}$  is a composition of the homomorphisms  $(h_{i', i''}^{j', j''})_* : H_2(R_j, \bar{A}_j:Z_{q^{j'}}) \rightarrow H_2(R_j, \bar{A}_j:Z_{q^{j''}})$  and  $(\phi_i^{j'})_* : H_2(R_j, \bar{A}_j:Z_{q^{j''}}) \rightarrow H_2(R_i, \bar{A}_i:Z_{q^{i'}})$ . Assume that  $H_2(X(p), A:Z(a_q)) \neq 0$ . Let  $\{a_{i, i'} | i = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } i' = 1, 2, \dots\}$

26) Let  $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$  be a collection of topological spaces. By  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} X_\alpha$  we understand a topological space  $X$  such that  $X$  is an union of topological images  $X_\alpha$ 's of  $X_\alpha$ 's and  $X_\alpha' \cap X_{\beta'} = \phi, \alpha \neq \beta$ .

be a non-zero element of  $H_2(X(p), A: Z(\alpha_q))$ , where  $a_{i,i'} \in H_2(R_i, \bar{A}_i: Z_{q^{i'}})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i' = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $a_{i,i'} \neq 0$ . There exist integers  $i_0$  and  $j_0$  such that  $i_0 > i$ ,  $j_0 \geq i'$  and the  $i$ -th member  $p_i$  of the sequence  $p_p = q^{j_0}$ . Take any 2-simplex  $\sigma$  of  $R_{i_0-1} - \bar{A}_{i_0-1}$ . Put  $\tau = (\phi_{i_0-1}^{i_0})^{-1}\sigma$ . Since  $a_{i,i'}$  is a cycle mod  $q^{i'}$ ,  $a_{i,i'}$  must have the same coefficient  $t$  on each 2-simple of  $\tau$ , where  $t \in Z_{q^{i'}}$ . Let  $\check{t}$  be an integer such that  $\rho(\check{t}) = t$ , where  $\rho$  is a natural homomorphism from  $Z$  onto  $Z_{q^{i'}}$ . Suppose that  $a_{i_0-1,i'}$  has the coefficient  $s$  on the 2-simplex  $\sigma$ , where  $s \in Z_{q^{i'}}$ . Let  $\check{s}$  be an integer such that  $\rho(\check{s}) = s$ . Since  $j_0 \geq i'$ , we have  $\check{s} \cdot \sigma \equiv (\phi_{i_0-1}^{i_0})_* \check{t} \cdot \tau \equiv \check{t} \cdot (\phi_{i_0-1}^{i_0})_* \tau \equiv \check{t} \cdot q^{j_0} \cdot \sigma \equiv 0^{27}) \pmod{q^{i'}}$ . Therefore we have  $s = 0$ . Since  $\sigma$  is any 2-simplex of  $R_{i_0-1} - \bar{A}_{i_0-1}$ ,  $a_{i_0-1,i'}$  must be zero. Since  $\mathfrak{P}_{(i,i')^{i_0-1}} a_{i_0-1,i'} = a_{i,i'}$ , this contradicts  $a_{i,i'} \neq 0$ . Thus, we have  $H_2(X(p), A: Z(\alpha_q)) = 0$ . By [10, Lemma 7], we see that the continuum  $X(p)$  has the property (ii) mentioned in the lemma. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 19. *There exists a 2-dimensional continuum which has the property **P** but not the property (\*) mentioned in Lemma 11.*

PROOF. First, let us remark that in compact spaces the property (\*) is equivalent to the following property (\*\*).

(\*\*)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For each prime number } p \text{ there exist a closed subset } A_p \text{ of } X \text{ and a} \\ \text{covering } \mathfrak{U} \text{ of } X \text{ such that } 0 \neq (\phi)_* H_n(X, A_p: Z(\alpha_p)) \subset H_n(K, L: Z(\alpha_p)), \\ \text{where } (K, L) \text{ is the pair of the nerves of } \mathfrak{U} \text{ corresponding to } (X, A) \text{ and} \\ \phi \text{ is a canonical mapping of } (X, A) \text{ into } (K, L). \end{array} \right.$

Thus, to prove the lemma, it is sufficient to construct a 2-dimensional continuum  $X$  which has the property **P** but not the property (\*\*). Let  $(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  be a sequence of all prime numbers. Put  $X' = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X(p_i)^{28}$ , where  $x_0$  is one point space and  $X(p_i)$  is the continuum constructed in Lemma 18,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $x_i$  be a point on the boundary of  $X(p_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $X$  be a continuum obtained from  $X'$  by retopologizing  $X$  such that  $x_0$  is the topological limit of a sequence  $\{X'(p_i)\}$ , where  $X'(p_i)$  is the subspace, homeomorphic to  $X(p_i)$ , of  $X'$ , and by identifying the set  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  with the point  $x_0$ . Let  $f$  be the identification mapping. Put  $X_i = f(X'(p_i))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\bar{x} = f(x_0)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be a covering of  $X$  such that  $\bar{x} \in U_1$  and  $\bar{x} \notin \bigcup_{i=2}^k \bar{U}_i$ . Put  $V = X - \bigcup_{i=2}^k \bar{U}_i$ . There exists an integer  $i_0$  such that, if  $i \geq i_0$ ,  $X_i \subset V$ . Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $(K, L)$  be the pair of the nerves of  $\mathfrak{U}$  corresponding to  $(X, A)$  and let  $\phi$  be a canonical mapping of  $(X, A)$  into  $(K, L)$ . Since  $\phi(\bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} X_i) = U_1$  and  $H_2(X_k, X_k \cap A: Z(\alpha_{p_j})) = 0$  for  $k < i_0 \leq j$  by Lemma 18,

27) Cf. footnotes 7) and 25).

28) See footnote 26).

we have  $(\phi)_*H_2(X, A: Z(\alpha_p)) = 0, j = i_0, i_0+1, \dots$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is any covering of  $X$ , the continuum  $X$  has not the property (\*\*). Since it is obvious that  $X$  has the property **P**, this completes the proof.

National Defence Academy.

### References

- [ 1 ] M. G. Barret, Track groups II, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 5 (1955), 239-255.
- [ 2 ] C. H. Dowker, Local dimension of normal spaces, Quart. J. Math., 6 (1955), 101-120.
- [ 3 ] C. H. Dowker, Mapping theorems for non-compact spaces, Amer. J. of Math., 69 (1947), 202-242.
- [ 4 ] C. H. Dowker, Čech cohomology theory and the axioms, Ann. of Math., 51 (1950), 278-292.
- [ 5 ] C. H. Dowker, Topology of metric complexes, Amer. J. of Math., 74 (1952), 555-577.
- [ 6 ] S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod, Foundations of algebraic topology, Princeton, 1952.
- [ 7 ] W. L. Gordon, On the coefficient group in cohomology, Duke Math. J., 21 (1954), 139-152.
- [ 8 ] W. Hurewicz, Sur la dimension des produits Cartesiens, Ann. of Math., 36 (1935), 194-197.
- [ 9 ] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, Dimension theory, Princeton, 1941.
- [10] Y. Kodama, On a problem of Alexandroff concerning the dimension of product spaces I, J. of Math. Soc. of Japan, 10 (1958), 380-404.
- [11] K. Morita, H. Hopf's extension theorem in normal spaces, Proc. Physico-Math. Soc. of Japan, 3rd Ser., 23 (1941), 161-167.
- [12] K. Morita, On the dimension of normal spaces II, J. Math. Soc. of Japan, 2 (1950), 16-33.
- [13] K. Morita, On the dimension of product spaces, Amer. J. Math., 75 (1953), 205-223.
- [14] A. H. Stone, Paracompactness and product spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54 (1948), 677-688.
- [15] J. W. Tukey, Convergence and uniformity in topology, Princeton, 1941.
- [16] J. H. C. Whitehead, Simplicial spaces, nuclei and  $m$ -groups, Proc. London Math. Soc., 45 (1939), 243-327.
- [17] A. D. Wallace, The map excision theorem, Duke Math. J., 19 (1952), 177-182.