

# THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

NOZOMU MOCHIZUKI

(Received January 27, 1965)

**1. Introduction.** We consider the tensor product of two function algebras  $A$  and  $B$  on compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, where by function algebras we shall mean uniformly closed subalgebras of the continuous complex-valued functions which contain the constants and separate the points.

Let  $A \odot B$  be the algebraic tensor product of  $A, B$  and let  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \in A \odot B$ , then, by  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i\right)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) g_i(y)$  for  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i$  belongs to  $C(X \times Y)$ . Let  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  be the completion of  $A \odot B$  under the  $\lambda$ -norm.\*) The  $\lambda$ -norm is identical with the usual uniform norm on  $X \times Y$  and  $C(X) \widehat{\otimes} C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$ . Thus,  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is a Banach algebra. Further, it is easily seen that  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  becomes a function algebra on  $X \times Y$ , which we shall denote by  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Now, it will be natural to ask what properties of  $A$  and  $B$  are inherited to  $\mathfrak{A}$ , or conversely. We shall show that the Šilov boundary and the Choquet boundary of  $\mathfrak{A}$  are represented exactly as Cartesian products of such subsets. Each Gleason part of the maximal ideal space of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is also a Cartesian product of parts of maximal ideal spaces of  $A, B$  respectively. Even if both  $A$  and  $B$  are dirichlet algebras ( $A \neq C(X), B \neq C(Y)$ ),  $\mathfrak{A}$  is far from being dirichlet. However, the maximal ideal space of  $\mathfrak{A}$  remains to have an analytic structure, where analytic functions of two complex variables on the open unit bicylinder are involved.

The author is indebted to Prof. T. Turumaru and Mr. J. Tomiyama for valuable conversations on the subject of this paper.

**2. Tensor product  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ .** In what follows, we denote by  $\partial_A, \mathfrak{M}(A)$  and  $M(A)$  the Šilov boundary, the maximal ideal space and the Choquet boundary of  $A$ , respectively. The closed unit disk  $\{z: |z| \leq 1\}$  of the complex plane is denoted by  $D$  and its interior  $\{z: |z| < 1\}$  by  $D^i$ . We use the symbol  $T$  for the unit circle.

---

\*) Let  $A^*, B^*$  be the conjugate spaces of  $A, B$  respectively. For  $\sum f_i \otimes g_i \in A \odot B$ , the  $\lambda$ -norm is defined by  $\|\sum f_i \otimes g_i\|_\lambda = \sup |\sum \varphi(f_i) \psi(g_i)|$  where  $\varphi, \psi$  run over the unit balls of  $A^*, B^*$  respectively ([13]).

It is known that  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathfrak{M}(A) \times \mathfrak{M}(B)$ , that is, for every  $h \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$  there corresponds a unique  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathfrak{M}(A) \times \mathfrak{M}(B)$  such that  $h = \varphi \otimes \psi$ , which means that if  $F = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \in A \odot B$  then  $h(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(f_i) \psi(g_i)$  ([9]). For  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$  and for a fixed  $y_0 \in Y$ , we define  $F_{y_0}$  by  $F_{y_0}(x) = F(x, y_0)$ .  $F_{x_0}$  is similarly defined. The following is nothing but Lemma 2 of [9].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then every  $F_{y_0}$  belongs to  $A$  and every  $F_{x_0}$  to  $B$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi_{y_0}$  be the functional which associates with  $g \in B$  the value  $g(y_0)$ . Then  $\varphi_{y_0} \in B^*$ . We define a mapping  $T_{y_0}$  of  $A \odot B$  into  $A$  by  $T_{y_0}(\sum f_i \otimes g_i) = \sum \varphi_{y_0}(g_i) f_i$  for  $\sum f_i \otimes g_i \in A \odot B$ .  $T_{y_0}$  is continuous, so extended to  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\{\sum f_i^{(n)} \otimes g_i^{(n)}\}$  be a sequence such that  $\sum f_i^{(n)} \otimes g_i^{(n)} \rightarrow F$ . Then,  $T_{y_0}(F) \in A$ , and  $(T_{y_0}(F))(x) = \lim \sum f_i^{(n)}(x) g_i^{(n)}(y_0) = F_{y_0}(x)$  for  $x \in X$ , which completes the proof.

