

MILNOR FIBERS OVER SINGULAR TORIC VARIETIES AND NEARBY CYCLE SHEAVES

YUTAKA MATSUI AND KIYOSHI TAKEUCHI

(Received March 11, 2010, revised August 17, 2010)

Abstract. We apply sheaf-theoretical methods to monodromy zeta functions of Milnor fibrations. Classical formulas due to Kushnirenko, Varchenko and Oka, etc. on polynomials over the complex affine space will be generalized to polynomial functions over any toric variety. Moreover our results enable us to calculate the monodromy zeta functions of any constructible sheaf.

1. Introduction. One of the most beautiful results in the theory of Milnor fibrations would be the formula for the (local) Milnor monodromy zeta functions obtained by Varchenko [27] (see also [13] and [22] for the detail of this subject). In his formula, the Milnor monodromy zeta function $\zeta_f(t) \in \mathcal{C}(t)^* := \mathcal{C}(t) \setminus \{0\}$ at $0 \in \mathcal{C}^n$ of a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ on \mathcal{C}^n such that $f(0) = 0$ is expressed by the geometry of the Newton polygon of f (for a similar and more precise result on Hodge structures, see also Tanabe [26]). To prove it, he constructed a toric modification of \mathcal{C}^n on which the pull-back of f defines a hypersurface with only normal crossing singularities. Since \mathcal{C}^n is a very special toric variety, it would be natural to generalize his formula to Milnor fibers over general singular toric varieties. In this paper, we realize this idea with the help of sheaf-theoretical methods, such as nearby cycle and constructible sheaves. In particular, in Theorem 3.4 we prove a formula for the monodromy zeta functions of Milnor fibers over general (not necessarily normal) toric varieties. Note that general theories of Milnor fibers over complete intersection varieties were developed by Looijenga [14] and Oka [22] etc. However toric varieties are not complete intersection nor of isolated singularities in general. Also for Milnor fibers over varieties of determinantal singularities, see Esterov [4].

In order to give the precise statement of our theorem, let \mathcal{S} be a finitely generated sub-semigroup of the lattice $M \simeq \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Denote by $K(\mathcal{S})$ the convex hull of \mathcal{S} in $M_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M$. For simplicity, assume that $K(\mathcal{S})$ is a strongly convex polyhedral cone in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $\dim K(\mathcal{S}) = n$ and let $M(\mathcal{S})$ be the \mathbf{Z} -sublattice of rank n in M generated by \mathcal{S} . Then $X(\mathcal{S}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}])$ is a (not necessarily normal) toric variety of dimension n (see [5], [7] and [20] etc. for the detail) on which the algebraic torus $T = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}[M(\mathcal{S})]) \simeq (\mathcal{C}^*)^n$ acts. By our assumption, there exists a unique T -fixed point in $X(\mathcal{S})$, which we denote simply by 0 . Let $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a non-zero polynomial function on $X(\mathcal{S})$ (i.e., $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v$, $a_v \in \mathcal{C}$) such that $f(0) = 0$. Denote by F_0 the Milnor fiber of $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ at $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S55; Secondary 32S60, 32S40, 14M25, 52B20.

Key words and phrases. Milnor fibers, Toric varieties, monodromy zeta functions.

(see for example [24] for a review on this subject). We define the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{f,0}(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$ of f at $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$ by

$$(1) \quad \zeta_{f,0}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \det(\mathrm{id} - t\Phi_{j,0})^{(-1)^j},$$

where

$$(2) \quad \Phi_{j,0}: H^j(F_0; \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(F_0; \mathbf{C}) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots)$$

are the isomorphisms induced by the geometric monodromy automorphism $F_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} F_0$. Then we can give a formula for the zeta function $\zeta_{f,0}(t)$ as follows. First, we define the Newton polygon $\Gamma_+(f) \subset K(\mathcal{S})$ of f just as in the classical case of polynomials on \mathbf{C}^n (see Definition 3.1). For each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of the cone $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, let $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{v(\Delta)}^\Delta$ be the compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta$ such that $\dim \gamma_i^\Delta = \dim \Delta - 1$. Let $L(\Delta)$ be the linear subspace of $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ spanned by Δ and denote by $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ the sublattice of $M(\mathcal{S})$ generated by $\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta$. Then we can define the lattice distance $d_i^\Delta \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ from γ_i^Δ to $0 \in L(\Delta)$ with respect to the lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \subset L(\Delta)$ (see Definition 3.3). Finally, let $\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\gamma_i^\Delta) \in \mathbf{Z}$ be the normalized $(\dim \Delta - 1)$ -dimensional volume of γ_i^Δ with respect to the lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap L(\gamma_i^\Delta)$. Here this normalized volume is $(\dim \Delta - 1)!$ times the usual $(\dim \Delta - 1)$ -dimensional volume. Throughout this paper, for the volumes of polytopes we use the normalized ones.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that f is non-degenerate (in the sense of Definition 3.2 below). Then the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{f,0}(t)$ of f at $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$ is given by*

$$(3) \quad \zeta_{f,0}(t) = \prod_{\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset} \zeta_{\Delta}(t),$$

where for each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ we set

$$(4) \quad \zeta_{\Delta}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} (1 - t^{d_i^\Delta})^{(-1)^{\dim \Delta - 1} \mathrm{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\gamma_i^\Delta)}.$$

We will prove this theorem by decomposing the problem into those on the closures of T -orbits in $X(\mathcal{S})$ with the help of nearby cycle functors introduced by Deligne [2] (see also [10, Chapter VIII] etc.). Recall the following basic correspondence for each $0 \leq k \leq n$:

$$(5) \quad \{k\text{-dimensional faces in } K(\mathcal{S})\} \xleftrightarrow{1-1} \{k\text{-dimensional } T\text{-orbits in } X(\mathcal{S})\}.$$

For a face Δ of $K(\mathcal{S})$, denote by T_{Δ} the corresponding T -orbit in $X(\mathcal{S})$. Then we obtain a decomposition $X(\mathcal{S}) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} T_{\Delta}$ of $X(\mathcal{S})$ into T -orbits. To prove Theorem 1.1, we first interpret the classical notions of Milnor fibers into the language of nearby cycle sheaves and reduce the problem to the computation of the monodromy zeta functions $\zeta_f(\mathbf{C}_{T_{\Delta}})$ of the nearby cycle sheaves $\psi_f(\mathbf{C}_{T_{\Delta}})$ (for the definitions see Section 2) of the constructible sheaves $\mathbf{C}_{T_{\Delta}}$ on $X(\mathcal{S})$. Then by Proposition 2.5 we can study the monodromy zeta function of $\psi_f(\mathbf{C}_{T_{\Delta}})$ on the closure $\overline{T_{\Delta}}$ of T_{Δ} . This simple idea largely simplifies the classical arguments

and allows us to avoid topological difficulties we usually encounter in treating Milnor fibers over singular varieties. Indeed even the original proof of Varchenko's theorem in [27] would be also simplified by our idea of decomposing \mathcal{C}^n into smaller tori $(\mathcal{C}^*)^d$. Moreover, by applying the same idea to complete intersection subvarieties $\{f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_k = 0\}$ in $X(\mathcal{S})$, in Theorem 3.12 we obtain also a generalization of the deeper results of Kirillov [12] and Oka [21], [22] to Milnor fibers over complete intersection subvarieties of singular toric varieties. In our Theorem 3.12, even on the smooth toric variety \mathcal{C}^n we could remove some technical assumptions (see [22, Chapter IV, §4, p. 205]) imposed by [21] and [22]. For example, in our Theorem 3.12 we do not assume any condition on the Newton polygons of polynomial functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k on $X(\mathcal{S})$. Note that Theorem 3.12 is also a natural generalization of the formula for the local multiplicities of toric varieties in [7, Chapter 5, Theorem 3.14]. The proof of Theorem 3.12 is very simple and follows also from the functorial property (Proposition 2.5) of the nearby cycle functor. Note that on \mathcal{C}^n Gusein-Zade's student Gusev [8] obtained independently a similar result in the very special case where $k = 2$ and the polynomials f_1 and f_2 satisfy an additional condition. In Section 5, we extend our results to the monodromy zeta functions of T -invariant constructible sheaves. Our results in Section 5 have also another very important application. Let X be an algebraic variety over \mathcal{C} and $g: X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a regular function on it. Then for any constructible sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{C}}^b(X)$ on X we can now explicitly calculate the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_f(\mathcal{F})$ by using our results. Indeed, by a well-known result (Proposition 2.5) and resolutions of singularities, we can reduce the calculation to the normal-crossing case studied in Section 5. Especially, our Proposition 5.3, which is a generalization of the famous A'Campo lemma (see [1] and [22, Chapter I, Example (3.7)] etc.) to constructible sheaves, is indispensable to complete this calculation. Therefore we believe that our results in Section 5 are of independent interest and have many applications in the future. Note that some applications were already given in [17] and [25].

Finally, let us mention that the methods we developed in this paper can be applied also to other related problems. For example, in [17] we used this idea to compute the monodromy zeta functions at infinity. In another paper [16], some applications of our methods to A-discriminant varieties are also given.

Moreover, in [25] our results in Section 5 will be effectively used to compute the monodromy at infinity of A-hypergeometric functions introduced by Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [6].

