COMBINATORIAL RICCI CURVATURE ON CELL-COMPLEX AND GAUSS-BONNNET THEOREM

KAZUYOSHI WATANABE

(Received June 16, 2017, revised December 7, 2017)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new definition of the Ricci curvature on cell-complexes and prove the Gauss-Bonnnet type theorem for graphs and 2-complexes that decompose closed surfaces. The differential forms on a cell complex are defined as linear maps on the chain complex, and the Laplacian operates this differential forms. Our Ricci curvature is defined by the combinatorial Bochner-Weitzenböck formula. We prove some propositionerties of combinatorial vector fields on a cell complex.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we introduce a new definition of the Ricci curvature on cell-complexes and prove the Gauss-Bonnnet type theorem for graphs and 2-complexes that decompose closed surfaces. In the Riemanian geometry, the curvature plays an important role, and there are many results on the curvature on smooth manifolds. Especially the Gauss-Bonnet theorem is known as a fundamental property of a smooth closed manifold. The curvature on a cell complex was studied in many ways. R. Forman defined the Ricci curvature on a cell complex with the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula on the cochain. With this curvature he also showed Bochner's theorem, Myers' theorem and so on. For the curvature defined by angles, M^cCormick Paul [7] established the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, but in Forman's way the Gauss-Bonnet theorem does not hold.

R. Forman established the discrete Morse theory in [5]. He studied the function on a cell complex and the relation between critical cells and the homology of the cell complex. He also extended this theory to the discrete Novikov-Morse theory, and in this theory he defined a differential form on the cell complex. This differential form is not the cochain of the cell complex but a linear map on the chain of the cell complex. In this paper we use these differential forms to define the Ricci curvature. We introduce the L^2 inner product on the space of combinatorial differential forms, and this inner product determines the Laplacian on combinatorial differential forms. Then the Ricci curvature is defined with the combinatorial Bochner-Weitzenböck formula for the combinatorial differential forms.

For the construction of the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula, we need the covariant of a 1-form. For this definition we present the 0- and 2-neighbor vector. These vectors are roughly regarded as "the pararell vectors". We define the covariant of a 1-form as the difference between the components of pararell vectors. Then for the cell complex with constant weights, the Ricci curvature is calculated as combinatorial computation,

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05E45; Secondary 53B21.

Key words and phrases. Cell complex, Ricci curvature, Gauss-Bonnet theorem

 $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(\tau > \sigma) = (2 - \#\{0 - \operatorname{neighbor vector of } (\tau > \sigma)\})(\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2.$

This formula means that the Ricci curvature on the cell complex at the cell σ is determined by the structure around σ . For a graph or a 2-dimensional complex that decomposes a closed surface, the Ricci curvature for a unit vector at a vertex (resp. at a face f) is independent of the choice of the unit vector, and we define this value as the Gauss curvature g_v (resp. g_f) at the vertex v (resp. the face f). We have the following Gauss-Bonnet type theorems.

THEOREM 1.1. Let G be a finite simple graph. Then we have

(1.1)
$$\sum_{v} g_{v} = 2\chi(G)$$

where the sum is taken over all vertexes v and $\chi(G)$ is the Euler number of G.

THEOREM 1.2. Let *M* be a 2-dimensional quasiconvex cell complex that decomposes a 2-dimensional closed smooth surface. Then we have

(1.2)
$$\sum_{v} g_v + \sum_{f} g_f = 4\chi(M),$$

where the sums are taken over all vertexes v and all faces f respectively, and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler number of M.

We also present the vector field on a cell complex. This is defined as a dual of a combinatorial differential 1-form and we prove some properties of this vector field.

2. Combinatorial differential Forms.

2.1. Definition of Combinatorial differential Forms. In this section, we present a differential form on a cell-complex intoroduced in [3]. Let M be a regular cell complex of dimension n, and

$$0 \longrightarrow C_n(M) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{n-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

be the real cellular chain complex of M. We set

(2.1)
$$C_*(M) = \bigoplus_p C_p(M)$$

A linear map $\omega : C_*(M) \to C_*(M)$ is said to be of *degree d* if for all p = 1, ..., n,

(2.2)
$$\omega(C_p(M)) \subset C_{p-d}(M).$$

We say that a linear map ω of degree *d* is *local* if, for each *p* and each oriented *p*-cell α , $\omega(\alpha)$ is a linear combination of oriented (p - d)-cells that are faces of α .

DEFINITION 2.1. For $d \ge 0$, we say that a local linear map $\omega : C_*(M) \to C_*(M)$ of degree *d* is *a combinatorial differential d-form*, and we denote the space of combinatorial differential *d*-forms by $\Omega^d(M)$.

We define the differential of combinatorial differential forms

(2.3)
$$d: \Omega^d(M) \to \Omega^{d+1}(M)$$

as follows. For any $\omega \in \Omega^d(M)$ and any p-chain c, we define $(d\omega)(c) \in C_{p-(d+1)}(M)$ by

(2.4)
$$(d\omega)(c) = \partial(\omega(c)) - (-1)^d \omega(\partial c)$$

That is,

(2.5)
$$d\omega = \partial \circ \omega - (-1)^d \omega \circ \partial$$

LEMMA 2.2 ([3]). The differential for combinatorial differential forms satisfies the following propositionerties.

• $d(\Omega^d(M)) \subseteq \Omega^{(d+1)}(M).$

•
$$d^2 = 0$$

This lemma determines the differential complex

$$\mathcal{Q}^*(M): 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0(M) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{Q}^1(M) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{Q}^n(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

THEOREM 2.3 ([3]). The cohomology of this complex is isomorphic to the singular cohomology of M. That is,

(2.6)
$$H^*(\Omega^*(M)) \cong H^*(M).$$

2.2. Laplacian for Combinatorial differential Forms. Let us define an inner product on $C_*(M)$. For any two *p*-cells σ, σ' , we set an inner product as

(2.7)
$$\langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle = \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} w_{\sigma},$$

where $\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}$ is the Kronecker's delta, that is, $\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} = 1$ for $\sigma = \sigma'$ and the others are 0, and $w_{\sigma} > 0$ is a weight of a cell σ . We define the L^2 inner product for combinatorial differential forms. For two *d*-forms *u*, *v*, we set

(2.8)
$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{w_{\sigma}} \langle u(\sigma), v(\sigma) \rangle,$$

where the sum is taken over all cells σ in M.

Let us consider the adjoint operator of differential with respect to the inner product,

(2.9)
$$d^*: \Omega^d(M) \to \Omega^{d-1}(M).$$

That is, for a *d*-form *u* and a (d - 1)-form *v* we have

(2.10)
$$\langle d^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, dv \rangle.$$

The space of combinatorial differential *d*-forms $\Omega^d(M)$ is a subvector space of the space of linear maps of degree *d* on the chain $C_*(M)$. Then we set *p* as the projection on the space of linear maps of degree *d* on the chain $C_*(M)$ to the space of combinatorial differential *d*-forms $\Omega^d(M)$.

LEMMA 2.4. For any *d*-form ω , we have

(2.11)
$$d^* = p \circ (\partial^* \circ \omega - (-1)^{(d-1)} \omega \circ \partial^*).$$

PROOF. For a *p*-dimensional cell τ and a (p-d)-dimensional cell σ which is a face of τ , we put a *d*-form e_{σ}^{τ} such that

$$(2.12) e^{\tau}_{\sigma}(\tau) = \sigma,$$

and the value is 0 for other cells. They form a basis of d-forms as a real vector space.

Let τ , σ , α , β be cells, and assume that e_{σ}^{τ} is a *d*-form and e_{β}^{α} is a (d-1)-form. Then we have

(2.13)
$$\langle d^* e^{\tau}_{\sigma}, e^{\alpha}_{\beta} \rangle = \langle e^{\tau}_{\sigma}, de^{\alpha}_{\beta} \rangle$$
$$= \langle e^{\tau}_{\sigma}, \partial \circ e^{\alpha}_{\beta} \rangle - (-1)^{(d-1)} \langle e^{\tau}_{\sigma}, e^{\alpha}_{\beta} \circ \partial \rangle .$$

We put A as the right-hand side of equation (2.11), and have

(2.14)
$$\langle Ae^{\tau}_{\sigma}, e^{\alpha}_{\beta} \rangle = \langle e^{\tau}_{\sigma}, \partial e^{\alpha}_{\beta} \rangle - (-1)^{(d-1)} \langle e^{\tau}_{\sigma} \circ \partial^{*}, e^{\alpha}_{\beta} \rangle$$

Now we calculate the last term of equation (2.13),

(2.15)
$$\langle e_{\sigma}^{\tau}, e_{\beta}^{\alpha} \circ \partial \rangle = \sum_{c:\text{cell}} \frac{1}{w_c} \langle e_{\sigma}^{\tau}(c), e_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\partial c) \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{w_c} \langle \sigma, e_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\partial \tau) \rangle$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}} (-1)^{\tau > \alpha} & \text{for } \tau > \alpha, \ \sigma = \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$

We calculate the last term of equation (2.14),

(2.16)
$$\langle e_{\sigma}^{\tau} \circ \partial^{*}, e_{\beta}^{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{c:\text{cell}} \frac{1}{w_{c}} \langle e_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\partial^{*}c), e_{\beta}^{\alpha}(c) \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{w_{\alpha}} \langle e_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\partial^{*}\alpha), \beta \rangle$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}}(-1)^{\tau > \alpha} & \text{for } \tau > \alpha, \ \sigma = \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(2.17) d^* e^{\tau}_{\sigma} = A e^{\tau}_{\sigma} \,.$$

DEFINITION 2.5. We define the Laplacian for combinatorial differential forms by

$$(2.18) \qquad \qquad \Delta = dd^* + d^*d \,.$$

THEOREM 2.6. Let M be a finite regular cell-complex. Then we have

(2.19)
$$\operatorname{Ker}(\varDelta) \cong H^*(\Omega^*(M)) \cong H^*(M).$$

PROOF. The Laplacian is a self-adjoint operator on the finite dimensional vector space $\Omega^*(M)$ and we have $\text{Ker}(\varDelta) = \text{Ker}(d) \cap \text{Ker}(d^*)$. We consider a map

(2.20)
$$\operatorname{Ker}(\varDelta) \to H^*(\Omega^*(M))$$
$$u \mapsto [u].$$

This map is well-defined and injective. Next we prove that this map is surjective. The Laplacian has an eigen decomposition, and we denote the eigenvalues of the Laplacian by $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are eigenvalues. Let *u* be a closed form. Then we have an eigen decomposition for the Laplacian

(2.21)
$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1,\dots,k} \lambda_i u_i,$$

where u_i are eigen vectors for λ_i respectively such that $u = \sum_{i=0,\dots,k} u_i$. Then putting

(2.22)
$$u' = \sum_{i=1,\dots,k} \frac{d^* u_i}{\lambda_i},$$

we have

$$(2.23) u = u_0 + du'$$

We conclude that the map (2.20) is an isomorphism.

2.3. Combinatorial function on cell-complex. We realize a combinatorial 0-form as a function. We set $f \in \Omega^0(M)$, that is,

$$(2.24) f: C^*(M) \to C^*(M).$$

For any cell σ , we have

(2.25)
$$f(\sigma) = f_{\sigma}\sigma,$$

and we realize $f_{\sigma} \in \mathbf{R}$ as the value of the function f. For a p-dimensional cell τ , the derivative of f is

(2.26)
$$df(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma:\tau > \sigma} (f(\tau) - f(\sigma))(-1)^{\tau > \sigma} \sigma,$$

where the sum is taken over all (p-1)-dimensional cells σ that are faces of τ , and $(-1)^{\tau > \sigma}$ is the incidence number between τ and σ .

LEMMA 2.7. Let M be a regular cell-complex and f a function on M, where we identify M with the set of cells of M. f is locally constant if and only if df = 0.

2.4. Combinatorial 1-form on cell-complex. Let $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$ be a combinatorial 1-form. For a *p*-dimensional cell τ , we set

(2.27)
$$\omega(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma:\tau > \sigma} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} (-1)^{\tau > \sigma} \sigma,$$

where the sum is taken over all (p-1)-dimensional cells σ that are faces of τ , and $(-1)^{\tau > \sigma}$ is the incidence number between τ and σ . We call the pair $(\tau > \sigma)$ a vector provided that a

K. WATANABE

p-dimensional cell σ is a face of (p + 1)-dimensional cell τ . We say that ω has the value ω_{σ}^{τ} at the vector $(\tau > \sigma)$. For a *p*-dimensional cell μ , the derivative of ω is

$$(2.28) d\omega(\mu) = \sum_{(\mu>\tau,\tau'>\sigma)} (\omega^{\mu}_{\tau} + \omega^{\tau}_{\sigma} - \omega^{\mu}_{\tau'} - \omega^{\tau'}_{\sigma})(-1)^{\mu>\tau}(-1)^{\tau>\sigma}\sigma$$

where the sum is taken over all two (p-1)-dimensional cells τ , τ' and (p-2)-dimensional cells σ such that

(2.29)
$$\mu > \tau > \sigma, \ \mu > \tau' > \sigma, \ \tau \neq \tau'.$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. For a combinatorial 1-form ω , we have $d\omega = 0$ if and only if

(2.30)
$$\omega_{\tau}^{\mu} + \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} = \omega_{\tau'}^{\mu} + \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau}$$

for any p-dimensional cell μ , any two (p-1) dimensional cells τ , τ' and any (p-2)-dimensional cell σ such that

(2.31)
$$\mu > \tau > \sigma, \mu > \tau' > \sigma, \tau \neq \tau'.$$

For any cell σ , the dual derrivative of ω is

(2.32)
$$d^*\omega(\sigma) = \left(-\sum_{\tau:\tau>\sigma} \frac{w_\sigma}{w_\tau}\omega_\sigma^\tau + \sum_{\rho:\rho<\sigma} \frac{w_\sigma}{w_\rho}\omega_\rho^\sigma\right)\sigma,$$

where the first sum is taken over all (p + 1)-dimensional cells τ that have σ as a face, and the second sum is over all (p - 1)-dimensional cells ρ that are the faces of σ .

3. Combinatorial Vector field on cell-complex.

DEFINITION 3.1. We call a linear map $X : C_*(M) \to C_{(*+1)}(M)$ a combinatorial vector field on a cell-complex M provided that for any cell σ any component of $X(\sigma)$ has σ as a face.

In the same manner as a 1-form, for a *p*-dimensional cell σ we set

(3.1)
$$X(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau:\tau > \sigma} X^{\tau}_{\sigma}(-1)^{\tau > \sigma} \tau,$$

where the sum is taken over all (p-1)-dimensional cells τ that have σ as a face, and $(-1)^{\tau > \sigma}$ is the incidence number between τ and σ .

For a 1-form ω and a vector field X on M, we define the pairing

(3.2)
$$\omega(X)(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau:\tau > \sigma} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} X_{\sigma}^{\tau}$$

Then for a function f on M, we define

(3.3)
$$X(f)(\sigma) = df(X)(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau:\tau > \sigma} X^{\tau}_{\sigma}(f(\tau) - f(\sigma)).$$

DEFINITION 3.2. Let f be a function on M. We define the gradient vector field grad(f) of f by

(3.4)
$$\operatorname{grad}(f)_{\sigma}^{\tau} = \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}}(f(\tau) - f(\sigma)) \,.$$

Let X be a vector field on M. We also define the divergence div(X) of f by

(3.5)
$$\operatorname{div}(X)(\sigma) = -\sum_{\tau^{(p+1)}: \tau > \sigma} X^{\tau}_{\sigma} + \sum_{\rho^{(p-1)}: \rho > \sigma} X^{\sigma}_{\rho}.$$

We define the inner product for vector fields in the same manner as combinatorial differential forms, i.e. for two vector fields X, Y

(3.6)
$$\langle X, Y \rangle(\sigma) = \frac{1}{w_{\sigma}} \langle X(\sigma), Y(\sigma) \rangle = \sum_{\tau:\tau > \sigma} \frac{w_{\tau}}{w_{\sigma}} X_{\sigma}^{\tau} Y_{\sigma}^{\tau} .$$

Then we have

(3.7)
$$df(X) = \langle X, \operatorname{grad}(f) \rangle.$$

DEFINITION 3.3. For a function f on M, we define the integral of f over M by

(3.8)
$$\int_{M} f = \sum_{\sigma} f(\sigma),$$

where the sum is taken over all cells of M.

THEOREM 3.4. We assume that M is a finite regular cell-complex. Let f be a function on M and X a vector fieldon M. Then we have

(3.9)
$$\int_{M} \langle \operatorname{grad}(f), X \rangle = \int_{M} f \operatorname{div}(X) \, .$$

PROOF. For a cell σ , we have

(3.10)
$$\sum_{\sigma} \langle \operatorname{grad}(f), X \rangle(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\tau: \tau > \sigma} \frac{w_{\tau}}{w_{\sigma}} X_{\sigma}^{\tau} \cdot \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}} (f(\tau) - f(\sigma))$$
$$= \sum_{(\tau > \sigma)} X_{\sigma}^{\tau} (f(\tau) - f(\sigma))$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma} f(\sigma) \left(-\sum_{\tau^{(p+1): \tau > \sigma}} X_{\sigma}^{\tau} + \sum_{\rho^{(p-1): \rho > \sigma}} X_{\rho}^{\sigma} \right)$$
$$= \int_{M} f \operatorname{div}(X) .$$

COROLLARY 3.5. For any vector field X on M we have

(3.11)
$$\int_M \operatorname{div}(X) = 0.$$

PROOF. For a constant function f, the gradient of f vanishes. Then we take a constant function f as

$$(3.12) f(\sigma) = 1$$

for any cell σ . Then we have the corollary from Theorem 3.4.

COROLLARY 3.6. For any function f on M we have

$$\int_{M} \Delta f = 0$$

PROOF. For any cell σ ,

(3.14)
$$\Delta f(\sigma) = \left(-\sum_{\tau^{(p+1)}: \tau > \sigma} (f(\tau) - f(\sigma)) + \sum_{\rho^{(p-1)}: \rho > \sigma} (f(\sigma) - f(\rho)) \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad}(f))(\sigma) \,.$$

Then we have the corollaryorally from the previous corollary.

4. Combinatorial Ricci curvature.

4.1. Combinatorial Ricci curvature.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let *M* be a regular cell complex. We say that *M* is *quasiconvex* if for every two distinct (p + 1)-cells τ_1 and τ_2 of *M*, if $\overline{\tau_1} \cap \overline{\tau_2}$ contains a *p*-cell σ , then $\overline{\tau_1} \cap \overline{\tau_2} = \overline{\sigma}$. In particular this implies that $\overline{\tau_1} \cap \overline{\tau_2}$ contains at most one *p*-cell.

Let *M* be a regular quasiconvex cell complex.

DEFINITION 4.2. Let τ , σ be two cells of M such that the dimension is (p + 1) and p respectively and σ is a face of τ .

We define a 0-neighbor vector of $(\tau > \sigma)$ as the following.

- The vectors $(\tau' > \sigma)$ for (p + 1)-cells $\tau' \neq \tau$ such that there are no (p + 2)-cell μ such that $\mu > \tau, \tau'$.
- The vectors $(\tau > \sigma')$ for *p*-cells $\sigma' \neq \sigma$ such that there are no (p-1)-cell ρ such that $\sigma, \sigma' > \rho$.

We define a 2-neighbor vector of $(\tau > \sigma)$ as the following.

• The vectors $(\mu > \tau')$ for (p + 1), (p + 2)-cells τ' and μ such that $\mu > \tau > \sigma$, $\mu > \tau' > \sigma$ and $\tau \neq \tau'$.

540

 The vectors (σ' > ρ) for (p − 1), p-cells ρ and σ' such that τ > σ > ρ, τ > σ' > ρ and σ ≠ σ'.

DEFINITION 4.3. For a combinatorial 1-form ω on M, we define *the combinatorial covariant derivative* as

$$\begin{split} |\nabla \omega|^2(\tau > \sigma) &= \sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_\sigma}{w_\mu} (\omega_\sigma^\tau - \omega_{\tau'}^\mu)^2 + \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_\rho}{w_\tau} (\omega_\sigma^\tau - \omega_\rho^{\sigma'})^2 \\ &+ \sum_{(\tau' > \sigma); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{(w_\sigma)^2}{w_\tau w_{\tau'}} (\omega_\sigma^\tau + \omega_\sigma^{\tau'})^2 + \sum_{(\tau > \sigma'); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_\sigma w_{\sigma'}}{(w_\tau)^2} (\omega_\sigma^\tau + \omega_{\sigma'}^\tau)^2, \end{split}$$

where the sums are taken over all 2-neighbor vectors and 0-neighbor vectors for $(\tau > \sigma)$ respectively.

DEFINITION 4.4. For a combinatorial 1-form ω on M, we define *the Laplacian of* $|\omega|^2$ as

$$\begin{split} & \varDelta^{\flat} |\omega|^{2}(\tau > \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^{2} - (\omega_{\tau'}^{\mu})^{2}) + \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^{2} - (\omega_{\rho}^{\sigma'})^{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{(\tau' > \sigma); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{(w_{\sigma})^{2}}{w_{\tau}w_{\tau'}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^{2} + (\omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'})^{2}) + \sum_{(\tau > \sigma'); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma}w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^{2}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^{2} + (\omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'})^{2}), \end{split}$$

where the sums are taken over all 2-neighbor vectors and 0-neighbor vectors for $(\tau > \sigma)$ respectively.

This Laplacian is symmetric for vectors, hence we have

(4.1)
$$\sum_{(\tau > \sigma)} \Delta^{\flat} |\omega|^2 (\tau > \sigma) = 0,$$

where the sum is taken over all vectors.

DEFINITION 4.5. For a combinatorial 1-form ω , we define *the Ricci curvature on a vector* ($\tau > \sigma$) as

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(\tau > \sigma) = \langle \Delta \omega, \omega \rangle(\tau > \sigma) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \omega|^2(\tau > \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\flat} |\omega|^2(\tau > \sigma).$$

LEMMA 4.6. For any combinatorial 1-form ω on M, we have

$$\langle \Delta \omega, \omega \rangle (\tau > \sigma) = -\sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\tau'}^{\mu} - \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\rho'}^{\sigma'} + \sum_{(\tau' > \sigma); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{(w_{\sigma})^2}{(w_{\tau})^2} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'} + \sum_{(\tau > \sigma'); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma} w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^2} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau} + \sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{(w_{\sigma})^2}{(w_{\tau})^2} - \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'} + \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma} w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^2} - \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'}$$

+(#{2-neighbor vector} + 2)
$$\left(\frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}}\right)^2 (\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2$$
.

PROOF. For a 1-form ω , we have

$$(4.2) \qquad (d^*d\omega)^{\tau}_{\sigma} = \sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\mu}}{w_{\tau}} (\omega^{\mu}_{\tau} + \omega^{\tau}_{\sigma} - \omega^{\mu}_{\tau'} - \omega^{\tau'}_{\sigma}) + \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\rho}} (\omega^{\tau}_{\sigma} + \omega^{\sigma}_{\rho} - \omega^{\tau}_{\sigma'} - \omega^{\sigma'}_{\rho}) (dd^*\omega)^{\tau}_{\sigma} = -\sum_{\mu > \tau} \frac{w_{\mu}}{w_{\tau}} \omega^{\mu}_{\tau} + \sum_{\tau > \sigma'} \frac{w_{\tau}}{w_{\sigma'}} \omega^{\tau}_{\sigma'} + \sum_{\tau' > \sigma} \frac{w_{\tau'}}{w_{\sigma}} \omega^{\tau'}_{\sigma} - \sum_{\sigma > \rho} \frac{\sigma}{\rho} \omega^{\sigma}_{\rho}.$$

Then the Laplacian of ω is

$$\begin{split} (\varDelta \omega)_{\sigma}^{\tau} &= -\sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\mu}}{w_{\tau}} \omega_{\tau'}^{\mu} - \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\rho}} \omega_{\rho'}^{\sigma'} \\ &+ \sum_{(\tau' > \sigma); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\tau'}}{w_{\sigma}} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'} + \sum_{(\tau > \sigma'); 0\text{-neighbor}} \frac{w_{\tau}}{w_{\sigma'}} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau} \\ &+ \sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau'}} - \frac{w_{\tau}}{w_{\mu}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau'} + \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma'}}{w_{\tau}} - \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\sigma}}\right) \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau} \\ &+ (\#\{2\text{-neighbor vector}\} + 2) \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}} (\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau}) \,. \end{split}$$

Takeing the inner product of ω and $\Delta \omega$, we have the lemma.

THEOREM 4.7. Let *M* be a regular quasiconvex cell-complex, and $(\tau > \sigma)$ a vector on *M*. For a combinatorial 1-form ω on *M*, the Ricci curvature Ric(ω) is represented by

(4.3)
$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(\tau > \sigma) = (2 - \#\{0 \text{-neighbor vector of } (\tau > \sigma)\}) \left(\frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}}\right)^2 (\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2 + \sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2 \text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{(w_{\sigma})^2}{w_{\tau}w_{\tau'}} - \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'} + \sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2 \text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma}w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^2} - \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau}.$$

In particular, with the assumption that the weight of each cell is constant, we have

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(\tau > \sigma) = (2 - \#\{0 \text{-neighbor vector of } (\tau > \sigma)\}) \left(\frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\tau}}\right)^2 (\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2.$$

PROOF. With the above lemma, we have

$$2\langle \Delta\omega, \omega \rangle (\tau > \sigma)$$

$$= -\sum_{(\mu > \tau'); 2-\text{neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} - \omega_{\tau'}^{\mu})^2 - ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2 - (\omega_{\tau'}^{\mu})^2) - 2(\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2)$$

$$-\sum_{(\sigma' > \rho); 2-\text{neighbor}} \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} - \omega_{\rho}^{\sigma'})^2 - ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2 - (\omega_{\rho}^{\sigma'})^2) - 2(\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2)$$

RICCI CURVATURE ON CELL-COMPLEX

$$+ \sum_{(\tau' > \sigma); 0-\text{neighbor}} \frac{(w_{\sigma})^2}{w_{\tau} w_{\tau'}} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} + \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau'})^2 - ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2 + (\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau'})^2) - 2(\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2) + \sum_{(\tau > \sigma'); 0-\text{neighbor}} \frac{w_{\sigma} w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^2} ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} + \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau})^2 - ((\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2 + (\omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau})^2) - (\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^2)$$

+ 2(#{2-neighbor vector} + 2)(ω_{α}^{τ})²

$$+2\sum_{(\mu>\tau');2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{(w_{\sigma})^{2}}{w_{\tau}w_{\tau'}} - \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau'} + 2\sum_{(\sigma'>\rho);2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma}w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^{2}} - \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'}$$
$$= |\nabla \omega|^{2}(\tau > \sigma) - \Delta^{b} |\omega|^{2}(\tau > \sigma) + 2(2 - \#\{0\text{-neighbor vector}\})(\omega_{\sigma}^{\tau})^{2}.$$

$$+2\sum_{(\mu>\tau');2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{(w_{\sigma})^2}{w_{\tau}w_{\tau'}} - \frac{w_{\sigma}}{w_{\mu}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau'} + 2\sum_{(\sigma'>\rho);2\text{-neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma}w_{\sigma'}}{(w_{\tau})^2} - \frac{w_{\rho}}{w_{\tau}}\right) \omega_{\sigma}^{\tau} \omega_{\sigma'}^{\tau}.$$

Then we have the equation (4.3).

5. Combinatorial Gauss-Bonnet Theorem.

5.1. Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for graph. Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph, where V is the set of vertexes and E the set of edges. We realize G as 1-dimensional cell complex, i.e. vertexes are 0-cells and edges are 1-cells.

LEMMA 5.1. Let v and e be a vertex and an edge of G respectively such that e > v. We take a combinatorial 1-form ω on G. Then we have

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(e > v) = (2 - \deg(v)) \left(\frac{w_v}{w_e}\right)^2 (\omega_v^e)^2,$$

where deg(v) is the degree of v.

PROOF. Let *v* and *e* be a vertex and an edge of *G* respectively such that e > v. For the definition of 0-neighbor vector, we find two vectors (e' > v) and (e > v') such that there are no 2-cell *f* such that f > e, e', and there are no (-1)-cell ρ such that $v, v' > \rho$ respectively.

Since there are no 2-cells in *G*, the vector (e' > v) is a 0-neighbor vector for any e' which has the vertex v except for the edge e. Hence there are exactly $\deg(v) - 1$ edges that satisfy the above condition. Since there are no (-1)-cells, there is only one vertex v' such that (e > v') is a 0-neighbor vector for (e > v). Then the number of 0-neighbor vectors for (e > v) is $\deg(v)$.

With the definition of a 2-neighbor vector, there are not 2-neighbor vectors for the vector (e > v). We have the lemma from the equation (4.3).

With this lemma, we immediately have the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.2. We take a combinatorial 1-form ω on G such that for any vertex v

(5.2)
$$\sum_{e;e>v} \left(\frac{w_v}{w_e}\right)^2 (\omega_v^e)^2 = 1,$$

where the sum is taken over all edges e such that e > v. Then for any vertex v we have

(5.3)
$$\sum_{e;e>v} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(e>v) = 2 - \deg(v).$$

For a smooth surface the Gauss curvature at a point p equal to the Ricci curvature for a unit vector at p. The following definition is an analogue to this fact.

DEFINITION 5.3. We define the Gauss curvature for a vertex v by

$$(5.4) g_v = 2 - \deg(v)$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. From the definition of the Gauss curvature, we have

(5.5)
$$\sum_{v} g_{v} = \sum_{v} (2 - \deg(v))$$
$$= 2\#V - \sum_{v} \deg(v)$$
$$= 2\#V - 2\#E$$
$$= 2\chi(G).$$

5.2. Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for 2-complex. Let *M* be a 2-dimensional quasiconvex cell complex that decomposes a 2-dimensional closed smooth surface.

П

LEMMA 5.4. Let v and e be a vertex and an edge on M respectively such that e > v. We take a combinatorial 1-form ω on M. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(e > v) = (4 - \operatorname{deg}(v)) \left(\frac{w_v}{w_e}\right)^2 (\omega_v^e)^2 + \sum_{(f > e'); 2 - \operatorname{neighbor}} \left(\frac{(w_v)^2}{w_e w_{e'}} - \frac{w_v}{w_f}\right) \omega_v^e \omega_v^{e'},$$

where deg(v) is the degree of v and the sum is taken over all 2-neighbor vectors of the vector (e > v).

PROOF. Let *v* and *e* be a vertex and an edge on *M* respectively such that e > v. For the definition of a 0-neghbor vecotor, we find the vectors (e' > v) and (e > v') such that there are no 2-cell *f* such that f > e, e' and there are no (-1)-cell ρ such that $v, v' > \rho$ respectively.

For the edge *e* there are exactly two faces that have the edge *e*. For exactly two edges *e'* the vectors (e' > v) are not 0-neghbor vectors of (e > v). The number of 0-neghbor vectors of (e > v) is deg(v) - 3. Since there are no (-1)-cells in *M*, there is only one vertex *v'* such that (e > v') is a 0-neighbor vector for (e > v). Then the number of 0-neighbor vectors for (e > v) is deg(v) - 2. We have the lemma from the equation (4.3).

For a vertex v we consider the sum

(5.6)
$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(v) := \sum_{e;e>v} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(e>v),$$

where the sum is taken over all edges *e* that have the vertex *v*. This is a quadratic form for real bases $\{\frac{w_v}{w_e}\omega_v^e\}_{e>v}$. The trace with this bases is $\deg(v)(4 - \deg(v))$. For a smooth manifold the

scalar curvature is a trace of the Ricci curvature. The next definition is an analogue to this fact.

DEFINITION 5.5. We define the scalar curvature S(v) at a vertex v as

(5.7)
$$S(v) = \operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(v) = \operatorname{deg}(v)(4 - \operatorname{deg}(v)).$$

For a smooth surface the scalar curvature is the twice of the Gauss curvature. The next definition is analogue to this fact.

DEFINITION 5.6. We define the Gauss curvature at a vetex v as

(5.8)
$$g_v = \frac{S(v)}{\deg(v)} = 4 - \deg(v).$$

LEMMA 5.7. Let e and f be an edge and a face of M respectively such that f > e. We take a combinatorial 1-form ω on M. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(f > e) = (4 - \operatorname{deg}(f)) \left(\frac{w_e}{w_f}\right)^2 (\omega_e^f)^2 + \sum_{(e' > v); 2-\operatorname{neighbor}} \left(\frac{w_e w_{e'}}{(w_f)^2} - \frac{w_v}{w_f}\right) \omega_e^f \omega_{e'}^f,$$

where $\deg(f)$ is the degree of f, that is, the number of edges of f and the sum is taken over the all 2-neighbor vector of the vector (f > e).

PROOF. Let *e* and *f* be an edge and a face of *M* respectively such that f > e. For the definition of a 0-neghbor vector, we find two vectors (f' > e) and (f > e') such that there are no 3-cell σ such that $\sigma > f$, f' and there are no 0-cell *v* such that e, e' > v respectively.

For the edge *e* there are exactly two faces that have *e* as an edge. Then for only one face f' the vector (f' > e) is a 0-neighbor vector of (f > e). For edges of the face f, exactly two edges e_1, e_2 intersect with the edge e, then the two vectors $(f > e_1)$ and $(f > e_2)$ are not 0-neighbor vectors of (f > e). For the other edges e' of the face f, the vector (f > e') is a 0-neighbor vector of (f > e). Then the number of 0-neighbor vectors for (e > v) is $\deg(f) - 2$. We have the lemma from the equation (4.4).

For a face f we consider the next sum,

(5.9)
$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(f) := \sum_{e:f > e} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(f > e),$$

where the sum is taken over the all edges e contained in the boundary of the face f.

DEFINITION 5.8. We define the scalar curvature S(f) at a face f as

(5.10)
$$S(f) = \operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(f) = \operatorname{deg}(f)(4 - \operatorname{deg}(f)).$$

We define the Gauss curvature at a face f as

(5.11)
$$g_f = \frac{S(f)}{\deg(f)} = 4 - \deg(f) \,.$$

K. WATANABE

If all weights of cells of M are constants, we conclude the following lemma that is an analogue to the smooth surface.

LEMMA 5.9. Let M be a 2-dimensional quasiconvex cell complex that decomposes a 2-dimensional closed smooth surface. We assume that all weights of cells of M are constants.

(1) Let v be a vertex of M. We take a combinatorial 1-form ω on M such that

(5.12)
$$\sum_{e;e>v} (\omega_v^e)^2 = 1,$$

where the sum is taken over all edges e such that e > v. Then we have

(5.13)
$$\sum_{e;e>v} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(e>v) = 4 - \deg(v) = g_v$$

(2) Let f be a face of M. We take a combinatorial 1-form ω on M such that

(5.14)
$$\sum_{e;f>e} (\omega_e^f)^2 = 1$$

where the sum is taken over all edges e such that f > e. Then we have

(5.15)
$$\sum_{e;f>e} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)(f>e) = 4 - \deg(f) = g_f.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. We denote V, E and F by the numbers of vertexes, edges and faces in M respectively.

From the definition of the Gauss curvature, we have

(5.16)
$$\sum_{v} g_{v} + \sum_{f} g_{f} = \sum_{v} (4 - \deg(v)) + \sum_{f} (4 - \deg(f))$$
$$= 4V - \sum_{v} \deg(v) + 4F - \sum_{f} \deg(f)$$
$$= 4V - 2E + 4F - 2E$$
$$= 4(V - E + F)$$
$$= 4\chi(M).$$

REFERENCES

- R. F. ARNORD, The Discrete Hodge Star Operator and Poincaré Duality, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2012.
- [2] R. FORMAN, Bochner's method for cell complexes and combinatorial Ricci curvature, Discrete Comput. Geom. 29 (2003), no.3, 323–374.
- [3] R. FORMAN, Combinatorial Novikov-Morse theory, Internat. J. Math. 13 (2002), no.4, 333–368.
- [4] R. FORMAN, Combinatorial vector fields and dynamical systems, Math. Z. 228 (1998), no.4, 629-681.
- [5] R. FORMAN, Morse theory for cell complexes, Adv. Math. 134 (1998), no.1, 90-145.
- [6] A.T. LUNDELL AND S. WEINGRAM, The Topology of CW Complexes, The university series in higher mathematics, Springer New York, 2012.

 [7] P. M^cCORMICK, Combinatorial Curvature of Cellular Complexes, The University of Melbourne, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 2004.

Tome R&D Inc. 134 Chudoji Minamimachi Shimogyo-ku, Kyoto-City Kyoto 600–8813 Japan