THEOREM 1.\*)  $\partial_{\mathfrak{A}} = \partial_A \times \partial_B$ .

PROOF. Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial_A \times \partial_B$ . We assume that  $x_0 \in \partial_A$ . There then exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that for every  $f \in A$  the inequality  $\sup_{x \in U} |f(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in U^c} |f(x)|$  holds.  $U \times Y$  is a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$ , and for every  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$\sup_{U \times Y} |F(x, y)| = \sup_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in U} |F_y(x)| \leq \sup_Y \sup_{U^c} |F(x, y)| = \sup_{(U \times Y)^c} |F(x, y)|,$$

so  $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . Conversely, let  $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial_A \times \partial_B$ . Then for every neighborhood  $U \times V$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  we can choose  $f \in A$  and  $g \in B$  such that  $\sup_U |f(x)| > \sup_{U^c} |f(x)|$ ,  $\sup_V |g(y)| > \sup_{V^c} |g(y)|$ . We put  $F = f \otimes g$ . Then, we have

$$\sup_{U \times V} |F(x, y)| > \sup_{U \times V^c} |F(x, y)|. \quad \text{Also we have } \sup_{U \times V} |F(x, y)| > \sup_{(U \times V)^c} |F(x, y)|$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{U \times V} |F(x, y)| > \sup_{U^c \times V} |F(x, y)|. \quad \text{Thus, } \sup_{U \times V} |F(x, y)| > \sup_{(U \times V)^c} |F(x, y)|,$$

which shows that  $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

For the Choquet boundary of a function algebra, the following are equivalent ([2], p. 325):

\*) Some of our results (Theorem 1 and parts of Corollary 1 and Theorem 4) are contained in [3]. We shall state them for the sake of completeness.

1.  $x_0 \in M(A)$ .
2. For every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ , there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $\|f\| \leq 1$ ,  $|f(x_0)| > 3/4$  and  $|f(x)| < 1/4$  for all  $x \in U$ .

For our present use, we prove the following lemma which seems to be interesting for its own sake.

LEMMA 2. *The following are equivalent:*

1.  $x_0 \in M(A)$ .
2. Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A$  such that  $\|f_n\| \leq 1$ ,  $\lim |f_n(x_0)| = 1$  and  $\lim |f_n(x)| = 0$  uniformly for  $x \in U$ .

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that 1 implies 2. Let  $g \in C_n(X)$  be such that  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ ,  $g(x_0) = 1$  and  $g(x) = 0$  for  $x \in U$ . By Lemma 5.1 in [2] applied in this case, we have  $\sup \{ |f(x_0)| \mid f \in \mathfrak{R}_e A, f \leq g \} \geq 1$ . Let  $\{\rho_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers such that  $\rho_n \rightarrow 1$  and  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  a decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to 0. Since  $1 - (\log \rho_n / \log \varepsilon_n) < 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , there exist  $h'_n \in \mathfrak{R}_e A$  for which  $h'_n \leq g$  and  $h'_n(x_0) > 1 - (\log \rho_n / \log \varepsilon_n)$  hold. We put  $h_n = \log \varepsilon_n \cdot (1 - h'_n)$ . Since  $h_n \in \mathfrak{R}_e A$ ,  $h_n + ik_n \in A$  for some  $k_n \in \mathfrak{R}_e A$ , so  $f_n = \exp(h_n + ik_n) \in A$ . It is easily seen that  $\|f_n\| \leq 1$ ,  $|f_n(x_0)| > \rho_n$  and  $|f_n(x)| \leq \varepsilon_n$  for  $x \in U$ , which completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.  $M(\mathfrak{A}) = M(A) \times M(B)$ .

PROOF. Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in M(\mathfrak{A})$  and let  $U, V$  be neighborhoods of  $x_0, y_0$  respectively. Then there exists  $\{F^{(n)}\} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\|F^{(n)}\| \leq 1$ ,  $|F^{(n)}(x_0, y_0)| \rightarrow 1$  and  $F^{(n)}(x, y) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $(x, y) \in U \times V$ . Put  $f_n = F_{y_0}^{(n)}$  and  $g_n = F_{x_0}^{(n)}$ .  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  satisfy the condition of Lemma 2, so  $x_0 \in M(A)$  and  $y_0 \in M(B)$ . Let conversely  $x_0 \in M(A)$ ,  $y_0 \in M(B)$  and let  $U \times V$  be a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Let  $\{f_n\}, \{g_n\}$  be sequences in  $A, B$  satisfying the condition of Lemma 2 for  $U, V$ . Putting  $F_n = f_n \otimes g_n$ , it is clear that  $\{F_n\}$  also satisfies the condition for  $U \times V$ , hence  $(x_0, y_0) \in M(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Given a function algebra  $A$  on  $X$ ,  $X$  is always decomposed into maximal antisymmetric closed subsets, that is,  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}$ , where the restriction of  $A$  to  $J_{\alpha}$  is an antisymmetric subalgebra of  $C(J_{\alpha})$  and  $J_{\alpha}$  is the maximal set having this property ([1]).

THEOREM 3.\*) Let  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{\beta} K_{\beta}$  be maximal antisymmetric decompositions, then  $X \times Y = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta} (J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta})$  is also the maximal antisymmetric decomposition of  $X \times Y$ .

PROOF. First, every  $J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta}$  is an antisymmetric set. In fact, let  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $F(x, y) = \text{real}$  for  $(x, y) \in J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta}$ , and let  $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1)$  be arbitrary points in  $J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta}$ . Then  $F_{x_0}(y) = \text{real}$  for  $x \in K_{\beta}$  and  $F_{y_0}(x) = \text{real}$  for  $x \in J_{\alpha}$ , therefore they are constant on  $K_{\beta}, J_{\alpha}$ , respectively, and  $F(x_0, y_0) = F_{x_0}(y_1) = F_{y_1}(x_1) = F(x_1, y_1)$ . This implies that  $F$  is constant on  $J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta}$ . Hence, there exists a maximal antisymmetric set  $\mathfrak{R}$  in  $X \times Y$  such that  $J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ . Let  $p_x \mathfrak{R}$  denote the projection of  $\mathfrak{R}$  into  $X$  and let  $f \in A$ , then  $f(p_x \mathfrak{R}) = (f \otimes 1)(\mathfrak{R})$ . If  $f|p_x \mathfrak{R} = \text{real}$ , then  $f$  takes on a constant value on  $p_x \mathfrak{R}$ , so  $p_x \mathfrak{R}$  is an antisymmetric set. Similarly,  $p_y \mathfrak{R}$  is antisymmetric. From  $J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta} \subset \mathfrak{R} \subset p_x \mathfrak{R} \times p_y \mathfrak{R}$  and from the fact that  $J_{\alpha}, K_{\beta}$  are maximal, we see that  $J_{\alpha} = p_x \mathfrak{R}, K_{\beta} = p_y \mathfrak{R}$ , so  $\mathfrak{R} = J_{\alpha} \times K_{\beta}$ .

COROLLARY 1.  $\mathfrak{A}$  is antisymmetric if and only if each component is antisymmetric.

COROLLARY 2.  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an essential algebra if and only if at least one of the components is essential.

PROOF. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be the collections of all one-point antisymmetric subsets of  $X, Y$ , respectively. Then, by Theorem 3 in [10], for  $A$  or  $B$  to be essential it is necessary and sufficient that  $X - P^i = X$  or  $Y - Q^i = Y$ , which is equivalent to  $X \times Y - (P \times Q)^i = X \times Y$ .

THEOREM 4.  $\mathfrak{A}$  is analytic if and only if each component is analytic.

