

## A note on close-to-convex functions.

By Yoshikazu MIKI

(Received, Dec. 16, 1955)

(Revised, Dec. 26, 1955)

### I. Introduction

G. Szegö [1] proved the following theorem on the partial sums of the normalized schlicht functions in the unit circle;

*Let the function*

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$

*be analytic and schlicht in  $|z| < 1$ . Then any one of the partial sums*

$$z + \sum_{\nu=2}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots)$$

*is also schlicht in  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$ , and the constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  can not be replaced by any greater one.*

Szegö [1] proved also that in this theorem the word 'schlicht' may be replaced by 'star-shaped with respect to the origin' or by 'convex', both in the hypothesis and in the conclusion in corresponding manner.

In this note, we shall prove a similar theorem for the class of close-to-convex functions defined by W. Kaplan [2].

We call an analytic function  $f(z)$  close-to-convex for  $|z| < R$ , if there exists a function  $\varphi(z)$ , convex and schlicht for  $|z| < R$ , such that  $f'(z)/\varphi'(z)$  has positive real part for  $|z| < R$ . This function  $\varphi(z)$  will be called an *associate* function to the close-to-convex function  $f(z)$ , and we shall call  $f(z)$  close-to-convex *with respect* to  $\varphi(z)$ , when it is needed to indicate an associate function. Close-to-convex functions in the unit circle will be simply called close-to-convex functions.

Thus close-to-convex functions are clearly schlicht for  $|z| < 1$ , and the class of these functions includes the classes of star-shaped functions and convex functions for  $|z| < 1$ , ([2]). We aim at proving

the following theorem:

*Let the function*

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$

*be close-to-convex for  $|z| < 1$  with respect to the function*

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} b_{\nu} z^{\nu}.$$

*Then the  $n$ -th partial sum*

$$s_n(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$

*of  $f(z)$  is also close-to-convex for  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$  with respect to the partial sum*

$$\sigma_n(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^n b_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$

*of  $\varphi(z)$ . The constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  can not be replaced by any greater one.*

## II. Lemmas

Following lemmas are needed in the proof of our theorem:

1°. *Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be close-to-convex, then the coefficients  $a_n$  satisfy the inequalities*

$$|a_n| \leq n \quad (n=2, 3, \dots)$$

(Reade [4]).

2°. *Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be close-to-convex with respect to  $\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} b_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  then*

$$\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq \Re \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|},$$

$$\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq \left| \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}$$

for  $|z| < 1$ .

PROOF. Let  $F(z)$  be analytic and have positive real part for  $|z| < 1$ . If  $F(0)$  be real, then we have

$$\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} F(0) \leq \Re F(z) \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} F(0),$$

$$\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} F(0) \leq |F(z)| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} F(0)$$

for  $|z| < 1$  (Pólya-Szegö [5], p. 140). Our conclusion follows in putting  $F(z) \equiv f'(z)/\varphi'(z)$ .

3°. Let  $\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} b_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be schlicht and convex for  $|z| < 1$ , then, as is well known,  $|b_n| \leq 1$  ( $n=2, 3, \dots$ ) and

$$\frac{1}{(1+|z|)^2} \leq |\varphi'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^2}$$

for  $|z| < 1$ . (K. Löwner [7]).

### III. Proof of the theorem

1°. It is easy to show that  $\frac{1}{4}$  is the best constant. In fact, the function  $z/(1-z)^2 = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \nu z^{\nu}$  is analytic and star-shaped with respect to the origin for  $|z| < 1$  and so close-to-convex, but the derivative of the second partial sum  $s_2(z)$  of this function has a zero at the point  $z = -\frac{1}{4}$ , and hence  $s_2(z)$  is not schlicht, a fortiori not close-to-convex, for  $|z| < \rho$ , if  $\rho > \frac{1}{4}$ .

2°. As for the first half of the theorem, it is sufficient to prove  $\Re\{s'_n(z)/\sigma'_n(z)\} > 0$  ( $n=2, 3, \dots$ ) for  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$ , as  $\sigma_n(z)$  is convex

for  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$  by a result of Szegö.

If we put

$$\varphi(z) = \sigma_n(z) + \rho_n(z) \quad (n=2, 3, \dots),$$

then  $\rho_n(z) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} b_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  and

$$|\sigma'_n(z)| \geq |\varphi'(z)| - |\rho'_n(z)| \quad (n=2, 3, \dots).$$

By the lemma 3°, we have for  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$|\varphi'(z)| \geq 1/(1+|z|)^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho'_n(z)| &\leq \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu |b_{\nu}| |z|^{\nu-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu |z|^{\nu-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have for  $|z| = \frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma'_n(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2} - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \times \frac{(n+1) - n \times \frac{1}{4}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{16}{25} - \frac{3n+4}{9 \times 4^{n-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{16}{25} - \frac{5}{18} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore, the function

$$\Re \frac{s'_n(z)}{\sigma'_n(z)} = \Re \frac{1 + 2a_2 z + \dots + na_n z^{n-1}}{1 + 2b_2 z + \dots + nb_n z^{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

is harmonic for  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ .

If we put

$$f(z) = s_n(z) + r_n(z) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

then we have  $r_n(z) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} a_\nu z^\nu$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \frac{s'_n(z)}{\sigma'_n(z)} &= \Re \frac{f'(z) - r'_n(z)}{\varphi'(z) - \rho'_n(z)} \\ &= \Re \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} + \Re \frac{\rho'_n(z) \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} - r'_n(z)}{\varphi'(z) - \rho'_n(z)} \\ &\geq \Re \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} - \frac{|\rho'_n(z)| \cdot \left| \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| + |r'_n(z)|}{|\varphi'(z)| - |\rho'_n(z)|}. \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma 2°, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} &\geq \frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \quad (|z| < 1) \\ &= \frac{3}{5} \quad \left( |z| = \frac{1}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by lemmas 1°, 2° and 3° we have for  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$|\rho'_n(z)| \leq |z|^n \times \frac{(n+1) - n|z|}{(1-|z|)^2},$$

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |r'_n(z)| &\leq \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu |a_\nu| |z|^{\nu-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^2 |z|^{\nu-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have for  $|z| = \frac{1}{4}$ ,

$$\frac{|\rho'_n(z)| \cdot \left| \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| + |\gamma'_n(z)|}{|\varphi'(z)| - |\rho'_n(z)|} \leq \frac{\frac{3n+4}{9 \times 4^{n-1}} \times \frac{5}{3} + \frac{9n^2+24n+20}{27 \times 4^{n-1}}}{\frac{16}{25} - \frac{3n+4}{9 \times 4^{n-1}}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\rho'_4(z)| \cdot \left| \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| + |\gamma'_4(z)|}{|\varphi'(z)| - |\rho'_4(z)|} &\leq \frac{\frac{1}{36} \times \frac{5}{3} + \frac{65}{432}}{\frac{16}{25} - \frac{1}{36}} \\ &= \frac{2125}{6612} < \frac{1}{3} < \frac{3}{5} \quad \text{for } |z| = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we can conclude

$$\Re \frac{s'_4(z)}{\sigma'_4(z)} > 0$$

for  $|z| = \frac{1}{4}$ . By the maximum principle for harmonic functions, this inequality holds also in  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Moreover, the inequalities for the case  $n \geq 4$  follow clearly from this inequality. Thus we have,

$$\Re \frac{s'_n(z)}{\sigma'_n(z)} > 0$$

for  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , that is, the theorem is true for the case  $n \geq 4$ .

3°. For the case  $n=3$ , since the function

$$\Re \frac{s'_3(z)}{\sigma'_3(z)} = \Re \frac{1 + 2a_2z + 3a_3z^2}{1 + 2b_2z + 3b_3z^2}$$

is harmonic for  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , we have only to prove

$$(1) \quad \Re \{s'_3(z)/\sigma'_3(z)\} > 0 \quad \text{for } |z| = \frac{1}{4}.$$

By considering  $\bar{\epsilon}f(\epsilon z)$  in place of  $f(z)$  with a suitable  $\epsilon$ ,  $|\epsilon|=1$ ,

the proof of (1) is reduced to that of (1) with  $z = \frac{1}{4}$ , i. e.

$$\Re \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{a_2}{2} + \frac{3a_3}{16} \right) / \left( 1 + \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{3b_3}{16} \right) \right\} > 0.$$

As  $f(z)$  is close-to-convex, the function

$$(2) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} = \frac{1 + 2a_2z + 3a_3z^2 + \dots}{1 + 2b_2z + 3b_3z^2 + \dots} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$$

is analytic for  $|z| < 1$ , and has positive real part there, hence by Carathéodory-Toeplitz's theorem (Bieberbach [6]),

$$(3) \quad |c_1| \leq 2, \quad |2c_2 - c_1^2| \leq 4 - |c_1|^2.$$

While, from (2),  $2a_2 = 2b_2 + c_1$ ,  $3a_3 = 3b_3 + 2b_2c_1 + c_2$ , so

$$(4) \quad a_2 = b_2 + \frac{c_1}{2}, \quad a_3 = b_3 + \frac{2b_2c_1}{3} + \frac{c_2}{3}.$$

On the other hand, as  $\varphi(z)$  is schlicht and convex for  $|z| < 1$ , the function

$$(5) \quad 1 + z \frac{\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} = \frac{1 + 4b_2z + 9b_3z^2 + \dots}{1 + 2b_2z + 3b_3z^2 + \dots} = 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots$$

is analytic for  $|z| < 1$  and has positive real part there. Hence again by Carathéodory-Toeplitz's theorem, we have

$$(6) \quad |d_1| \leq 2, \quad |2d_2 - d_1^2| \leq 4 - |d_1|^2.$$

Since  $4b_2 = 2b_2 + d_1$ ,  $9b_3 = 3b_3 + 2b_2d_1 + d_2$  by (6), we have  $d_1 = 2b_2$  and so  $b_3 = 2b_2^2/3 + d_2/6$ .

Moreover, by the second inequality of (6), we can put  $2d_2 - d_1^2 = \epsilon(4 - |d_1|^2)$  ( $|\epsilon| \leq 1$ ), so we have

$$(7) \quad b_3 = b_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon(1 - |b_2|^2)}{3}.$$

Hence by the second formula of (4), we obtain

$$(8) \quad a_3 = b_2^2 + \frac{2b_2c_1}{3} + \frac{c_2}{3} + \frac{\epsilon(1 - |b_2|^2)}{3}.$$

After all, by using (4), (7) and (8), it remains only to prove that

$$(9) \quad \Re \frac{1 + \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{3b_2^2}{16} + \frac{b_2c_1}{8} + \frac{c_2}{16} + \frac{\epsilon(1-|b_2|^2)}{16}}{1 + \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{3b_2^2}{16} + \frac{\epsilon(1-|b_2|^2)}{16}} > 0.$$

This fraction, regarded as a function of  $\epsilon$ , is analytic for  $|\epsilon| \leq 1$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{3b_2^2}{16} + \frac{\epsilon(1-|b_2|^2)}{16} \right| &\leq \frac{|b_2|}{2} + \frac{3|b_2|^2}{16} + \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{8} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof of (9) is reduced to that of the following inequality for  $|\epsilon|=1$ ;

$$(10) \quad \left[ \left\{ 1 + \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{3b_2^2}{16} + \frac{b_2c_1}{8} + \frac{c_2}{16} + \frac{\epsilon(1-|b_2|^2)}{16} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{\bar{b}_2}{2} + \frac{3\bar{b}_2^2}{16} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}(1-|b_2|^2)}{16} \right\} \right] > 0.$$

Here we put

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} u = 1 + \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{3b_2^2}{16} + \frac{b_2c_1}{8} + \frac{c_2}{16}, \\ v = 1 + \frac{\bar{b}_2}{2} + \frac{3\bar{b}_2^2}{16}, \end{cases}$$

then the left hand side of (10) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re \left[ \left\{ u + \frac{\epsilon(1-|b_2|^2)}{16} \right\} \left\{ \bar{v} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}(1-|b_2|^2)}{16} \right\} \right] \\ &= \Re u \bar{v} + \frac{(1-|b_2|^2)^2}{16^2} + \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} \Re(u+v)\bar{\epsilon} \\ &\geq \Re u \bar{v} + \frac{(1-|b_2|^2)^2}{16^2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} |u+v| \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{|u+v|^2}{4} - \frac{|u-v|^2}{4} + \frac{(1-|b_2|^2)^2}{16^2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} |u+v| \\
&= \left( \frac{|u+v|}{2} + \frac{|u-v|}{2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} \right) \left( \frac{|u+v|}{2} - \frac{|u-v|}{2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \frac{|u+v|}{2} + \frac{|u-v|}{2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} \right) - \left( \frac{|u+v|}{2} - \frac{|u-v|}{2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} \right) \\
&= |u-v| \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we have only to prove that

$$(12) \quad \frac{|u+v|}{2} - \frac{|u-v|}{2} - \frac{1-|b_2|^2}{16} > 0.$$

On the other hand we have from (11),

$$\begin{aligned}
|u+v| &= 2 + b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{8} + \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{b_2 c_1}{8} + \frac{c_2}{16} \\
&\geq 2 + b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{8} - \frac{|2c_1 + b_2 c_1|}{8} - \frac{c_2}{16} \\
&= 2 + b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{8} - \frac{|c_1| |2 + b_2|}{8} - \frac{2c_2 - c_1^2}{32} + \frac{c_1^2}{32} \\
&\geq 2 + b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{8} - \frac{|2 + b_2|}{4} - \frac{4 - |c_1|^2}{32} - \frac{|c_1|^2}{32} \\
&= 2 + b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{8} - \frac{|2 + b_2|}{4} - \frac{1}{8},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|u-v| &= \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{b_2 c_1}{8} + \frac{c_2}{16} \\
&\leq \frac{|2c_1 + b_2 c_1|}{8} + \frac{c_2}{16} \\
&\leq \frac{|2 + b_2|}{4} + \frac{1}{8},
\end{aligned}$$

and so it suffices to prove

$$\left| 2 + b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{8} \right| - \frac{|2 + b_2|}{4} - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{|2 + b_2|}{4} - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1 - |b_2|^2}{8} > 0,$$

that is,

$$(13) \quad \left| 4 + 2b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{4} \right| - |2 + b_2| - \frac{3 - |b_2|^2}{4} > 0, \quad |b_2| \leq 1.$$

Now we put  $2 + b_2 = re^{i\psi}$ . Then, we have  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ , and for arbitrary fixed  $r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq 3$ ),  $\psi$  satisfies the inequality

$$(14) \quad |\psi| \leq \psi_0(r),$$

where  $\psi_0(r)$  is determined by the equation  $|-2 + re^{i\psi}| = 1$  ( $0 < \psi < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ), that is, the point  $-2 + re^{i\psi_0(r)}$  lies on the unit circle, and

$$(15) \quad \cos \psi_0(r) = (3 + r^2)/4r.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 4 + 2b_2 + \frac{3b_2^2}{4} \right| - |2 + b_2| - \frac{3 - |b_2|^2}{4} \\ &= \left| 3 - re^{i\psi} + \frac{3}{4} r^2 e^{2i\psi} \right| - \left( \frac{3}{4} + Q \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q = r - \frac{|-2 + re^{i\psi}|^2}{4} = -1 + r(1 + \cos \psi) - \frac{r^2}{4}$ , and by (14) and (15),

$$Q \geq -1 - r + \frac{3 + r^2}{4} - \frac{r^2}{4} = -\frac{1}{4} + r.$$

Here we put

$$(16) \quad \Phi(r, Q) \equiv \left| 3 - re^{i\psi} + \frac{3}{4} r^2 e^{2i\psi} \right|^2 - \left( \frac{3}{4} + Q \right)^2,$$

where  $\cos \psi = \left( Q + 1 - r + \frac{r^2}{4} \right) / r$ . Then the function

$$\Phi(r, Q) = 9 - \frac{7r^2}{2} + \frac{9r^4}{16} - \frac{3r(4 + r^2)}{2} \cos \psi$$

$$+9r^2 \cos^2 \psi - \left(\frac{3}{4} + Q\right)^2$$

is monotone increasing with  $Q$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Q} &= -\frac{3r(4+r^2)}{2} \times \frac{1}{r} + 18r^2 \times \frac{Q+1-r+\frac{r^2}{4}}{r} \times \frac{1}{r} - 2\left(\frac{3}{4} + Q\right) \\ &= 21/2 - 18r + 3r^2 + 16Q, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Q^2} &= 16 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Q} \right|_{Q=-\frac{1}{4}+r} &= 21/2 - 18r + 3r^2 + 16\left(-\frac{1}{4} + r\right) \\ &= 3\left(r - \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{37}{6} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi(r, Q)$  is a monotone increasing function of  $Q$  in  $Q_1(r) \geq Q \geq -\frac{1}{4} + r$  for fixed  $r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq 3$ ), and so attains its minimum at  $Q = -\frac{1}{4} + r$ . This condition  $Q = -\frac{1}{4} + r$  means  $\cos \psi = (3+r^2)/4r = \cos \psi_0(r)$ , by (14) and (15) or, in other words,  $|b_2| = 1$ . Hence, if we put  $b_2 = e^{i\theta}$  ( $\theta$ : real), we have to prove, instead of (13),

$$\left| 4 + 2e^{i\theta} + \frac{3e^{2i\theta}}{4} \right| - \left| 2 + e^{i\theta} \right| - \frac{1}{2} > 0,$$

that is,

$$(17) \quad \left| 4 + 2e^{i\theta} + \frac{3e^{2i\theta}}{4} \right|^2 - \left( |2 + e^{i\theta}| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 > 0.$$

The left hand side of (17) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| 4e^{-i\theta} + 2 + \frac{3e^{i\theta}}{4} \right|^2 - \left( |2 + e^{i\theta}| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{19}{4} \cos \theta + 2 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{13}{4} \sin \theta \right)^2 - \left( \frac{21}{4} + 4 \cos \theta + \sqrt{5 + 4 \cos \theta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 12 \cos^2 \theta + 15 \cos \theta + \frac{149}{16} - \sqrt{5 + 4 \cos \theta},$$

while  $12 \cos^2 \theta + 15 \cos \theta + \frac{149}{16} = 12 \left( \cos \theta + \frac{5}{8} \right)^2 + \frac{37}{8} \geq \frac{37}{8}$  and  $\sqrt{5 + 4 \cos \theta} \leq 3 < \frac{37}{8}$ , hence the inequality (17) holds. Thus, our theorem is proved for the case  $n=3$ .

4°. Finally we consider the case  $n=2$ . In this case, by using (4), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \frac{s_2'(z)}{\sigma_2'(z)} &= \Re \frac{1 + 2a_2 z}{1 + 2b_2 z} \\ (18) \qquad &= \Re \frac{1 + (2b_2 + c_1)z}{1 + 2b_2 z} > 0 \quad \text{for } |z| < \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Re \frac{1 + (2b_2 + c_1)z}{1 + 2b_2 z} = \frac{1}{|1 + 2b_2 z|^2} \times \Re \{ [1 + (2b_2 + c_1)z] \times [1 + 2\bar{b}_2 \bar{z}] \},$$

it is sufficient to prove that

$$(19) \qquad \Re \{ [1 + (2b_2 + c_1)z] \times [1 + 2\bar{b}_2 \bar{z}] \} > 0 \quad \text{for } |z| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now we put

$$(20) \qquad \begin{cases} U = 1 + (2b_2 + c_1)z, \\ V = 1 + 2b_2 z, \end{cases}$$

then the left hand side of (19) has the form

$$\Re U \bar{V} = \frac{|U + V|^2}{4} - \frac{|U - V|^2}{4}.$$

Now by (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U + V| &= |2 + 4b_2 z + c_1 z| \\ &\geq 2 - 4|b_2||z| - |c_1||z| \\ &> 2 - 4 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{4} - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|U - V| = |c_1 z| < 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence  $|U + V| > |U - V|$  and therefore the inequality (19) holds, that is, for the case  $n=2$ , our theorem is proved.

Thus the proof of our theorem is completed.

I wish to express here my hearty gratitude to Prof. A. Kobori for his kind guidance during my research.

Ritsumeikan University

### References

- [1] G. Szegő: Zur Theorie der schlichten Abbildungen. Math. Ann., 100 (1928), pp. 188-211.
- [2] W. Kaplan: Close-to-convex schlicht functions. Michigan Math. J., 1 (1952), pp. 169-185.
- [3] A. Kobori: Zwei Sätze über die Abschnitte der schlichten Potenzreihen. Mem. Coll. Sci. Kyoto Imp. Univ., A, 17 (1934), pp. 171-186.
- [4] M. Reade: Sur une classe de fonctions univalentes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 239 (1954), pp. 1758-1759.
- [5] G. Pólya-G. Szegő: Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I. (1925).
- [6] L. Bieberbach: Neuere Untersuchungen über Funktionen von komplexen Variablen. Enzyklopädie der Math., II, C, 4 (1920), pp. 501-504.
- [7] K. Löwner: Untersuchungen über die Verzerrung bei konformen Abbildungen des Einheitskreises  $z < 1$ , die durch Funktionen mit nicht verschwindender Abbildung geliefert werden, Leipziger Berichte, 69 (1917), pp. 89-106.