

# ON CONCIRCULAR GEOMETRY AND RIEMANN SPACES WITH CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURES

SYUN-ICHI TACHIBANA

(Received November 30, 1950)

S. Sasaki studied the spaces with normal conformal connexions whose groups of holonomy fix a point or a hypersphere and derived the following fundamental theorem: If the group of holonomy of a space  $C_n^*$  with a normal conformal connexion is a subgroup of the Möbius group which fixes a point (or a hypersphere), the  $C_n^*$  is a space corresponding to the conformal class of Riemann spaces including an Einstein space with a vanishing (or non-vanishing) scalar curvature [1, I]. He also generalized the Poincaré's representation for non-Euclidean geometry to any Einstein space with non-vanishing scalar curvature [1, III] and studied the spaces with normal conformal connexions whose groups of holonomy fix two points or hyperspheres [1, II]. Concerning these results K. Yano showed that these spaces are closely related to Einstein spaces which admit a concircular transformation and studied the relations between conformal and concircular geometries in these spaces [3]. In this paper we shall define in §3 a space with a certain conformal connexion which corresponds to a class of concircularly related Riemann spaces. And making use of such a space we shall generalize in §6 the Poincaré's representation to any Riemann space with non vanishing constant scalar curvature. In §8 by considering spaces whose groups of holonomy fix two points or hyperspheres we shall obtain some results which are natural generalizations of those obtained by K. Yano [2, V]. Most of the results obtained in this paper will be found their analogues in the papers by S. Sasaki [1, I, II, III] and K. Yano [2, V], [3]. Therefore we shall not state in detail the proofs.

## §1. Concircular geometry<sup>1)</sup>.

Let  $V_n$  be a Riemann space with a positive definite metric tensor  $g_{ij}$ <sup>2)</sup>. In  $V_n$  consider a curve  $x^i(s)$ , where  $s$  is the arc length and  $x^i$ 's represent local coordinates. We denote by  $\delta/\delta s$  the covariant derivation with respect to  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} i \\ jk \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  along the curve. Then a curve  $x^i(s)$  is called a Riemann circle if its first curvature is const. and its second one is 0. The differential equations are

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\delta n^i}{\delta s} + g_{jk} n^j n^k \frac{dx^i}{ds} = 0,$$

where

1) See K. Yano [2].

2)  $i, j, k, \dots$  run from 1 to  $n$ .

$$n^i \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta s} \frac{dx^i}{ds}.$$

Now we take a conformal transformation

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{g}_{ij} = \rho^2 g_{ij},$$

then the Christoffel's symbols are transformed by

$$(1.3) \quad \overline{\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} + \rho_j \delta_k^i + \rho_k \delta_j^i - \rho^i g_{jk},$$

where

$$\rho_j \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \log \rho, \quad \rho^i = g^{ij} \rho_j.$$

Let us put also

$$(1.4) \quad \rho_{jk} \equiv \rho_{j;k} - \rho_j \rho_k + \frac{1}{2} \rho^i \rho_l g_{jk},$$

where semi-colon denotes the covariant derivative with respect to  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}$ . In order that any Riemann circle is transformed into a Riemann circle by (1.2), it is necessary and sufficient that  $\rho$  satisfies the following differential equations:

$$(1.5) \quad \rho_{jk} = \phi g_{jk},$$

where  $\phi$  is a scalar function. A conformal transformation satisfying (1.5) and the geometry which deals with properties invariant under such transformations are called the concircular transformation and the concircular geometry respectively.

The curvature tensor  $R^i{}_{jkl}$  of  $\bar{V}_n$  with the metric tensor  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{R}^i{}_{jkl} = R^i{}_{jkl} - \rho_{jk} \delta_l^i + \rho_{jl} \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \rho_l^i + g_{jl} \rho_k^i,$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad R^i{}_{jkl} = \frac{\partial \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jl \end{matrix} \right\}}{\partial x^k} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ hl \end{matrix} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ jl \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ hk \end{matrix} \right\},$$

and  $\rho_l^i \equiv g^{jl} \rho_{jl}$ .

If the conformal transformation (1.2) is a concircular one, we obtain from (1.5) and (1.7)

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}^i{}_{jkl} &= R^i{}_{jkl} - 2\phi(g_{jk} \delta_l^i - g_{jl} \delta_k^i), \\ \bar{R}_{jk} &= R_{jk} - 2(n-1)\phi g_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho^2 \bar{R} = R - 2n(n-1)\phi,$$

that is

$$(1.9) \quad \phi = -\frac{1}{2n(n-1)}(\rho^2 R - R).$$

Substituting the last equation in (1.8), we see that the tensor

$$(1.10) \quad Z^i{}_{jkl} \equiv R^i{}_{jkl} - \frac{R}{n(n-1)}(g_{jk} \delta_l^i - g_{jl} \delta_k^i)$$

is invariant under concircular transformations.  $Z^i{}_{jkl}$  is the so-called concircular curvature tensor.

In the next place we consider integrability conditions of (1.5). From

(1.5) we have

$$(1.11) \quad \rho_{j;k} = \psi g_{jk} + \rho_j \rho_k,$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad \psi = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \rho_i \rho^i.$$

Differentiating (1.11) covariantly, we get

$$\rho_{j;k;l} = \psi_{,l} g_{jk} + \rho_k (\psi_{,l} g_{jl} + \rho_j \rho_l) + \rho_j (\psi_{,l} g_{kl} + \rho_k \rho_l).$$

Exchange  $k$  and  $l$ , and subtracting the equation thus obtained from the original one, we have

$$(1.13) \quad \rho_i R^i_{jkl} = \psi_{,k} g_{jl} - \psi_{,l} g_{jk} + \psi (\rho_l g_{jk} - \rho_k g_{jl}).$$

If we contract  $\rho^i$  to (1.13), then the relation

$$(1.14) \quad \psi_{,k} = \frac{\psi_{,l} \rho^l}{\rho_l \rho^l} \rho_k$$

holds good. By virtue of (1.13), we have

$$(1.15) \quad \rho_i R^i_l = - (n - 1) \left( \frac{\psi_{,j} \rho^j}{\rho_i \rho^i} - \psi \right) \rho_l.$$

(1.15) shows that any curve (we shall call it  $\rho$ -curve) which belongs to the congruence of curves determined by the vector field  $\rho_i$  is a Ricci-curve. It is known that  $\rho$  curves are geodesics and any hypersurface determined by an equation  $\rho = \text{const.}$  (we shall call it  $\rho$ -hypersurface) is totally umbilical, furthermore the orthogonal trajectories of  $\rho$ -hypersurfaces are  $\rho$ -curves [2, II]. The following theorem is obtained easily.

**THEOREM 1.1** *Any conformal transformation which makes  $Z^i_{jkl}$  invariant is a concircular one.*

### § 2. Spaces with conformal connexions.

In a space with conformal connexion  $C_n$ , take a Veblen's repère  $R_d^{1)}$ , then the defining equations of the connexion are given by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dR_0 &= dx^i R_i, \\ dR_j &= \Pi^0_{jk} dx^k R_0 + \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} dx^k R_i + g_{jk} dx^k R_\infty, \\ dR_\infty &= \Pi^i_{\infty k} dx^k R_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_0 R_0 &= R_\infty R_\infty = R_0 R_i = R_\infty R_i = 0, & R_0 R_\infty &= -1. \\ R_i R_j &= g_{ij}, & \Pi^i_{\infty k} &= g^{ij} \Pi^0_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

By a transformation (1.2) of the metric tensor the parameters of connexion are transformed as follows [4]:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\Pi}^0_{jk} &= \Pi^0_{jk} + \rho_{jk}, \\ \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} &= \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} + \rho_j \delta^i_k + \rho_k \delta^i_j - \rho^l g_{jk}, \\ \rho^2 \bar{\Pi}^i_{\infty k} &= \Pi^i_{\infty k} + \rho^i. \end{aligned}$$

The conformal curvature tensor of  $C_n$  is given by

1)  $A, B, C, \dots$  run over  $0, 1, \dots, n, \infty$ .

$$(2.4) \quad F_{Bkl}^A = \frac{\partial \Pi_{Bk}^A}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial \Pi_{Bl}^A}{\partial x^k} + \Pi_{Cl}^A \Pi_{Bk}^C - \Pi_{Ck}^A \Pi_{Bl}^C,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{B0}^A &= \delta_{B^A}, & \Pi_{0k}^i &= \delta_k^i, & \Pi_{0k}^0 &= \Pi_{0k}^\infty = \Pi_{\infty k}^0 = \Pi_{\infty k}^\infty = 0, \\ \Pi_{jk}^i &= \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}, & \Pi_{jk}^\infty &= g_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

$C_n$  is not determined uniquely by a given Riemann space  $V_n$ . But if we assume that

$$(2.5) \quad F_{jkl}^i = W_{jkl}^i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{jkl}^i &= R_{jkl}^i - \frac{1}{n-2} (R_{jk} \delta_l^i - R_j \delta_k^i + g_{jk} R_l^i - g_{jl} R_k^i) \\ &+ \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{jk} \delta_l^i - g_{jl} \delta_k^i) \end{aligned}$$

is the conformal curvature tensor given by H. Weyl, then the space  $C_n$  in consideration becomes a space with normal conformal connexion  $C_n^*$ . Between the set of spaces with normal conformal connexions and the set of classes each of which consists of Riemann spaces conformal to each other, there exists one to one correspondence. From (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\Pi_{jk}^0 = -\frac{1}{n-2} \left( R_{jk} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} g_{jk} \right),$$

and by virtue of the property of  $W_{jkl}^i$ , we have  $F_{jki}^j = 0$ .<sup>1)</sup>

**§ 3. Spaces with conformal connexions which are in one to one correspondence with concircular classes of Riemann spaces.**

We shall denote by  $\Omega_n$  spaces with conformal connexions which satisfy the condition

$$(3.1) \quad F_{jkl}^i = Z_{jkl}^i.$$

Now consider two Riemann spaces  $V_n, \bar{V}_n$  which correspond concircularly to each other. Making use of Veblen's repère we construct  $\Omega_n$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_n$  from  $V_n$  and  $\bar{V}_n$  respectively, then  $F_{jkl}^i = Z_{jkl}^i = \bar{Z}_{jkl}^i = \bar{F}_{jkl}^i$ . From the last equation we obtain (2.2), after some computation.

Therefore  $\Omega_n$  coincides with  $\bar{\Omega}_n$ . Conversely if  $\bar{\Omega}_n$  corresponding to  $\bar{V}_n$  coincides with  $\Omega_n$  corresponding to  $V_n$  which is conformal to  $\bar{V}_n$ , we have

$$Z_{jkl}^i = F_{jkl}^i = \bar{F}_{jkl}^i = Z_{jkl}^i.$$

Hence, on account of Theorem 1.1,  $\bar{V}_n$  is concircular to  $V_n$ . Therefore we obtain the following

**THEOREM 2.1** *The spaces  $\Omega_n$  with conformal connexions such that the assumption (3.1) is satisfied are in one to one correspondence with classes each of which consists of Riemann spaces which are concircular to each other.*

1) In order to define  $C_n^*$ , it may be used  $F_{jki}^j = 0$  instead of (2.5). See [4].

It may be worth to notice that some one of the classes in consideration may consist of all Riemann spaces which relates trivially<sup>1)</sup> to each other.

If we put  $A = i, B = j$  in (2.4), then

$$F_{jkl}^i = R_{jkl}^i + \delta_k^i \Pi_{jk}^0 - \delta_k^i \Pi_{jl}^0 + g^{ih} \Pi_{hl}^0 g_{jk} - g^{ih} \Pi_{hk}^0 g_{jl}.$$

Substituting the last equation and (1.10) in (3.1), we get

$$\delta_k^i \Pi_{jk}^0 - \delta_k^i \Pi_{jl}^0 + g^{ih} \Pi_{hl}^0 g_{jk} - g^{ih} \Pi_{hk}^0 g_{jl} = -\frac{R}{n(n-1)} (g_{jk} \delta_k^i - g_{jl} \delta_k^i).$$

Contracting  $i$  and  $l$ , we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad (n-2) \Pi_{jk}^0 + g^{ih} \Pi_{ih}^0 g_{jk} = -\frac{R}{n} g_{jk}.$$

Contracting  $g^{jk}$  with the last equation and substituting it in (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \Pi_{jk}^0 = c g_{jk}, \quad \Pi_{\infty k}^j = c \delta_k^j,$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad c = -\frac{R}{2n(n-1)},$$

On the other hand we have from (1.10)  $g^{jk} Z_{jki}^i = 0$ , hence by virtue of (3.1) we get

$$(3.5) \quad g^{jk} F_{jki}^i = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that in order to define  $\Omega_n$  (3.5) may be used instead of (3.1).

#### § 4. Theories of curves and hypersurfaces in $\Omega_n$ .

As  $\Omega_n$  is a special case of  $C_n$ , the properties of  $C_n$  hold good in  $\Omega_n$ . Therefore for example, the Frenet's formulas of curves in  $\Omega_n$  i. e. the concircular Frenet's formulas of curves in  $V_n$  [2, III] are obtained from those in  $C_n$  [4, p. 131] with (3.3). The Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci's equations of subspaces of  $\Omega_n$  are also obtained from corresponding ones of  $C_n$  by substituting (3.3) in these equations [4, p. 144], but the computation is complicated. In analogous way we may obtain another results, but we shall restrict ourselves to state a few results which are easily deducible.

**THEOREM 4.1** *In order that a hypersurface  $X_{n-1}$  in  $\Omega_n$  is proper<sup>2)</sup> umbilical one, it is necessary and sufficient that any hypersphere which is tangent to  $X_{n-1}$  is invariant by the connexion of  $\Omega_n$  along  $X_{n-1}$ .*

**THEOREM 4.2** *In order that the induced conformal connexion on a hypersurface  $X_{n-1}$ :  $x^i = x^i(x^a)$  in  $C_n^*$  makes  $X_{n-1}$  a  $\Omega_{n-1}$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $X_{n-1}$  is umbilical and the equation*

1) It means that  $\rho$  in (1.2) is constant.

2) The word "proper" means that the mean curvature of the hypersurface is constant.

$$(4.1) \quad Z_{bcd}^a = -W_{jkl}^i X_i^j X_b^k X_c^l X_d^{1j}$$

holds good, where  $Z_{bcd}^a$  is the concircular curvature tensor of  $X_{n-1}$  and  $X_b^j = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^b}$ ,  $X_i^a = g^{ab} g_{ij} X_b^j$ .

### § 5. $\Omega_n$ whose group of holonomy fixes a point or a hypersphere.

Now we take an arbitrary Veblen's repère  $R_A$  in order to represent  $\Omega_n$  analytically. In order that the group of holonomy of  $\Omega_n$  in consideration fixes a point or a hypersphere it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a function  $\rho^A$  satisfying the following equation:

$$d(\rho^A R_A) = \tau(\rho^A R_A),$$

where  $\tau$  is a Pfaffian [1, I]. If we put  $\tau = \tau_k dx^k$ , the above equation can be written also as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad \rho^A{}_{;k} \equiv \frac{\partial \rho^A}{\partial x^k} + \Pi_{Bk}^A \rho^B = \tau_k \rho^A.$$

If we put

$$(g_{AB}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & g_{ij} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \rho_B \equiv g_{AB} \rho^A,$$

(5.1) can be written in the following covariant form:

$$(5.3) \quad \rho_{B;k} = \frac{\partial \rho_B}{\partial x^k} - \Pi_{Bk}^A \rho_A = \tau_k \rho_B.$$

If we write the last equation explicitly we get

$$(5.3)_1 \quad \rho_{0;k} - \rho_k = \tau_k \rho_0,$$

$$(5.3)_2 \quad \rho_{j;k} - c g_{jk} \rho_0 - g_{jk} \rho_\infty = \tau_k \rho_j,$$

$$(5.3)_3 \quad \rho_{\infty;k} - c \rho_k = \tau_k \rho_\infty,$$

where commas denote the partial derivatives with respect to the coordinate  $(x^k)$ . In the same way as spaces with normal conformal connexion  $C_n^*$  we can prove that if there exist points where  $\rho_0 = 0$ , their locus is an umbilical hypersurface [1, II], [3]. In the following discussion we restrict ourselves to the domain in  $\Omega_n$  where these points do not exist. Then we can put  $\rho_0 = -1$ . Substituting it into (5.3)<sub>1</sub>, we get  $\tau_k = \rho_k$  and (5.3)<sub>2,3</sub> become

$$(5.4)_1 \quad \rho_{j;k} + c g_{jk} - g_{jk} \rho_\infty = \rho_j \rho_k,$$

$$(5.4)_2 \quad \rho_{\infty;k} - c \rho_k = \rho_\infty \rho_k.$$

In (5.4)<sub>1</sub>  $\rho_{j;k}$  are symmetric with respect to  $j$  and  $k$ , so  $\rho_j$  is a gradient vector. Therefore there exists a scalar function such that

$$(5.5) \quad \rho_j = \frac{\partial \log \rho}{\partial x^j}.$$

Now if we define  $\bar{c}$  by

1) See [4, p 144 (5.11)].  $a, b, c, \dots$  run over  $1, \dots, n-1$ .

$$(5.6) \quad \rho_\infty = \bar{c}\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \rho_i \rho_j,$$

$\bar{c}$  is a constant on account of (5.4). Substituting (5.6) in (5.4)<sub>1</sub>, we get

$$\rho_{j;k} + c g_{jk} - \left( \bar{c}\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2} g^{il} \rho_i \rho_l \right) g_{jk} = \rho_j \rho_k,$$

i. e

$$(5.7) \quad \rho_{jk} = (\bar{c}\rho^2 - c) g_{jk}.$$

(5.7) shows that the conformal transformation  $\bar{g}_{ij} = \rho^2 g_{ij}$  in consideration is concircular. Hence from (1.9) we get

$$\bar{c}\rho^2 - c = -\frac{1}{2n(n-1)} (\rho^2 \bar{R} - R).$$

By virtue of the definition of  $c$ , (3.4), it follows that

$$(5.8) \quad \bar{c} = -\frac{\bar{R}}{2n(n-1)}.$$

As  $\bar{c}$  is a constant, so is  $\bar{R}$ . If we choose the Veblen's repère with respect to  $\bar{g}_{ij}$ , the invariant point or hypersphere in consideration is represented by  $A \equiv R_\infty - \bar{c}R_0$ . As  $A^2 = 2\bar{c}$ ,  $A$  is a point or a hypersphere according to  $\bar{c} = 0$  or  $\neq 0$ . Therefore we have the following

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Any space  $\Omega_n$  whose group of holonomy is a subgroup of the Möbius group which fixes a point or a hypersphere corresponds to a concircular class of Riemann spaces including one with non vanishing or vanishing constant scalar curvature respectively. The converse is also true.*

In the following we shall denote, for brevity, the spaces with non-vanishing or vanishing constant scalar curvature by  $\Theta_n^1$  or  $\Theta_n^2$  respectively. If we do not need to distinguish them, we denote them simply by  $\Theta_n$ .

**§ 6. A generalization of the Poincaré's representation.**

S.Sasaki proved that the Poincaré's representations of non-Euclidean geometries can be generalized to any Einstein spaces with  $c \neq 0$  making use of  $C_n^*$  whose group of holonomy fix a hypersphere [1, III]. Quite analogously the representations can be generalized to any  $\Theta_n^1$ , replacing Einstein spaces,  $C_n^*$  and conformal circles by  $\Theta_n^1$ ,  $\Omega_n$  and Riemann circles respectively. Therefore we obtain the following theorems.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *If the group of holonomy of  $\Omega_n$  fixes a hypersphere (or a point)  $A$ , any Riemann circle, having a circle orthogonal to (or a circle passing through)  $A$  as its image, is a geodesic of the  $\Theta_n^1$  (or  $\Theta_n^2$ ) corresponding to  $A$ . The converse is also true.*

**THEOREM 6.2.** *Suppose that the group of holonomy of  $\Omega_n$  fixes a hypersphere (or a point)  $A$ . Then any totally umbilical hypersurface, having a hypersphere orthogonal to  $A$  as its image, is a totally geodesic*

hypersurface of  $\Theta_n$  corresponding to  $A$ .

**THEOREM 6.3.** *The distance  $s$  between two points  $P_0$  and  $P_s$  on a geodesic  $g$  of an  $\Theta_n^1$  is equal to  $\sqrt{-\frac{n(n-1)}{R}}$  times the natural logarithm of the double ratio determined by points  $P_0^*$ ,  $P_s^*$ ,  $P$  and  $P'$ , where  $P$  and  $P'$  are the points at which the development  $g'$  of  $g$  in a tangent Möbius space of the space  $\Omega_n$  corresponding to the concircular class of Riemann spaces and containing the given  $\Theta_n^1$  cut the invariant hypersphere  $A$ , and  $P_0^*$ ,  $P_s^*$  are the image of  $P_0$ ,  $P_s$  respectively.*

If  $\Theta_n^1$  is the complete space with  $c > 0$  the above representation holds good not only in a tangent space of  $\Omega_n$ , but also in the given  $\Theta_n^1$ .

In the previous paper [5] the author obtained the differential equations of pseudo-parallelism in Einstein spaces and computed parallel angles. These results can be also generalized to  $\Theta_n^1$ .

### § 7. Concircular transformations of a $\Theta_n$ to another $\Theta_n$ .

In this section we shall state theorems concerning concircular transformations of a  $\Theta_n$  to another  $\bar{\Theta}_n$ . These results are obtained from Theorem 5.1 in the same way as the theorems 3, 4, 5, 6 in [1, I] are obtained from the Fundamental Theorem. [1, I]

**THEOREM 7.1** *If a Riemann space  $V_n$  is concircular to  $\Theta_n$  in  $r > 1$  ways, then  $V_n$  in consideration is concircular to a  $\Theta_n^2$ .*

**THEOREM 7.2** *If a  $\Theta_n^1$  can be mapped concircularly and non trivially on a  $\bar{\Theta}_n^1$ , it can be mapped on a  $\Theta_n^2$ .*

**THEOREM 7.3.** *If a  $\Theta_n^1$  (or  $\Theta_n^2$ ) can be concircularly mapped on a  $\bar{\Theta}_n^2$  (or  $\bar{\Theta}_n^1$ ), it can be mapped concircularly and non trivially on a  $\bar{\Theta}_n^1$  (or  $\bar{\Theta}_n^2$ ).*

**THEOREM 7.4.** *If a  $\Theta_n^2$  is non trivially concircular to another  $\bar{\Theta}_n^2$ , it is concircular to a  $\Theta_n^1$ .*

Summarizing these theorems, we can state the following theorem [3].

**THEOREM 7.5.** *If a  $\Theta_n$  with a constant  $c$  is non trivially concircular to another  $\bar{\Theta}_n$  with a constant  $\bar{c}$ , then the  $\Theta_n$  is also non trivially concircular to a  $\bar{\Theta}_n$  with any preassigned constant  $\bar{c}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $\Theta_n$  is non trivially concircular to  $\bar{\Theta}_n$ , the partial differential equations

$$\rho_{jk} = (\bar{c}\rho^2 - c) g_{jk}$$

must be completely integrable. The necessary and sufficient condition for this is that the space  $\Theta_n$  admits a family of  $\infty^1$  totally umbilical hypersurfaces whose orthogonal trajectories are geodesic Ricci curves. But this condition does not depend on the constant  $\bar{c}$ .



**§ 8. On the line element of  $\Theta_n$  which is non trivially concircular to another  $\bar{\Theta}_n$ .**

Suppose that  $\Theta_n$  is non trivially concircular to another  $\bar{\Theta}_n$ . Let  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$  be constants corresponding to  $\Theta_n$  and  $\bar{\Theta}_n$  respectively. As  $\bar{\Theta}_n$  admits a concircular transformation, if we choose a suitable coordinate system, its line element takes the following form [2, V]:

$$(8.1) \quad ds^2 = f^2(x^n) g_{ab}^*(x^c) dx^a dx^b + (dx^n)^2, \quad (a, b, c = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Therefore after some calculations we obtain

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{ab} &= R_{ab}^* - [(n-2)f'^2 + ff''] g_{ab}^*, \\ R_{nb} &= 0, \\ R_{nn} &= -(n-1) \frac{f''}{f}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_{ab}^*$  is the Ricci's tensor constructed from  $g_{ab}^*$  and dashes denote derivatives with respect to  $x^n$ . From (1.9), (1.12) we get

$$\psi = \rho^2 \bar{c} - c - \frac{1}{2} \rho^i \rho_i.$$

Differentiating the last equation with respect to  $x^j$ , we have

$$\psi_{,j} = 2\rho^2 c_{\rho_j} - (\psi \rho_j + \rho^i \rho_i \rho_j),$$

whence we get

$$\rho^j \psi_{,j} = (2\rho^2 c_{\rho_j} - \psi - \rho^i \rho_i) \rho^j \rho_j.$$

Substituting the last equation in (1.15), we obtain

$$(8.3) \quad \rho_i R_i^j = -2(n-1)c\rho_j.$$

If we remember that in our coordinate system  $\rho$ -curves are  $x^n$ -curves, we can put  $\rho^i = \alpha \delta_n^i$ . Hence from (8.3) we have

$$R_{nn} = -2c(n-1).$$

From (8.2)<sub>3</sub> and the above equation, we get

$$f'' = 2cf.$$

Integrating the last equation we obtain the following theorem [2, V].

**THEOREM 8.1.** *The line element of  $\Theta_n$  which is non trivially concircular to another  $\bar{\Theta}_n$  can be reduced to the following canonical form:*

$$(I) \quad ds^2 = (A \cos \sqrt{-2c} x^n + B \sin \sqrt{-2c} x^n) g_{ab}^*(x^c) dx^a dx^b + (dx^n)^2, \quad \text{if } c < 0,$$

$$(II) \quad ds^2 = (Ax^n + B)^2 g_{ab}^*(x^c) dx^a dx^b + (dx^n)^2, \quad \text{if } c = 0,$$

$$(III) \quad ds^2 = (Ae^{\sqrt{2c} x^n} + Be^{-\sqrt{2c} x^n})^2 g_{ab}^*(x^c) dx^a dx^b + (dx^n)^2, \quad \text{if } c > 0,$$

where  $g_{ab}^*(x^c) dx^a dx^b$  is a line element of  $\bar{\Theta}_{n-1}$  whose scalar curvature is

$$(I) \quad R^* = \frac{(n-2)}{n} (A^2 + B^2),$$

$$(II) \quad R^* = (n-1)(n-2)A^2,$$

$$(III) \quad R^* = \frac{4(n-2)}{n} AB.$$

Finally, I express my hearty thanks to Prof. S. Sasaki for his kind guidance and suggestion during the preparation of this paper.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]. S. SASAKI, On the spaces with normal conformal connexions whose groups of holonomy fix a point or a hypersphere, Jap. Jour. of Math. Vol. XVIII: 1943, I pp. 615-622; II. pp. 623-633; III. pp. 791-795.
- [2]. K. YANO, Concircular geometry I, Proc. Imp. Acad. 16 (1940) pp. 195-200; II. pp. 354-360; III pp. 442-448; IV pp. 505-511; V. ibidem 18 (1942) pp. 446-451.
- [3]. K. YANO, Conformal and concircular geometries in Einstein spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad. XIX (1943) pp. 444-453.
- [4]. K. YANO et Y. MUTO, Sur la théorie des espace à connexion conforme normale et la géométrie conforme des espaces de Riemann, Jour. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo. 1941. pp. 117-169.
- [5]. S. TACHIBANA, On pseudo-parallelism in Einstein spaces, Tôhoku Math. Jour. in press.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI.