

## NOTE ON SOME MAPPING SPACES

HIDEKAZU WADA

(Received February 8, 1958)

1. In [2], the author obtained a result:

*Let  $G_n$  be the mapping space of an  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  on itself, and let  $F_n$  be a subspace of  $G_n$ , whose every element fixes a reference point of  $S^n$ . Then  $G_n$  is of the same homotopy type as  $S^n \times F_n$  if and only if  $\pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1})$  contains an element, whose Hopf invariant is 1.*

From this result, we can see that  $G_1$ ,  $G_3$  and  $G_7$  are homotopically equivalent with  $S^1 \times F_1$ ,  $S^3 \times F_3$  and  $S^7 \times F_7$  respectively [2, Corollary (6.5)]. In the present note, the author will notice that the homeomorphisms hold instead of the homotopy equivalences in the above three cases.

2. We shall say that a space  $X$  is an  $H_*$ -space if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) The bi-continuous product  $x \cdot y \in X$  is defined for every pair of points  $x, y$  of  $X$ .

(ii) There is a fixed point  $e \in X$ , which satisfies the condition

$$x \cdot e = x,$$

for every point  $x$  of  $X$ . We shall call  $e$  the *right identity* of  $X$ .

(iii) There exists a point  $x^{-1}$  of  $X$ , continuously defined by  $x$  of  $X$  such that

$$x \cdot x^{-1} = e,$$

for every  $x$  of  $X$ . We shall call  $x^{-1}$  the *right inverse* of  $x$ .

(iv) For every pair of points  $x, y$  of  $X$ , the following identity holds:

$$x^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot y) = y.$$

If we put  $y = e$  in (iv), we obtain

$$(iii)' \quad x^{-1} \cdot x = e,$$

using (ii).

Now, for an  $x$ , if there is another  $z$  such that  $x \cdot z = e$ , then, by multiplying  $x^{-1}$  to the left in this equation, we get  $z = x^{-1}$  using (iv) and (ii), which shows the uniqueness of  $x^{-1}$ .

On the other hand, if there is a  $y$  for a given  $x$  such that  $y \cdot x = e$ , then  $x = y^{-1}$  from the uniqueness of the right inverse. In general,  $y^{-1} \cdot y = e$  holds from (iii)', therefore  $x \cdot y = e$ , which proves  $y = x^{-1}$ . Therefore the right inverse is the left inverse, which is unique.

Next, if there is a  $z$  such that  $x \cdot z = x$  for any  $x$ , then, multiplying  $x^{-1}$  to the left in this equation, we obtain  $z = e$  using (iv) and (iii)', which proves the uniqueness of  $e$ .

Now, from (iii), (iii)' and from the uniqueness of the right inverse, we obtain  $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ , from which and from (iv) we get

$$(iv)' \quad x \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot y) = y,$$

for every pair of points  $x$  and  $y$ .

3. Now, let  $Y$  be an  $H_*$ -space. Let  $G$  be the space of mappings of  $X$  in itself with the compact-open topology, and let  $F$  be its subspace, whose every mapping fixes  $e$  unchanged. We shall define two mappings

$$\lambda: G \rightarrow X \times F$$

$$\mu: X \times F \rightarrow G$$

as follows:

$$\lambda(g) = (g(e), g_*) \quad \text{for every } g \in G,$$

$$\mu(x, f) = f_x \quad \text{for every } x \in X, f \in F,$$

where  $g_* \in F$  and  $f_x \in G$  are defined by

$$g_*(x) = (g(e))^{-1} \cdot g(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

$$f_x(y) = x \cdot f(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in X.$$

The continuities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  can be seen as follows:

LEMMA. *Let  $x$  be a point of  $X$ , let  $C$  be a compact set of  $X$ , and let  $U$  be an open set of  $X$  such that  $x \cdot C \subset U$ , then there are open sets  $V (\ni x)$  and  $W (\supset C)$  such that  $V \cdot W \subset U$ .*

In fact, let  $c_\alpha \in C$  be any point, then there are open sets  $V_\alpha (\ni x)$  and  $W_\alpha (\ni c_\alpha)$  such that  $V_\alpha \cdot W_\alpha \subset U$ . As  $C$  is compact, there are finite number of  $W_\alpha$  which cover  $C$ , which we shall denote as  $\{W_i\}$ . Then  $V = \bigcap V_i$  and  $W = \bigcup W_i$  satisfies the conclusion of the Lemma.

Let  $C$  be a compact set of  $X$ , and  $U$  be an open set of  $X$ . We shall denote by  $U^c$  the set of mappings of  $G$  such that  $C \rightarrow U$ . Then,  $U^c$  is an open set of  $G$ .

Proof of the continuity of  $\lambda$ . Let  $W$  be an open set of  $\lambda(g) = (g(e), g_*)$ . Then there are an open set  $U_1$  of  $X$  containing  $g(e)$ , and an open set  $U_2^c$  of  $F$  containing  $g_*$  such that  $U_1 \times U_2^c \subset W$ . As  $g_*(C) = (g(e))^{-1} \cdot g(C) \subset U_2$ , there are open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of  $X$  such that  $(g(e))^{-1} \in V_1$ ,  $g(C) \subset V_2$  and  $V_1 \cdot V_2 \subset U_2$  from the Lemma. Then, we see easily  $\lambda((U_1 \cap V_1^{-1})^c \cap V_2^c) \subset W$ , which proves the continuity of  $\lambda$ .

Proof of the continuity of  $\mu$ . Let  $U^c$  be an open set containing  $\mu(x, f) = f_x$ . Then, from  $f_x(C) = x \cdot f(C) \subset U$ , there are an open set  $V_1$  containing  $x$  and an open set  $V_2$  containing  $f(C)$  such that  $V_1 \cdot V_2 \subset U$ . Then, we can see easily that  $\mu(V_1 \times (V_2^c \cap F)) \subset U^c$ , which proves the continuity of  $\mu$ .

Next, for any  $g \in G$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \lambda(g) &= \mu(g(e), g_*) \\ &= (g_*)_{g(e)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for every  $x \in X$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (g_*)_{g(e)}(x) &= g(e) \cdot g_*(x) \\ &= g(e) \cdot ((g(e))^{-1} \cdot g(x)) \\ &= g(x) \quad \text{from (iv)',} \end{aligned}$$

which proves  $\mu\lambda = 1$  in  $G$ .

For  $x \in X$  and  $f \in F$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\mu(x, f) &= \lambda(f_x) \\ &= (f_x(e), (f_x)_*). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as  $f(e) = e$ , we see  $f_x(e) = x \cdot f(e) = x$  from (ii), and for every  $y \in X$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f_x)_*(y) &= (f_x(e))^{-1} \cdot f_x(y) \\ &= (x \cdot f(e))^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot f(y)) \\ &= x^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot f(y)) \\ &= f(y) \quad \text{from (iv),} \end{aligned}$$

which proves  $\lambda\mu = 1$  in  $X \times F$ . Therefore, we obtain

**THEOREM 1.** *For an  $H_*$ -space  $X$ ,  $G$  and  $X \times F$  are homeomorphic.*

Now,  $S^1$ ,  $S^3$  and  $S^7$  are  $H_*$ -spaces regarded as complex numbers, quaternions and Cayley numbers of norm 1 respectively [1, p. 108]. Therefore, we conclude

**THEOREM 2.**  *$G_1, G_3$  and  $G_7$  are homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times F_1, S^3 \times F_3$  and  $S^7 \times F_7$  respectively.*

4.  $S^1, S^3$  and  $S^7$  are  $H_*$ -spaces with the 2-sided identity by the multiplications cited above. Namely, for every  $x, e$  of (ii) satisfies

$$(ii)' \quad e \cdot x = x.$$

But the following example shows that the condition (ii)' is independent with the conditions of the  $H_*$ -space.

$$\begin{aligned} H_* &= \{e, x, y\}, \\ e \cdot e &= e, \quad x \cdot e = x, \quad y \cdot e = y, \quad e \cdot x = y, \quad e \cdot y = x, \\ x \cdot x &= y, \quad y \cdot y = x, \quad x \cdot y = y \cdot x = e. \end{aligned}$$

This system satisfies the conditions of  $H_*$ -space, but  $e$  is not the left identity.

I thank Prof. H. Kuniyoshi for his algebraic advice during the preparation of this note.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] N. E. STEENROD, The topology of fibre bundles, Princeton 1951.  
 [2] H. WADA, On the space of mappings of a sphere on itself, Ann. of Math., 64(1956), 420-435.