2. Preliminary notions and results. In this section, we introduce basic notions and results which will be used in this paper. In this paper, we essentially follow the terminology of [3], [9] and [10]. For example, for a topological space X we denote by $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ the derived category whose objects are bounded complexes of sheaves of \mathcal{C}_X -modules on X . For an algebraic variety X over \mathcal{C} , let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{C}}^b(X)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ consisting of constructible complexes of sheaves. In this case, for an abelian group G we denote by $\text{CF}_G(X)$ the abelian group of G -valued constructible functions on X . Let $\mathcal{C}(t)^* = \mathcal{C}(t) \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative group of the function field $\mathcal{C}(t)$ of the scheme \mathcal{C} . In this paper, we consider $\text{CF}_G(X)$ only for

$G = \mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{C}(t)^*$. For a G -valued constructible function $\rho: X \rightarrow G$, by taking a stratification $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ of X such that $\rho|_{X_{\alpha}}$ is constant for any α , we set $\int_X \rho := \sum_{\alpha} \chi(X_{\alpha}) \cdot \rho(x_{\alpha}) \in G$, where $\chi(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler characteristic and x_{α} is a reference point in X_{α} . Then we can easily show that $\int_X \rho \in G$ does not depend on the choice of the stratification $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ of X . More generally, for any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties over \mathbf{C} and $\rho \in \text{CF}_G(X)$, we define the push-forward $\int_f \rho \in \text{CF}_G(Y)$ of ρ by $(\int_f \rho)(y) := \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \rho$ for $y \in Y$. Now recall that, for a non-constant regular function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on a variety X over \mathbf{C} and the hypersurface $X_0 := \{x \in X; f(x) = 0\} \subset X$ defined by it, there exists a nearby cycle functor

$$(6) \quad \psi_f: \mathbf{D}_c^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(X_0)$$

defined by Deligne (see [3, Section 4.2] for an excellent survey of this subject). As we see in the next proposition, the nearby cycle functor ψ_f generalizes the classical notion of Milnor fibers. First, recall the definition of Milnor fibers and Milnor monodromies over singular varieties (see for example [24] for a review on this subject). Let X be a subvariety of \mathbf{C}^m and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ a non-constant regular function on it. Namely there exists a polynomial $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on \mathbf{C}^m such that $\tilde{f}|_X = f$. For simplicity, assume also that the origin $0 \in \mathbf{C}^m$ is contained in $X_0 = \{x \in X; f(x) = 0\}$. Then the following lemma is well-known (see for example [15, Definition 1.4]).

LEMMA 2.1. *For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ with $0 < \eta_0 \ll \varepsilon$ such that for all η with $0 < \eta < \eta_0$ the restriction of f :*

$$(7) \quad X \cap B(0; \varepsilon) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(D_{\eta}^*) \rightarrow D_{\eta}^*$$

is a topological fiber bundle over the punctured disk $D_{\eta}^* := \{z \in \mathbf{C}; 0 < |z| < \eta\}$, where $B(0; \varepsilon)$ is the open ball in \mathbf{C}^m with radius ε centered at the origin.

DEFINITION 2.2. A fiber of the above fibration is called the Milnor fiber of the function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at $0 \in X$ and we denote it by F_0 .

For $x \in X_0$, denote by F_x the Milnor fiber of $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at x .

PROPOSITION 2.3 ([3, Proposition 4.2.2]). *For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$, $x \in X_0$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$(8) \quad H^j(F_x; \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^j(\psi_f(\mathcal{F}))_x.$$

By this proposition, we can study the cohomology groups $H^j(F_x; \mathbf{C})$ of the Milnor fiber F_x by using sheaf theory. Recall also that in the above situation, as in the same way as the case of polynomial functions over \mathbf{C}^n (see [18]), we can define the Milnor monodromy operators

$$(9) \quad \Phi_{j,x}: H^j(F_x; \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(F_x; \mathbf{C}) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots)$$

and the zeta-function

$$(10) \quad \zeta_{f,x}(t) := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \det(\text{id} - t\Phi_{j,x})^{(-1)^j}$$

associated with it. Since the above product is in fact finite, $\zeta_{f,x}(t)$ is a rational function of t and its degree in t is the topological Euler characteristic $\chi(F_x)$ of the Milnor fiber F_x . This classical notion of Milnor monodromy zeta functions can be also generalized as follows.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a non-constant regular function on X and $X_0 := \{x \in X; f(x) = 0\}$ the hypersurface defined by it. Then for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}}^b(X)$ there exists a monodromy automorphism

$$(11) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F}) : \psi_f(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi_f(\mathcal{F})$$

of $\psi_f(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}}^b(X_0)$ (see e.g. [3, Section 4.2]). We define a $\mathbf{C}(t)^*$ -valued constructible function $\zeta_f(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{CF}_{\mathbf{C}(t)^*}(X_0)$ on X_0 by

$$(12) \quad \zeta_{f,x}(\mathcal{F})(t) := \prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \det(\text{id} - t\Phi(\mathcal{F})_{j,x})^{(-1)^j}$$

for $x \in X_0$, where $\Phi(\mathcal{F})_{j,x} : (H^j(\psi_f(\mathcal{F})))_x \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^j(\psi_f(\mathcal{F})))_x$ is the stalk at $x \in X_0$ of the sheaf homomorphism

$$(13) \quad \Phi(\mathcal{F})_j : H^j(\psi_f(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(\psi_f(\mathcal{F}))$$

induced by $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$.

The following proposition will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorems 3.4 and 3.12. For the proof, see for example, [3, p.170–173] and [23].

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of algebraic varieties over \mathbf{C} and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ a non-constant regular function on X . Set $g := f \circ \pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $X_0 := \{x \in X; f(x) = 0\}$ and $Y_0 := \{y \in Y; g(y) = 0\} = \pi^{-1}(X_0)$. Then for any $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}}^b(Y)$ we have

$$(14) \quad \int_{\pi|_{Y_0}} \zeta_g(\mathcal{G}) = \zeta_f(R\pi_*\mathcal{G})$$

in $\text{CF}_{\mathbf{C}(t)^*}(X_0)$, where $\int_{\pi|_{Y_0}} : \text{CF}_{\mathbf{C}(t)^*}(Y_0) \rightarrow \text{CF}_{\mathbf{C}(t)^*}(X_0)$ is the push-forward of $\mathbf{C}(t)^*$ -valued constructible functions by $\pi|_{Y_0} : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$.

Finally, we recall Bernstein-Khovanskii-Kushnirenko’s theorem [11].

DEFINITION 2.6. Let $g(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{Z}^n} a_v x^v$ be a Laurent polynomial on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ ($a_v \in \mathbf{C}$).

(i) We call the convex hull of $\text{supp}(g) := \{v \in \mathbf{Z}^n; a_v \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{Z}^n \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ in \mathbf{R}^n the Newton polygon of g and denote it by $NP(g)$.

(ii) For a vector $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we set

$$(15) \quad \Gamma(g; u) := \left\{ v \in NP(g); \langle u, v \rangle = \min_{w \in NP(g)} \langle u, w \rangle \right\},$$

where for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ we set $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$.

(iii) For a vector $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we define the u -part of g by $g^u(x) := \sum_{v \in \Gamma(g; u)} a_v x^v$.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p be Laurent polynomials on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. Then we say that the subvariety $Z^* = \{x \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n; g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \dots = g_p(x) = 0\}$ of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ is non-degenerate complete intersection if for any vector $u \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ the p -form $dg_1^u \wedge dg_2^u \wedge \dots \wedge dg_p^u$ does not vanish on $\{x \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n; g_1^u(x) = \dots = g_p^u(x) = 0\}$.

THEOREM 2.8 ([11]). Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p be Laurent polynomials on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. Assume that the subvariety $Z^* = \{x \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n; g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \dots = g_p(x) = 0\}$ of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ is non-degenerate complete intersection. Set $\Delta_i := NP(g_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Then we have

$$(16) \quad \chi(Z^*) = (-1)^{n-p} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_p \geq 1 \\ a_1 + \dots + a_p = n}} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\underbrace{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_1}_{a_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\Delta_p, \dots, \Delta_p}_{a_p\text{-times}}),$$

where $\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\underbrace{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_1}_{a_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\Delta_p, \dots, \Delta_p}_{a_p\text{-times}}) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the normalized n -dimensional mixed volume with respect to the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^n \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ (see the remark below).

REMARK 2.9. Let Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n be integral polytopes in $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{Z}^n)$. Then their normalized n -dimensional mixed volume $\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is given by the formula

$$(17) \quad n! \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \#I=k}} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\sum_{i \in I} Q_i\right)$$

where $\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\cdot) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the normalized n -dimensional volume.

3. Milnor fibers over singular toric varieties. In this section, we give explicit formulas for the monodromy zeta functions of non-degenerate polynomials over possibly singular toric varieties. These formulas can be considered to be natural generalizations of the fundamental results obtained by Kushnirenko [13], Varchenko [27], Kirillov [12] and Oka [21], [22] etc.

Let $M \simeq \mathbf{Z}^n$ be a \mathbf{Z} -lattice of rank n and set $M_{\mathbf{R}} := \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M$. We take a finitely generated subsemigroup \mathcal{S} of M such that $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and denote by $K(\mathcal{S})$ the convex hull of \mathcal{S} in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$. For simplicity, assume that $K(\mathcal{S})$ is a strongly convex polyhedral cone in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $\dim K(\mathcal{S}) = n$. Then the group algebra $\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ is finitely generated over \mathbf{C} and $X(\mathcal{S}) := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}])$ is a (not necessarily normal) toric variety of dimension n (see [5], [7] and [20] etc. for the detail). Indeed, let $M(\mathcal{S})$ be the \mathbf{Z} -sublattice of rank n in M generated by \mathcal{S} and consider the algebraic torus $T := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S})]) \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. Then the affine toric variety $X(\mathcal{S})$ admits a natural action of $T = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S})])$ and has a unique 0-dimensional orbit. We denote this orbit point by 0 and call it the T -fixed point of $X(\mathcal{S})$. Recall that a polynomial function $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on $X(\mathcal{S})$ corresponds to an element $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v$ ($a_v \in \mathbf{C}$) of $\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v$ ($a_v \in \mathbf{C}$) be a polynomial function on $X(\mathcal{S})$.

- (i) We define the support $\text{supp}(f)$ of f by $\text{supp}(f) := \{v \in \mathcal{S}; a_v \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{S}$.

(ii) We define the Newton polygon $\Gamma_+(f)$ of f to be the convex hull of $\bigcup_{v \in \text{supp}(f)} (v + K(\mathcal{S}))$ in $K(\mathcal{S})$.

Now let us fix a function $f \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ such that $0 \notin \text{supp}(f)$ (i.e., $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ vanishes at the T -fixed point 0) and consider its Milnor fiber F_0 at $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$. Choose a \mathbf{Z} -basis of $M(\mathcal{S})$ and identify $M(\mathcal{S})$ with \mathbf{Z}^n . Then each element v of $\mathcal{S} \subset M(\mathcal{S})$ is identified with a \mathbf{Z} -vector $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and to any $g = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} b_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ we can associate a Laurent polynomial $L(g) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} b_v \cdot x^v$ on $T = (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. One can easily prove that the following definition does not depend on the choice of the \mathbf{Z} -basis of $M(\mathcal{S})$.

