Tδhoku Math. Journ. Vol. 18, No. 4, 1966

## **ON GENERALIZED CESARO MEANS OF INTEGRAL ORDER - CORRIGENDA**

DENNIS C. RUSSELL

(Received December 7, 1966)

In a recent paper [2] with the above title, I discussed inclusion relations between Riesz summability  $(R, \lambda, p)$  and generalized Cesaro summability  $(C, \lambda, \rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is a non-negative integer (for an account of such relations, including those involving summability of non-integral order, and latest results, see  $[1]$ ; one of the theorems in  $[2]$  was as follows:

THEOREM 4.  $(C, \lambda, p) \subseteq (R, \lambda, p)$   $(p = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ .

It has been pointed out to me by Dr. A. Meir that the three lines of argument preceding (38) on p. 426 of [2] are fallacious. However, (38) is in fact still true, though with the restriction  $0 \le r \le n - \nu$  — and this is enough, since we need only the case  $r = n - \nu$ . More precisely, we are given that

$$
h(x) = \frac{(\omega - x)^p}{(x - \lambda_{n+1}) \cdots (x - \lambda_{\nu + p+1})}
$$

where  $0 \le n-p \le \nu \le n$ ,  $x < \omega \le \lambda_{n+1}$ , and we show that

$$
H\!\equiv\!\frac{(-1)^{p+1}h^{(n-\nu)}(\xi)}{(n-\nu)!}\!\ge\!0\quad\text{for any}\quad \xi<\omega\,.
$$

(If required, the more general case of (38), with  $0 \le r \le n - \nu$ , would follow from this on noting that  $h^{(r)}(\omega-) = 0$ ). Though the ideas are elementary, I have been unable to find a less tedious method than the following, to replace the paragraph between  $(37)$  and  $(38)$  of  $[2]$ :

Write  $h(\xi)$  in the form  $(\omega - \xi)^{n-\nu} g(\xi)$  and express  $g(\xi)$  as a sum of partial fractions; differentiate each partial fraction of  $h(\xi)$  thus obtained  $n-\nu$  times by Leibniz' formula, and use the binomial theorem to reduce the result to the form

$$
H = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\nu+p+1} \frac{(\lambda_i - \omega)^p}{(\lambda_i - \xi)^{n-\nu+1} \rho_i}, \qquad \rho_i = \prod_{j=n+1}^{\nu+p+1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)
$$

(my colleague Dr. R. A. Schaufele has obtained the same result somewhat more briefly by the use of Laplace transforms).

But if we now denote

$$
k(x) = \frac{(x-\omega)^p}{(x-\xi)^{n-\nu+1}} \quad (x \ge \omega > \xi)
$$

then it follows from the expansion formula and mean-value theorem for divided differences that

$$
H=k[\lambda_{n+1},\cdots,\lambda_{\nu+p-n}]=\frac{1}{(\nu+p-n)!}k^{(\nu+p-n)}(\eta)
$$

for some  $\eta$  in  $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \eta \leq \lambda_{\nu+p+1}$ ; and we can evaluate  $k^{(\nu+p-n)}(\eta)$  by Leibniz' formula (and the binomial theorem) to give

$$
H = {p \choose n-\nu} \frac{(\eta-\omega)^{n-\nu}(\omega-\xi)^{\nu+\nu-n}}{(\eta-\xi)^{\nu+1}} \ge 0.
$$

Thus (38) follows (with  $r = n - v$ ) and the proof of the theorem is concluded as in [2],

Finally, the following misprint should be corrected in [2], p. 435, statement of Theorem 6: for  $o(\lambda_n^{\mu})$  read  $O(\lambda_n^{\mu})$ .

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] D. BORWEIN AND D. C. RUSSELL, On Riesz and generalised Cesaro summability of arbitrary positive order. (Submitted to Math. Zeit. )
- [2] D. C. RUSSELL, On generalized Cesàro means of integral order, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 17(1965), 410-442.

YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, CANADA.