PROOF. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be analytic. If  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and  $F$  vanishes on an open set  $U \times V$ , then for every  $x_0 \in U$ , we have  $F_{x_0}(y) = 0$  on  $V$ , so  $F_x(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Next, let  $y \in Y$ . For any  $x \in U$ , we have  $F_{y_0}(x) = F_x(y_0) = 0$ , hence  $F_{y_0}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $F$  vanishes identically. Let, conversely,  $\mathfrak{A}$  be analytic, and let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$ . If  $f \in A$  and  $f = 0$  on  $U$ , then, since  $(f \otimes 1)(U \times Y) = f(U)$ , we have  $f \otimes 1 \equiv 0$ , so  $f = 0$  on  $X$ . Hence,  $A$  is analytic.

Let  $G, H$  be compact abelian groups, and let  $\Gamma_+, \Lambda_+$  be subsemigroups of  $\widehat{G}, \widehat{H}$ , such that each contains identity and generates  $\widehat{G}, \widehat{H}$  respectively.

\*) Results from Theorem 3 to Theorem 4 are due to J. Tomiyama.

We denote by  $A(G, \Gamma_+)$ , or briefly by  $A(G)$ , the algebra of all generalized analytic functions on  $G$  with respect to  $\Gamma_+$ , that is,  $A(G, \Gamma_+) = \{f \mid f \in C(G), \widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) \overline{\gamma(x)} dx = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \notin \Gamma_+\}$ , where  $dx$  denotes the normalized Haar measure on  $G$ .  $A(H, \Lambda_+)$  is similarly defined. They are function algebras.

**THEOREM 5.**  $A(G, \Gamma_+) \widehat{\otimes} A(H, \Lambda_+) = A(G \times H, \Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+)$ .

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $\Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+$  is a subsemigroup of  $(G \times H)^\wedge$  containing the identity of  $(G \times H)^\wedge$  and generates  $(G \times H)^\wedge$ , so  $A(G \times H, \Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+)$  is defined as above. For  $f \otimes g \in A(G) \odot A(H)$ ,  $(f \otimes g)^\wedge(\gamma, \lambda) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) \widehat{g}(\lambda)$ . If  $F \in A(G) \odot A(H)$  and  $(\gamma, \lambda) \notin \Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+$ , we have  $\widehat{F}(\gamma, \lambda) = 0$ , thus  $F \in A(G \times H, \Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+)$ . Conversely, any  $F \in A(G \times H)$  is the uniform limit of trigonometric polynomials consisting of members of  $\Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+$  ([8]) and these belong to  $A(G) \odot A(H)$ , so we have  $A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(H) = A(G \times H)$  as desired.

**3. Dirichlet algebras and analytic structure.** It is well known that, for  $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$ , the relation  $\|\varphi - \varphi'\| < 2$  is an equivalence relation ([5]), which we shall denote by  $\varphi \sim \varphi'$ . Equivalence classes in  $\mathfrak{M}(A)$  are called (Gleason) parts. If a part does not reduce to a single point, it is said to be non-trivial. If  $A$  is a dirichlet algebra, every non-trivial part  $P$  of  $\mathfrak{M}(A)$  is the image of a continuous one-to-one mapping  $\tau$  of  $D^i$  and every  $f \in A$  has the property that  $\widehat{f} \circ \tau$  is an analytic function on  $D^i$ . In this sense, a sort of analytic structure is shared by  $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ , and this structure may be considered as complex one dimensional. But, in general, when  $A$  is not dirichlet, this is not true, as is easily seen from considering algebras consisting of analytic functions of several complex variables defined on a suitable region.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $P, Q$  be parts of  $\mathfrak{M}(A), \mathfrak{M}(B)$ , respectively, then  $P \times Q$  is a part of  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Conversely, every part of  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$  is of this form.*

**PROOF.** For  $h, h' \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ , we have  $h = \varphi \otimes \psi, h' = \varphi' \otimes \psi'$  where  $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathfrak{M}(A), \psi, \psi' \in \mathfrak{M}(B)$ . For the proof, it is sufficient to show that  $h \sim h'$  if and only if  $\varphi \sim \varphi'$  and  $\psi \sim \psi'$ . Let first  $\varphi \sim \varphi'$  and  $\psi \sim \psi'$ . Then, clearly  $\varphi \otimes \psi \sim \varphi \otimes \psi', \varphi \otimes \psi' \sim \varphi' \otimes \psi'$ , so  $\varphi \otimes \psi \sim \varphi' \otimes \psi'$ . We suppose conversely that  $\varphi \not\sim \varphi'$ , say. Since  $\|\varphi - \varphi'\| = 2$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset A$  such that  $\|f_n\| \leq 1$  and  $|\varphi(f_n) - \varphi'(f_n)| \rightarrow 2$ . Put  $F_n = f_n \otimes 1$ . Then  $\|F_n\| \leq 1$  and  $|h(F_n) - h'(F_n)| \rightarrow 2$ , which implies that  $h \not\sim h'$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = A \widehat{\otimes} B$  in which both  $A$  and  $B$  are dirichlet algebras. If  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a non-trivial part of  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ , then  $\mathfrak{P}$  is either the image of a one-to-one*

continuous mapping  $\Phi$  of  $D^i$  or  $D^i \times D^i$  and for every  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\widehat{F} \circ \Phi$  is an analytic function on such a region.

PROOF. By Lemma 3,  $\mathfrak{B} = P \times Q$  where  $P, Q$  are parts of  $\mathfrak{M}(A), \mathfrak{M}(B)$ , respectively. In the case where one of  $P, Q$  is trivial,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is clearly an image of  $D^i$ . If both  $P$  and  $Q$  are non-trivial, there are one-to-one continuous mappings  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  which map  $D^i$  onto  $P, Q$  respectively, in such a manner that  $\widehat{f} \circ \tau, \widehat{g} \circ \sigma$  are analytic for  $f \in A, g \in B$ . We define  $\Phi$  by  $\Phi(z, w) = (\tau(z), \sigma(w))$  for  $(z, w) \in D^i \times D^i$ .  $\Phi$  is clearly a one-to-one continuous mapping onto  $\mathfrak{B}$ . For  $F = \Sigma f_i \otimes g_i \in A \odot B$ , we have  $(\widehat{F} \circ \Phi)(z, w) = \Sigma (\widehat{f}_i \circ \tau)(z) (\widehat{g}_i \circ \sigma)(w)$ , which is an analytic function on  $D^i \times D^i$ . Since, for every  $F \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\widehat{F} \circ \Phi$  is uniformly approximated by such functions on  $D^i \times D^i$ ,  $\widehat{F} \circ \Phi$  is also analytic.

THEOREM 7. If  $A$  is dirichlet,  $A \widehat{\otimes} C(Y)$  is dirichlet.

PROOF. Since  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \odot C_{\mathbb{R}}(Y)$  is dense in  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X \times Y)$  as a real algebra, it is sufficient to show that every  $\Sigma u_i \otimes v_i$  in  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \odot C_{\mathbb{R}}(Y)$  can be approximated by members of  $\mathfrak{Re}(A \widehat{\otimes} C(Y))$ . But this is easily seen from the fact that if  $f_i \in \mathfrak{Re} A$  are chosen to be near to  $u_i$  then  $\Sigma f_i \otimes v_i$  is in  $\mathfrak{Re}(A \widehat{\otimes} C(X))$  and near to  $\Sigma u_i \otimes v_i$ .

REMARK 1. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is dirichlet, each component is dirichlet. In fact, suppose that  $A$ , say, is not dirichlet. There then exists a non-zero real measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  which annihilates  $A$ . Choose a non-zero real measure  $\nu$  on  $Y$ . Then,  $\mu \times \nu$  annihilates  $\mathfrak{A}$ , because, for  $\Sigma f_i \otimes g_i \in A \odot B$ , we have  $\int_{X \times Y} (\Sigma f_i \otimes g_i) d(\mu \times \nu) = \sum \int_X f_i d\mu \cdot \int_Y g_i d\nu = 0$ . But, clearly  $\mu \times \nu \neq 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is dirichlet.

REMARK 2. In connection with Theorem 7, it will be of interest to note that, in the case when  $A = A(G)$  and  $B = A(H)$ ,  $A = C(X)$  or  $B = C(Y)$  provided that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is dirichlet. In fact, let  $\Gamma_+ \equiv \widehat{G}$ ,  $\Lambda_+ \equiv \widehat{H}$  and let  $(\gamma_0, \lambda_0)$  be such that  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_+, \lambda_0 \in \Lambda_+$ , then  $(\gamma_0, \lambda_0^{-1}) \in (\Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+) \cup (\Gamma_+ \times \Lambda_+)^{-1}$ . Thus,  $A(G \times H)$  cannot be dirichlet ([8]).

**4. Examples.** From Theorem 7 we see that many dirichlet algebras are constructed the parts of maximal ideal spaces of which are of the form  $P \times (\text{one-point set})$ , because every part of the algebra  $C(Y)$  is a single point.

An example is the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by polynomials in  $z, t$  where  $(z, t)$  lies on the cylindrical surface  $X = \{(z, t) : |z| = 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . We denote by  $A_0$  the disk algebra which consists of all continuous functions on  $T$  which are extended analytically on  $D^i$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{A} = A_0 \widehat{\otimes} C(0, 1)$ .  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$  is the solid cylinder  $\{(z, t) : |z| \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ ; every point of  $X$  is a trivial part and  $D^i \times \{t\}$  is another type of part for each  $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$  (See also [11], p. 88).

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the algebra which consists of the continuous functions  $F$  on  $T^2$  such that, for every integer  $n$ , the function  $f_n$  on  $T$  defined by  $f_n(e^{i\theta}) = \int_0^{2\pi} F(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) e^{in\varphi} d\varphi$  has Fourier transform vanishing on the negative integers ([6], p. 303). Then, we have  $\mathfrak{A} = A_0 \widehat{\otimes} C(T)$ .

Next, let  $A_0 = \{F | F \in C(T^2), \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) e^{im\theta} e^{in\varphi} d\theta d\varphi = 0, \text{ for } m > 0$

or  $n > 0\}$ , and let  $A$  be the *bicylinder algebra*, i.e., the algebra of all functions which are continuous on  $D \times D$  and analytic on  $D^i \times D^i$  ([7], p. 230).  $A_0 = A_0 \widehat{\otimes} A_0$ , and  $\widehat{A}_0 \cong \widehat{A}_0 \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{A}_0 \subset A$ . Conversely,  $A|T^2 \subset A_0$  which is seen by considering the limit of Fourier coefficients of  $F(re^{i\theta}, re^{i\varphi}), F \in A$ , for  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Thus  $A_0 = A|T^2$  and, since every function of  $A$  attains its maximum on the skeleton  $T^2$ ,  $A_0 \cong A$ . By Lemma 3, the parts are identified as follows:  $D^i \times D^i$  is a part; for every  $z_0, |z_0| = 1, \{(z_0, w) : |w| < 1\}$  constitutes one part and, similarly,  $\{(z, w_0) : |z| < 1\}$  is a part for  $w_0, |w_0| = 1$ , and every point of  $T^2$  is a trivial part ([11], p. 89).

These are special cases of a typical example,  $P(Z)$ . Let  $Z$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , the product of two complex planes, and let  $P(Z)$  be the algebra of all functions on  $Z$  which are uniform limits on  $Z$  of polynomials in  $z$  and  $w$  ([11]). If  $Z = X \times Y, X, Y$  subsets of  $\mathbf{C}$ , then  $P(Z) = P(X) \widehat{\otimes} P(Y)$ .  $P(X) = C(X)$  if and only if  $X^i = \emptyset$  and  $X^c$  is connected ([11], Theorem 7.3). The analogous result holds componentwise for  $P(X \times Y)$ , that is,  $P(X \times Y) = C(X \times Y)$  if and only if  $X^i = Y^i = \emptyset$  and  $X^c, Y^c$  are connected. In the case of one complex variable, the theorem of Walsh states that if  $X$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $X^c$  connected and if  $X_0$  is the boundary of  $X$  then every continuous real function on  $X_0$  can be uniformly approximated by real parts of polynomials in  $z$ , so  $P(X_0)$  becomes a dirichlet algebra. That this does not hold in the case of two complex variables can be seen as follows: Let  $Z = D \times D$  and  $Z_0$  be the boundary of  $Z$ . Since  $P(T) = A_0$ , we have  $P(T^2) = A_0$ , hence  $P(Z_0) = A|Z_0$ . But  $A_0$  is not dirichlet, hence  $A|Z_0$  is also not dirichlet. Besides these, there are properties of  $A_0$  which does not remain to hold in the case of  $A_0$ .  $A_0$  is maximal, but  $A_0$  is no longer. The Rudin-Carleson theorem holds for  $A_0$ , that is, if  $E$  is a closed subset of  $T$  of Lebesgue measure zero then  $A_0|E = C(E)$ . This fails to hold in  $A_0$ . In fact, let  $x_0$  be a fixed point of

$T$  and  $K = T$ . Then  $K \times \{x_0\}$  is a closed subset of  $T^2$  and  $(\mu \times \mu)(K \times \{x_0\}) = 0$ , where  $d\mu = d\theta/2\pi$ . Let  $K_0$  be a closed subset of  $K$  such that  $K_0 \not\equiv K$ ,  $\mu(K_0) > 0$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $K \times \{x_0\}$  such that  $f \neq 0$ ,  $f|(K_0 \times \{x_0\}) = 0$ . For any  $F \in A_0$ ,  $F_{x_0}$  belongs to  $A_0$ . Hence, if  $F_{x_0} = f$ , then  $F_{x_0}$  must vanish identically on  $K \times \{x_0\}$  by the theorem of F. and M. Riesz, which contradicts the choice of  $f$ . Thus,  $A_0|(K \times \{x_0\}) \neq C(K \times \{x_0\})$ .

On the other hand, the F. and M. Riesz theorem holds in the following sense ([12]), p. 321).

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $F \in A_0$  vanishes on a closed subset of the torus of positive measure, then  $F = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $T^2$ ,  $(\mu \times \mu)(K) > 0$ , and let  $F$  vanish on  $K$ . We denote by  $K_x$  the  $x$ -section of  $K$ , i.e.,  $K_x = \{y | (x, y) \in K\}$ . Let  $E = \{x \in T | \mu(K_x) > 0\}$ , then  $\mu(E) > 0$ . By regularity of  $\mu$ , we may assume that  $E$  is closed. Every  $K_x$  is closed. For arbitrarily fixed  $x \in E$ ,  $F_x \in A_0$  and  $F_x(y) = 0$  for  $y \in K_x$ . By the F and M. Riesz theorem for  $A_0$ ,  $F_x = 0$  on  $T$ . Thus,  $F(x, y) = 0$  for  $(x, y) \in E \times T$ . Hence,  $F_y = 0$  on  $E$ , for every  $y \in T$ , so  $F_y = 0$  on  $T$ . Thus,  $F(x, y) = 0$  on  $T^2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. BISHOP, A generalization of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, Pacific Jour. Math., 9(1961), 777-783.
- [2] E. BISHOP AND K. DE LEEUW, The representations of linear functionals by measures on sets of extreme points, Ann. de L'Inst. Fourier, 9(1959), 305-331.
- [3] B. R. GELBAUM, Tensor products and related questions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 103(1962), 525-548.
- [4] A. GROTHENDIECK, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 16, 1955.
- [5] A. M. GLEASON, Function algebras, Seminars on Analytic Function, Inst. for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1957, vol. 2, 213-226.
- [6] K. HOFFMAN AND I. M. SINGER, Maximal subalgebras of  $C(\mathfrak{r})$ , Amer. Journ. Math., 79(1957), 297-305.
- [7] ———, Maximal algebras of continuous functions, Acta Math., 103(1960), 217-241.
- [8] N. MOCHIZUKI, A characterization of the algebra of generalized analytic functions, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 16(1964), 313-319.
- [9] J. TOMIYAMA, Tensor products of commutative Banach algebras, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 12(1960), 147-154.
- [10] ———, Some remarks on antisymmetric decompositions of function algebras, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 16(1964), 340-344.
- [11] J. WERMER, Banach algebras and analytic functions, Advances in Math., Vol. 1, 1961, Acad. Pr.
- [12] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series, Vol. II, 1959.
- [13] R. SCHATTEN, A theory of cross-spaces, Princeton, 1950.

COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION,  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY.