

## On Conformal Representation of Multiply Connected Polygonal Domain.

Akira MORI.

(Received Oct. 1, 1949)

It is known, that a function  $w(z)$  is schlicht and star-shaped with respect to  $w(0)=0$  in  $|z|<1$ , when, and only when, it can be expressed in the form

$$w(z) = \text{const.} \cdot z \cdot \exp. 2 \int_{|\zeta|=1} \log \frac{\zeta}{\zeta-z} d\mu(\zeta),$$

where  $\mu$  denotes a positive distribution of total mass 1 on the unit circle. This formula can also be written in the form

$$w(z) = \text{const.} \exp. \int_{|\zeta|=1} \log \frac{z}{\left(1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^2} d\mu(\zeta),$$

and here comes out *Koebe's extremal function*. The argument of this function is equal to a constant on  $|z|=1$  except the point  $\zeta$ , and jumps by  $+2\pi$  when  $z$  passes  $\zeta$  in positive direction on  $|z|=1$ . Then, the above formula shows: The star-shaped function  $w(z)$ , whose argument is non-decreasing for  $z$  moving on  $|z|=1$  in positive direction, can be constructed from such elements as a sort of geometrical mean.

We shall prove in this paper an analogue of this fact for  $n$ -ply connected domain, and, as an application thereof, treat the conformal representation of  $n$ -ply connected polygonal domain.

In order to simplify the wording, we call a half straight-line  $\text{Arg } \Omega = \text{const.}$ ,  $|\Omega| \geq \text{const.} > 0$  an "*infinite radial slit*", and a segment  $\text{Arg } \Omega = \text{const.}$ ,  $\text{const.} \geq |\Omega| \geq \text{const.} > 0$  a "*radial slit*", respectively.

### § 1.

Let  $D$  be a domain on  $z$ -plane bounded by  $n$  analytic closed curves  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ , whose sum we denote by  $\Gamma$ , and let  $z_0$  be a fixed point in  $D$ .

For any point  $\zeta$  on  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  the function which satisfies the conditions  $\Omega(z_0, \zeta) = 0$ ,  $\Omega'(z_0, \zeta) = 1$  and maps  $D$  conformally on the whole  $\Omega$ -plane cut along an infinite radial slit and  $(n-1)$  radial slits, so that the boundary point  $\zeta$  of  $D$  corresponds to the boundary point

$\Omega = \infty$ . The existence, uniqueness and the continuity in  $\zeta$  of such functions are to be proved afterwards in Lemmas 1. and 3.

We will now formulate the theorem to be proved as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $w(z)$  be a function which satisfies the following three conditions:*

1.  *$w(z)$  is regular and does not vanish in  $D$  except at  $z_0$ , where it has an expansion of the form*

$$w(z) = (z - z_0)^{\alpha} \left\{ 1 + c_1(z - z_0) + \dots \right\} \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

2.  *$|w(z)|$  is one-valued in  $D$ .*

3. *Any branch of  $\text{Arg } w(z)$  is bounded in the neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$ , and the limiting value*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta^*} \text{Arg } w(z) = \theta(\zeta^*)$$

*exists for each  $\zeta^*$  on  $\Gamma$  except at most an enumerable infinity of points and is of bounded variation, as function of  $\zeta^*$  on  $\Gamma$ , on the set where it exists.*

*A necessary and sufficient condition for this, is that  $w(z)$  can be expressed in the form*

$$(1) \quad w(z) = \exp. \int_{\Gamma} \log \varrho(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

*where  $\sigma$  is a distribution of bounded variation of total mass  $\alpha$  on  $\Gamma$ , determined by the function of bounded variation  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \theta(\zeta^*)$ .*

We shall make some preparations and prove some lemmas.

*Definition of the Riemann surface  $\Phi$ .* Let  $\tilde{D}$  be another sheet of  $D$ . We put  $\tilde{D}$  on  $D$  and identify the corresponding boundary points of  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$ . This closed surface can be regarded as a closed Riemann surface  $\Phi$  of genus  $n-1$ , since we can define a local parameter  $t(p)$  for each point  $p$  on  $\Phi$ : for a point of  $\tilde{D}$  by taking conjugate complex, and for a point on  $\Gamma$  by reflection in  $\Gamma$ .

By interchanging the two sheets  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$ , we obtain a transformation  $p \rightarrow \tilde{p}$  which transforms  $\Phi$  into itself conformally with inversion of angles.

