

## A NOTE ON RELATIVE DUALITY FOR VOEVODSKY MOTIVES

LUCA BARBIERI-VIALE AND BRUNO KAHN

(Received April 27, 2007, revised January 15, 2008)

**Abstract.** Let  $k$  be a perfect field which admits resolution of singularities in the sense of Friedlander and Voevodsky (for example,  $k$  of characteristic 0). Let  $X$  be a smooth proper  $k$ -variety of pure dimension  $n$  and  $Y, Z$  two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . We prove an isomorphism

$$M(X - Z, Y) \simeq M(X - Y, Z)^*(n)[2n],$$

where  $M(X - Z, Y)$  and  $M(X - Y, Z)$  are relative Voevodsky motives, defined in his triangulated category  $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)$ .

**Introduction.** Relative duality is a useful tool in algebraic geometry and has been used several times. Here we prove a version of it in Voevodsky’s triangulated category of geometric motives  $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)$  [10], where  $k$  is a (perfect) field which admits resolution of singularities. (Recall that, according to [6, Def. 3.4], this means that every  $k$ -scheme of finite type may be dominated by a smooth  $k$ -scheme via a proper surjective morphism, and that moreover any modification with base a smooth  $k$ -scheme may be dominated by a composition of blow-ups with smooth centres: this is the case if  $k$  is of characteristic 0, by Hironaka’s main theorems.)

Namely, let  $X$  be a smooth proper  $k$ -variety of pure dimension  $n$  and  $Y, Z$  two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . We prove in Theorem 3.1 an isomorphism

$$M(X - Z, Y) \simeq M(X - Y, Z)^*(n)[2n],$$

where  $M(X - Z, Y)$  and  $M(X - Y, Z)$  are relative Voevodsky motives, see Definition 1.1.

This isomorphism remains true after application of any  $\otimes$ -functor from  $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)$ , for example one of the realisation functors appearing in [9, I.VI.2.5.5 and I.V.2], [7], [8] or [2]. In particular, taking the Hodge realisation, this makes the recourse to M. Saito’s theory of mixed Hodge modules unnecessary in [1, Proof of 2.4.2].

The main tools in the proof of Theorem 3.1 are a good theory of extended Gysin morphisms, readily deduced from Déglise’s work (Section 2), Voevodsky’s localisation theorem for motives with compact supports [10, 4.1.5], and his theorem that, for any scheme of finite type  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}/k$ , the object  $M(X) := \underline{C}_*(L(X))$  of  $\mathrm{DM}_-^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$  actually belongs to  $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$  (*ibid.*, 4.1.4). This may be used for an alternative presentation of some of the duality results of [10, §4.3]. The arguments seem axiomatic enough to be transposable to other contexts.

We assume familiarity with Voevodsky’s paper [10], and use its notation throughout.

**1. Relative motives and motives with supports.**

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $X \in Sch/k$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ , closed. We set

$$M(X, Y) = \underline{C}_*(L(X)/L(Y)),$$

$$M^Y(X) = \underline{C}_*(L(X)/L(X - Y)).$$

REMARK 1.2. This convention is different from the one of Déglise in [3, 4, 5] where what we denote by  $M^Y(X)$  is written  $M(X, Y)$  (and occasionally  $M_Y(X)$  as well). Like Déglise, we shall only consider these motives for  $X$  smooth (but  $Y$  may be singular).

Note that  $L(Y) \rightarrow L(X)$  and  $L(X - Y) \rightarrow L(X)$  are monomorphisms, so that we have functorial exact triangles

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{c} M(Y) \rightarrow M(X) \rightarrow M(X, Y) \xrightarrow{+1}, \\ M(X - Y) \rightarrow M(X) \rightarrow M^Y(X) \xrightarrow{+1}. \end{array}$$

We can mix the two ideas: for  $Y, Z \subseteq X$  closed, define

$$M^Z(X, Y) = \underline{C}_*(L(X)/L(Y) + L(X - Z)).$$

LEMMA 1.3. If  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$ , the obvious map  $M^Z(X) \rightarrow M^Z(X, Y)$  is an isomorphism, and we have an exact triangle

$$M(X - Z, Y) \rightarrow M(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\delta} M^Z(X) \xrightarrow{+1}. \quad \square$$

**2. Extended Gysin.** In the situation of Lemma 1.3, assume that  $Z$  is smooth of pure codimension  $c$ . F. Déglise has then constructed a purity isomorphism

$$(2) \quad p_{Z \subset X} : M^Z(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} M(Z)(c)[2c]$$

with the following properties:

- (1)  $p_{Z \subset X}$  coincides with Voevodsky’s purity isomorphism of [10, 3.5.4] (see [5, 1.11]).
- (2) If  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  is transverse to  $Z$  in the sense that  $Z' = Z \times_X X'$  is smooth of pure codimension  $c$  in  $X'$ , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^{Z'}(X') & \xrightarrow{p_{Z' \subset X'}} & M(Z')(c)[2c] \\ (f, g)_* \downarrow & & g_* \downarrow \\ M^Z(X) & \xrightarrow{p_{Z \subset X}} & M(Z)(c)[2c] \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $g = f|_{Z'}$  ([3, Rem. 4] or [4, 2.4.5]).

(3) If  $i : T \subset Z$  is a closed subset, smooth of codimension  $d$  in  $X$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M^Z(X) & \xrightarrow{p_{Z \subset X}} & M(Z)(c)[2c] \\
 \downarrow i^* & & \searrow \alpha \\
 & & M^T(Z)(c)[2c] \\
 & & \swarrow p_{T \subset Z} \\
 M^T(X) & \xrightarrow{p_{T \subset X}} & M(T)(d)[2d]
 \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $\alpha$  is the twist/shift of the second map in the triangle corresponding to (1) [5, proof of 2.3].

DEFINITION 2.1. We set:

$$g_{Z \subset X}^Y = p_{Z \subset X} \circ \delta$$

where  $p_{Z \subset X}$  is as in (2) and  $\delta$  is the morphism appearing in Lemma 1.3.

In view of the properties of  $p_{Z \subset X}$ , these extended Gysin morphisms have the following properties:

PROPOSITION 2.2. (a) Let  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth schemes. Let  $Z' = f^{-1}(Z)$  and  $Y' = f^{-1}(Y)$ . If  $f$  is transverse to  $Z$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(X', Y') & \xrightarrow{g_{Z' \subset X'}^{Y'}} & M(Z')(c)[2c] \\
 f_* \downarrow & & g_* \downarrow \\
 M(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{g_{Z \subset X}^Y} & M(Z)(c)[2c]
 \end{array}$$

commutes, with  $g = f|_Z$ .

(b) Let  $X \supset Z \supset Z'$  be a chain of smooth  $k$ -schemes of pure codimensions, and let  $d = \text{codim}_Z Z'$ . Let  $Y \subset X$  be closed, with  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Then

$$g_{Z' \subset X}^Y = g_{Z' \subset Z}(d)[2d] \circ g_{Z \subset X}^Y.$$

**3. Relative duality.** In this section,  $X$  is a smooth proper variety purely of dimension  $n$  and  $Y, Z$  are two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . Consider the diagonal embedding of  $X$  into  $X \times X$ : its intersection with  $(X - Y) \times (X - Z)$  is closed and isomorphic to  $X - Y - Z$ . The closed subset  $(X - Y) \times Y \cup Z \times (X - Z)$  is disjoint from  $X - Y - Z$ ; from Definition 2.1 we get an extended Gysin map

$$M((X - Y) \times (X - Z), (X - Y) \times Y \cup Z \times (X - Z)) \rightarrow M(X - Y - Z)(n)[2n].$$

Note that the left hand side is isomorphic to  $M(X - Y, Z) \otimes M(X - Z, Y)$  by an explicit computation from the definition of relative motives. Composing with the projection

$M(X - Y - Z)(n)[2n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)[2n]$ , we get a map

$$M(X - Y, Z) \otimes M(X - Z, Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)[2n]$$

and hence a map

$$(3) \quad M(X - Z, Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_X^{Y,Z}} M(X - Y, Z)^*(n)[2n].$$

THEOREM 3.1. *The map (3) is an isomorphism.*

The proof is given in the next section.

**4. Proof of Theorem 3.1.**

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $Y = Z = \emptyset$  and  $X$  is projective, then (3) is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. As pointed out in [10, p. 221],  $\alpha_X^{\emptyset, \emptyset}$  corresponds to the class of the diagonal; then Lemma 4.1 follows from the functor of [10, 2.1.4] from Chow motives to  $DM_{gm}(k)$ . (This avoids a recourse to [10, 4.3.2 and 4.3.6].)  $\square$

The next step is when  $Z$  is empty. For any  $U \in Sch/k$ , write  $M^c(U) := \underline{C}_*(L^c(U))$  [10, p. 224]. Since  $X$  is proper, by [10, 4.1.5] there is a canonical isomorphism

$$M(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^c(X - Y)$$

induced by the map of Nisenvich sheaves

$$L(X)/L(Y) \rightarrow L^c(X - Y).$$

Therefore, from  $\alpha_X^{Y, \emptyset}$ , we get a map

$$\beta_X^Y : M^c(X - Y) \rightarrow M(X - Y)^*(n)[2n].$$

LEMMA 4.2. *The map  $\beta_X^Y$  only depends on  $X - Y$ .*

PROOF. Let  $U = X - Y$ . If  $X'$  is another smooth compactification of  $U$ , with  $Y' = X' - U$ , we need to show that  $\beta_X^Y = \beta_{X'}^{Y'}$ . By resolution of singularities,  $X$  and  $X'$  may be dominated by a third smooth compactification; therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that the rational map  $q : X' \rightarrow X$  is a morphism. The point is that, in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M(X', Y') & & & & \\
 \searrow & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X'}^{Y', \emptyset}} & & & \\
 & & M(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X^{Y, \emptyset}} & M(U)^*(n)[2n] \\
 \searrow & \cong & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 & & M^c(U) & & 
 \end{array}$$

both triangles commute. For the left one it is obvious, and for the upper one this follows from the naturality of the pairing (3). Indeed, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' - Y' & \xrightarrow{\Delta'} & (X' - Y') \times X' \\ q' \downarrow & & q' \times q \downarrow \\ X - Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & (X - Y) \times X \end{array}$$

is clearly transverse, where  $q' = q|_{X'-Y'}$  (an isomorphism) and  $\Delta, \Delta'$  are the diagonal embeddings; therefore we may apply Proposition 2.2 (a).  $\square$

From now on, we write  $\beta_{X-Y}$  for the map  $\beta_X^Y$ .

LEMMA 4.3. (a) *Let  $U \in Sm/k$  of pure dimension  $n$ ,  $T \xrightarrow{i} U$  closed, smooth of pure dimension  $m$  and  $V = U - T \xrightarrow{j} U$ . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^c(T) & \xrightarrow{\beta_T} & M(T)^*(m)[2m] \\ i_* \downarrow & & \downarrow g_{T \subset U}^*(n)[2n] \\ M^c(U) & \xrightarrow{\beta_U} & M(U)^*(n)[2n] \\ j_* \downarrow & & \downarrow j^* \\ M^c(V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_V} & M(V)^*(n)[2n] \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

(b) *Suppose that  $\beta_T$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\beta_U$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\beta_V$  is.*

PROOF. (a) The bottom square commutes by a trivial case of Proposition 2.2 (a). For the top square, the statement is equivalent to the commutation of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M^c(T) \otimes M(T)(c)[2c] & \\ & \nearrow^{1 \otimes g_{T \subset U}} & \searrow \\ M^c(T) \otimes M(U) & & \mathbf{Z}(n)[2n] \\ & \searrow_{i_* \otimes 1} & \nearrow \\ & M^c(U) \otimes M(U) & \end{array}$$

with  $c = n - m$ .

Take a smooth compactification  $X$  of  $U$ , and let  $\bar{T}$  be a desingularisation of the closure of  $T$  in  $X$ . Let  $q : \bar{T} \rightarrow X$  be the corresponding morphism,  $Y = X - U$  and  $W = \bar{T} - T$ :

we have to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & M(\bar{T}, W) \otimes M(T)(c)[2c] & \\
 1 \otimes g_{T \subset U} \nearrow & & \searrow \\
 M(\bar{T}, W) \otimes M(U) & & \mathbf{Z}(n)[2n], \\
 q_* \otimes 1 \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & M(X, Y) \otimes M(U) &
 \end{array}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & M(\bar{T} \times T, W \times T)(c)[2c] & \\
 f \circ g_{\bar{T} \times T \subset \bar{T} \times U}^{W \times U} \nearrow & & \searrow \\
 M(\bar{T} \times U, W \times U) & & \mathbf{Z}(n)[2n] \\
 (q \times 1)_* \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & M(X \times U, Y \times U) &
 \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $f$  is the map  $M(\bar{T} \times T)(c)[2c] \rightarrow M(\bar{T} \times T, W \times T)(c)[2c]$ . For this, it is enough to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M(\bar{T} \times T, W \times T)(c)[2c] & \xrightarrow{g_{\bar{T} \times T \subset T}^{W \times T}(c)[2c]} & M(T)(n)[2n] \\
 f \circ g_{\bar{T} \times T \subset \bar{T} \times U}^{W \times U} \nearrow & & & & \downarrow i_* \\
 M(\bar{T} \times U, W \times U) & & & & \\
 (q \times 1)_* \searrow & & & & \\
 & & M(X \times U, Y \times U) & \xrightarrow{g_{U \subset X \times U}^{Y \times U}} & M(U)(n)[2n]
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Since extended Gysin extends Gysin, Proposition 2.2 (a) shows that this amounts to the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(\bar{T} \times U, W \times U) & \xrightarrow{g_{\bar{T} \times U}^{W \times U}} & M(T)(n)[2n] \\
 (q \times 1)_* \downarrow & & \downarrow i_* \\
 M(X \times U, Y \times U) & \xrightarrow{g_{U \subset X \times U}^{Y \times U}} & M(U)(n)[2n],
 \end{array}$$

which follows from the functoriality of the extended Gysin maps (Proposition 2.2 (b)).

(b) This follows immediately from (a). □

PROPOSITION 4.4.  $\beta_U$  is an isomorphism for all smooth  $U$ .

PROOF. We argue by induction on  $n = \dim U$ , the case  $n = 0$  being known by Lemma 4.1. In general, let  $V$  be an open affine subset of  $U$  and pick a smooth projective compactification  $X$  of  $V$ , with  $Z = X - V$ . Let  $Z \supset Z_1 \supset \dots \supset Z_r = \emptyset$ , where  $Z_{i+1}$  is the singular locus of  $Z_i$ . Let also  $T = U - V$  and define similarly  $T \supset T_1 \supset \dots \supset T_s = \emptyset$  (all  $Z_i$  and  $T_j$  are taken with their reduced structure). Let  $V_i = X - Z_i$  and  $U_j = U - T_j$ . Then  $V_i - V_{i-1}$  and  $U_j - U_{j-1}$  are smooth for all  $i, j$ . Thus  $\beta_U$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.1 (case of  $\beta_X$ ) and a repeated application of Lemma 4.3 (b).  $\square$

REMARK 4.5. We have not tried to check whether  $\beta_U$  is the inverse of the isomorphism appearing in the proof of [10, 4.3.7]: we leave this interesting question to the interested reader.

END OF PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. By Lemma 1.3, the triangle

$$M(Z) \rightarrow M(X - Y) \rightarrow M(X - Y, Z) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and the duality pairings induce a map of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M(X - Y, Z)^*(n)[2n] & \longrightarrow & M(X - Y)^*(n)[2n] & \longrightarrow & M(Z)^*(n)[2n] \\ \alpha_X^{Y,Z} \uparrow & & \alpha_X^{Y,\emptyset} \uparrow & & \Phi \uparrow \\ M(X - Z, Y) & \longrightarrow & M(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & M^Z(X). \end{array}$$

(The left square commutes by a trivial application of Proposition 2.2 (a), and  $\Phi$  is some chosen completion of the commutative diagram by the appropriate axiom of triangulated categories.)

Consider the following diagram (which is the previous diagram with  $Y = \emptyset$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M(X, Z)^*(n)[2n] & \longrightarrow & M(X)^*(n)[2n] & \longrightarrow & M(Z)^*(n)[2n] \\ \alpha_X^{\emptyset,Z} \uparrow & & \alpha_X^{\emptyset,\emptyset} \uparrow & & \Phi \uparrow \\ M(X - Z) & \longrightarrow & M(X) & \longrightarrow & M^Z(X). \end{array}$$

Note that  $\alpha_X^{\emptyset,Z}$  is dual to  $\alpha_X^{Z,\emptyset}$ ; therefore it is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.4. It follows that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism. Coming back to the first diagram and using Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.4 a second time, we get the theorem.  $\square$

REMARK 4.6. It would be interesting to produce a canonical pairing

$$\cap_{(X,Z)} : M^Z(X) \otimes M(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)[2n]$$

playing the rôle of  $\Phi$  in the above proof, i.e., compatible with  $\alpha_X^{Y,Z}$ .

REFERENCES

[ 1 ] L. BARBIERI-VIALE AND V. SRINIVAS, Albanese and Picard 1-motives, Mémoire Soc. Math. France (N.S.) 87, Paris, 2001.  
 [ 2 ] D. C. CISINSKI AND F. DÉGLISE, Mixed Weil cohomologies, preprint, 2007.

- [ 3 ] F. DÉGLISE, Interprétation motivique de la formule d'excès d'intersection, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004), 41–46.
- [ 4 ] F. DÉGLISE, Motifs génériques, preprint, 2005.
- [ 5 ] F. DÉGLISE, Around the Gysin triangle, preprint, 2005.
- [ 6 ] E. FRIEDLANDER AND V. VOEVODSKY, Bivariant cycle cohomology, Ann. of Math. Stud. 143 (2000), 138–187.
- [ 7 ] A. HUBER, Realization of Voevodsky's motives, J. Algebraic. Geom. 9 (2000), 755–799./Corrigendum, ibid. 13 (2004), 195–207.
- [ 8 ] F. IVORRA, Réalisation  $\ell$ -adique des motifs triangulés géométriques, I, Doc. Math. 12 (2007), 607–671.
- [ 9 ] M. LEVINE, Mixed motives, Math. Surveys Monogr. 57, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [10] V. VOEVODSKY, Triangulated categories of motives over a field, Ann. of Math. Stud. 143 (2000), 188–238.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA E APPLICATA  
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA  
VIA TRIESTE, 63, I-35121-PADOVA  
ITALY

*E-mail address:* barbieri@math.unipd.it

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU  
175–179 RUE DU CHEVALERET  
75013 PARIS  
FRANCE

*E-mail address:* kahn@math.jussieu.fr