

SOME TRIGONOMETRICAL SERIES, X

SHIN-ICHI IZUMI

(Received April 20, 1954)

1. Let $\varphi(t)$ be an even integrable function with period 2π . Lebesgue's convergence test of the Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ at $t = 0$ reads as follows [1]:

THEOREM. *If*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^t |\varphi(u)| du = o(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_h^\pi \frac{|\varphi(t+h) - \varphi(t)|}{t} dt = 0,$$

then the Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ converges at $t = 0$.

The condition (1) was generalized by S. Pollard [2], J. J. Gergen [3], G. Sunouchi [4] (cf. [5]) and many other writers. On the other hand the condition (2) was generalized by S. Pollard in the form

$$(3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \int_{ku}^\pi \frac{|\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)|}{t} dt = 0.$$

The object of this part is to show that the absolute value sign may be omitted with some modification¹⁾.

2. THEOREM 1. *Let $\varphi(t)$ be an integrable function. If (1) holds and*

$$(4) \quad n \int_0^{\pi/n} dt \left| \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+(2k+1)\pi/n} \frac{\varphi(v) - \varphi(v - \pi/n)}{v} dv \right| = o(1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ converges at $t = 0$.

PROOF. We may suppose that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi_1(\pi) = 0$, where

$$\varphi_1(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(u) du.$$

Then²⁾

1) When this paper is written up, Prof. G. Sunouchi let the author know a paper of H. E. Bray, Rice Inst. Pamphlet, 1953, which is in the same direction as this paper but the result does not overlap. He shows that $\sin 1/t$ does not satisfy the condition (2), but its Fourier series converges to zero at $t = 0$. One can show that $\sin 1/t$ satisfies the condition (4).

2) $S_n(x)$ is the n th partial sum of Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ at $t = x$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(o) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt + o(1) \\
&= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_1(t) \left[\frac{n \cos nt}{t} - \frac{\sin nt}{t^2} \right] dt + o(1) \\
&= -\frac{2}{\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \right) + o(1) = -\frac{2}{\pi} (I_1 + I_2) + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

say. By (1), $I_1 = o(1)$. After Salem [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int_0^{\pi/n} \left[n \cos nt \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{\varphi_1(t + k\pi/n)}{t + k\pi/n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sin nt \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{\varphi_1(t + k\pi/n)}{(t + k\pi/n)^2} \right] dt = I_{21} - I_{22},
\end{aligned}$$

say. We may suppose n odd, and then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{21} &= n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \left[\frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{\varphi_1(t + 2k\pi/n)}{t + 2k\pi/n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{\varphi_1(t + (2k-1)\pi/n)}{t + (2k-1)\pi/n} \right] dt = n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \cdot J_{21} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

say. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{21} &= \int_t^{t+\pi} \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} du - \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} \left[\frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} - \frac{\varphi_1(t + 2k\pi/n)}{t + 2k\pi/n} \right] du \\
&\quad - \int_{t+\pi/n}^{t+\pi+\pi/n} \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} du + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} \left[\frac{\varphi_1(u - \pi/n)}{u - \pi/n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\varphi_1(t + (2k-1)\pi/n)}{t + (2k-1)\pi/n} \right] du \\
&= \left(\int_t^{t+\pi/n} - \int_{t+\pi}^{t+\pi-\pi/n} \right) \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} du - \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} \\
&\quad \left[\frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} - \frac{\varphi_1(u - \pi/n)}{u - \pi/n} - \frac{\varphi_1(t + 2k\pi/n)}{t + 2k\pi/n} + \frac{\varphi_1(t + (2k-1)\pi/n)}{t + (2k-1)\pi/n} \right] du
\end{aligned}$$

$$= J_{211} - J_{212}.$$

Now

$$n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt J_{211} dt = n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \int_t^{t+\pi/n} \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} du$$

$$-n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \, dt - \int_{t+\pi}^{t+\pi+\pi/n} \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} \, du = o(1)$$

by (1). The integrand of J_{212} is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{u} - \frac{\varphi_1(u - \pi/n)}{u - \pi/n} - \frac{\varphi_1(t + 2k\pi/n)}{t + 2k\pi/n} + \frac{\varphi_1(t + (2k - 1)\pi/n)}{t + (2k - 1)\pi/n} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{n} \varphi_1(u) \frac{(u - (t + 2k\pi/n))(t + (2k - 1)\pi/n + u)}{u(u - \pi/n)(t + 2k\pi/n)(t + (2k - 1)\pi/n)} \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(u - \pi/n)}{u - \pi/n} + \frac{\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(t + 2k\pi/n)}{t + 2k\pi/n} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\varphi_1(t + (2k - 1)\pi/n) - \varphi_1(u)}{t + (2k - 1)\pi/n} \right) = K_{k1} + K_{k2}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \, dt \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} K_{k1} \, du \right| \\ & \leq An^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} dt \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} \frac{|\varphi_1(u)|}{u^3} \, du = o\left(\int_0^{\pi/n} dt \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{n^2}{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

by (1). Further

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \, dt \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} K_{k2} \, du \\ &= n^2 \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \, dt \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{t + 2k\pi/n} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} [\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(u - \pi/n) \\ & \quad - \varphi_1(t + 2k\pi/n) + \varphi_1(t + (2k - 1)\pi/n)] \, du + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which is less than, in absolute value,

$$n \int_0^{\pi/n} dt \left| \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} \frac{\varphi(v) - \varphi(v - \pi/n)}{v} \, dv \right| + o(1),$$

thus we have proved $I_{21} = o(1)$.

Concerning I_{22} , estimation may be similarly carried out and we get $I_{22} = o(1)$, and then

$$I_2 = I_{21} + I_{22} = o(1).$$

Hence the theorem is completely proved.

3. From the proof of theorem 1, we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *If (1) holds, then a necessary and sufficient condition that the Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ converges at $t = 0$, is*

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_0^{\pi/n} \cos nt \, dt \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \int_{t+2k\pi/n}^{t+2(k+1)\pi/n} \frac{\varphi(v) - \varphi(v - \pi/n)}{v} \, dv = 0.$$

(2) implies (4) and (4) implies (5). Hence the necessary and sufficient condition (5) does not seem to be non-interesting. But it is of course desirable that the condition does not contain the terms $\cos nx$ and $\sin nx$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometrical series, 1936.
- [2] S. POLLARD, Journ. London Math. Soc., 2(1926)
- [3] J. J. GERGEN, Quarterly Journ. (2), 1(1930)
- [4] G. SUNOUCHI, Tôhoku Math. Journ. (2) 1-3(1950-2)
- [5] S. IZUMI, Tôhoku Math. Journ., in the press.
- [6] R. SALEM, Comptes Rendus, 207(1938).

MATHEMATICAL INSITUTE, TOKYO TORITSU UNIVERSITY.