Besides, we denote by  $\omega_{q_1, q_2}(p)$  the elementary integral of third kind on  $\Phi$ , which has the singularities  $\log t(q_1)$  at  $q_1$  and  $-\log t(q_2)$  at  $q_2$ , and whose real part is one-valued on  $\Phi$ . And by  $\omega'_{q_1, q_2}(p)$  we denote the elementary integral of third kind, which has the singularities  $-i \log t(q_1)$  at

$q_1$  and  $i \log t(q_2)$  at  $q_2$ , and whose real part is one-valued on  $\Phi$  cut along a curve connecting  $q_1$  with  $q_2$ <sup>1)</sup>.

**Lemma 1.** For each  $\zeta$  on  $\Gamma$ , there exists one and only one function  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  with the mentioned properties.

**Proof.** We put

$$\omega_{z_0, \zeta}(p) + \omega_{\tilde{z}_0, \zeta}(p) = u(p) + iv(p),$$

and

$$(2) \quad \Omega(z, \zeta) = \text{const. exp. } \{u(z) + iv(z)\}.$$

Since the one-valued potential function  $u(\tilde{p})$  has on  $\Phi$  the same singularities as  $u(p)$ , and takes on  $\Gamma$  the same value as  $u(p)$ , we have

$$u(p) \equiv u(\tilde{p}),$$

i.e.  $u(p)$  takes the same value at  $\tilde{p}$  as at  $p$ . Hence we have at each point on  $\Gamma$  except  $\zeta$ ,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{consequently} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} = 0,$$

where  $\nu$  and  $\tau$  denote the normal and tangent to  $\Gamma$ . Therefore,  $v$  takes a constant value on each  $\Gamma_k$ . It follows from this, that  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  is one-valued in  $D$ .

On the other hand,  $u$  is finite at each point of  $\Gamma$  except  $\zeta$ , where  $u$  is positively infinite. Hence, the image of  $\Gamma$  by  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  consists of an infinite radial slit and  $n-1$  radial slits.

Let  $\Omega_0$  be a point of  $\Omega$ -plane, which does not belong to these  $n$  slits. Since

$$\text{Arg} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega(z, \zeta)} - \frac{1}{\Omega_0} \right\}$$

remains unchanged when  $z$  moves on  $\Gamma$  once around and returns to the original value, and since  $1/\Omega(z, \zeta)$  has one and only one pole in  $D$ ,  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  takes each value  $\Omega_0$  once and only once in  $D$ . Therefore,  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  provides the required mapping, when the constant factor in (2) is determined by the condition  $\Omega'(z_0, \zeta) = 1$ .

The uniqueness of the mapping function can be proved as follows. Let  $\Omega_1(z, \zeta)$  be another mapping function with the mentioned properties. When

we continue  $\Omega_1(z, \zeta)$  analytically across  $\Gamma$  on  $\Phi$  by the principle of reflection, we obtain a one-valued potential function  $\log |\Omega_1(p, \zeta)|$  on  $\Phi$ , since  $|\Omega_1|$  remains unchanged by reflection in a radial slit. Moreover  $\log |\Omega_1(p, \zeta)|$  has the same singularities as  $u(p)$ . Therefore, by the normalisation  $\Omega_1(z_0, \zeta) = 1$ ,  $\Omega_1(z, \zeta)$  must be identical with  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$ .

*Remark.* By the same idea as in the above proof, we can construct the function  $\Omega(z, z^*)$  for  $z^* \in D$ , which maps  $D$  conformally on the whole  $\Omega$ -plane cut along  $n$  radial slits and satisfies  $\Omega(z_0, z^*) = 0$ ,  $\Omega'(z_0, z^*) = 1$ ,  $\Omega(z^*, z^*) = \infty$ . For this purpose, we have only to put

$$(3) \quad \Omega(z, z^*) = \text{const. exp.} \left\{ \omega_{z_0 z^*}(z) + \omega_{z_0, z^*}^{\sim}(z) \right\}.$$

We cut the domain  $D$  by  $n$  curves, each of which connects  $z_0$  respectively with an arbitrarily fixed point  $\zeta_k$  on  $\Gamma_k$ , and which do not cross each others. We denote by  $D_0$  the resulting simply connected domain, in which  $\text{Arg } \Omega(z, \zeta)$  is one-valued. We can assume that  $D_0$  contains wholly in it a line element  $dx$  at  $z_0$  with direction of positive real axis. We take the branch of  $\text{Arg } \Omega(z, \zeta)$  which vanishes at  $z_0 + dx$  and put

$$\theta(z, \zeta) = \text{Arg } \Omega(z, \zeta)$$

for  $z \in D_0$ .

As function of  $z$  with fixed  $\zeta$ ,  $\theta(z, \zeta)$  has the following properties.

**Lemma 2.**  $\theta(z, \zeta)$  is bounded in  $D_0$ , and the limiting value

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta^*} \theta(z, \zeta) = \theta(\zeta^*, \zeta)$$

exists for each  $\zeta^*$  on  $\Gamma$  except  $\zeta$ .  $\theta(\zeta^*, \zeta)$  is equal to a constant on each arc of  $\Gamma$  which contains neither  $\zeta$  nor  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$ , and jumps by  $+2\pi$  at  $\zeta$  when  $\zeta^*$  moves on  $\Gamma$  in positive direction.

**Proof.** This is obvious from the shape of the image of  $D$  by  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$ .

As function of  $\zeta$  with fixed  $z$ ,  $\log \Omega(z, \zeta)$  and  $\theta(z, \zeta)$  have the following properties.

**Lemma 3.**  $\log \Omega(z, \zeta)$  is one-valued and continuous, and its imaginary part  $\theta(z, \zeta)$  is uniformly bounded for the parameter  $z$  in  $D_0$ .

**Proof.** The constant factor in (2), which is to be determined by the condition  $\Omega'(z_0, \zeta) = 1$ , depends naturally on  $\zeta$ . When we write (2) in the form

$$\log \Omega(z, \zeta) = \int_{z_0^*}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} + \int_{z_0^*}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta}^{\sim} + c(\zeta),$$

where  $z_0^*$  denotes an arbitrarily fixed point in  $D$ , the condition  $\Omega'(z_0, \zeta) = 1$

is given by

$$c(\zeta) = \lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ - \int_{z_0^*}^{z_1} d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} - \int_{z_0^*}^{z_1} d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} + \log(z_1 - z_0) \right\},$$

and we obtain the following definite form of  $\log \Omega(z, \zeta)$ ,

$$\log \Omega(z, \zeta) = \lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} + \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} + \log(z_1 - z_0) \right\}.$$

We assume that  $\zeta$  lies on  $\Gamma_k$ , and consider the difference

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \Omega(z, \zeta) - \log \Omega(z, \zeta_k) \\ &= \lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} - \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta_k} + \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta} - \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{z_0, \zeta_k} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{z_1 \rightarrow z_0} 2 \int_{z_1}^z d\omega_{\zeta_k, \zeta} = 2 \int_{z_0}^z d\omega_{\zeta_k, \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

By the *theorem of interchange of argument and parameter*,<sup>2)</sup> we can write this in the form

$$\log \Omega(z, \zeta) - \log \Omega(z, \zeta_k) = 2 \left\{ \Re \int_{\zeta_k}^{\zeta} d\omega_{z_0, z} + i \Re \int_{\zeta_k}^{\zeta} d\omega'_{z_0, z} \right\}.$$

This proves the mentioned property of  $\log \Omega(z, \zeta)$ .

While taking the imaginary part of this formula, we have

$$\theta(z, \zeta) - \theta(z, \zeta_k) = 2 \Re \int_{\zeta_k}^{\zeta} d\omega'_{z_0, z}.$$

Since the right-hand side is certainly uniformly bounded for  $z$  in  $D_0$ , and since  $\theta(z, \zeta_k)$  is, by Lemma 2, bounded in  $D_0$ ,  $\theta(z, \zeta)$  is uniformly bounded for  $z$  in  $D_0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a function one-valued and regular in  $D$ , whose imaginary part is bounded. If the limiting value*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \Im f(z)$$

*exists for each  $\zeta$  on  $\Gamma$  except at most an enumerable infinity of points, and if this limiting value is equal respectively to a constant on each  $\Gamma_k$ , then  $f(z)$  is identically equal to a constant.*

**Proof.** In the first place, since  $\Im f(z)$  is bounded, there exist in fact no exceptional points. Then, we can continue  $f(z)$  analytically on  $\Phi$  across each  $\Gamma_k$ , by the principle of reflection. Since  $\Re f(z)$  remains unchanged by

reflection in a straight-line parallel to the real axis, we obtain, by this continuation, a one-valued potential function  $\Re f(p)$  everywhere regular on  $\Phi$ , which must be identically a constant.

Q. E. D.

Now we will prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.**

*Sufficiency.* Since  $\log \Omega(z, \zeta)$  is continuous as function of  $\zeta$  by Lemma 3,

$$w(z) = \exp. \int_{\Gamma} \Omega(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

represents an analytic function of  $z$ , which obviously satisfies the conditions 1 and 2. The property 3 can be proved as follows.

For a branch of  $\text{Arg } w(z)$  one-valued in  $D_0$ , we have

$$\text{Arg } w(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \theta(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

By Lemma 3, this function of  $z$  is bounded in  $D_0$ . When  $z$  approaches to a point  $\zeta^*$  on  $\Gamma$ , which is a point of continuity of the distribution  $\sigma$ , we have, by Lemmas 2, 3 and by Lebesgue's theorem,

$$\theta(\zeta^*) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta^*} \text{Arg } w(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta^*} \int_{\Gamma} \theta(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_{\Gamma} \theta(\zeta^*, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Therefore, the limiting value  $\theta(\zeta^*)$  certainly exists for a point of continuity of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $C$  be an arc of positive direction on  $\Gamma_k$ , which does not contain the point  $\zeta_k$ , and whose starting and ending points  $\zeta_1^*$ ,  $\zeta_2^*$  are both points of continuity of  $\sigma$ .

Then, we have

$$\theta(\zeta_2^*) - \theta(\zeta_1^*) = \int_{\Gamma} \left\{ \theta(\zeta_2^*, \zeta) - \theta(\zeta_1^*, \zeta) \right\} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

On the other hand, we have by Lemma 2,

$$\theta(\zeta_2^*, \zeta) - \theta(\zeta_1^*, \zeta) = \begin{cases} 2\pi & \zeta \in C, \\ 0 & \zeta \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\theta(\zeta_2^*) - \theta(\zeta_1^*) = 2\pi\sigma(C).$$

This proves the last part of 3 and the mentioned relation between  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$ .

*Necessity.* Let  $w(z)$  be a function which satisfies the conditions 1, 2 and 3. We define by  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\theta(\zeta^*)$  a distribution  $\sigma$  of bounded variation on  $\Gamma$ .

Obviously  $\sigma$  has the total mass  $a$ . We put

$$w_1(z) = \exp. \int_{\Gamma} \log \Omega(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

$$\theta_1(\zeta^*) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta^*} \text{Arg } w_1(z)$$

and 
$$f(z) = \log \frac{w(z)}{w_1(z)}.$$

$f(z)$  is one-valued and regular in  $D$ .

Let  $C$  be such an arc of  $\Gamma_k$ , as mentioned in the first part of this proof. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_2^*} \Im f(z) - \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_1^*} \Im f(z) \\ &= \left\{ \theta(\zeta_2^*) - \theta_1(\zeta_2^*) \right\} - \left\{ \theta(\zeta_1^*) - \theta_1(\zeta_1^*) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \theta(\zeta_2^*) - \theta(\zeta_1^*) \right\} - \left\{ \theta_1(\zeta_2^*) - \theta_1(\zeta_1^*) \right\} \\ &= 2\pi\sigma(C) - 2\pi\sigma(C) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\Im f(z)$  has a constant limiting value on each  $\Gamma_k$  respectively. Further,  $\Im f(z)$  is bounded in  $D$ , since  $\text{Arg } w(z)$  and  $\text{Arg } w_1(z)$  are both bounded in  $D_0$ . Consequently by Lemma 4 we obtain

$$f(z) \equiv \text{const.},$$

and the normalisation in condition 1 gives

$$w(z) \equiv w_1(z).$$

Q. E. D.

*Remark 2* Making use of conformal representation, Theorem 1 finds itself valid, in the form as it stands, for any  $n$ -ply connected Jordan domain.

## § 2.

If  $D$  is the interior of a circle or a circular ring-shaped domain, we can write down the explicit forms of  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  and  $\Omega(z, z^*)$ .<sup>3)</sup>

The case where  $D$  is the interior of the unit circle  $|z| < 1$  and  $z_0$  is the origin  $z=0$ .

By reflection in  $|z|=1$ , the Riemann surface  $\Phi$  represents itself conformally on the whole  $z$ -plane. And the elementary integral  $w_{z_0, z_1}$  is given by

$$w_{z_0, z_1}(z) = \log \frac{z}{z-z_1} + \text{const.}$$

While giving suitable values to  $z_1$  and combining them, we obtain by (2) and (3)

$$\Omega(z, \zeta) = \frac{z}{\left(1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\right)^2}$$

and

$$\Omega(z, z^*) = \frac{z}{\left(1 - \frac{z}{z^*}\right)\left(1 - \bar{z}^*z\right)}$$

under consideration of the normalisation  $\Omega'(0)=1$ .

The case where  $D$  is the ring-shaped domain  $q < |z| < 1$  and  $z_0$  is real and positive.

By repeated reflections in the boundary curves, and by the transformation

$$u = u(z) = -i \log \frac{z}{z_0}$$

the universal covering surface of  $\Phi$  is mapped conformally on the whole finite  $u$ -plane. Then, putting  $u_1 = u(z_1)$ ,  $w_{z_0, z_1}$  is given by

$$w_{z_0, z_1} = \log \frac{\sigma(u)}{\sigma(u-u_1)} - \left( \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1} \Re u_1 + i \frac{\eta_3}{\omega_3} \Im u_1 \right) u + \text{const.},$$

where  $\sigma$  denotes the Weierstrass'  $\sigma$ -function with primitive periods

$$2\omega_1 = 2\pi, \quad 2\omega_3 = -2i \log q$$

and  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_3$  have the ordinary significations.

While giving suitable values to  $z_1$  and combining them, we obtain by (3), after simple calculations,

$$\Omega(z, z^*) = -iz_0 \frac{\sigma\left(i \log \frac{z_0}{z^*}\right) \sigma\left(i \log \bar{z}^* z_0\right)}{\sigma(2i \log z_0)} \cdot \frac{\sigma\left(i \log \frac{z}{z_0}\right) \sigma\left(i \log z_0 z\right)}{\sigma\left(i \log \frac{z}{z^*}\right) \sigma\left(i \log \bar{z}^* z\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{2i \frac{\eta_1}{\pi} \text{Arg } z^*}$$



under consideration of the normalisation  $\Omega'(z_0)=1$ .

When we replace  $z^*$  by  $\zeta$  in the above formula, we obtain the expression for  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$ . But it can be a little simplified by separating the two cases  $|\zeta|=1$  and  $|\zeta|=q$ . In fact, we have

$$\Omega(z, e^{i\varphi}) = -\frac{iz_0}{\sigma(2i \log z_0)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(i \log z_0 + \varphi)^2}{\sigma(i \log z + \varphi)^2} \cdot \sigma\left(i \log \frac{z}{z_0}\right) \sigma(i \log z_0 z) \cdot \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{2i \frac{\eta_1}{\pi} \varphi}$$

and

$$\Omega(z, qe^{i\varphi}) = -\frac{iz_0}{\sigma(2i \log z_0)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_3(i \log z_0 + \varphi)^2}{\sigma_3(i \log z + \varphi)^2} \cdot \sigma\left(i \log \frac{z}{z_0}\right) \sigma(i \log z_0 z) \cdot \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{2i \frac{\eta_1}{\pi} \varphi}$$

*Remark.* If  $D$  is the domain  $|z| < 1$ , or if  $D$  is  $q < |z| < 1$  and  $u=0$ , while differentiating the logarithm of (1) and multiplying it by  $z$ , we obtain by the above expressions for  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  the Poisson-Stieltjes' or the Villat-Stieltjes<sup>4)</sup> expression for  $zw'/z$ . Further, it is easy to prove from Theorem 1 these two formulae in their perfect forms.

### § 3.

As an application of Theorem 1, we shall give an expression for the mapping function of  $n$ -ply connected polygonal domain, an analogue of Schwarz-Christoffel's formula. Here, by the word " *$n$ -ply connected polygonal domain*", we mean an  *$n$ -ply connected Riemann surface  $P$  of planar character (schlichtartig), whose boundary consists of a finite number of segments or half straight-lines.*  $P$  may contain in it a finite number of points of ramification, and may cover the point at infinity a finite number of times.

We assume that  $n$  is greater than 1. Let  $D$  be a concentric circular ring  $R_1 < |z| < R_2$  with  $n-2$  concentric circular slits, whose  $2(n-2)$  end points we denote by  $s_k$  ( $k=1, \dots$ ). And we fix a point  $z_0$  in  $D$  arbitrarily.

Let  $f(z)$  be the function which maps  $D$  conformally on  $P$ . We denote by  $\zeta_k$  the boundary point of  $D$ , which corresponds by this function to a vertex of  $P$  with the interior angle  $u_k\pi$ . If the vertex lies on the point at infinity, we agree to give  $u_k$  negative sign.

*In the first place, we assume that  $P$  contains in it neither points of ramification nor points lying at infinity.*

Then,  $zf'(z)$  is regular and does not vanish in  $D$ , and when  $z$  moves

on the boundary of  $D$  in positive direction, the variations of its argument are as follows :

$$d\text{Arg } zf'(z) = d\text{Arg } \frac{df(z)}{d \log z} = d\text{Arg } df(z) - d\text{Arg } d \log z,$$

$$d\text{Arg } df(z) = (1 - a_k)\pi \quad \text{at } \zeta_k \quad \text{and} \quad = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere,}$$

$$d\text{Arg } d \log z = -\pi \quad \text{at } s_k \quad \text{and} \quad = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

Therefore, while defining the distribution  $\sigma$  by

$$\sigma(\zeta_k) = \frac{1 - a_k}{2}, \quad \sigma(s_k) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \equiv 0 \quad \text{elsewhere,}$$

we obtain by theorem 1.

$$zf'(z) = z_0 f'(z_0) \prod_k \Omega(z, \zeta_k)^{\frac{1 - a_k}{2}} \prod_k \Omega(z, s_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Thus, we have the following expression for the mapping function.

$$f(z) = z_0 f'(z_0) \int_{z_0}^z \prod_k \Omega(z, \zeta_k)^{\frac{1 - a_k}{2}} \prod_k \Omega(z, s_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dz}{z} + f(z_0).$$

In the general case, we denote by  $z_k$  the point of  $D$  which corresponds to a point of ramification of  $m_k$ -th order ( $m_k > 0$ ) on  $P$  lying in the finite part of the plane, and by  $z'_k$  the point which corresponds to a point of ramification of  $m'_k$ -th order ( $m'_k \geq 0$ ) lying at infinity.

Then,  $zf'(z)$  has a zero of  $m_k$ -th order at  $z_k$  and a pole of  $(m'_k + 2)$ -th order at  $z'_k$ . We can apply Theorem 1 to the function

$$z \cdot f'(z) \cdot \frac{\prod_k \Omega(z, z_k)^{m_k}}{\prod_k \Omega(z, z'_k)^{m'_k + 2}}$$

and, since we have

$$d\text{Arg } \Omega(z, z^*) \equiv 0$$

on the boundary of  $D$ , the distribution  $\sigma$  can be so determined as before. Therefore, we have

$$zf'(z) = C_1 \prod_k \Omega(z, \zeta_k)^{\frac{1 - a_k}{2}} \cdot \frac{\prod_k \Omega(z, z'_k)^{m'_k + 2}}{\prod_k \Omega(z, z_k)^{m_k}} \cdot \prod_k \Omega(z, s_k)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$C_1$  being a suitable constant.

Thus, we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.** The function which maps  $D$  conformally on  $P$  is given by

$$f(z) = C_1 \int_k^z \prod_k \Omega(z, \zeta_k)^{\frac{1-\alpha_k}{2}} \cdot \frac{\prod_k \Omega(z, z'_k)^{m_k'+2}}{\prod_k \Omega(z, z_k)^{m_k}} \cdot \prod_k \Omega(z, s_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{dz}{z} + C_2,$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants depending on position and magnitude of  $P$  and on the lower bound of the integration.

*Remark 1.* If one of the points  $z_k$  or  $z'_k$  coincides with  $z_0$ , we have to understand  $\Omega(z, z_0)$  to be  $\equiv 1$  in the above formula.

*Remark 2.* Though we have deduced Theorem 2 under the assumption  $n \geq 2$ , it is also valid for  $n=1$ , as can be seen easily, if  $D$  is the domain  $|z| < R$  and  $z_0$  is the origin  $z=0$ .

By the expressions for  $\Omega(z, \zeta)$  given in § 2., we can easily see that, in case  $P$  is schlicht, the formula of Theorem 2 coincides for  $n=1$  with the ordinary Schwarz-Christoffel's formula, and for  $n=2$  with the formula given by Mr. Y. Komatu<sup>5)</sup>.

Mathematical Institute,  
Tokyo University.

### References.

- 1) H. Weyl: Die Idee der Riemannschen Fläche, § 16. (1913).
- 2) H. Weyl: l. c.
- 3) As for the expression of  $\Omega$  in the general case in terms of Bergman's kernel function, cf. M. Ozawa: Some canonical conformal maps and representations, Kōdai Mathematical Seminar Reports No. 3 June 1950.
- 4) Y. Komatu: Darstellungen der in einem Kreisringe analytischen Funktionen nebst den Anwendungen auf konforme Abbildung über Polygonalringgebiete. Japanese Journ. of Math. Vol. 19, No. 2 (1945).
- 5) Y. Komatu: l. c.