SOME ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF POISSON PROCESS

G. Sankaranarayanan

(Received November 18, 1957)

1. Poisson Process $X(t, \omega)$ [$\omega \in \Omega$, $0 \le t < \infty$] is a temporally and spatially homogeneous Markoff Process [Stationary increments (in the strict sense)] satisfying $X(0, \omega) = 0$ and $X(t, \omega) =$ integer greater than or equal to zero for every $\omega \in \Omega$ (ω denotes the probability parameter)

$$Pr[X(t, \omega) - X(t', \omega) = i] = \frac{[\lambda(t - t')]^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda(t - t')}$$
(1)

for t > t', where i is a non-negative integer and λ is a positive constant.

2. Definition of $L_m(\omega)$.

$$L_m(\omega) = t_{m+1}(\omega) - t_m(\omega)$$

where

$$t_m(\omega) = \text{Min}[T, X(T, \omega) = m],$$

 $t_m(\omega)$ exists almost certainly by the right continuity property of the Poisson Process. Further $t_m(\omega)$ is measurable. Thus $L_m(\omega)$ is a non-negative random variable.

3. A known Theorem. $L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_m, \ldots$ are mutually independent random variables, with a common distribution function F(x), where

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further

$$E(L_m)=1/\lambda$$

$$V(L_m)=1/\lambda^2, \qquad m=0,1,\ldots.$$

This theorem was suggested by P. Lévy [1] and a rigorous proof was given by T. Nishida [2].

4. Summary. From the sequence L_0 , L_1 ... we form a new sequence y_1 , y_2 ,... where y_1 is the mean of the first n elements, y_2 is the mean of the next n elements and so on in the L-sequence.

We define $u_m = \text{Max } (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ and $l_m = \text{Min } (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ we have investigated the asymptotic behaviour of u_m and l_m . Takeyuki Hida [3] has defined

$$M_n = \text{Max } (L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_{n-1})$$

and

$$Z_n = \frac{L_0 + L_1 + \ldots + L_{n-1}}{M_n}.$$

Using some of his results, we have obtained the asymptotic behaviour of M_n and Z_n . We have also investigated the asymptotic properties of

$$\frac{t_1+t_2+\ldots+t_m}{m^2},$$

which we have shown converges in probability to $\frac{1}{2\lambda}$,

5. Distribution of the arithmetic mean $y = \frac{L_0 + L_1 + \ldots + L_{n-1}}{n}$.

It follows immediately from the theorem in [3] that the characteristic function of L is

$$\phi_L(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$$

Hence the characteristic function of y is

$$\phi_y(t) = \left(1 - \frac{it}{n\lambda}\right)^{-n}.$$

Hence the frequency function p(x) of y is

$$p(x) = \frac{(n\lambda)^n}{\Gamma(n)} x^{n-1} e^{-n\lambda x} \text{ if } x > 0$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } x \le 0.$$

6. Definition and distribution of u_m . Let us consider the sequence of independent random variables L_0 , L_1 , L_2 , we now form a new sequence as follows

$$y_1 = \frac{L_0 + L_1 + \dots L_{n-1}}{n},$$

$$y_2 = \frac{L_n + \dots + L_{2n-1}}{n},$$

So y_1, y_2, \ldots form a sequence of independent and indentically distributed random variables.

Let $u_m = \operatorname{Max}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m),$

we now obtain the distribution function of u_m

$$Pr[u_{m} < x] = Pr[y_{1} < x, y_{2} < x, \dots, y_{m} < x]$$

$$= Pr[y_{1} < x] \cdot Pr[y_{2} < x] \dots Pr[y_{m} < x]$$

$$= \left[\frac{(n\lambda)^{n}}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{0}^{\tau} y^{n-1} e^{-n\lambda y} dy\right]^{m}$$

$$= \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{n}\Gamma(n)} \int_{2n\lambda x}^{\infty} v^{n-1} e^{-v/2} dv\right]^{m}$$
(6.1)

where $x \ge 0$.

7. We now prove the following result which will be used in the next section

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt \ge e^{-\theta} \quad (\theta > 0). \tag{7.1}$$

To show this it is enough, we prove

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}\int_{a}^{\infty}t^{n-1} e^{(\theta-t)} dt \geq 1.$$

Put $t - \theta = w$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} t^{n-1} e^{(\theta-t)} dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{0}^{\infty} (w+\theta)^{n-1} e^{-w} dw$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{0}^{\infty} w^{n-1} e^{-w} dw \qquad (\theta \text{ being positive})$$

$$= 1$$

Hence the result (7.1).

8. Theorem 1. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, Then

$$Pr\left[\underset{m\to\infty}{\text{Lim Inf}} \frac{n\lambda u_m}{\alpha \log m} \ge 1\right] = 1. \tag{8.1}$$

PROOF.

$$Pr(u_m < x) < (1 - e^{-n\lambda x})^m$$
, if $x \ge 0$ from (7.1).

So

$$Pr\left(u_m < \frac{\alpha \log m}{n \lambda}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{m^{\alpha}}\right)^m$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Pr \left[u_m < \frac{\alpha \log m}{n\lambda} \right] < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m^{\alpha}} \right)^m.$$

The series on the right side is convergent if $0 < \alpha < 1$. So if $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Pr \left[u_m < \frac{\alpha \log m}{n\lambda} \right] < \infty.$$

$$S_m = \left(\omega, u_m < \frac{\alpha \log m}{n\lambda} \right).$$

Let

Let Λ be the set of points in infinitely many S_m 's. By Borel-Cantelli Lemma

$$Pr(\Lambda) = 0.$$

Therefore $Pr(\Lambda^c) = 1$, where Λ^c is the complement of Λ

i. e.
$$Pr\left(\lim_{m \to \infty} \inf S_m^2 \right) = 1$$

i. e.
$$Pr\left[\underset{m\to\infty}{\lim \inf} \frac{n\lambda \ u_m}{\alpha \log m} \ge 1 \right] = 1.$$

9. Definition and distribution of l_m . We define

$$l_{m} = \operatorname{Min}(y_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{m}),$$

$$Pr(l_{m} > x) = Pr(y_{1} > x, \dots, y_{2} > x, y_{m} > x)$$

$$= Pr(y_{1} > x) Pr(y_{2} > x) \dots Pr(y_{m} > x)$$

$$= \left[\frac{(n\lambda)^{n}}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{x}^{\infty} u^{n-1} e^{-n\lambda u} du\right]^{m}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{n\lambda x}^{\infty} v^{n-1} e^{-v} dv\right]^{m}$$

$$= \left[1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{n\lambda x}^{n\lambda x} v^{n-1} e^{-v} dv\right]^{m}$$

$$(9.1)$$

where $x \ge 0$.

10. Theorem 2. If $\beta > 1$, then

$$Pr\left[\underset{m\to\infty}{\text{Lim Sup}} \frac{l_m}{\beta \left\lceil \frac{n!}{(n\lambda)^n} \frac{\log m}{m} \right\rceil^{1/n}} \leq 1 \right] = 1.$$
 (10. 1)

Proof. By (9.1) we get

$$Pr[l_m > x] = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{n_{\lambda}x} v^{n-1} e^{-v} dv\right]_0^m$$

If $0 \le \theta \le 1$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{0}^{\theta} e^{-v} v^{n-1} dv = \frac{\theta^{n}}{n!} [1 + O(\theta)].$$

Assuming $n\lambda x \leq 1$, we get

$$Pr[l_m > x] = \left[1 - \frac{(n\lambda x)^n}{n!} \left\{1 + O(n\lambda x)\right\}\right]^m$$

Write

$$n\lambda x = n\lambda\phi(m)$$
, where $\phi(m) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$.

Then

$$Pr[l_m > \phi(m)] = \exp \left\{ -m - \frac{[n\lambda\phi(m)]^n}{n!} [1 + o(1)] \right\}.$$

Now take

$$\frac{m[n\lambda\phi(m)]^n}{n!}=\alpha\log m.$$

Therefore

$$Pr[l_m > \phi(m)] = \exp\{-\alpha \log m[1 + o(1)]\}$$

$$=\frac{1}{m^{\alpha[1+o(1)]}}.$$

So if $\alpha > 1$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Pr[l_m > \phi(m)] \text{ converges.}$$

So

$$Pr\left[\underset{m\to\infty}{\operatorname{Lim}}\operatorname{Sup}\frac{l_m}{\phi(m)}\leq 1\right]=1.$$

Here

$$\phi(m) = \frac{1}{n\lambda} \left[\frac{\alpha \log m}{m} \cdot n! \right]^{1/n}$$
$$= \left[\frac{n!}{(n\lambda)^n} \cdot \frac{\alpha \log m}{m} \right]^{1/n}.$$

Therefore

$$Pr\left[\underset{m \to \infty}{\text{Lim Sup}} \frac{l_m}{\left\lceil \frac{n!}{(n\lambda)^n} \right\rceil^{n/n}} \right] \leq 1 = 1.$$

Now $\beta = \alpha^{1/n}$, since $\alpha > 1$, $\beta > 1$. Hence we finally get:

If $\beta > 1$

$$Pr\left[\limsup_{m\to\infty}\frac{l_m}{\left\lceil\frac{n!}{(n\lambda)^n}\frac{\alpha\log m}{m}\right\rceil^{1/n}}\leq 1\right]=1.$$

By putting n = 1, in Theorems (1) and (2) we get the results obtained by Takeyuki Hida.

11. Takeyuki Hida [3] has proved the following results. He defines

$$M_n = \operatorname{Max} \left[L_0(\omega), \ L_1(\omega), \ldots, \ L_{n-1}(\omega) \right]$$

$$Z_n = \frac{L_0(\omega) + L_1(\omega) + \ldots + L_{n-1}(\omega)}{M_n(\omega)}.$$

and

He has proved

$$E(M_n) = O(\log n),$$

$$E(Z_n) = O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right).$$

We can derive the following theorems from the above results. The fact that M_n and Z_n are non-negative almost everywhere may be noted in the proofs of the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. For any p > 0

$$Pr\left[\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}}=0\right]=1.$$

Proof. We know that

$$E(M_n) = O(\log n).$$

So

$$E\left[\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}}\right] = O\left(\frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+p}}\right).$$

$$E\left[\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}}\right] < \frac{K}{n(\log n)^{1+p}}$$

Hence

where K is a constant not depending upon n. By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$Pr\left[\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}} > \varepsilon\right] < \frac{K}{\varepsilon n(\log n)^{1+p}}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all large n. Hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} Pr\left[\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}} > \varepsilon\right] < \frac{K}{\varepsilon} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+p}}.$$

For any p > 0, the series on the right side is convergent. Therefore

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} Pr\left[\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}} > \varepsilon\right] < \infty.$$

By applying Borel-Cantelli Lenma

$$Pr\left[\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{M_n}{n(\log n)^{2+p}}=0\right]=1.$$

THEOREM 2. For any p > 0,

$$Pr\left[\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{Z_n}{n^2(\log n)^p}=0\right]=1.$$

Proof. We know that

$$E(Z_n) = O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right).$$

So

$$E\left[\frac{Z_n}{n^2(\log n)^p}\right] < \frac{K}{n(\log n)^{1+p}},$$

where K does not depend upon n. By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$Pr\left[\frac{Z_n}{n^2(\log n)^p} > \varepsilon\right] < \frac{K}{\varepsilon n(\log n)^{1+p}}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all large n.

Therefore for any p > 0

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Pr\left[\frac{Z_n}{n^2 (\log n)^p} > \varepsilon\right] < \infty.$$

Hence by Borel-Cantelli Lemma

$$Pr\left[\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{Z_n}{n^2(\log n)^p}\right]=0$$

12. Asymptotic Behaviour of $\frac{t_1(\omega) + t_2(\omega) + \ldots + t_m(\omega)}{m^2}$.

We define

$$t_m(\omega) = \text{Min}(T, X(T, \omega) = m).$$

Hence from the definition

$$t_0(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = 0.$$

We define

$$L_m(\omega)=t_{m+1}(\omega)-t_m(\omega).$$

Hence

$$t_m(\omega) = L_0 + L_1 + \ldots + L_{m-1}$$

Therefore

$$t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_m = mL_0 + (m-1)L_1 + \ldots + L_{m-1}$$

Hence

$$\frac{t_1+t_2+\ldots\ldots+t_m}{m^2}=\frac{m\,L_0+(m-1)\,L_1+\ldots\ldots+L_{m-1}}{m^2}.$$

Theorem. $\frac{t_1+t_2+\ldots+t_m}{m^2}$ converge in probability to $\frac{1}{2\lambda}$.

PROOF. Let $\phi_m(t)$ denote the characteristic function of $\frac{t_1+t_2+\ldots+t_m}{m^2}$.

Hence

$$egin{aligned} \phi_{m}(t) &= \phi_{(t_{1}+\ldots+t_{m})/m^{2}}(t) \ &= \phi_{\frac{mL_{0}+(m-1)L_{1}+\ldots+L_{m-1}}{m^{2}}}(t) \ &= \dfrac{1}{\left(1-\dfrac{imt}{m^{2}\lambda}
ight)\!\left(1-\dfrac{i(m-1)t}{m^{2}\lambda}
ight)\!\ldots\!\left(1-\dfrac{it}{m^{2}\lambda}
ight)} \ &= \dfrac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^{m}\left[1-\dfrac{irt}{m^{2}\lambda}
ight]} \ . \end{aligned}$$

We now find the limit of $\phi_m(t)$ as $m \to \infty$. For this we first consider the limit of the denominator

$$\prod_{r=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{irt}{m^{2}\lambda} \right) = \left[\prod_{r=1}^{m} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{irt}{m^{2}\lambda} \right) \exp\left(\frac{irt}{m^{2}\lambda} \right) \right\} \right] \times \exp\left(- \frac{it}{\lambda m^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} r \right).$$
(1)

Consider now the limit as $m \to \infty$ of

$$\prod_{r=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{irt}{m^2 \lambda}\right) \exp\left(\frac{irt}{m^2 \lambda}\right).$$

Put
$$1 + W_r(m) = \left(1 - \frac{ur}{m^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{ur}{m^2}\right)$$
 where $u = \frac{it}{\lambda}$.

Therefore

$$W_r(m) = \left(1 - \frac{ur}{m^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{ur}{m^2}\right) - 1.$$

Let $|u| \le k$, we can choose an $m > m_0$ such that

$$\left|\frac{ur}{m^2}\right| \le \frac{|k|}{m} \le 1$$
 in $|u| \le k$.

Now

$$egin{aligned} W_r(m) &= \left(1 - rac{ur}{m^2}
ight) \exp\left(rac{ur}{m^2}
ight) - 1 \ &= \left(1 - rac{ur}{m^2}
ight) \left(1 + rac{ur}{m^2} + rac{(ur)^2}{2!m^4} + \ldots\right) - 1 \ &= -rac{1}{2} \left(rac{ur}{m^2}
ight)^2 \left[1 + rac{4}{3!} \left(rac{ur}{m^2}
ight)^3 + rac{6}{4!} \left(rac{ur}{m^2}
ight)^4 + \ldots\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|W_r(m)| < \frac{Ar^2}{m^4} < \frac{Ar^2}{r^4} < \frac{A}{r^2}$$
, where A is a constant.

Since $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^2}$ is convergent, conditions of Tannery's theorem are fulfilled.

Hence

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \prod_{r=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{irt}{m^2\lambda}\right) \exp\left(\frac{irt}{m^2\lambda}\right) = 1.$$

Also

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{it}{m^2\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^m r\right) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{it}{m^2\lambda}\frac{m(m+1)}{2}\right\} = \exp\left(-\frac{it}{2\lambda}\right).$$

Hence the denominator of $\phi_m(t) \to \exp(-it/2\lambda)$, as $m \to \infty$.

Therefore $\lim_{m\to\infty} \phi_m(t) = \exp(it/2\lambda)$, for all t, since k is arbitrary.

Hence

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \Pr\left[\frac{t_1+\ldots+t_m}{m^2} \le x\right] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 1/2\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words $\frac{t_1+t_2+\ldots+t_m}{m^2}$ converges in probability to $\frac{1}{2\lambda}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor V. Ganapathy Iyer for the valuable suggestions and criticisms while preparing this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. LÉVY, Processus Stochastiques et mouvement brownien, 1948.
 [2] NISHIDA On the inverse function of Poisson Process, Mathematica Japonicae, 2(1952), 135-142.
- [3] TAKEYUKI Hida, On some Asymptotic properties of Poisson Process, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, 6 (1953), 29-36.

ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY.