

ON THE DIRECT-PRODUCT OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS II

TAKASI TURUMARU

(Received September 2, 1952)

1. Introduction. R. Schatten-J. von Neumann [4] introduced the idea of direct-product of Banach spaces, and the author modified this considerations to C^* -algebras in the previous paper [7], and defined the direct-product of C^* -algebras.

Let A_1 and A_2 be any C^* -algebras with unit, and following R. Schatten-J. von Neumann. construct $A_1 \times A_2$ as the set of all expressions $\sum x_i \times y_i$ with the equivalence relation \cong , as A_1, A_2 to be Banach spaces; and finally define the multiplication, involution and norm of expressions as follows:

$$\text{product:} \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j \times t_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m x_i s_j \times y_i t_j,$$

$$\text{involution:} \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right)^* = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* \times y_i^*,$$

$$\text{norm:} \quad \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) = \sup \left[\Phi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right)^* \right)^{1/2} : \Phi \in \mathfrak{E} \right],$$

where \mathfrak{E} denotes the set of positive type functional Φ 's such that

$$\Phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j \times t_j \right) = \frac{\varphi \times \psi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j \times t_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right)^* \right)}{\varphi \times \psi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right)^* \right)}$$

φ and ψ are pure states on A_1 and A_2 respectively, and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i$ is an arbitrary element of $A_1 \times A_2$; then α becomes a cross-norm on $A_1 \times A_2$ and $A_1 \times A_2$, is a non-complete C^* -algebra [7].

Now, let A_1 and A_2 be C^* -algebras on the Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 respectively. Then by [3, 4], $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i$ can be considered as bounded operator on $H = H_1 \times_{\sigma} H_2$. In this paper, we consider the relation between C^* -algebra generated by $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i$ as operator on H with operator bound as norm, and direct-product $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$ (§2); and we give more detailed discussions in the case where A_i are C^* -algebras of completely continuous operators on H_i (§3); and finally in §4 we prove some algebraic properties of $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$.

2. Relation between the direct-product as operators and as algebras.¹⁾

Suppose that H_1 and H_2 are Hilbert spaces. Then, F. J. Murray-J. von Neumann's construction [3] of direct-product gives us $H = H_1 \times_{\sigma} H_2$ as Hilbert space. If x and y are operators on H_1 and H_2 respectively, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \eta_i \right) (x \times y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x \times \eta_i y$$

gives a linear operator $x \times y$ on H , and the operator bound of $x \times y$ on H satisfies the cross-property of Schatten [4]: $\|x \times y\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ ²⁾.

If A_1 and A_2 are C^* -algebras on H_1 and H_2 respectively, then the set

$$\{x \times y : x \in A_1, y \in A_2\}$$

generates a C^* -algebra A .

On the other hand, we can consider the direct-product $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$ as in [7], in this case, we define the norm $\alpha(\cdot)$ as follows:

$$\alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i\right) = \sup \left[\Phi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right)^* \right)^{1/2} : \Phi \in \mathfrak{S}' \right],$$

where \mathfrak{S}' denotes the set of positive type functional Φ 's on $A_1 \times A_2$ such that $\Phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi_{ij} \times \psi_{ij}$, $\Phi(1 \times 1) = 1$, and $\varphi_{ij}(x)$, $\psi_{ij}(y)$ have the form

$$\varphi_{ij}(x) = \langle \xi_i x, \xi_j \rangle, \text{ and } \psi_{ij}(y) = \langle \eta_i y, \eta_j \rangle$$

respectively where $\xi_i \in H_1, \eta_j \in H_2$ and $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \eta_j \right\| = 1$. Then the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 1. *A is isometrically isomorphic to the direct-product $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$.*

LEMMA 1. *If the expression $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i$ is equivalent to 0×0 as element of direct product $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$ of algebras, then it is zero operator on H .*

PROOF. By the definition of the norm in $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \xi_i x_i x_j^*, \xi_j \rangle \langle \eta_i y_i y_j^*, \eta_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \xi_i x_i, \xi_j x_j \rangle \langle \eta_i y_i, \eta_j y_j \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \times \eta_i y_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j x_j \times \eta_j y_j \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any elements $\xi \in H_1, \eta \in H_2, \|\xi\| = \|\eta\| = 1$. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \times \eta_i y_i = 0$

for any $\xi \in H_1, \eta \in H_2$, so $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i = 0$ as operator on H .

