

On lattice points in an n -dimensional ellipsoid.

By Masatsugu TSUJI

(Received May 10, 1953)

1. Main theorems.

1. Let $\sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} x_i x_k$ ($n \geq 2$) be a positive definite quadratic form with the determinant $D = |a_{ik}| > 0$, then

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} x_i x_k \leq r^2 \tag{1}$$

is the inside of an n -dimensional ellipsoid and $V(r)$ be its volume:

$$V(r) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^n}{D^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}. \tag{2}$$

Let $n(r)$ be the number of lattice points contained in (1) and put

$$n(r) = V(r) + \mathcal{O}(r). \tag{3}$$

Then Landau¹⁾ proved that

$$\mathcal{O}(r) = O\left(r^{n - \frac{2n}{n+1}}\right) \quad (n \geq 4) \tag{4}$$

and many researches are made concerning the order of $\mathcal{O}(r)$ by Landau, Walfisz, Jarnik and others. We shall prove

THEOREM 1. $\int_1^r \frac{\mathcal{O}(r)}{r^{n-1}} dr = O(1) \quad (n \geq 2).$

We remark that the integral diverges, if we put the value of $\mathcal{O}(r)$ of (4) in it.

Let $a_i, k_i > 0$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) be integers and consider lattice points (x_1, \dots, x_n) contained in (1), such that

$$x_i \equiv a_i \pmod{k_i} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n) \tag{5}$$

1) E. Landau: Zur analytischen Zahlentheorie der definiten quadratischen Formen. Berliner Akademieber. 1915.

and $n(r; a, k)$ be the number of such lattice points and put

$$n(r; a, k) = \frac{1}{k_1 \cdots k_n} V(r) + \mathcal{O}(r; a, k). \quad (6)$$

Then

$$\text{THEOREM 2.} \quad \int_1^r \frac{\mathcal{O}(r; a, k)}{r^{n-1}} dr = O(1) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

2. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be n linearly independent vectors in an n -dimensional space R_n through the origin O , then they span an n -dimensional parallelepiped D_0 . Let G be the group of translations, which is generated by these vectors, then D_0 is its fundamental domain. Let Q be a point of D_0 and $Q^{(\nu)}$ ($\nu=0, 1, 2, \dots$) be its equivalents by G and $n(r, Q)$ be the number of $Q^{(\nu)}$ contained in a sphere S_r of radius r about the origin O and $v(r)$ be the volume of the inside of S_r . Then Theorem 1 and 2 can be deduced easily from the following theorem.

$$\text{THEOREM 3.} \quad \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q)}{r^{n-1}} dr = \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_0^r \frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}} dr + O(1) \quad (n \geq 2),$$

where $v(D_0)$ is the volume of D_0 .

To prove Theorem 3, we shall use a potential function on a torus. First we shall prove its existence.

2. Existence of a potential function on an n -dimensional torus.

1. If we identify the equivalent points of the opposite faces of D_0 , then we obtain an n -dimensional torus \mathcal{Q} . A harmonic function $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on \mathcal{Q} is, by definition, a harmonic function in the (x_1, \dots, x_n) -space, such that

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} = 0.$$

If we put $r = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_1^0)^2 + \dots + (x_n - x_n^0)^2}$, then

$$u = \log \frac{1}{r} \quad (n=2), \quad u = \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \quad (n \geq 3)$$

are the simplest harmonic functions.

Let $P \in D_0$, $Q \in D_0$ and $Q^{(\nu)}$ be the equivalents of Q , then we define the distance $r = PQ$ by $r = \text{Min. } PQ^{(\nu)}$, thus we define the metric on \mathcal{Q} .

We shall prove

THEOREM 4. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be two points of Ω , then there exists a potential function $v(P; Q_1, Q_2)$ on Ω , which is harmonic, except at Q_1, Q_2 , where if $n \geq 3$,*

$$(i) \quad v(P; Q_1, Q_2) - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}} \text{ is harmonic and vanishes at } P=Q_1,$$

$$v(P; Q_1, Q_2) + \frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}} \text{ is harmonic and vanishes at } P=Q_2.$$

(ii) *Let Q_2 be fixed and $U(Q_2)$ be its neighbourhood and Q_1 vary in $\Omega - U(Q_2)$, then there exist constants $\rho > 0, K > 0$, which are independent of Q_1 , such that if P lies in a ρ -neighbourhood of Q_1 , then*

$$\left| v(P; Q_1, Q_2) - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}} \right| \leq K.$$

A similar relation holds at Q_2 with $\frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}}$ instead of $\frac{-1}{PQ_1^{n-2}}$, if Q_2 varies in $\Omega - U(Q_1)$.

If $n=2$, then $\frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}}, \frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}}$ are replaced by $\log \frac{1}{PQ_1}, \log \frac{1}{PQ_2}$ respectively.

PROOF. We assume that $n \geq 3$, the case $n=2$ can be proved similarly, if we take $\log \frac{1}{PQ}$ instead of $\frac{1}{PQ^{n-2}}$.

Let k be a positive integer and $S_k (k \geq k_0)$ be a sphere of radius $\frac{1}{k}$ about Q_2 and (S_k) be its inside, where k_0 is taken so large that $(\overline{S_{k_0}})$ does not contain Q_1 .

We put $\Omega_k = \Omega - (\overline{S_k})$. Then by Parreau's method,²⁾ we can prove that, there exists a Green's function $g_k(P; Q_1)$ on Ω_k with Q_1 as its pole, such that $g_k(P; Q_1)$ is harmonic on Ω_k , except at Q_1 , where $g(P; Q_1) - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}}$ is harmonic at $P=Q_1$ and $g_k(P; Q_1)=0$ on S_k .

We draw about Q_1 a sphere σ_0 of radius ρ_0 and a sphere σ_1 of radius $\rho_1 (\rho_0 < \rho_1)$, where ρ_1 is taken so small that σ_1 is contained in Ω_{k_0} .

2) M. Parreau: Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann. Thèse. Paris. 1952.

and let (σ_0) , (σ_1) be the inside of σ_0 , σ_1 respectively.

Let

$$M_k = \text{Max}_{P \in \sigma_0} g_k(P; Q_1) \quad (k \geq k_0), \quad (1)$$

then by the maximum principle,

$$u_k(P) - M_k - g_k(P; Q_1) \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_k - (\bar{\sigma}_0).$$

Since $u_{k_0}(P) - u_k(P)$ is harmonic in Ω_{k_0} and $u_{k_0}(P) \geq 0$ on σ_0 , and $u_k(P_0) = 0$ at some point P_0 on σ_0 , we have

$$\text{Max}_{P \in \sigma_0} (u_{k_0}(P) - u_k(P)) \geq 0,$$

so that by the maximum principle, $\text{Max}_{P \in \sigma_1} (u_{k_0}(P) - u_k(P)) \geq 0$, or

$$\text{Min}_{P \in \sigma_1} u_k(P) \leq \text{Max}_{P \in \sigma_1} u_{k_0}(P). \quad (2)$$

Since $u_k(P) \geq 0$ in $\Omega_k - (\bar{\sigma}_0)$, by Harnack's theorem, for any compact domain $\mathcal{A} \subset \Omega - (\bar{\sigma}_0) - (Q_2)$, which has a positive distance from σ_0 , we have from (2), if $k \geq k_1$,

$$u_k(P) = |M_k - g_k(P; Q_1)| \leq K(\mathcal{A}), \quad P \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (k \geq k_1), \quad (3)$$

where k_1 is taken so large than $\mathcal{A} \subset \Omega_{k_1}$ and $K(\mathcal{A})$ is a constant depending on \mathcal{A} only.

Hence

$$g_k(P; Q_1) - M_k - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}} \leq \text{const. on } \sigma_1 \quad (k \geq k_0). \quad (4)$$

Since the left hand side of (4) is harmonic in (σ_1) , the same relation holds in (σ_1) , so that if we put

$$\lim_{P \rightarrow Q_1} (g_k(P; Q_1) - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}}) = \gamma_k, \quad (5)$$

then $|\gamma_k - M_k| \leq \text{const.} \quad (k \geq k_0)$, hence by (3),

$$|g_k(P; Q_1) - \gamma_k| \leq K(\mathcal{A}), \quad P \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (k \geq k_2), \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{A} is any compact domain in $\Omega - (Q_1) - (Q_2)$.

Hence we can find k_v , such that

$$\lim_v (g_{k_v}(P; Q_1) - \gamma_{k_v}) = v(P; Q_1, Q_2) \quad (7)$$

converges uniformly in the wider sense in $\mathcal{Q} - (Q_1) - (Q_2)$, so that $\dot{v}(P; Q_1, Q_2)$ is harmonic on \mathcal{Q} , except at Q_1, Q_2 .

Since

$$g_k(P; Q_1) - \gamma_k - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}} \left| \leq \text{const. on } \sigma_1 (k \geq k_0), \right.$$

the same relation holds in (σ_1) , so that

$$\left| v(P; Q_1, Q_2) - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}} \right| \leq \text{const. in } (\sigma_1),$$

hence

$$v(P; Q_1, Q_2) - \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}} \text{ is harmonic and vanishes at } Q_1. \quad (8)$$

Next we shall prove that $v(P; Q_1, Q_2) + \frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}}$ is harmonic at Q_2 .

We put

$$v_k(P) = g_k(P; Q_1) - \gamma_k, \quad (9)$$

then since $v_k(P)$ is harmonic in a ring domain $\mathcal{A}(k, k_0)$, which is bounded by S_k and S_{k_0} , we have for $P \in \mathcal{A}(k, k_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(P) = & \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n} \int_{S_{k_0}} \left(v_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \right) - \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma_Q \\ & + \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n} \int_{S_k} \left(v_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \right) - \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma_Q, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$v_k = v_k(Q), \quad r = PQ,$$

where A_n is the area of a unit sphere, ν is the inner normal and $d\sigma_Q$ is the surface element.

Since $\dot{v}_k = -\gamma_k$ on S_k ,

$$\int_{S_k} v_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \right) d\sigma_Q = -\gamma_k \int_{S_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \right) d\sigma_Q = 0,$$

and since

$$\int_{S_k} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} d\sigma_Q = \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} d\sigma_Q = (n-2)A_n,$$

we have

$$\int_{S_k} \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} d\sigma_Q \rightarrow \frac{(n-2)A_n}{PQ_2^{n-2}} \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence we have from (10), for $P \in (S_{k_0})$

$$v(P; Q_1, Q_2) = \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n} \int_{S_{k_0}} \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \right) - \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma_Q - \frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}},$$

so that

$$v(P; Q_1, Q_2) + \frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}} \text{ is harmonic at } Q_2. \quad (11)$$

If we put $P=Q_2$ in the integral and make $k_0 \rightarrow \infty$, then we see that $v(P; Q_1, Q_2) + \frac{1}{PQ_2^{n-2}}$ vanishes at $P=Q_2$.

Hence the part (i) is proved. The part (ii) can be proved easily from the above proof.

REMARK. We have taken a partial sequence k_v in (7), but we see easily that

$$\lim_k (g_k(P; Q) - \gamma_k) = v(P; Q_1, Q_2)$$

converges uniformly in the wider sense in $\Omega - (Q_1) - (Q_2)$.

2. Let α be a vector through a point $Q (\neq Q_2)$ and Q_1 be a point on α , such that $\overline{QQ_1} = \Delta\nu$, then in

$$v(P; Q_1, Q_2) - v(P; Q, Q_2)$$

the singularity at Q_2 vanishes, so that

$$\lim_{\Delta\nu \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(P; Q_1, Q_2) - v(P; Q, Q_2)}{\Delta\nu} = \frac{\partial v(P; Q, Q_2)}{\partial \nu} = v_1(P; Q) \quad (12)$$

is harmonic on Ω except at Q , where

$$v_1(P; Q) = \frac{(n-2)\cos\theta}{r^{n-1}}, \quad r = \overline{PQ} \quad (13)$$

is harmonic, θ being the angle subtained by two vectors α and \overrightarrow{QP} . Hence we have

THEOREM 5. *There exists a potential function $v_1(P; Q)$ on Ω , which is harmonic except at Q , where*

$$v(P; Q) = \frac{\cos \theta}{r^{n-1}}, \quad r = \overline{QP}$$

is harmonic.

By differentiating $v_1(P; Q)$ with Q , we obtain a potential function on Ω with a polar singularity of any order $\geq n-1$ at Q .

3. Proof of Main theorems.

1. PROOF of THEOREM 3.

We follow the same idea as I have used in the former paper on Fuchsian groups.³⁾ We assume that $n \geq 3$, the case $n=2$ can be proved similarly.

Let D_0 be the n -dimensional paralleliped, which is spanned by n vectors a_1, \dots, a_n through the origin O . By identifying the opposite faces of D_0 , we obtain an n -dimensional torus Ω and let $v(P; Q, Q_1)$ be the potential function on Ω , which is defined by Theorem 4.

We put

$$u(P; Q, Q_1) = \frac{1}{(n-2)} v(P; Q, Q_1), \quad (1)$$

then $u(P; Q, Q_1)$ has singularities $\frac{1}{(n-2)} \cdot \frac{1}{PQ^{n-2}}$, $\frac{-1}{(n-2)} \cdot \frac{1}{PQ_1^{n-2}}$ at Q and Q_1 respectively.

$u(P; Q, Q_1)$ is invariant by the group G of translations, which is generated by a_1, \dots, a_n .

Let S_r be a sphere of radius r about the origin O . We assume that there are no equivalents $Q^{(v)}, Q_1^{(v)}$ of Q, Q_1 on S_1 and S_R ($R > 1$). Then applying Green's formula:

$$\int_S \left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma = 0,$$

where S is the boundary, $d\sigma$ the surface element and ν the inner normal of S , to harmonic functions:

$$u(P) = u(P; Q, Q_1), \quad v(P) = \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}}, \quad r = \overline{OP}$$

3) M. Tsuji: Theory of Fuchsian groups. Jap. Journ. Math. 21 (1951).

for the domain, which is obtained from the ring domain $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(1, R)$: $1 < r < R$, by taking off the insides of small spheres about $Q^{(\nu)}, Q_1^{(\nu)}$ contained in \mathcal{A} and then making the radii of these spheres tend to zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^{n-1}} \int_{S_R} u(P; Q, Q_1) d\sigma_P + A_n \sum_{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \right) \\ & - A_n \sum_{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{r'_{\nu}{}^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \right) = (n-2) \int_{S_1} u(P; Q, Q_1) d\sigma_P + \text{const.}, \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where A_n is the area of a unit sphere, $r_{\nu} = OQ^{(\nu)}$, $r'_{\nu} = OQ_1^{(\nu)}$ and $d\sigma_P$ is the surface element and we sum up for all $Q^{(\nu)}, Q_1^{(\nu)}$ contained in \mathcal{A} .

Let $n(r, Q)$ be the number of $Q^{(\nu)}$ contained in S_r , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{r_{\nu}^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \right) &= \int_1^R \left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \right) dn(r, Q) \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \right) n(r, Q) \right]_1^R + (n-2) \int_1^R \frac{n(r, Q)}{r^{n-1}} dr \\ &= (n-2) \int_1^R \frac{n(r, Q)}{r^{n-1}} dr + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

so that if we put $d\omega_P = \frac{d\sigma_P}{R^{n-1}}$ and writing r instead of R , we have from (2),

$$\frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} u(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P + \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q)}{r^{n-1}} dr = \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q_1)}{r^{n-1}} dr + O(1).$$

We put $u' = u$, if $u \geq 0$ and $u' = 0$, if $u < 0$, then $u = u' - (-u)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} u'(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P + \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q)}{r^{n-1}} dr &= \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} (-u(P; Q, Q_1))' d\omega_P \\ &+ \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q_1)}{r^{n-1}} dr + O(1). \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

We assumed that there are no $Q^{(\nu)}, Q_1^{(\nu)}$ on S_1 and S_R , but we see easily that (3) holds, if there are $Q^{(\nu)}, Q_1^{(\nu)}$ on S_1 and S_R , hence (3) holds in general.

As Nevanlinna, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(r, Q) &= \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P, \\
 N(r, Q) &= \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q) dr}{r^{n-1}}, \\
 T(r, Q) &= m(r, Q) + N(r, Q),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

then from (3),

$$T(r, Q) = \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} (-u(P; Q, Q_1)) d\omega_P + \int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q_1) dr}{r^{n-1}} + O(1).
 \tag{5}$$

Let $U(Q_1)$ be a neighbourhood of Q_1 . We consider Q_1 as fixed and Q vary in $D_0 - U(Q_1)$, then by the part (ii) of Theorem 4, the term $O(1)$ in (5) is uniformly bounded. Hence for any Q, Q_0 in $D_0 - U(Q_1)$, we have

$$T(r, Q) = T(r, Q_0) + O(1).
 \tag{6}$$

Let dv_Q be the volume element, then for any $P \in D_0$,

$$\int_{D_0 - U(Q_1)} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) dv_Q \leq \text{const.},$$

so that from (4) and (6)

$$\int_{D_0 - U(Q_1)} N(r, Q) dv_Q + O(1) = v(D_0 - U(Q_1)) (T(r, Q_0) + O(1)).
 \tag{7}$$

For $Q \in U(Q_1)$, we put

$$T_1(r, Q) = \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} (-u(P; Q_0, Q))^+ d\omega_P + N(r, Q),
 \tag{8}$$

then from (5),

$$T_1(r, Q_1) = T(r, Q_0) + O(1).$$

If we consider $-u$ instead of u , we have similarly as (6), $T_1(r, Q) = T_1(r, Q_1) + O(1)$ for $Q \in U(Q_1)$, so that

$$T_1(r, Q) = T(r, Q_0) + O(1), \quad Q \in U(Q_1).
 \tag{9}$$

Since for any $P \in D_0$,

$$\int_{U(Q_1)} (-u(P; Q_0, Q))^+ dv_Q \leq \text{const.},$$

we have from (8), (9)

$$\int_{U(Q_1)} N(r, Q) dv_Q + O(1) = v(U(Q_1)) (T(r, Q_0) + O(1)). \quad (10)$$

Hence from (7), (10),

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, Q_0) &= \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_{D_0} N(r, Q) dv_Q + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t^{n-1}} \int_{D_0} n(t, Q) dv_Q + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_1^r \frac{v(t) dt}{t^{n-1}} + O(1), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $v(t)$ is the volume of the inside of S_t .

Hence from (6), we have

$$T(r, Q) = T(r) + O(1), \quad (12)$$

where

$$T(r) = \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_0^r \frac{v(r) dr}{r^{n-1}}. \quad (13)$$

This is an analogue of R. Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem for meromorphic functions.

We shall prove that $m(r, Q) = O(1)$.

Let $r_1 = r - d$, $r_2 = r + d$ ($d > 0$) and $Q^{(\nu)}$ be equivalents of Q and $U(Q^{(\nu)})$ be a neighbourhood of $Q^{(\nu)}$ of radius d , then $u^+(P; Q, Q_1)$ is bounded outside of $U(Q^{(\nu)})$ ($\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), hence

$$\int_{S_r} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P = O(1) + \sum_{\nu} \int_{S_r, U(Q^{(\nu)})} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P, \quad (14)$$

where we sum up for all $Q^{(\nu)}$, contained between S_{r_1} and S_{r_2} . Now

$$\int_{S_r, U(Q^{(\nu)})} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\sigma_P \leq K (= \text{const.}) \quad (\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $d\sigma_P$ is the surface element, so that

$$\int_{S_r, U(Q^{(\nu)})} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P \leq \frac{K}{r^{n-1}}.$$

Since $n(r_2, Q) - n(r_1, Q) = O(r^{n-1})$, we have

$$\sum_{\nu} \int_{S_r, U(Q^{(\nu)})} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_P \leq \frac{K}{r^{n-1}} (n(r_2, Q) - n(r_1, Q)) = O(1), \quad (15)$$

so that from (14), (15).

$$m(r, Q) = \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{S_r} u^+(P; Q, Q_1) d\omega_r = O(1). \tag{16}$$

Hence from (12), $N(r, Q) = T(r) + O(1)$, or

$$\int_1^r \frac{n(r, Q) dr}{r^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_0^r \frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}} dr + O(1). \tag{17}$$

We assumed that Q lies outside of $U(Q_1)$, but if we consider $-u$ instead of u , we see that (17) holds, if Q lies in $U(Q_1)$. Hence (17) holds for any $Q \in D_0$. Hence our theorem is proved.

2. PROOF of THEOREM 1.

By an orthogonal transformation, we transform

$$\sum_{i, k=1}^n a_{ik} x_i x_k < r^2 \tag{1}$$

into

$$\frac{\xi_1^2}{a_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_n^2}{a_n^2} < r^2 \tag{2}$$

and then by $\xi_1 = a_1 X_1, \dots, \xi_n = a_n X_n$, into

$$X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 < r^2. \tag{3}$$

Let a unit cube: $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq x_n \leq 1$ be transformed into a parallelepiped D_0 in the (X_1, \dots, X_n) -space, then $v(D_0) = \frac{1}{a_1 \dots a_n}$. The number $n(r)$ of lattice points contained in (1) is equal to the number $n(r, O)$ of equivalents of the origin O contained in (3). Let $v(r)$ be the volume of (3).

Since $\frac{v(r)}{v(D_0)} = a_1 \dots a_n v(r) = V(r)$, where $V(r)$ is the volume of (1), we have by Theorem 3,

$$\int_1^r \frac{n(r)}{r^{n-1}} dr = \int_1^r \frac{n(r, O)}{r^{n-1}} dr = \frac{1}{v(D_0)} \int_0^r \frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}} dr + O(1) = \int_1^r \frac{V(r) dr}{r^{n-1}} + O(1),$$

or

$$\int_1^r \frac{\varrho(r)}{r^{n-1}} dr = O(1). \tag{4}$$

Hence Theorem 1 is proved.

Similarly we can prove Theorem 2.

REMARK. Let $\lambda > 1$, then by (4) for any $r > 1$,

$$\int_r^{\lambda r} \frac{\varrho(r)}{r^{n+1}} dr = \text{const.} \quad (5)$$

If $\varrho(r)$ is of constant sign in $[r, \lambda r]$, then considering $\inf |\varrho(r)|$ we see that there exists τ ($r \leq \tau \leq \lambda r$), such that

$$|\varrho(\tau)| \geq \text{const.} \tau^{n+1}. \quad (6)$$

Now $[r, \lambda r]$ can be divided into a finite number of disjoint intervals, in each of which $\varrho(r)$ is continuous and decreasing, so that, if $\varrho(r)$ changes its sign in $[r, \lambda r]$, then there exists τ , such that $\varrho(\tau) = 0$ or in one of the intervals $[r, (1+\lambda)r]$, $[(1+\lambda)r, \lambda r]$, $\varrho(r)$ is of constant sign, hence there exists τ , which satisfies (6). Hence we have

THEOREM 6. *For any $r > 1$, there exists τ ($r \leq \tau \leq \lambda r$), such that*

$$|\varrho(\tau)| \geq \text{const.} \tau^{n+1} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Hence if $n=2$, $|\varrho(\tau)| \geq \text{const.}$ We remark that in Landau's estimation $\varrho(r) = O(r^{n-\frac{2n}{n+1}})$, $n = \frac{2n}{n+1} = n-2$.

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo University.