DEFINITION 3.2. We say that $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ is non-degenerate if for any compact face γ of $\Gamma_+(f)$ the complex hypersurface $\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n; L(f_\gamma)(x) = 0\}$ in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ is smooth and reduced, where we set $f_\gamma := \sum_{v \in \gamma \cap \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v$.

For each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, let $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{v(\Delta)}^\Delta$ be the compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta$ such that $\dim \gamma_i^\Delta = \dim \Delta - 1$. Let $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)$ be the linear subspace of $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ spanned by Δ and denote by $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ the sublattice of $M(\mathcal{S})$ generated by $\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta$. Note that the rank of $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ is $\dim \Delta$ and we have $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \simeq \mathbf{L}(\Delta)$. Then there exists a unique primitive vector u_i^Δ in the dual lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ of $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ which takes its minimal in $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta$ exactly on $\gamma_i^\Delta \subset \Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta$.

DEFINITION 3.3. We define the lattice distance $d_i^\Delta \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ from γ_i^Δ to the origin $0 \in \mathbf{L}(\Delta)$ to be the value of u_i^Δ on γ_i^Δ .

Then by using the normalized $(\dim \Delta - 1)$ -dimensional volume $\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\gamma_i^\Delta) \in \mathbf{Z}$ of γ_i^Δ with respect to the lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\gamma_i^\Delta)$ we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.4. Assume that $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ is non-degenerate. Then the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{f,0}(t)$ of $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$ is given by

$$(18) \quad \zeta_{f,0}(t) = \prod_{\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset} \zeta_\Delta(t),$$

where for each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ we set

$$(19) \quad \zeta_\Delta(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} (1 - t^{d_i^\Delta})^{(-1)^{\dim \Delta - 1} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\gamma_i^\Delta)}.$$

We will prove this theorem as the special case of Theorem 3.12 (see Section 4).

Let Γ_i^Δ be the convex hull of $\gamma_i^\Delta \sqcup \{0\}$ in $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)$. Then the normalized $(\dim \Delta)$ -dimensional volume $\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Gamma_i^\Delta) \in \mathbf{Z}$ of Γ_i^Δ with respect to the lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ is equal to $d_i^\Delta \cdot \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\gamma_i^\Delta)$ and we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Assume that $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ is non-degenerate. Then the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber F_0 of $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$ is given by*

$$(20) \quad \chi(F_0) = \sum_{\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset} (-1)^{\dim \Delta - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Gamma_i^\Delta).$$

Now recall the following correspondence for each k with $0 \leq k \leq n$:

$$(21) \quad \{k\text{-dimensional faces in } K(\mathcal{S})\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{k\text{-dimensional } T\text{-orbits in } X(\mathcal{S})\}.$$

For a face Δ of $K(\mathcal{S})$, we denote by T_Δ the corresponding T -orbit in $X(\mathcal{S})$. Namely we set $T_\Delta := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)])$. Then we obtain a decomposition $X(\mathcal{S}) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} T_\Delta$ of $X(\mathcal{S})$. By the proof of Theorem 3.12 below, we obtain the following local version of Bernstein-Khovanskii-Kushnirenko's theorem which expresses the Euler characteristic $\chi(T_\Delta \cap F_0)$ of $T_\Delta \cap F_0$ in terms of the Newton polygon of f .

COROLLARY 3.6. *Assume that $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ is non-degenerate. Then we have*

$$(22) \quad \chi(T_\Delta \cap F_0) = \chi(R\Gamma(F_0; \mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap F_0}))$$

$$(23) \quad = \chi(\psi_f(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta})_0)$$

$$(24) \quad = (-1)^{\dim \Delta - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Gamma_i^\Delta).$$

PROOF. In the proof of Theorem 3.12 below, we will prove that

$$(25) \quad \chi(\psi_f(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta})_0) = (-1)^{\dim \Delta - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Gamma_i^\Delta).$$

Moreover, by using Proposition 2.3 we see easily that $\chi(R\Gamma(F_0; \mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap F_0}))$ is equal to $\chi(\psi_f(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta})_0)$. Since T_Δ is a T -orbit, the decomposition $X(\mathcal{S}) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} T_\Delta$ of $X(\mathcal{S})$ satisfies the Whitney regularity condition along T_Δ . Then the decomposition $F_0 = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} (T_\Delta \cap F_0)$ of $F_0 \subset X(\mathcal{S})$ is also a Whitney stratification ($f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ has the isolated stratified critical value $0 \in \mathbf{C}$ by [15, Proposition 1.3]). Finally, by applying [3, Theorem 4.1.22] to the constructible sheaf $\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap F_0}$ on the Whitney stratified analytic space $F_0 = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} (T_\Delta \cap F_0)$, we obtain

$$(26) \quad \chi(R\Gamma(F_0; \mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap F_0})) = \chi(T_\Delta \cap F_0).$$

This completes the proof. \square

In the rest of this section, we extend our results to non-degenerate complete intersection subvarieties in the affine toric variety $X(\mathcal{S})$. Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ ($1 \leq k \leq n = \dim X(\mathcal{S})$) and consider the following subvarieties of $X(\mathcal{S})$:

$$(27) \quad V := \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = f_k = 0\} \subset W := \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = 0\}.$$

Assume that $0 \in V$. Our objective here is to study the Milnor fiber G_0 of $g := f_k|_W: W \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at $0 \in V = g^{-1}(0) \subset W$ and its monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{g,0}(t)$. We call $\zeta_{g,0}(t)$ the k -th principal monodromy zeta function of $V = \{f_1 = \cdots = f_k = 0\}$ (cf. [21]). For each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, we set

$$(28) \quad I(\Delta) := \{j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1; \Gamma_+(f_j) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$$

and $m(\Delta) := \sharp I(\Delta) + 1$.

REMARK 3.7. When $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ and $X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^n$, in [22, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.12] Oka imposed the condition $I(\Delta) = \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ for any face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{R}_+^n$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$. See the condition (\sharp') in [22, p. 205]. However, by our proof of Theorem 3.12 in Section 4, we can remove this condition. Indeed, by Proposition 2.5 we can easily obtain

$$(29) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = \prod_{\{0\} \not\prec \Delta \prec \mathbf{R}_+^n} \zeta_{f_k \circ i_\Delta, 0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t),$$

where $i_\Delta: \overline{T_\Delta} = \mathbf{C}^{\dim \Delta} \hookrightarrow X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^n$ is the inclusion (see the proof of Theorem 3.12 in Section 4). Since $f_j \equiv 0$ on $T_\Delta = (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ such that $j \notin I(\Delta)$, we have

$$(30) \quad T_\Delta \cap W = T_\Delta \cap \{f_j = 0; j \in I(\Delta)\}$$

(see also our key formula (64) below). Therefore, in the calculation of $\zeta_{f_k \circ i_\Delta, 0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t)$ we can neglect the functions f_j ($1 \leq j \leq k-1$) such that $j \notin I(\Delta)$. For various explicit examples, see Example 3.13 below.

Let $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)$, $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$, $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ be as before and $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*$ the dual vector space of $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)$. Then $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ is naturally identified with a subset of $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*$ and the polar cone $\Delta^\vee = \{u \in \mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*; \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } v \in \Delta\}$ of Δ in $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*$ is a rational polyhedral convex cone with respect to the lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ in $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*$.

DEFINITION 3.8. (i) For a function $f = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_+(f)} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ on $X(\mathcal{S})$ and $u \in \Delta^\vee$, we set $f|_\Delta := \sum_{v \in \Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta]$ and

$$(31) \quad \Gamma(f|_\Delta; u) := \left\{ v \in \Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta; \langle u, v \rangle = \min_{w \in \Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta} \langle u, w \rangle \right\}.$$

We call $\Gamma(f|_\Delta; u)$ the supporting face of u in $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta$.

(ii) For $j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$ and $u \in \Delta^\vee$, we define the u -part $f_j^u \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta]$ of f_j by

$$(32) \quad f_j^u := \sum_{v \in \Gamma(f_j|_\Delta; u)} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta],$$

where $f_j = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_+(f_j)} a_v \cdot v$ in $\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$.

By taking a \mathbf{Z} -basis of $M(\mathcal{S})$ and identifying the u -parts f_j^u with Laurent polynomials $L(f_j^u)$ on $T = (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ as before, we have the following definition which does not depend on the choice of the \mathbf{Z} -basis of $M(\mathcal{S})$.

DEFINITION 3.9. We say that (f_1, \dots, f_k) is non-degenerate if for any face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ (including the case where $\Delta = K(\mathcal{S})$) and any $u \in \text{Int}(\Delta^\vee) \cap M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ the following two subvarieties of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ are non-degenerate complete intersections.

$$(33) \quad \{x \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n; L(f_j^u)(x) = 0 \text{ for any } j \in I(\Delta)\},$$

$$(34) \quad \{x \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n; L(f_j^u)(x) = 0 \text{ for any } j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}\}.$$

REMARK 3.10. The above definition is a generalization of the one in [22] etc., since our result (Theorem 3.12 below) generalizes the ones in [12], [21] and [22].

For each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, let us set $f_\Delta := (\prod_{j \in I(\Delta)} f_j) \cdot f_k \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ and consider its Newton polygon $\Gamma_+(f_\Delta) = \{\sum_{j \in I(\Delta)} \Gamma_+(f_j)\} + \Gamma_+(f_k) \subset K(\mathcal{S})$. Let $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta$ be the compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f_\Delta) \cap \Delta (\neq \emptyset)$ such that $\dim \gamma_i^\Delta = \dim \Delta - 1$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq \nu(\Delta)$ there exists a unique primitive vector $u_i^\Delta \in \text{Int}(\Delta^\vee) \cap M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ which takes its minimal in $\Gamma_+(f_\Delta) \cap \Delta$ exactly on γ_i^Δ .

REMARK 3.11. When $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ and $X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^n$, in [22, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.12] Oka used the dual weight vectors $u_1^\Delta, u_2^\Delta, \dots, u_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta$ to parametrize the $(\dim \Delta - 1)$ -dimensional faces $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta$. Here we formulated our result in terms of $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta$ following the formulation of Varchenko [27].

For $j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$, set $\gamma(f_j)_i^\Delta := \Gamma(f_j|_\Delta; u_i^\Delta)$ and $d_i^\Delta := \min_{w \in \Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta} \langle u_i^\Delta, w \rangle$. Note that we have

$$(35) \quad \gamma_i^\Delta = \sum_{j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}} \gamma(f_j)_i^\Delta$$

for any face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \leq i \leq \nu(\Delta)$. For each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$, $\dim \Delta \geq m(\Delta)$ and $1 \leq i \leq \nu(\Delta)$, we set $I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m(\Delta)-1}, k = j_{m(\Delta)}\}$ and

$$(36) \quad K_i^\Delta := \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m(\Delta)} = \dim \Delta - 1 \\ \alpha_q \geq 1 \text{ for } q \leq m(\Delta) - 1 \\ \alpha_{m(\Delta)} \geq 0}} \text{Vol}_Z(\underbrace{\gamma(f_{j_1})_i^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_{j_1})_i^\Delta}_{\alpha_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\gamma(f_{j_{m(\Delta)}})_i^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_{j_{m(\Delta)}})_i^\Delta}_{\alpha_{m(\Delta)}\text{-times}}).$$

Here

$$(37) \quad \text{Vol}_Z(\underbrace{\gamma(f_{j_1})_i^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_{j_1})_i^\Delta}_{\alpha_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\gamma(f_{j_{m(\Delta)}})_i^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_{j_{m(\Delta)}})_i^\Delta}_{\alpha_{m(\Delta)}\text{-times}})$$

is the normalized $(\dim \Delta - 1)$ -dimensional mixed volume with respect to the lattice $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\gamma_i^\Delta)$ (see Remark 2.9). For Δ such that $\dim \Delta - 1 = 0$, we set

$$(38) \quad K_i^\Delta = \text{Vol}_Z(\underbrace{\gamma(f_k)_i^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_k)_i^\Delta}_{0\text{-times}}) := 1$$

(in this case $\gamma(f_k)_i^\Delta$ is a point).

THEOREM 3.12. *Assume that (f_1, \dots, f_k) is non-degenerate. Then the k -th principal monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{g,0}(t)$ ($g = f_k|_W: W \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$) is given by*

$$(39) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = \prod_{\substack{\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset \\ \dim \Delta \geq m(\Delta)}} \zeta_{g,\Delta}(t),$$

where for each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $\dim \Delta \geq m(\Delta)$ we set

$$(40) \quad \zeta_{g,\Delta}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} (1 - t^{d_i^\Delta})^{(-1)^{\dim \Delta - m(\Delta)} K_i^\Delta}.$$

In particular, the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber G_0 of $g = f_k|_W: W \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at $0 \in V = g^{-1}(0)$ is given by

$$(41) \quad \chi(G_0) = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset \\ \dim \Delta \geq m(\Delta)}} (-1)^{\dim \Delta - m(\Delta)} \sum_{i=1}^{v(\Delta)} d_i^\Delta \cdot K_i^\Delta.$$

EXAMPLE 3.13. (i) In the case where $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Z}_+^2$ and $X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^2$, we give an example. Set

$$(42) \quad e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a subset $I \subset \{1, 2\}$ we denote the face $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{R}_+ e_i$ of $K(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{R}_+^2$ by Δ_I . For positive integers a, b, c, d , consider the polynomials $f_1(x, y) = x^a y^b$, $f_2(x, y) = x^c + y^d$ on $X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^2$. Set $W = \{f_1 = 0\}$ and $g = f_2|_W$. Then (f_1, f_2) is non-degenerate, and by Theorem 3.12 we obtain

$$(43) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = \zeta_{g,\Delta_1}(t) \zeta_{g,\Delta_2}(t) \zeta_{g,\Delta_{12}}(t) = (1 - t^c)(1 - t^d).$$

Here we used $I(\Delta_1) = I(\Delta_2) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, thanks to the irreducible decomposition $W = \{x = 0\} \cup \{y = 0\}$, we can calculate $\zeta_{g,0}(t)$ directly by restricting f_2 to the lines $\{x = 0\}$ and $\{y = 0\}$.

(ii) In the case where $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Z}_+^3$ and $X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^3$, we give an example. Set

$$(44) \quad e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a subset $I \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ we denote the face $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{R}_+ e_i$ of $K(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{R}_+^3$ by Δ_I . For positive integers a, b, c , consider the polynomials $f_1(x, y, z) = x^a - y^a$, $f_2(x, y, z) = x^b + 2y^b + z^c$ on $X(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{C}^3$. For simplicity, we assume that $\gcd(b, c) = 1$. Set $W = \{f_1 = 0\}$ and $g = f_2|_W$. Then (f_1, f_2) is non-degenerate, and by Theorem 3.12 we obtain

$$(45) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = \zeta_{g,\Delta_3}(t) \zeta_{g,\Delta_{12}}(t) \zeta_{g,\Delta_{23}}(t) \zeta_{g,\Delta_{13}}(t) \zeta_{g,\Delta_{123}}(t) = (1 - t^c)(1 - t^b)^a (1 - t^{bc})^{-a}.$$

Here we used $I(\Delta_3) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, thanks to the irreducible decomposition $W = \bigcup_{j=1}^a \{x = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/a)y\}$, we can calculate $\zeta_{g,0}(t)$ much more easily by restricting f_2 to the planes $W_j := \{x = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/a)y\} \simeq \mathbf{C}^2$ ($j = 1, \dots, a$) and the line $\bigcap_{j=1}^a W_j = \{x = y = 0\} \simeq \mathbf{C}_z$. Indeed, by the identification of W_j with $\mathbf{C}_{y,z}^2$, the monodromy the zeta function $\zeta_{f_2|_{W_j},0}(t)$ of $f_2|_{W_j}: W_j \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is equal to the one $\zeta_{f,0}(t)$ for $f(y, z) = (\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}jb/a) + 2)y^b + z^c$ etc. Hence by Varchenko's formula [27], we immediately obtain the same result

$$(46) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = (1-t^c) \left\{ \frac{(1-t^c)(1-t^b)(1-t^{bc})^{-1}}{(1-t^c)} \right\}^a.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3.12. Now let us prove Theorem 3.12. Theorem 3.4 will be proved as a special case of Theorem 3.12. Our proof is similar to the one in [27]. Let $i_\Delta: \overline{T}_\Delta \hookrightarrow X(\mathcal{S})$ be the closed embedding. Since nearby cycle functors take distinguished triangles to distinguished triangles, by $X(\mathcal{S}) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} T_\Delta$ and $W = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} (T_\Delta \cap W)$ we obtain

$$(47) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = \zeta_{f_k,0}(\mathbf{C}_W)(t)$$

$$(48) \quad = \zeta_{f_k,0}(\mathbf{C}_{W \setminus \{0\}})(t)$$

$$(49) \quad = \prod_{\{0\} \not\prec \Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} \zeta_{f_k,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t)$$

$$(50) \quad = \prod_{\{0\} \not\prec \Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} \zeta_{f_k,0}(i_{\Delta*} \mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t)$$

$$(51) \quad = \prod_{\{0\} \not\prec \Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})} \zeta_{f_k \circ i_\Delta,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t).$$

Here we used Proposition 2.5 to prove the first and the last equalities. We set

$$(52) \quad \zeta_{g,\Delta}(t) := \zeta_{f_k \circ i_\Delta,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*.$$

Since the condition $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ is equivalent to the one $f_k \circ i_\Delta \equiv 0$, for a face Δ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ the nearby cycle $\psi_{f_k \circ i_\Delta}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})$ vanishes and hence $\zeta_{g,\Delta}(t) \equiv 1$. Therefore, in order to calculate the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{g,0}(t)$ of $g = f_k|_W: W \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at $0 \in g^{-1}(0)$, it suffices to calculate $\zeta_{g,\Delta}(t)$ only for faces Δ of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\Delta \neq \{0\}$ and $\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$.

Let us fix such a face Δ of $K(\mathcal{S})$. Set $n' := \dim \Delta$ and $f := f_k \circ i_\Delta: \overline{T}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Note that we have $T_\Delta = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)])$ and $\overline{T}_\Delta = X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta])$. We shall calculate the function $\zeta_{g,\Delta}(t) = \zeta_{f,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$. For this purpose, we divide the polar cone $\Delta^\vee = \{u \in \mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*; \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } v \in \Delta\}$ of Δ in $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*$ by the equivalence relation

$$(53) \quad u \sim u' \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \Gamma(f_j|_\Delta; u) = \Gamma(f_j|_\Delta; u') \quad \text{for all } j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$$

(see (31)). Then we obtain a fan $\widetilde{\Sigma}_\Delta = \{\sigma'_i\}_i$ in $(\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*, M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*)$ such that $\bigsqcup_i \text{rel.int}(\sigma'_i) = \Delta^\vee$, where $\text{rel.int}(\cdot)$ is the relative interior. Note that for the product $f_\Delta = (\prod_{j \in I(\Delta)} f_j) \cdot f_k \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ and $u, u' \in \Delta^\vee$ we have

$$(54) \quad u \sim u' \iff \Gamma(f_\Delta|_\Delta; u) = \Gamma(f_\Delta|_\Delta; u').$$

By applying sufficiently many barycentric subdivisions to $\widetilde{\Sigma}_\Delta$, we obtain a fan $\Sigma := \Sigma_\Delta = \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $(\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*, M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*)$ such that $\bigsqcup_i \text{rel.int}(\sigma_i) = \Delta^\vee$ and the (normal) toric variety X_Σ associated with it is smooth. Then the open dense torus T''_Δ in X_Σ is defined by $T''_\Delta = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)])$. Since the subsemigroup $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \Delta$ of $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ is saturated, the affine toric variety $Z(\Delta) := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \Delta])$ is normal and there exists a natural T''_Δ -equivariant morphism $\pi_1: Z(\Delta) \rightarrow X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ induced by $\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta \subset M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \Delta$. There exists also a natural T''_Δ -equivariant proper birational morphism $\pi_2: X_\Sigma \rightarrow Z(\Delta)$ induced by the morphism $\Sigma \rightarrow \{\Delta^\vee\}$ of fans in $(\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*, M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*)$. Hence we obtain a T''_Δ -equivariant morphism $\pi := \pi_1 \circ \pi_2: X_\Sigma \rightarrow X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$. We shall use this morphism π for the calculation of $\zeta_{g, \Delta}(t)$. For a face $\tau \prec \Delta^\vee$ of Δ^\vee , denote by Δ_τ the polar face $\Delta \cap \tau^\perp$ of τ in $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)$ and set

$$(55) \quad T'_\tau := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \tau^\perp])$$

$$(56) \quad = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_\tau)]),$$

$$(57) \quad T_\tau := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_\tau)]).$$

Then T'_τ and T_τ are T''_Δ -orbits in $Z(\Delta)$ and $X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$, respectively. Note that we have $T_{\{0\}} = T_\Delta$ and $T'_{\{0\}} = T''_\Delta$ under this notation. Since the kernel of the canonical morphism

$$(58) \quad T'_\tau = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_\tau)]) \rightarrow T_\tau = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_\tau)])$$

is isomorphic to the finite group $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_\tau)^*/(M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_\tau))^*$ (see [20, p. 22]), the morphism $\pi_1|_{T'_\tau}: T'_\tau \rightarrow T_\tau$ is a finite covering.

For a cone $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$, denote by T''_{σ_i} the T''_Δ -orbit $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \sigma_i^\perp]) \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta - \dim \sigma_i}$ in X_Σ (in particular we have $T''_{\{0\}} = T''_\Delta$). Then we obtain a decomposition $X_\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{\sigma_i \in \Sigma} T''_{\sigma_i}$ of X_Σ . Note that the proper morphism $\pi_2: X_\Sigma \rightarrow Z(\Delta)$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_2|_{T''_{\{0\}}}: T''_{\{0\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T'_{\{0\}}$.

Let us set $\widetilde{f} := f \circ \pi: X_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and apply Proposition 2.5 to the constructible sheaf $\mathbf{C}_{T''_\Delta \cap \pi^{-1}(T_\Delta \cap W)} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X_\Sigma)$. Since the morphism $\pi: X_\Sigma \rightarrow X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ is proper and induces an isomorphism $\pi|_{T''_\Delta}: T''_\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\Delta$, by the above descriptions of π_1 and π_2 we have

$$(59) \quad R\pi_*(\mathbf{C}_{T''_\Delta \cap \pi^{-1}(T_\Delta \cap W)}) \simeq \mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W}$$

in $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta))$. Then by Proposition 2.5, for the calculation of

$$(60) \quad \zeta_{f,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t) = \zeta_{f,0}(R\pi_*(\mathbf{C}_{T''_\Delta \cap \pi^{-1}(T_\Delta \cap W)}))(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$$

it suffices to calculate the value of $\zeta_{\widetilde{f}}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_\Delta \cap \pi^{-1}(T_\Delta \cap W)})$ at each point of $\pi^{-1}(0)$.

Let $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ be a cone in Σ such that $\text{rel.int}(\sigma_0) \subset \text{Int}(\Delta^\vee)$ (which is equivalent to $T''_{\sigma_0} \subset \pi^{-1}(0)$). In order to calculate the constructible function $\zeta_{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)})$ on T''_{σ_0} , take an n' -dimensional cone $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma$ such that $\sigma_0 < \sigma_1$ and let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n'}\}$ be the 1-skeleton of σ_1 . In other words, $a_i \neq 0 \in M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ are the primitive vectors on the 1-dimensional faces of σ_1 . Set $p := \dim \sigma_0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ is the 1-skeleton σ_0 . For $j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$, we set

$$(61) \quad m(j)_i := \min_{w \in \Gamma_+(f_j) \cap \Delta} \langle a_i, w \rangle \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n').$$

For simplicity, we set also $m_i := m(k)_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n'$).

Let $U_1 := \mathbf{C}^{n'}(\sigma_1) \simeq \mathbf{C}_y^{n'}$ be the affine toric variety associated with the fan $\{\sigma'\}_{\sigma' < \sigma_1}$ in $(\mathbf{L}(\Delta)^*, M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*)$. Then U_1 is an affine open subset of X_Σ and in $U_1 \simeq \mathbf{C}_y^{n'}$ the T''_{Δ} -orbit T''_{σ_0} is defined by

$$(62) \quad T''_{\sigma_0} = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n'}); y_1 = \dots = y_p = 0, y_{p+1}, \dots, y_{n'} \neq 0\} \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{n'-p}.$$

Moreover for $j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$, on $U_1 \simeq \mathbf{C}_y^{n'}$ the function $f_j \circ \pi : X_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ has the form

$$(63) \quad (f_j \circ \pi)(y) = y_1^{m(j)_1} y_2^{m(j)_2} \dots y_{n'}^{m(j)_{n'}} \cdot f_j^{\sigma_1}(y),$$

where $f_j^{\sigma_1}$ is a polynomial function on U_1 . Note that by the assumptions $T''_{\sigma_0} \subset \pi^{-1}(0)$ and $f_k(0) = 0$ we have $T''_{\sigma_0} \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(0)$. Since $f_k^{\sigma_1}|_{T''_{\sigma_0}} \neq 0$ by the construction of the fan Σ , at least one of the integers $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p \geq 0$ must be positive. Note that by the definition of $I(\Delta)$ we have $f_j \circ i_\Delta \equiv 0$ on $X(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)$ for any $j \notin I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$. Hence we obtain our key formula

$$(64) \quad T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W) = T''_{\Delta} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{f_j^{\sigma_1} = 0\}.$$

Moreover, by the non-degeneracy of (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) (see Definition 3.9) we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *The gradient vectors $\{\text{grad}(f_j^{\sigma_1})\}_{j \in I(\Delta)}$ (resp. $\{\text{grad}(f_j^{\sigma_1})\}_{j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}}$) are linearly independent on $\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{f_j^{\sigma_1} = 0\}$ (resp. $\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}} \{f_j^{\sigma_1} = 0\}$) in a neighborhood of T''_{σ_0} .*

PROOF. First, by the non-degeneracy of (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) , for any $u \in \text{Int}(\Delta^\vee) \cap M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ the Laurent polynomials $L'(f_j^u)$ on $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta)]) \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta}$ defined by the u -parts $f_j^u \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta]$ ($j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$) satisfy the conditions similar to the ones in Definition 3.9. Since the natural morphism

$$(65) \quad \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta)]) \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta} \rightarrow T_{\Delta} = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)])$$

is a finite covering, also the corresponding Laurent polynomials $L''(f_j^u)$ ($j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$) on $T_{\Delta} \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta}$ satisfy such conditions. Then the result follows from the classical arguments (see [22] etc. for the detail). \square

For $j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$, we set $h_j := f_j^{\sigma_1}|_{T''_{\sigma_0}} : T''_{\sigma_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *In the situation as above, we have*

(i) *If $\dim \sigma_0 = 1$, then for $y = (0, y_2, \dots, y_{n'}) \in T''_{\sigma_0} \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{n'-1}$ we have*

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\tilde{f}, y}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)})(t) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 - t^{m_1} & \text{if } y \in (\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\}) \setminus \{h_k = 0\}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $\dim \sigma_0 \geq 2$, we have*

$$(67) \quad \zeta_{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)}) \Big|_{T''_{\sigma_0}} \equiv 1$$

PROOF. Set $l := \#\{1 \leq i \leq p; m_i > 0\}$. If $l \geq 2$, then by Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$(68) \quad \zeta_{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)}) \Big|_{T''_{\sigma_0}} \equiv 1$$

(see for example [22, Chapter I, Example (3.7)] etc.). Let us consider the case where $l = 1$. If $y \in T''_{\sigma_0} \setminus (\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\})$ or $y \in (\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\}) \cap \{h_k = 0\}$, also by Lemma 4.1 we can show that $\zeta_{\tilde{f}, y}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)})(t) = 1$. By $\dim T''_{\Delta} - \dim T''_{\sigma_0} = p$, in a neighborhood of each point of $(\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\}) \setminus \{h_k = 0\}$, we have

$$(69) \quad \{\tilde{f} = \varepsilon\} \cap (T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)) \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{p-1} \times A \quad (0 < |\varepsilon| \ll 1),$$

where A is a constructible set. If $p \geq 2$, the Euler characteristic of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^{p-1}$ is zero and we can easily prove that the equality

$$(70) \quad \zeta_{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)}) \Big|_{T''_{\sigma_0}} \equiv 1$$

holds (see [22, Chapter I, Example (3.7)] etc.). Finally, consider the case where $l = 1$ and $p = 1$. In this case, on $U_1 \simeq \mathbf{C}^n_y$ the function $\tilde{f} = f_k \circ \pi$ has the form

$$(71) \quad \tilde{f}(y) = y_1^{m_1} \cdot (y_2^{m_2} y_3^{m_3} \cdots y_{n'}^{m_{n'}}) \cdot f_k^{\sigma_1}(y).$$

Then by Lemma 4.1, for $y \in T''_{\sigma_0}$ we can easily prove (66). \square

Now let us return to the proof of Theorem 3.12. By the proposition above, in order to calculate $\zeta_{g, \Delta}(t)$, it suffices to consider the values of the $\mathbf{C}(t)^*$ -valued constructible function

$$(72) \quad \zeta_{\tilde{f}}(\mathbf{C}_{T''_{\Delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{\Delta} \cap W)}) \Big|_{\pi^{-1}(0)} : \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(t)^*$$

only on T''_{σ_0} for $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ such that $\text{rel.int}(\sigma_0) \subset \text{Int}(\Delta^\vee)$ and $\dim \sigma_0 = 1$. Let us take such a 1-dimensional cone $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$. Let $u \neq 0 \in M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ be the unique non-zero primitive vector on σ_0 and for $j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}$ set $\gamma(f_j)_u^\Delta := \Gamma(f_j|_{\Delta}; u)$. Then $\gamma(f_j)_u^\Delta$ is naturally identified with the Newton polytope of the Laurent polynomial $h_j : T''_{\sigma_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on $T''_{\sigma_0} \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta - 1}$, and by Theorem 2.8 we have

$$(73) \quad \chi \left(\left(\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\} \right) \setminus \{h_k = 0\} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \chi\left(\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\}\right) - \chi\left(\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}} \{h_j = 0\}\right) \\
 (74) \quad &= (-1)^{\dim \Delta - m(\Delta)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m(\Delta)} = \dim \Delta - 1 \\ \alpha_q \geq 1 \text{ for } q \leq m(\Delta) - 1 \\ \alpha_{m(\Delta)} \geq 0}} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\underbrace{\gamma(f_{j_1})_u^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_{j_1})_u^\Delta}_{\alpha_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\gamma(f_{j_{m(\Delta)}})_u^\Delta, \dots, \gamma(f_{j_{m(\Delta)}})_u^\Delta}_{\alpha_{m(\Delta)}\text{-times}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we set $I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m(\Delta)-1}, k = j_{m(\Delta)}\}$. Now recall that we have

$$(75) \quad \Gamma(f_\Delta|_\Delta; u) = \sum_{j \in I(\Delta) \sqcup \{k\}} \gamma(f_j)_u^\Delta.$$

Hence if $\dim \Gamma(f_\Delta|_\Delta; u) < \dim \Delta - 1$, then all the mixed volumes in (74) vanish and

$$(76) \quad \chi\left(\left(\bigcap_{j \in I(\Delta)} \{h_j = 0\}\right) \setminus \{h_k = 0\}\right) = 0.$$

This implies that for the calculation of $\zeta_{g,\Delta}(t) = \zeta_{f,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$, we have only to consider the compact faces $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta$ of $\Gamma_+(f_\Delta) \cap \Delta$ such that $\dim \gamma_i^\Delta = \dim \Delta - 1$. Summarizing these arguments, we finally obtain

$$(77) \quad \zeta_{g,\Delta}(t) = \zeta_{f,0}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta \cap W})(t)$$

$$(78) \quad = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu(\Delta)} (1 - t^{d_i^\Delta})^{(-1)^{\dim \Delta - m(\Delta)} K_i^\Delta}.$$

Since $K_i^\Delta = 0$ for $m(\Delta) > \dim \Delta$ by the definition of K_i^Δ , we also have

$$(79) \quad \zeta_{g,0}(t) = \prod_{\substack{\Gamma_+(f_k) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset \\ \dim \Delta \geq m(\Delta)}} \zeta_{g,\Delta}(t).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.12. □

REMARK 4.3. In Theorem 3.4, we assumed that $K(\mathcal{S})$ is strongly convex and $0 \in X(\mathcal{S}) = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}])$ is the T -fixed point. We can remove these assumptions as follows. Let \mathcal{S} be a finitely generated subsemigroup of $M = \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\dim K(\mathcal{S}) = n$. We shall explain how to calculate the monodromy zeta functions $\zeta_{f,x}(t)$ of polynomials f on $X(\mathcal{S})$ at general points $x \in X(\mathcal{S})$. For a point x of $X(\mathcal{S})$, let Δ_0 be the unique face of $K(\mathcal{S})$ such that $x \in T_{\Delta_0} = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_0)])$. Then

$$(80) \quad Y(\mathcal{S}) := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S} + M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_0)])$$

is an open subset of $X(\mathcal{S})$ containing T_{Δ_0} and we can regard f as a function on $Y(\mathcal{S})$. Set $K' := K(\mathcal{S} + M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_0))$. Then there exists a decomposition $Y(\mathcal{S}) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta < K'} T_\Delta$ of $Y(\mathcal{S})$ into T -orbits. Note that T_{Δ_0} is the smallest T -orbit in $Y(\mathcal{S})$. For $\Delta < K'$, let $i_\Delta: \overline{T_\Delta} =$

$\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) + M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta_0)]) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathcal{S})$ be the embedding. Then by $Y(\mathcal{S}) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K'} T_\Delta$ and Proposition 2.5 we have

$$(81) \quad \zeta_{f,x}(t) = \prod_{\Delta \prec K'} \zeta_{f \circ i_\Delta, x}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta})(t)$$

(see also (47) through (51)). Now let us set $\mathcal{S}_\Delta := (\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) + (M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_0))$ and $\tilde{Z}(\Delta) := \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}_\Delta])$. Then there exists a decomposition $\tilde{Z}(\Delta) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta_1 \prec \Delta} T'_{\Delta_1}$ of $\tilde{Z}(\Delta)$ into T -orbits and the natural morphism $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{Z}(\Delta) \rightarrow \overline{T_\Delta}$ induces a finite covering $T'_{\Delta_1} \rightarrow T_{\Delta_1}$ for any $\Delta_1 \prec \Delta$. Since π_Δ induces an isomorphism $T'_\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\Delta$, we have $R\pi_{\Delta*}(\mathbf{C}_{T'_\Delta}) \simeq \mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta}$. Therefore by Proposition 2.5, in order to calculate $\zeta_{f \circ i_\Delta, x}(\mathbf{C}_{T_\Delta})(t)$ it suffices to calculate $\zeta_{f \circ i_\Delta \circ \pi_\Delta}(\mathbf{C}_{T'_\Delta})(t)$ at each point of the finite set $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(x) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\} \subset \tilde{Z}(\Delta)$. Let $M' \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{n - \dim \Delta_0}$ be a sublattice of M such that $M' \oplus (M \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_0)) = M$ and set $\mathcal{S}'_\Delta = M' \cap \mathcal{S}_\Delta$. Then we have

$$(82) \quad \mathcal{S}_\Delta = \mathcal{S}'_\Delta \oplus (M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_0))$$

and $K(\mathcal{S}'_\Delta) \subset M'_R$ is a strongly convex cone. Hence we have

$$(83) \quad \tilde{Z}(\Delta) \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}'_\Delta]) \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta_0} \supset \{0\} \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta_0} \supset \pi_\Delta^{-1}(x)$$

and $\zeta_{f \circ i_\Delta \circ \pi_\Delta}(\mathbf{C}_{T'_\Delta})(t)$ can be calculated at each point of $\pi_\Delta^{-1}(x) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ by Corollary 3.6. Indeed, we first multiply a monomial in $\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Delta_0)] \subset \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}_\Delta]$ to $f \circ i_\Delta \circ \pi_\Delta \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}_\Delta]$ and extend it to a function on $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}'_\Delta]) \times \mathbf{C}^{\dim \Delta_0}$. Then by a suitable translation we may assume that $p_i \in \pi_\Delta^{-1}(x)$ is the unique T -fixed point of the product toric variety $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}'_\Delta]) \times \mathbf{C}^{\dim \Delta_0}$ and Corollary 3.6 can be applied.

5. Monodromy zeta functions of torus invariant sheaves. In this section, we generalize our Theorem 3.4 to T -invariant constructible sheaves on general toric varieties.

First, let X be a (not necessarily normal) toric variety over \mathbf{C} and $T \subset X$ the open dense torus which acts on X itself. Let $X = \bigsqcup_\alpha X_\alpha$ be the decomposition of X into T -orbits.

DEFINITION 5.1. (i) We say that a constructible sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is T -invariant if $\mathcal{F}|_{X_\alpha}$ is a locally constant sheaf of finite rank for any α .

(ii) We say that a constructible object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$ is T -invariant if the cohomology sheaf $H^j(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is T -invariant for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Note that the so-called T -equivariant constructible sheaves on X are T -invariant in the above sense.

From now on, we consider the (not necessarily normal) toric variety $X(\mathcal{S})$ and the regular function $f: X(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on it considered in Section 3. We shall freely use the notations in Section 3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X(\mathcal{S}))$ be a T -invariant object. Our objective here is to calculate the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_f(\mathcal{F})(t) := \zeta_{f,0}(\mathcal{F})(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$ of $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X(\mathcal{S}))$ at the T -fixed point $0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$. Since we have $\zeta_f(\mathcal{F})(t) = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \zeta_f(H^j(\mathcal{F}))^{(-1)^j}$, we may assume from the first that \mathcal{F} is a T -invariant constructible sheaf on $X(\mathcal{S})$. For each face $\Delta \prec K(\mathcal{S})$ of $K(\mathcal{S})$,

denote by $T_\Delta \subset X(S)$ the corresponding T -orbit in $X(S)$ and consider the decomposition

$$(84) \quad X(S) = \bigsqcup_{\Delta \prec K(S)} T_\Delta$$

of $X(S)$ into T -orbits. For $\Delta \prec K(S)$, we denote the local system $\mathcal{F}|_{T_\Delta}$ on T_Δ by \mathcal{L}_Δ . Let $j_\Delta: T_\Delta \hookrightarrow X(S)$ be the inclusion. Then by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$(85) \quad \zeta_f(\mathcal{F})(t) = \prod_{\Delta \prec K(S)} \zeta_f((j_\Delta)_! \mathcal{L}_\Delta)(t).$$

In order to calculate the monodromy zeta functions $\zeta_f((j_\Delta)_! \mathcal{L}_\Delta)(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we need the following elementary propositions.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a local system of rank $r > 0$ on $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Denote by $A \in GL_r(\mathbf{C})$ the monodromy matrix of \mathcal{L} along the loop $\{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ in \mathbf{C}^* , which is defined up to conjugacy. Let $j: \mathbf{C}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the inclusion.*

(i) *Set $d := \dim \text{Ker}(\text{id} - A)$. Then we have*

$$(86) \quad H^j(\mathbf{C}^*; \mathcal{L}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}^d & (j = 0, 1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

(ii) *For any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have*

$$(87) \quad H^j(\mathbf{C}; j_! \mathcal{L}) \simeq 0.$$

(iii) *Let h be a function on \mathbf{C} defined by $h(z) = z^m$ ($m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$) for $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Then we have*

$$(88) \quad \zeta_{h,0}(j_! \mathcal{L})(t) = \det(\text{id} - t^m A) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*.$$

PROOF. For the proof of (i), see for example [19, Lemma 3.3]. The assertion (ii) is easily obtained from (i). Let us prove (iii). By taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ set $p_k := \varepsilon e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}k/m} \in \mathbf{C}^*$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$(89) \quad \psi_h(j_! \mathcal{L})_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}_{p_k}.$$

We fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{p_0} \simeq \mathbf{C}^r$ and for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ construct an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{p_k} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{p_0} = \mathbf{C}^r$ by the translation of the sections of \mathcal{L} along the path $\gamma_k: [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$, $\gamma_k(s) = \varepsilon e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}k/m)s}$. Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$(90) \quad \psi_h(j_! \mathcal{L})_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}_{p_k} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{mr}.$$

Since the monodromy automorphism of $\psi_h(j_i\mathcal{L})_0$ corresponds to the matrix

$$(91) \quad \begin{pmatrix} O & O & \dots & O & A \\ \text{id} & O & \dots & O & O \\ O & \text{id} & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O & \dots & \dots & \text{id} & O \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{mr}(\mathbf{C})$$

via this isomorphism $\psi_h(j_i\mathcal{L})_0 \simeq \mathbf{C}^{mr}$, we obtain (88). \square

The following result is a generalization of the famous A'Campo lemma (see [1] and [22, Chapter I, Example (3.7)] etc.) to constructible sheaves.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a local system on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^k$ and $j: (\mathbf{C}^*)^k \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^k$ the inclusion. Let $h: \mathbf{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a function on \mathbf{C}^k defined by $h(z) = z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_k^{m_k}$ ($\neq 1$) ($m_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$) for $z \in \mathbf{C}^k$. If $k \geq 2$, the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{h,0}(j_i\mathcal{L})(t)$ (resp. $\zeta_{h,0}(Rj_*\mathcal{L})(t)$) of $j_i\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathbf{C}^k)$ (resp. $Rj_*\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathbf{C}^k)$) at $0 \in \mathbf{C}^k$ is $1 \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$.*

PROOF. Since the proof of $\zeta_{h,0}(Rj_*\mathcal{L})(t) \equiv 1$ is similar, we prove only $\zeta_{h,0}(Rj_i\mathcal{L})(t) \equiv 1$. Let F_0 be the Milnor fiber of h at $0 \in \mathbf{C}^k$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_0, \eta_0 > 0$ with $0 < \eta_0 \ll \varepsilon_0$ such that the restriction

$$(92) \quad B(0; \varepsilon_0) \cap h^{-1}(D_{\eta_0}^*) \rightarrow D_{\eta_0}^*$$

of h is a fiber bundle over the punctured disk $D_{\eta_0}^* = \{x \in \mathbf{C}; 0 < |x| < \eta_0\}$ with fiber F_0 . Furthermore, by using the special form of h , we may replace the above constant $\varepsilon_0, \eta_0 > 0$ so that there exists also an isomorphism

$$(93) \quad R\Gamma(h^{-1}(x); j_i\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(h^{-1}(x) \cap B(0; \varepsilon_0); j_i\mathcal{L})$$

for any $x \in D_{\eta_0}^*$. Indeed, this isomorphism can be obtained by applying Kashiwara's non-characteristic deformation lemma ([10, Proposition 2.7.2]) to the constructible sheaf $(j_i\mathcal{L})|_{h^{-1}(x)}$ on the complex manifold $h^{-1}(x)$. Set $\mathcal{F} = (j_i\mathcal{L})_{\overline{B(0; \varepsilon_0)}} \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{C}^k)$. Then for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, the cohomology sheaf $H^j(Rh_*\mathcal{F})$ is a local system on $D_{\eta_0}^*$, and via the isomorphism

$$(94) \quad H^j(\psi_h(j_i\mathcal{L}))_0 \simeq H^j(F_0; j_i\mathcal{L}) \simeq H^j(Rh_*\mathcal{F})_x \quad (x \in D_{\eta_0}^*)$$

(obtained by Proposition 2.3) the monodromy automorphism of $H^j(\psi_h(j_i\mathcal{L}))_0$ corresponds to the one $Q_{j,x}: H^j(Rh_*\mathcal{F})_x \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(Rh_*\mathcal{F})_x$ obtained by the translation of the sections of the local system $H^j(Rh_*\mathcal{F})_x$ along the path $\gamma_x: [0, 1] \rightarrow D_{\eta_0}^*$, $\gamma_x(s) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s}x$ (see the discussions just after [3, Proposition 4.2.2]). This automorphism $Q_{j,x}$ can be functorially constructed as follows. First, define a morphism $\tilde{\Psi}: [0, 1] \times D_{\eta_0}^* \rightarrow D_{\eta_0}^*$ by $\tilde{\Psi}(s, x) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s}x$ and let $\pi: [0, 1] \times D_{\eta_0}^* \rightarrow D_{\eta_0}^*$ be the projection. For $q = 0, 1$, let $i_q: D_{\eta_0}^* \simeq \{q\} \times D_{\eta_0}^* \hookrightarrow [0, 1] \times D_{\eta_0}^*$ be the inclusion and set $\Psi_q = \tilde{\Psi}(q, *) = \tilde{\Psi} \circ i_q: D_{\eta_0}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\eta_0}^*$. Note that $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \text{id}_{D_{\eta_0}^*}$ in this case. Then for $q = 0, 1$ we obtain an isomorphism

$$(95) \quad R\pi_* \tilde{\Psi}^{-1} Rh_* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\pi_*(i_q)_*(i_q)^{-1} \tilde{\Psi}^{-1} Rh_* \mathcal{F} \simeq \Psi_q^{-1} Rh_* \mathcal{F}$$

in $\mathbf{D}_c^b(D_{\eta_0}^*)$. Hence by setting $\Psi := \Psi_1$ we obtain an automorphism of $Rh_* \mathcal{F}$

$$(96) \quad Rh_* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Psi_* \Psi^{-1} Rh_* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Psi_* \Psi_0^{-1} Rh_* \mathcal{F} = Rh_* \mathcal{F}$$

which induces $Q_{j,x}$. Similarly, define a morphism $\tilde{\Phi}: [0, 1] \times \mathbf{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^k$ by

$$(97) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(s, z) = (e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/md)s} z_1, e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/md)s} z_2, \dots, e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/md)s} z_l, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_k)$$

and let $\varpi: [0, 1] \times \mathbf{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^k$ be the projection. For $q = 0, 1$, set $\Phi_q := \tilde{\Phi}(q, *): \mathbf{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^k$. In this case, we have $\Phi_0 = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}^k}$, and Φ_1 induces the monodromy automorphisms of the global Milnor fiber $h^{-1}(x)$ and the local one $F_0 = h^{-1}(x) \cap B(0; \varepsilon_0)$ for any $x \in D_{\eta_0}^*$. Then by setting $\Phi := \Phi_1: \mathbf{C}^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{C}^k$, we obtain also isomorphisms

$$(98) \quad \Phi^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L} \xleftarrow{\sim} R\varpi_* \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_0^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L} = j_l \mathcal{L}$$

and hence an automorphism $R_{j,x}$ of $H^j(F_0; j_l \mathcal{L})$ defined by

$$(99) \quad H^j(F_0; j_l \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^j(F_0; R\Phi_* \Phi^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^j(F_0; R\Phi_* \Phi_0^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L}) = H^j(F_0; j_l \mathcal{L}).$$

By the functorial constructions of $Q_{j,x}$ and $R_{j,x}$, we can easily check that via the isomorphism $H^j(Rh_* \mathcal{F})_x \simeq H^j(F_0; j_l \mathcal{L})$ the automorphism $Q_{j,x}$ corresponds to $R_{j,x}$. Therefore, in order to calculate the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_{h,0}(j_l \mathcal{L})(t)$ it suffices to calculate the one for the automorphisms $R_{j,x}: H^j(F_0; j_l \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(F_0; j_l \mathcal{L})$ induced by the isomorphism $\Phi^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_l \mathcal{L}$. Moreover, by the isomorphism (93), we have only to calculate the zeta function for the automorphisms of $H^j(h^{-1}(x); j_l \mathcal{L})$ induced also by $\Phi^{-1} j_l \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_l \mathcal{L}$.

Now, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $1 \leq l \leq k$ such that $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l > 0$ and $m_{l+1} = \dots = m_k = 0$. Moreover, by replacing exponents, we may assume also that $h(z) = (z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_l^{m_l})^m$ with $m \geq 1$ and $\text{gcd}(m_1, \dots, m_l) = 1$. Set $d := m_1 + \dots + m_l$. Let $M = (m_{i,j}) \in \text{SL}(l; \mathbf{Z})$ be a unimodular matrix such that $m_{1,j} = m_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. We define an isomorphism $\Lambda_M: (\mathbf{C}^*)^l \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{C}^*)^l \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l}$ by

$$(100) \quad w = \Lambda_M(z) = (z_1^{m_{1,1}} \cdots z_l^{m_{1,l}}, \dots, z_1^{m_{l,1}} \cdots z_l^{m_{l,l}}, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_k)$$

and an isomorphism $\Phi': \mathbf{C}^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{C}^k$ by

$$(101) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi'(w_1, \dots, w_k) \\ &= (e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m)w_1}, e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_2/md)w_2}, \dots, e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_l/md)w_l}, w_{l+1}, \dots, w_k), \end{aligned}$$

where we set $d_i := m_{i,1} + \dots + m_{i,l}$ for $i = 2, \dots, l$. Moreover we define a function $h': (\mathbf{C}^*)^l \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $h'(w) = w_1^m$. Then we have

$$(102) \quad \Lambda_M(h^{-1}(x)) = h'^{-1}(x) \quad (x \in D_{\eta_0}^*),$$

$$(103) \quad \Lambda_M \circ (\Phi|_{(\mathbf{C}^*)^l \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l}}) = (\Phi'|_{(\mathbf{C}^*)^l \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l}}) \circ \Lambda_M.$$

Let $j': (\mathbf{C}^*)^k \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{C}^*)^l \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l}$ be the inclusion and consider the local system $\mathcal{L}' := (\Lambda_M|_{(\mathbf{C}^*)^k})_* \mathcal{L}$ on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^k$. Similarly to $R_{j,x}$, we can construct an automorphism $R'_{j,x}$ of $H^j(h'^{-1}(x); j'_1 \mathcal{L}')$ by constructing an isomorphism $\Phi'^{-1} j'_1 \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} j'_1 \mathcal{L}'$. Then via the natural isomorphism $H^j(F_0; j_1 \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^j(h^{-1}(x); j_1 \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^j(h'^{-1}(x); j'_1 \mathcal{L}')$ induced by Λ_M , the automorphism $R_{j,x}$ corresponds to $R'_{j,x}$. Define an automorphism $\Phi'': \mathbf{C}^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{C}^k$ by

$$(104) \quad \Phi''(w_1, \dots, w_k) = (e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m)} w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k).$$

Then we can construct also an automorphism $R''_{j,x}$ of $H^j(h'^{-1}(x); j'_1 \mathcal{L}')$ by constructing an isomorphism $\Phi''^{-1} j'_1 \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} j'_1 \mathcal{L}'$. We define a homotopy morphism $\Theta: h'^{-1}(x) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow h'^{-1}(x)$ such that $\Theta(\cdot, 0) = \Phi''|_{h'^{-1}(x)}$ and $\Theta(\cdot, 1) = \Phi'|_{h'^{-1}(x)}$ by

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta(w, s) \\ = (e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m)} w_1, e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_2/md)s} w_2, \dots, e^{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_l/md)s} w_l, w_{l+1}, \dots, w_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let $p: h'^{-1}(x) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow h'^{-1}(x)$ be the projection. Then similarly to $\Phi^{-1} j_1 \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_1 \mathcal{L}$, we can construct a natural isomorphism $\Theta^{-1}(j'_1 \mathcal{L}'|_{h'^{-1}(x)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{-1}(j'_1 \mathcal{L}'|_{h'^{-1}(x)})$. By applying Lemma 5.4 below to $Y = h'^{-1}(x)$ and Θ , we get $R'_{j,x} = R''_{j,x}$ and

$$(106) \quad \zeta_{h,0}(j_1 \mathcal{L})(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \det(\text{id} - t R''_{j,x})^{(-1)^j}.$$

Note that each connected component K of $h'^{-1}(x)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}^*)^{l-1} \times \mathbf{C}^{k-l}$. Moreover by our assumption $k \geq 2$ and Proposition 5.2 the Euler-Poincaré index $\chi(R\Gamma(K; j'_1 \mathcal{L}'))$ of $R\Gamma(K; j'_1 \mathcal{L}')$ is zero. Then the result follows from the classical arguments as in [22, Chapter I, Example (3.7)] and the Künneth formula. This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 5.4. *Let $f_0, f_1: Y \rightarrow X$ be two morphisms of topological spaces. Set $I = [0, 1]$ and let $p_Y: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ be the projection. Assume that there exists a homotopy morphism $\Theta: Y \times I \rightarrow X$ between f_0 and f_1 such that $\Theta(\cdot, q) = f_q$ for $q = 0, 1$. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$, assume that there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: \Theta^{-1} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_Y^{-1} \mathcal{F}$. For $q = 0, 1$, let $f_q^\sharp: R\Gamma(X; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(Y; \mathcal{F})$ be the morphism obtained by*

$$(107) \quad R\Gamma(X; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X; Rf_{q*} f_q^{-1} \mathcal{G}) \simeq R\Gamma(Y; f_q^{-1} \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\Phi|_{Y \times \{q\}}} R\Gamma(Y; \mathcal{F}).$$

Then we have $f_0^\sharp = f_1^\sharp$.

PROOF. For $q = 0, 1$, let $i_q: Y \simeq Y \times \{q\} \hookrightarrow Y \times I$ be the embedding. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(108) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} R\Gamma(X; \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(Y \times I; \Theta^{-1}\mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & R\Gamma(Y \times I; p_Y^{-1}\mathcal{F}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & R\Gamma(Y; \mathcal{F}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & R\Gamma(Y; i_q^{-1}\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\phi|_{Y \times \{q\}}} & R\Gamma(Y; i_q^{-1}p_Y^{-1}\mathcal{F}) & & \end{array}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

With these propositions at hands, we can prove the following explicit formula for $\zeta_f(\mathcal{F})(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$ as in the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.4. For each $\Delta \prec K(S)$ by fixing an isomorphism $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\dim \Delta}$, we obtain an isomorphism $T_\Delta = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}[M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)]) \simeq (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta}$. We regard \mathcal{L}_Δ as a local system of rank r_Δ on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta}$ via this isomorphism and denote by $A_j^\Delta \in GL_{r_\Delta}(\mathbf{C})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \dim \Delta$) the monodromy matrices of \mathcal{L}_Δ along the loops

$$(109) \quad \{(1, 1, \dots, 1, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}, 1, \dots, 1) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$$

($e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ is in the j -th entry) in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^{\dim \Delta} \simeq T_\Delta$, which are determined up to conjugacy. Note that the matrices $A_1^\Delta, A_2^\Delta, \dots, A_{\dim \Delta}^\Delta$ mutually commute. Finally by using the inner conormal vectors $u_1^\Delta, u_2^\Delta, \dots, u_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta \in M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^*$ of the compact faces $\gamma_1^\Delta, \gamma_2^\Delta, \dots, \gamma_{\nu(\Delta)}^\Delta$ of $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta$ introduced in Section 3, we set

$$(110) \quad B_i^\Delta := \prod_{j=1}^{\dim \Delta} (A_j^\Delta)^{u_{i,j}^\Delta} \in GL_{r_\Delta}(\mathbf{C})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \nu(\Delta)$, where $(u_{i,1}^\Delta, u_{i,2}^\Delta, \dots, u_{i,\dim \Delta}^\Delta) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\dim \Delta}$ is the image of u_i^Δ by the isomorphism $M(\mathcal{S} \cap \Delta)^* \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\dim \Delta}$.

THEOREM 5.5. *Assume that $f = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} a_v \cdot v \in \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{S}]$ is non-degenerate. Then the monodromy zeta function $\zeta_f(\mathcal{F})(t) = \zeta_{f,0}(\mathcal{F})(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$ of the T -invariant constructible sheaf \mathcal{F} at $0 \in X(S)$ is given by*

$$(111) \quad \zeta_f(\mathcal{F})(t) = \prod_{\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset} \zeta_{f,\Delta}(\mathcal{F})(t),$$

where for each face $\Delta \prec K(S)$ of $K(S)$ such that $\Gamma_+(f) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ we set

$$(112) \quad \zeta_{f,\Delta}(\mathcal{F})(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu(\Delta)} \det(\text{id} - t^{d_i^\Delta} B_i^\Delta)^{(-1)^{\dim \Delta - 1} \text{Vol}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\gamma_i^\Delta)}.$$

By the same methods, also for non-degenerate complete intersection subvarieties $W = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = 0\} \supset V = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = f_k = 0\}$ in $X(S)$ and T -invariant constructible sheaves \mathcal{F} on $X(S)$, we can give a formula for the monodromy zeta function

$$(113) \quad \zeta_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_W)(t) := \zeta_{f_k,0}(\mathcal{F}_W)(t) \in \mathbf{C}(t)^*$$

of $\mathcal{F}_W = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{C_X(S)} C_W \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X(S))$ at $0 \in X(S)$. The precise formulation is now easy and left to the reader.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. A'CAMPO, La fonction zêta d'une monodromie, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 50 (1975), 233–248.
- [2] P. DELIGNE, Le formalisme des cycles évanescents, SGA7, exposé XIII, Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique, Part II, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 340, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [3] A. DIMCA, *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [4] A. ESTEROV, Determinantal singularities and Newton polyhedra, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 259 (2007), 1–34.
- [5] W. FULTON, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [6] I.-M. GELFAND, M.-M. KAPRANOV AND A.-V. ZELEVINSKY, Hypergeometric functions and toral manifolds, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 23 (1989), 94–106.
- [7] I.-M. GELFAND, M.-M. KAPRANOV AND A.-V. ZELEVINSKY, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [8] G.-G. GUSEV, Monodromy zeta-functions of deformations and Newton diagrams, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 22 (2009), 447–454.
- [9] R. HOTTA, K. TAKEUCHI AND T. TANISAKI, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.
- [10] M. KASHIWARA AND P. SCHAPIRA, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [11] A.-G. KHOVANSKII, Newton polyhedra and toroidal varieties, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 11 (1978), 289–296.
- [12] A.-N. KIRILLOV, Zeta function of the monodromy for complete intersection singularities, *J. Math. Sci.* 25 (1984), 1051–1057.
- [13] A.-G. KOUCHNIRENKO, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, *Invent. Math.* 32 (1976), 1–31.
- [14] E. LOOIJENGA, *Isolated singular points of complete intersections*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 77, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [15] D. MASSEY, Hypercohomology of Milnor fibers, *Topology* 35 (1996), 969–1003.
- [16] Y. MATSUI AND K. TAKEUCHI, A geometric degree formula for A -discriminants and Euler obstructions of toric varieties, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011), 2040–2064.
- [17] Y. MATSUI AND K. TAKEUCHI, Monodromy zeta functions at infinity, Newton polyhedra and constructible sheaves, *Math. Z.*, to appear.
- [18] J. MILNOR, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Princeton University Press, 1968.
- [19] P. NANG AND K. TAKEUCHI, Characteristic cycles of perverse sheaves and Milnor fibers, *Math. Z.* 249 (2005), 493–511.
- [20] T. ODA, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [21] M. OKA, Principal zeta-function of nondegenerate complete intersection singularity, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* 37 (1990), 11–32.
- [22] M. OKA, *Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity*, Hermann, Paris, 1997.
- [23] J. SCHÜRMAN, *Topology of singular spaces and constructible sheaves*, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [24] K. TAKEUCHI, Perverse sheaves and Milnor fibers over singular varieties, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 46 (2007), 211–222.
- [25] K. TAKEUCHI, Monodromy at infinity of A -hypergeometric functions and toric compactifications, *Math. Ann.*, 348 (2010), 815–831.
- [26] S. TANABÉ, Combinatorial aspects of the mixed Hodge structure, arxiv:0405062.
- [27] A.-N. VARCHENKO, Zeta-function of monodromy and Newton's diagram, *Invent. Math.* 37 (1976), 253–262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KINKI UNIVERSITY
3-4-1, KOWAKAE, HIGASHI-OSAKA
OSAKA, 577-8502
JAPAN

E-mail address: matsui@math.kindai.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
1-1-1, TENNODAI, TSUKUBA
IBARAKI, 305-8571
JAPAN

E-mail address: takemicro@nifty.com