1) The author expresses his hearty thanks for many discussions of Prof. M. Nakamura; he has pointed out the incompleteness of author's original proof of present and next sections.

2) $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator bound.

LEMMA 2. *The converse statement of Lemma 1 holds.*

PROOF. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i \times y_i = 0$ as operator on H . First we remark that we can assume, without loss of generality, the linear independency of $\{x_i\}$. Indeed, if $x_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i$ holds, then for any $\xi \times \eta \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi \times \eta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i &= (\xi \times \eta) \left(\left(\sum_{i=2}^n a_i x_i \right) \times y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \\ &\cong \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \xi x_i \times \eta y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi x_i \times \eta y_i \\ &\cong \sum_{i=2}^n \xi x_i \times \eta (a_i y_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi x_i \times \eta y_i \\ &\cong (\xi \times \eta) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n x_i \times (a_i y_1 + y_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \cong \sum_{i=2}^n x_i \times (a_i y_1 + y_i).$$

Now, assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i = 0$ as operator on H , and $\{x_i\}$ are linearly independent, then for any $\xi, \xi' \in H_1$ and $\eta, \eta' \in H_2$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle (\xi \times \eta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i, \xi' \times \eta' \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \xi x_i \times \eta y_i, \xi' \times \eta' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \xi x_i, \xi' \rangle \langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle \xi x_i, \xi' \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle \xi x_i, \xi' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ' is any element of H_1 , $\sum \langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle \xi x_i = \xi (\sum \langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle x_i) = 0$, and furthermore, by the arbitrariness of $\xi \in H_1$, $\sum \langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle x_i = 0$ as operator on H_1 . While $\{x_i\}$ are linearly independent, so $\langle \eta y_i, \eta' \rangle = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Again by the arbitrariness of η , and $\eta', y_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ as operators on H_2 , so finally $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \cong 0 \times 0$ as element of direct-product.

LEMMA 3.
$$\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right\|.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right\|^2 &= \sup \left[\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n'} \xi_j \times \eta_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \right\|^2 : \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n'} \xi_j \times \eta_j \right\| = 1 \right] \\ &= \sup \left[\left\| \sum_{i,j} \xi_j x_i \times \eta_j y_i \right\|^2 : \left\| \sum_j \xi_j \times \eta_j \right\| = 1 \right] \\ &= \sup \left[\sum_{i,j,k,m} \langle \xi_j x_i, \xi_k x_m \rangle \langle \eta_j y_i, \eta_k y_m \rangle : \left\| \sum_j \xi_j \times \eta_j \right\| = 1 \right] \\ &= \sup \left[\sum_{i,j,k,m} \varphi_{jk}(x_i x_m^*) \psi_{jk}(y_i y_m^*) : \left\| \sum_j \xi_j \times \eta_j \right\| = 1 \right], \\ &\quad \text{where } \varphi_{jk}(x) = \langle \xi_j x, \xi_k \rangle \text{ and } \psi_{jk}(y) = \langle \eta_j y, \eta_k \rangle \\ &= \sup \left[\Phi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^* \times y_j^* \right) \right) : \Phi \in \mathcal{E}' \right] \\ &= \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Lemmas 1 and 2, A is algebraically isomorphic to $A_1 \times_{\sigma} A_2$, and by Lemma 3, this isomorphism is also isometric. This completes the proof.

3. Direct-product of completely continuous operators. Our principal aim of this section is to show

THEOREM 2. *If A_1 and A_2 are C^* -algebras of completely continuous operators on H_1 and H_2 respectively, then $A = A_1 \times_{\sigma} A_2$ is also a C^* -algebra of completely continuous operators on $H = H_1 \times_{\sigma} H_2$.*

To prove the statement, we shall begin by proving

LEMMA 4. *For any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H_1$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in H_2$,*

$$(\xi_1 \times \eta_1) \times (\xi_2 \times \eta_2) = (\xi_1 \times \xi_2) \times (\eta_1 \times \eta_2)$$

where $\zeta(\xi_1 \times \xi_2) = \langle \zeta, \xi_2 \rangle \xi_1$ for $\zeta, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$.

PROOF. Let $\xi \in H_1$ and $\eta \in H_2$, we have, for $\zeta = \xi \times \eta$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\xi \times \eta)((\xi_1 \times \eta_1) \times (\xi_2 \times \eta_2)) \\ &= \langle \xi \times \eta, \xi_2 \times \eta_2 \rangle \xi_1 \times \eta_1 \\ &= \langle \xi, \xi_2 \rangle \langle \eta, \eta_2 \rangle \xi \times \eta \\ &= \xi(\xi_1 \times \xi_2) \times \eta(\eta_1 \times \eta_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\xi \times \eta)[(\xi_1 \times \xi_2) \times (\eta_1 \times \eta_2)].$$

To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that each $x \times y$ is completely continuous, since $A_1 \times_a A_2$ is generated by such $x \times y$'s. If $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \times \xi_i$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j \times \eta_j$ are the canonical form of J. Dixmier [1], then it is also sufficient to show for

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \xi_i' \quad \text{and} \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \times \eta_j',$$

since such $x \times y$ are dense in $A_1 \times_a A_2$. Therefore by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} x \times y &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \xi_i' \right) \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \times \eta_j' \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\xi_i \times \eta_j) \times (\xi_i' \times \eta_j') \end{aligned}$$

shows that $x \times y$ is a completely continuous operator on $H_1 \times_{\sigma} H_2$. This proves Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *If A_i of the previous theorem are the algebra $C(H_i)$ of all completely continuous operators on H_i , then A is the algebra $C(H)$ of all completely continuous operators on H : i. e.,*

$$C(H_1) \times_a C(H_2) = C(H_1 \times_{\sigma} H_2).$$

PROOF. By the previous theorem, it is sufficient to show that A contains all one-dimensional projections of H . This is proved when $(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \times \eta_i) \times (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \times \eta_i)$ is contained in A , if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \times \eta_i$ exists and is of norm unity. Since, for each n ,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \eta_i}{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \eta_i \right\|} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \eta_i}{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \times \eta_i \right\|}$$

exists in A and converges uniformly to $(\sum \xi_i \times \eta_i) \times (\sum \xi_i \times \eta_i)$, the latter is contained in A .

Following Corollary is an immediate consequence of our Theorem 3 and I. Kaplansky [2].

COROLLARY. *If two C^* -algebras of completely continuous operators are simple, then their direct-product is simple too.*

REMARK. This corollary is not yet decided when the condition of "completely continuous operators" is replaced by "operators."

4. Some algebraic properties of $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$.

THEOREM 4. *If A_i are C^* -algebra with unit, and if $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$ is simple, in the sense of non-existence of proper two-sided closed ideals, then each A_i is simple.*

PROOF. We prove this theorem by an indirect argument. If A_1 were not simple, then there exists a proper closed ideal I_1 . Then $I_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$ is a closed proper ideal in $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$, so by assumption, $I_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2 = A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$. Then for every positive real number ε , there exists $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i \in I_1 \times A_2$ such that

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \times y_i - 1 \times 1 \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Then, by the definition of $\alpha(\cdot)$ [7],

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \psi(y_i) - 1 \right| < \varepsilon,$$

for any pure states φ and ψ on A_1 and A_2 respectively.

On the other hand, by I. E. Segal's result [6], there exists a pure state φ_0 of A_1 which vanishes on I_1 . For a such state φ_0 ,

$$\varphi_0(x_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then the above inequality implies the contradiction : $|1| < \varepsilon$.

THEOREM 5. *If A_i are C^* -algebras with unit, and if $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$ is factorial (that is, the center is a multiple of unit), then each A_i is so.*

PROOF. If x is in the center of A_1 , $x \times 1$ is in the center of $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$, so by assumption $x \times 1 = a(1 \times 1) = (a1) \times 1$ for some scalar a . Thus $x = a1$, this is desired.

THEOREM 6. *If $A_1 = I + J$ (direct sum), then*

$$A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2 = I \times_{\alpha} A_2 + J \times_{\alpha} A_2 \text{ (direct sum)}.$$

PROOF. By assumption, if $x \in A_1$, there exists a unique expression

$$x = x' + x'', \quad x' \in I, \quad x'' \in J.$$

Then for any $y \in A_2$,

$$x \times y = x' \times y + x'' \times y, \quad x' \times y \in I \times_{\alpha} A_2, \quad x'' \times y \in J \times_{\alpha} A_2.$$

Thus $(I + J) \times_{\alpha} A_2 \subseteq I \times_{\alpha} A_2 + J \times_{\alpha} A_2$ (right-hand side is not necessarily direct). On the other hand, since $I + J \supseteq I, J$,

$$(I + J) \times_{\alpha} A_2 \supseteq I \times_{\alpha} A_2, \quad J \times_{\alpha} A_2,$$

so

$$(I + J) \times_{\alpha} A_2 \supseteq I \times_{\alpha} A_2 + J \times_{\alpha} A_2.$$

Thus, to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show

$$(I \times_{\alpha} A_2) \cap (J \times_{\alpha} A_2) = 0.$$

Now if $x' \in I, x'' \in J$, then for any $y', y'' \in A_2$,

$$(x' \times y')(x'' \times y'') = (x'x'') \times (y'y'') = 0 \times (y'y'') = 0.$$

Since $I \times_{\alpha} A_2$ and $J \times_{\alpha} A_2$ are generated from

$$\{x' \times y' : x' \in I, y' \in A_2\} \text{ and } \{x'' \times y'' : x'' \in J, y'' \in A_2\}$$

respectively, $(I \times_{\alpha} A_2)(J \times_{\alpha} A_2) = 0$, by the above relation.

On the other hand, $I \times_{\alpha} A_2$ and $J \times_{\alpha} A_2$ are closed two-sided ideals in C^* -algebra $A_1 \times_{\alpha} A_2$, so of course self-adjoint, then if $u \in I \times_{\alpha} A_2 \cap J \times_{\alpha} A_2$, $uu^* \in (I \times_{\alpha} A_2)(J \times_{\alpha} A_2) = 0$, that is $uu^* = 0$, $u = 0$. This completes the proof.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. DIXMIER, Les fonctionnelles linéaires sur l'ensemble des opérateurs bornés d'un espace de Hilbert, *Ann. of Math.*, 51(1950), pp. 387-408.
2. I. KAPLANSKY, Dual Rings, *Ann. of Math.*, 49(1948), pp. 689-701.
3. F. J. MURRAY-J. von NEUMANN, On rings of operators, *Ann. of Math.*, 37 (1936), pp. 116-229.
4. R. SCHATTEN, A theory of cross-space, Princeton (1950).
5. I. E. SEGAL, Irreducible representations of operator algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 53(1947), pp. 73-88.
6. I. E. SEGAL, Two-sided ideals in operator algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, 50(1949), pp. 856-865.
7. T. TURUMARU, On the direct-product of operator algebra I, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 4(1953), pp. 242-251.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI.