TENSOR FIELDS AND CONNECTIONS ON CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE COTANGENT BUNDLE

KENTARO YANO

(Received August 13, 1966)

Introduction. E. M. Patterson and the present author [6] recently studied vertical and complete lifts of tensor fields and connections from a manifold M to its cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$. When a 1-form is given in an n-dimensional manifold M, the 1-form defines a cross-section in the cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$, which is an n-dimensional submanifold in the 2n-dimensional cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$.

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the behaviour on the cross-section of the lifts of tensor fields and connections in a manifold M to its cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$.

In § 1 and § 2, we review the results obtained in [6] on vertical and complete lifts of tensor fields and connections from a manifold to its cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$. In § 3, we study the behaviour of the lifts of tensor fields and of Riemann extension of connections [1] on the cross-sections. We examine, in § 4, the behaviour of the lifts of almost complex structures on the cross-sections. We show in § 5 that the tensor discovered by Slebodzinski [3] appears in our present theory. Finally we study in § 6 the behaviour of the complete lift of a connection on the cross-sections.

The manifold, functions, vector fields, 1-forms, tensor fields and connections appearing in the discussion will be supposed to be of the differentiability class C^{∞} .

The indices A, B, C, D, \cdots run from 1 to 2n, the indices $a, b, c, \cdots, h, i, j, \cdots$ from 1 to n and the indices $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}, \cdots, \overline{h}, \overline{i}, \overline{j}, \cdots$ from n+1 to 2n. We use the notations $x^4 = (x^h, x^{\overline{h}})$ and $x^{\overline{h}} = p_h$.

1. Vertical lifts of tensor fields. Let M be an n-dimensional differentiable manifold of class C° , ${}^{c}T(M)$ its cotangent bundle, and π the projection ${}^{c}T(M) \to M$. Let the manifold M be covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods $\{U; x^h\}$, where (x^h) is a local coordinate system defined in the neighbourhood U. Let (p_i) be the cartesian coordinate system in each cotangent space ${}^{c}T_{P}(M)$ at P of M with respect to the natural coframe dx^i

in M, P being an arbitrary point in U whose coordinates are (x^h) . Then we can introduce local coordinates (x^h, p_i) in the open set $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of ${}^cT(U)$. We call them coordinates induced in $\pi^{-1}(U)$ from $\{U; x^h\}$ or simply induced coordinates in $\pi^{-1}(U)$. The projection π is represented by $(x^h, p_i) \to (x^h)$.

In ${}^{c}T(M)$, there exists a 1-form

$$(1.1) p = p_i dx^i,$$

which we call the basic 1-form in ${}^{c}T(M)$. The exterior derivative of p is

$$(1.2) dp = dp_i \wedge dx^i.$$

We call this the basic 2-form in ${}^{\circ}T(M)$. If we put

$$(1.3) dp = \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{E}_{CB} dx^{C} \wedge dx^{B},$$

we see that \mathcal{E}_{CB} given by

$$\varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle CB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_i^j \\ -\delta_i^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are components of a tensor field of type (0, 2) in ${}^{c}T(M)$. Consequently we can define a tensor field \mathcal{E}^{BA} of type (2, 0) by

$$\varepsilon_{BC} \varepsilon^{AB} = \delta_C^A$$

and find that \mathcal{E}^{BA} has components

(1.6)
$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\scriptscriptstyle BA} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta_i^h \\ \delta_h^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now take a function f in M. The function $f \circ \pi$ in ${}^{c}T(M)$ induced from f in M is called the *vertical lift of* f and is denoted by

$$(1.7) f^{v} = f \circ \pi.$$

A vector field X in M is, in a natural way, regarded as a function in ${}^cT(M)$. This function is called the *vertical lift of the vector field* X to ${}^cT(M)$ and is denoted by X^v . When X in M has local components X^h with respect to the natural frame ∂_h in M, X^v in ${}^cT(M)$ has local expression

$$(1.8) X^{\nu} = p_i X^i.$$

When a 1-form $\omega = \omega_i dx^i$ is given in M, it is also regarded as a 1-form in ${}^cT(M)$. If we write $\widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{\omega}_B dx^B$, then $\widetilde{\omega}$ has components

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\scriptscriptstyle B} = (\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, 0)$$

in ${}^{c}T(M)$. Thus we can define a vector field $\widetilde{\omega}_{B}\mathcal{E}^{BA}$ in ${}^{c}T(M)$. We call this vector field in ${}^{c}T(M)$ the vertical lift of a 1-form in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$ and denote it by ω^{ν} . The ω^{ν} has the components

(1.9)
$$\omega^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \omega_{i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vertical lift ω^{ν} of a 1-form ω in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$ satisfies

(1.10)
$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{v}} f^{\boldsymbol{v}} = 0, & \text{for any } f \in \mathfrak{T}_0^0(M), \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{v}} X^{\boldsymbol{v}} = (\boldsymbol{\omega}(X))^{\boldsymbol{v}}, & \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{T}_0^1(M), \end{cases}$$

which characterize ω^r , where $\mathfrak{T}_s^r(M)$ denotes the set of tensor fields of type (r, s) in M.

When we are given a tensor field F of type (1, 1) in M with local components $F_i{}^h$, we can easily see that $\widetilde{F}_B dx^B = p_a F_i{}^a dx^i$ is a 1-form in ${}^cT(M)$. Thus we can define a vector field $\widetilde{F}_B \mathcal{E}^{BA}$ in ${}^cT(M)$. We call this the vertical lift of the tensor field F of type (1, 1) in M to ${}^cT(M)$ and denote it by F^v . The F^v has the components

$$(1.11) F^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_a F_s{}^a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The F^{ν} satisfies

(1.12)
$$\begin{cases} F^{\nu} f^{\nu} = 0, & \text{for any } f \in \mathfrak{T}_{0}^{0}(M), \\ F^{\nu} X^{\nu} = (FX)^{\nu}, & \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{T}_{0}^{1}(M), \end{cases}$$

which characterize F^{ν} .

We also have

(1.13)
$$[F^v, G^v] = (FG - GF)^v$$
, for any $F, G \in \mathfrak{T}^1(M)$.

Suppose that there is given a vector-valued 2-form N in M with local components N_{ji}^h . We can easily see that

$$\widetilde{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle CB} dx^{\scriptscriptstyle C} \! \wedge dx^{\scriptscriptstyle B} \! = p_{\scriptscriptstyle a} \, N_{j_i}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle a} \, dx^{\scriptscriptstyle j} \! \wedge dx^{\scriptscriptstyle i}$$

is a 2-form in ${}^{c}T(M)$ and consequently that $\widetilde{N}_{cB}\mathcal{E}^{BA}$ is a tensor field of type (1, 1) in ${}^{c}T(M)$. We call this the *vertical lift of the vector-valued 2-form* N in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$, and denote it by N^{r} . The N^{r} has components

$$(1.14) N^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_a N_{ji}{}^a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The N^{ν} satisfies

(1.15)
$$\begin{cases} N^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\nu} = 0 , & \text{for any } \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathfrak{T}_{1}^{0}(M), \\ N^{\nu} F^{\nu} = 0 , & \text{for any } F \in \mathfrak{T}_{1}^{1}(M). \end{cases}$$

We can repeat the same argument and define the vertical lift of a vector-valued r-form in M to ${}^{\circ}T(M)$.

2. Complete lifts of tensor fields. Suppose that there is given a vector field X in M. From X we can construct a function $X^{\nu} = p_{\alpha}X^{\alpha}$ in M. The gradient \widetilde{X}_{B} of X^{ν} has components

$$\widetilde{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle B} = (p_a \, \partial_i \, X^a, X^i)$$

in ${}^{c}T(M)$. We can define a vector field $-\widetilde{X}_{B}\mathcal{E}^{BA}$ corresponding to this gradient in ${}^{c}T(M)$. We call this vector field the *complete lift* of X in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$ and denote it by X^{c} . The X^{c} has components

(2.1)
$$X^{c} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{h} \\ -p_{a} \partial_{i} X^{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The complete lift of X in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$ has properties

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} X^{c} f^{v} = (Xf)^{v}, & \text{for any } f \in \mathfrak{T}_{0}^{0}(M), \\ X^{c} Y^{v} = [X, Y]^{v}, & \text{for any } Y \in \mathfrak{T}_{0}^{1}(M), \end{cases}$$

which characterize the complete lift X^c . The complete lift X^c of X in M to ${}^cT(M)$ has further properties:

$$[X^{c}, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{v}] = (\mathbf{\pounds}_{x}\boldsymbol{\omega})^{v}, \text{ for any } \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathfrak{T}_{1}^{0}(M),$$

where \mathfrak{L}_X denotes the Lie derivative with respect to X,

$$(2.4) [Xc, Fv] = (\pounds_x F)v, for any F \in \mathfrak{T}_1^1(M),$$

$$(2.5) N^{\nu} X^{\nu} = (N_{x})^{\nu},$$

for any vector-valued 2-form in M, where N_X is a tensor field of type (1, 1) such that $N_XY = N(X, Y)$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{T}_0^1(M)$, and

(2.6)
$$[X^c, Y^c] = [X, Y]^c$$
, for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_0^1(M)$.

We note here that (1.15) and (2.5) characterize the vertical lift N^{ν} .

Now take a tensor field F of type (1,1) in M with local components F_i^h . Then $p_a F_i^a dx^i$ is a 1-form in ${}^cT(M)$ and its exterior differential

$$d(p_a F_i{}^a dx^i) = p_a \partial_j F_i{}^a dx^j \wedge dx^i + F_i{}^a dp_a \wedge dx^i$$

gives, when it is written as $\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{F}_{cB}dx^c\wedge dx^B$, a tensor field of type (0, 2) whose components are

$$\widetilde{F}_{\scriptscriptstyle CB} = \left(egin{array}{cc} p_{\scriptscriptstyle m{a}}(\partial_{\scriptscriptstyle m{j}} F_{i}^{\; a} - \partial_{i} F_{j}^{\; a}) & F_{i}^{\; j} \ -F_{i}^{\; i} & 0 \end{array}
ight).$$

We define a tensor field of type (1, 1) by $\widetilde{F}_{cB}\mathcal{E}^{BA}$ and call this tensor field the *complete lift of* F in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$ and denote it by F^{c} . The F^{c} has components

$$F^{c} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{i}^{h} & 0 \\ p_{a}(\partial_{i}F_{h}^{a} - \partial_{h}F_{i}^{a}) & F_{h}^{i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The complete lift F^c has the properties

$$F^{c} \omega^{v} = (\omega F)^{v}, \quad \text{for any } \omega \in \mathfrak{T}^{0}_{1}(M),$$

$$(2.8) \qquad F^{c} G^{v} = (GF)^{v}, \quad \text{for any } G \in \mathfrak{T}^{1}_{1}(M),$$

$$F^{c} X^{c} = (FX)^{c} + (\mathfrak{f}_{r} F)^{v}, \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{T}^{1}_{0}(M)$$

which characterize F^c , where ωF denotes a 1-form defined by

$$(\omega F)(X) = \omega(FX)$$
, for any $X \in \mathfrak{T}_0^1(M)$.

The complete lift F^c of $F \in \mathfrak{T}^1_1(M)$ has further properties

(2.9)
$$F^{c}N^{r}=(NF)^{r}, \quad \text{for any } N\in\mathfrak{T}_{2}^{1}(M),$$
$$N^{r}F^{c}=(NF)^{r}, \quad \text{for any } N\in\mathfrak{T}_{2}^{1}(M),$$

where NF is a tensor field of type (1, 2) defined by

$$(NF)(X,Y) = N_X(FY)$$
, for any $X,Y \in \mathfrak{T}_0^1(M)$, and

(2.10)
$$F^{c}G^{c} + G^{c}F^{c} = (FG + GF)^{c} + (N_{F,G})^{r},$$

for any $F, G \in \mathfrak{T}^1_1(M)$, where $N_{F,G}$ is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with F and G:

(2.11)
$$2N_{F,G}(X,Y) = [FX,GY] + [GX,FY] - F[GX,Y] - G[FX,Y] - F[X,GY] - G[X,FY] + (FG+GF)[X,Y]$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_0^1(M)$. From equation (2.10) we have, on putting F = G,

$$(2.12) (F^{c})^{2} = (F^{2})^{c} + N^{r},$$

where N is the Nijenhuis tensor formed from F:

$$(2.13) N(X,Y) = [FX,FY] - F[FX,Y] - F[X,FY] + F^2[X,Y].$$

From (2.12), we see that, when F defines a complex structure, that is, $F^2 = -1$ and N = 0, its complete lift F^c to ${}^cT(M)$ defines an almost complex structure in ${}^cT(M)$.

We can moreover prove that, F being an almost complex structure,

(2.14)
$$F^{c} + \frac{1}{2} (NF)^{r}$$

is also an almost complex structure. (See, Satô, [2]).

Now take a vector-valued 2-form N in M with local components N_{ji}^{h} . Then $p_a N_{ji}^{a} dx^{j} \wedge dx^{i}$ is a 2-form in ${}^{c}T(M)$ and consequently its exterior differential

$$d(p_a N_{ji}{}^a dx^j \wedge dx^i) = p_a(\partial_k N_{ji}{}^a) dx^k \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^i + N_{ji}{}^a dp_a \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^i,$$

gives, when it is written as $\frac{1}{3}\widetilde{N}_{DCB}dx^{D}\wedge dx^{C}\wedge dx^{B}$, a tensor field \widetilde{N}_{DCB} of type (0, 3) in ${}^{c}T(M)$, where

$$egin{align} N_{kji} &= p_a (\partial_k \, N_{ji}{}^a \, + \, \partial_j \, N_{ik}{}^a \, + \, \partial_i \, N_{kj}{}^a) \,, \ N_{iiar{h}} &= N_{iar{h}i} = N_{ar{h}ii} = N_{ii}{}^h \,, \ \end{align}$$

all the other components being zero, from which we can define a tensor field of type (1, 2) $\widetilde{N}_{CBE}\mathcal{E}^{EA}$ in ${}^{c}T(M)$. We call this the complete lift of N in M to ${}^{c}T(M)$ and denote it by N^{c} . The N^{c} has components

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{N}_{ji}{}^{h} &= N_{ji}{}^{h} \,, \\ \widetilde{N}_{ji}{}^{\bar{h}} &= -p_{a}(\partial_{j} N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i} N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h} N_{ji}{}^{a}) \,, \\ \widetilde{N}_{j\bar{i}}{}^{\bar{h}} &= N_{jh}{}^{i} \,, \\ \widetilde{N}_{\bar{j}}{}_{\bar{i}}{}^{\bar{h}} &= N_{hi}{}^{j} \,, \end{split}$$

all the others being zero. The N^c satisfies

$$(2.16) N^{c}(X^{c}, Y^{c}) = (N(X, Y))^{c} - ((\pounds_{X}N)_{Y} - (\pounds_{Y}N)_{X} + N_{(X,Y)})^{Y},$$

which characterizes N^c .

We can prove that the Nijenhuis tensor of the complete lift F^c of F is the complete lift N^c of the Nijenhuis tensor N formed with F.

We know that if F defines a complex structure in M, then F^c defines an almost complex structure in ${}^cT(M)$. Following (2.16) and the fact above, F^c actually defines a complex structure in ${}^cT(M)$.

Suppose now that F defines an almost complex structure in M. We know that $\overline{F} = F^c + \frac{1}{2}(NF)^r$ defines an almost complex structure in ${}^cT(M)$. We thus consider the Nijenhuis tensor \overline{N} of \overline{F} . The Nijenhuis tensor \overline{N} of \overline{F} has components

$$(2.17) \qquad \overline{N}_{ji}{}^{h} = N_{ji}{}^{h},$$

$$\overline{N}_{ji}{}^{\overline{h}} = -p_{a}(\partial_{j}N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i}N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{a})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}p_{a}\{F_{j}{}^{t}\partial_{t}(N_{is}{}^{a}F_{h}{}^{s}) - F_{i}{}^{t}\partial_{t}(N_{js}{}^{a}F_{h}{}^{s})$$

$$- (\partial_{j}(N_{is}{}^{a}F_{t}{}^{s}) - \partial_{i}(N_{js}{}^{a}F_{t}{}^{s}))F_{h}{}^{t}$$

$$+ (\partial_{i}F_{i}{}^{a} - \partial_{t}F_{i}{}^{a})N_{is}{}^{t}F_{h}{}^{s} - (\partial_{i}F_{i}{}^{a} - \partial_{t}F_{i}{}^{a})N_{is}{}^{t}F_{h}{}^{s}$$

$$\begin{split} &-\left(\partial_{j}F_{h}{}^{t}-\partial_{h}F_{j}{}^{t}\right)N_{is}{}^{a}F_{t}{}^{s}+\left(\partial_{i}F_{h}{}^{t}-\partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{t}\right)N_{js}{}^{a}F_{t}{}^{s}\\ &-\left(\partial_{j}F_{i}{}^{t}-\partial_{i}F_{j}{}^{t}\right)N_{ts}{}^{a}F_{h}{}^{s}+\frac{1}{2}(N_{js}{}^{a}N_{ih}{}^{s}-N_{is}{}^{a}N_{jh}{}^{s})\}\ , \end{split}$$

all the others being zero.

3. Lifts of vector fields on the cross-sections. Suppose that there is given a global 1-form W in M whose local expression is $W = W_i(x) dx^i$. Then the 1-form W defines a cross-section in ${}^cT(M)$, whose parametric representation is

(3.1)
$$x^h = x^h, \quad p_h = W_h(x).$$

Thus the tangent vectors $B_i^A = \partial_i x^A$ to the cross-section have components

$$(3.2) B_i^{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h \\ \partial_i W_h \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, the fibre being represented by

$$(3.3) x^h = \text{const.}, \quad p_h = p_h,$$

the tangent vectors $C_{\bar{\imath}^A} = \partial_{\bar{\imath}} x^A$ to the fibre have components

$$(3.4) C_{\bar{i}}^{A} = C^{iA} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 8! \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vectors B_i^A and $C_{\bar{i}}^A$, being linearly independent, form a frame along the cross-section. We call this the *frame* (B, C) along the cross-section. The coframe $(B^h_A, C^{\bar{h}}_A)$ corresponding to this frame is given by

$$B^h{}_A=(\delta^h_i,0)$$

$$(3.5)
$$C^{\overline{h}}_A=C_{hA}=(-\partial_i W_h,\delta^i_h).$$$$

We call this coframe the coframe (B, C) along the cross-section.

The basic 1-form $p = p_i dx^i$ has the expression $p = W_i dx^i$ and the basic 2-form the expression $dp = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_j W_i - \partial_i W_j) dx^j \wedge dx^i$ on the cross-section. The vertical lift ω^{ν} of a 1-form $\omega = \omega_i dx^i$ has the expression

$$(3.6) C_{\bar{i}}^{\underline{A}} \omega^{\bar{i}} = C^{i\underline{A}} \omega_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \omega_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

on the cross-section.

The complete lift X^c of a vector field X in M to ${}^cT(M)$, having components (2.1) with respect to the natural frame, has components

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^h \\ -\mathbf{\pounds}_X W_h \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the frame (B, C) along the cross-section. Thus We have

$$(3.7) X^c : B_i^A X^i - C^{iA}(\mathcal{L}_x W_i),$$

from which

PROPOSITION 3.1. The complete lift X^c of a vector field X in M to $^cT(M)$ is tangent to the cross-section determined by a 1-form W in M if and only if the Lie derivative of W with respect to X vanishes in M.

Suppose now that an affine connection ∇ without torsion is given in M and denote by Γ_{ji}^h the components of the connection. Then

$$(3.8) ds^2 = 2 \delta p_i dx^i,$$

where

$$\delta p_i = dp_i - \Gamma_{ji}^h dx^j p_h,$$

defines a Riemannian metric in ${}^cT(M)$. We call this metric in ${}^cT(M)$ the Riemann extension of ∇ and denote it by ∇^R [1]. With respect to the Riemann extension ∇^R , the fibre given by $dx^h = 0$ is null and the horizontal distribution given by $\delta p_i = 0$ is also null.

The Riemann extension ∇^R has components

(3. 10)
$$\nabla^{R}: \begin{pmatrix} -2\Gamma_{ji}^{h} p_{h} & \delta_{i}^{j} \\ \delta_{i}^{l} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the natural frame and components

(3. 11)
$$\nabla^{R}: \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{j}W_{i} + \nabla_{i}W_{j} & \delta_{i}^{j} \\ \delta_{j}^{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the frame (B, C) along the cross-section, from which we have

PROPOSITION 3.2. If M has an affine connection ∇ without torsion and ${}^{c}T(M)$ has the Riemann extension ∇^{R} as its metric, then the cross-section determined by a 1-form W in M is null with respect to ∇^{R} if and only if

$$(3.12) \qquad \qquad \nabla_i W_i + \nabla_i W_i = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. When M has Riemannian metric g and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g and ${}^{c}T(M)$ has the Riemann extension ∇^{R} as its metric, the cross-section determined by a 1-form W in M is null with respect to ∇^{R} if and only if W is a Killing vector field in M.

4. Lifts of almost complex structures on cross-sections. Suppose that the manifold M has a complex structure F. Then the cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$ has the complex structure F^{c} .

Now the F^c has the components (2.7) with respect to the natural frame and consequently has components

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_i{}^h & 0 \\ (\partial_i F_h{}^a - \partial_h F_i{}^a) W_a - F_i{}^t \partial_t W_h + F_h{}^t \partial_i W_t, & F_h{}^i \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the frame (B, C) along the cross-section determined by W. Thus we have

$$(4.2) \quad \widetilde{F}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{\scriptscriptstyle A}B_{\scriptscriptstyle t}^{\scriptscriptstyle B} = F_{\scriptscriptstyle t}^{\scriptscriptstyle h}B_{\scriptscriptstyle h}^{\scriptscriptstyle A} + \{(\partial_{\scriptscriptstyle t}F_{\scriptscriptstyle h}^{\scriptscriptstyle a} - \partial_{\scriptscriptstyle h}F_{\scriptscriptstyle t}^{\scriptscriptstyle a})W_{\scriptscriptstyle a} - F_{\scriptscriptstyle t}^{\scriptscriptstyle t}\partial_{\scriptscriptstyle t}W_{\scriptscriptstyle h} + F_{\scriptscriptstyle h}^{\scriptscriptstyle t}\partial_{\scriptscriptstyle t}W_{\scriptscriptstyle t}\}\,C^{\scriptscriptstyle hA}\,,$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{\scriptscriptstyle h}^{\scriptscriptstyle A}C_{\scriptscriptstyle \bar{\imath}^{\scriptscriptstyle B}} = F_{\scriptscriptstyle t}^{\scriptscriptstyle t}C^{\scriptscriptstyle tA}\,.$$

Thus the cross-section is analytic if and only if

$$(4.3) P_{ih} = (\partial_i F_h{}^a - \partial_h F_i{}^a) W_a - F_i{}^t \partial_t W_h + F_h{}^t \partial_i W_t = 0.$$

We can easily verify that P_{ih} are components of a tensor field of type (0, 2) in M. On the other hand, equation (4.3) is the condition for W_i to be covariant analytic. [5]. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 4.1. Suppose that M has a complex structure F. Then the cross-section determined by a 1-form W in ${}^{c}T(M)$ with complex structure F^{c} is analytic if and only if W is covariant analytic in M.

Now suppose that M has an almost complex structure F. Then the Nijenhuis tensor \widetilde{N} of the complete lift F^c of F has components (2.15) with respect to the natural frame in ${}^cT(M)$. Thus we have

$$\widetilde{N}_{CB}{}^{A}B_{i}{}^{C}B_{i}{}^{B}=N_{ii}{}^{h}B_{h}{}^{A}-Q_{iih}C^{hA},$$

where

$$(4.5) Q_{jih} = (\partial_j N_{ih}{}^a + \partial_i N_{hj}{}^a + \partial_h N_{ji}{}^a) W_a + N_{ii}{}^t \partial_t W_h - N_{ih}{}^t \partial_i W_t - N_{hi}{}^t \partial_i W_t.$$

We can easily verify that Q_{jih} are components of a tensor field of type (0, 3) in M. From (4.4) we have

PROPOSITION 4.2. In order that $\widetilde{N}_{cB}{}^{a}B_{j}{}^{c}B_{i}{}^{B}$ be tangent to the cross-section determined by a 1-form W, it is necessary and sufficient that $Q_{jih} = 0$ in M.

We know that when M has an almost complex structure F, the cotangent bundle ${}^{c}T(M)$ has also an almost complex structure

$$\overline{F} = F^c + \frac{1}{2} (NF)^r$$
.

The almost complex structure \overline{F} has components

$$\overline{F}: \begin{pmatrix} F_i{}^h & 0 \ (\partial_i F_h{}^a - \partial_h F_i{}^a + rac{1}{2} N_{it}{}^a F_h{}^t) W_a & F_h{}^i \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the natural frame in ${}^{c}T(M)$ and components

$$\overline{F}:\begin{pmatrix} F_{i}{}^{h} & 0 \\ (\partial_{i}F_{h}{}^{a}-\partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{a})W_{a}-F_{i}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{h}+F_{h}{}^{t}\partial_{i}W_{t}+\frac{1}{2}N_{it}{}^{a}F_{h}{}^{t}W_{a}) & F_{h}{}^{t} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the frame (B, C) along the cross-section determined by the 1-form W. Thus we have

$$\overline{F}_{B}^{A}B_{i}^{B} = F_{i}^{h}B_{h}^{A}$$

$$+ \{(\partial_{i}F_{h}^{a} - \partial_{h}F_{i}^{a})W_{a} - F_{i}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{h} + F_{h}^{t}\partial_{i}W_{t} + \frac{1}{2}N_{it}^{a}F_{h}^{t}W_{a}\}C^{hA},$$

$$\overline{F}_{B}^{A}C^{iB} = F_{h}^{i}C^{hA}.$$

Thus the cross-section is almost analytic if and only if

$$(\partial_i F_h{}^a - \partial_h F_i{}^a) W_a - F_i{}^t \partial_t W_h + F_h{}^t \partial_i W_t + \frac{1}{2} N_{it}{}^a F_h{}^t W_a = 0.$$

But the last equation means that W_i is almost covariant analytic [2], [5]. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 4.3. Suppose that M has an almost complex structure F. Then the cross-section determined by W in ${}^{c}T(M)$ with almost complex structure $F^{c} + \frac{1}{2}(NF)^{v}$ is almost analytic if and only if W is almost covariant analytic in M.

We now consider the Nijenhuis tensor \overline{N} of $\overline{F} = F^c + \frac{1}{2}(NF)^r$. The \overline{N} has components (2.17), or equivalently, by virtue of the relation $N_{is}{}^a F_h{}^s = -N_{ih}{}^s F_s{}^a$,

$$(4.8) \qquad \overline{N}_{ji}{}^{h} = N_{ji}{}^{h},$$

$$\overline{N}_{ji}{}^{\bar{h}} = -p_{a}(\partial_{j}N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i}N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{a})$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}p_{a}[F_{j}{}^{t}\partial_{t}(N_{ih}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a}) - F_{i}{}^{t}\partial_{t}(N_{jh}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a})$$

$$+ \{\partial_{j}(N_{is}{}^{a}F_{t}{}^{s}) - \partial_{i}(N_{js}{}^{a}F_{t}{}^{s})\} F_{h}{}^{t}$$

$$+ (\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{a} - \partial_{t}F_{j}{}^{a})N_{ih}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{t} - (\partial_{i}F_{t}{}^{a} - \partial_{t}F_{i}{}^{a})N_{jh}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{t}$$

$$- (\partial_{j}F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h}F_{j}{}^{t})N_{it}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a} + (\partial_{i}F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{t})N_{jt}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a}$$

$$- (\partial_{j}F_{i}{}^{t} - \partial_{i}F_{j}{}^{t})N_{th}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}(N_{js}{}^{a}N_{ih}{}^{s} - N_{is}{}^{a}N_{jh}{}^{s})],$$

all the others being zero. Thus we have

$$(4.9) \overline{N}_{cB}{}^{A}B_{j}{}^{C}B_{i}{}^{B} = N_{ji}{}^{h}B_{h}{}^{A} + R_{jih}C^{hA},$$

where

$$(4.10) \qquad R_{jih} = -N_{ji}{}^{t} \partial_{t} W_{h} - (\partial_{j} N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i} N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h} N_{ji}{}^{a}) W_{a}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} [F_{j}{}^{t} \partial_{t} (N_{ih}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{a}) - F_{i}{}^{t} \partial_{t} (N_{jh}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{a})$$

$$+ \{ \partial_{j} (N_{is}{}^{a} F_{t}{}^{s}) - \partial_{i} (N_{js}{}^{a} F_{t}{}^{s}) \} F_{h}{}^{t}$$

$$+ (\partial_{j} F_{t}{}^{a} - \partial_{t} F_{j}{}^{a}) N_{ih}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{t} - (\partial_{i} F_{t}{}^{a} - \partial_{t} F_{i}{}^{a}) N_{jh}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{t}$$

$$- (\partial_{j} F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h} F_{j}{}^{t}) N_{it}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{a} + (\partial_{i} F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h} F_{i}{}^{t}) N_{jt}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{a}$$

$$- (\partial_{j} F_{i}{}^{t} - \partial_{i} F_{j}{}^{t}) N_{th}{}^{s} F_{s}{}^{a} - \frac{1}{2} (N_{js}{}^{a} N_{ih}{}^{s} - N_{is}{}^{a} N_{jh}{}^{s})] W_{a}.$$

We can easily verify that R_{jih} , or rather R_{jih} minus last term containing $N_{ij}^e N_{hu}^i$'s are components of a tensor field of type (0, 2) in M. From (4.9) we have

PROPOSITION 4.4. The vector $\overline{N}_{cB}{}^{A}B_{j}{}^{c}B_{i}{}^{B}$ is tangent to the cross-section determined by W_{i} if and only if $R_{jih} = 0$.

5. The Slebodzinski tensor. From (4.10), we have

$$(5.1) \qquad R_{jih} + R_{ihj} + R_{hji} = -N_{ji}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{h} - N_{ih}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{j} - N_{hj}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{i}$$

$$-3(\partial_{j}N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i}N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{a})W_{a}$$

$$-[(F_{j}{}^{t}\partial_{t}N_{ih}{}^{s} + F_{i}{}^{t}\partial_{t}N_{hj}{}^{s} + F_{h}{}^{t}\partial_{t}N_{ji}{}^{s})F_{s}{}^{a}W_{a}$$

$$-\{N_{ji}{}^{s}(\partial_{s}F_{h}{}^{t}) + N_{ih}{}^{s}(\partial_{s}F_{j}{}^{t}) + N_{hj}{}^{s}(\partial_{s}F_{i}{}^{t})\}F_{t}{}^{a}W_{a}$$

$$-(\partial_{j}N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i}N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{a})W_{a}$$

$$-\{(\partial_{j}F_{i}{}^{t} - \partial_{i}F_{j}{}^{t})N_{th}{}^{s}$$

$$+(\partial_{i}F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{t})N_{tj}{}^{s}$$

$$+(\partial_{h}F_{j}{}^{t} - \partial_{h}F_{j}{}^{t})N_{ti}{}^{s}\}F_{s}{}^{a}W_{a}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(N_{jt}{}^{a}N_{ih}{}^{t} + N_{it}{}^{a}N_{hj}{}^{t} + N_{ht}{}^{a}N_{ji}{}^{t})W_{a}]$$

But, we have on the other hand

$$(5.2) \qquad \partial_{j} N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i} N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h} N_{ji}{}^{a}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\partial_{j} N_{ih}{}^{t} - \partial_{i} N_{jh}{}^{t}) + (\partial_{i} N_{hj}{}^{t} - \partial_{h} N_{ij}{}^{t}) \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} &+\left(\partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{t}-\partial_{j}N_{hi}{}^{t}\right)\}\,F_{t}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a}\\ &=-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\partial_{j}(N_{ih}{}^{t}F_{t}{}^{s})-N_{ih}{}^{t}\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{s}-\partial_{i}(N_{jh}{}^{t}F_{t}{}^{s})+N_{jh}{}^{t}\partial_{i}F_{t}{}^{s}\right.\\ &+\partial_{i}(N_{hj}{}^{t}F_{t}{}^{s})-N_{hj}{}^{t}\partial_{i}F_{t}{}^{s}-\partial_{h}(N_{ij}{}^{t}F_{t}{}^{s})+N_{ij}{}^{t}\partial_{h}F_{t}{}^{s}\\ &+\partial_{h}(N_{ji}{}^{t}F_{t}{}^{s})-N_{ji}{}^{t}\partial_{h}F_{t}{}^{s}-\partial_{j}(N_{hi}{}^{t}F_{t}{}^{s})+N_{hi}{}^{t}\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{s}\}\,F_{s}{}^{a}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\partial_{j}(N_{it}{}^{s}F_{h}{}^{t})+N_{ih}{}^{t}\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{s}-\partial_{i}(N_{ji}{}^{s}F_{h}{}^{t})-N_{jh}{}^{t}\partial_{i}F_{t}{}^{s}\right.\\ &+\partial_{i}(N_{hi}{}^{s}F_{j}{}^{t})+N_{hj}{}^{t}\partial_{i}F_{t}{}^{s}-\partial_{h}(N_{ii}{}^{s}F_{j}{}^{t})-N_{ij}{}^{t}\partial_{h}F_{t}{}^{s}\\ &+\partial_{h}(N_{ji}{}^{s}F_{i}{}^{t})+N_{ji}{}^{t}\partial_{h}F_{t}{}^{s}-\partial_{j}(N_{hi}{}^{s}F_{i}{}^{t})-N_{hi}{}^{t}\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{s}\}\,F_{s}{}^{a}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\left\{F_{j}{}^{t}(\partial_{i}N_{hi}{}^{s}-\partial_{h}N_{ii}{}^{s})+F_{i}{}^{t}(\partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{s}-\partial_{j}N_{hi}{}^{s})\right.\\ &+F_{h}{}^{t}(\partial_{j}N_{ii}{}^{s}-\partial_{i}N_{ji}{}^{s})\\ &+(\partial_{j}F_{i}{}^{t}-\partial_{i}F_{j}{}^{t})N_{th}{}^{s}+(\partial_{i}F_{h}{}^{t}-\partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{t})N_{tj}{}^{s}\\ &+(\partial_{h}F_{j}{}^{t}-\partial_{j}F_{h}{}^{t})N_{ti}{}^{s}\\ &+2(N_{ji}{}^{t}\partial_{h}F_{t}{}^{s}+N_{ih}{}^{t}\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{s}+N_{hj}{}^{t}\partial_{j}F_{t}{}^{s}+N_{hj}{}^{t}\partial_{i}F_{t}{}^{s})\}F_{s}{}^{a}\,. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have from (5.1)

$$(5.3) \qquad R_{jih} + R_{ihj} + R_{hji}$$

$$= -(N_{ji}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{h} + N_{ih}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{j} + N_{hj}{}^{t}\partial_{t}W_{i}) - (\partial_{j}N_{ih}{}^{a} + \partial_{i}N_{hj}{}^{a} + \partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{a})W_{a}$$

$$- [F_{j}{}^{t}\partial_{t}N_{ih}{}^{s} + F_{i}{}^{t}\partial_{t}N_{hj}{}^{s} + F_{h}{}^{t}\partial_{t}N_{ji}{}^{s}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \{F_{j}{}^{t}(\partial_{i}N_{ht}{}^{s} - \partial_{h}N_{it}{}^{s}) + F_{i}{}^{t}(\partial_{h}N_{ji}{}^{s} - \partial_{j}N_{ht}{}^{s}) + F_{h}{}^{t}(\partial_{j}N_{it}{}^{s} - \partial_{i}N_{jt}{}^{s})\}$$

$$+ N_{ji}{}^{t}(\partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{s} - \partial_{t}F_{h}{}^{s}) + N_{ih}{}^{t}(\partial_{j}F_{i}{}^{s} - \partial_{t}F_{j}{}^{s}) + N_{hj}{}^{t}(\partial_{i}F_{i}{}^{s} - \partial_{t}F_{i}{}^{s})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \{(\partial_{j}F_{i}{}^{t} - \partial_{i}F_{j}{}^{t})N_{th}{}^{s} + (\partial_{i}F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h}F_{i}{}^{t})N_{tj}{}^{s}$$

$$+ (\partial_{h}F_{j}{}^{t} - \partial_{j}F_{h}{}^{t})N_{ti}{}^{s}\}]F_{s}{}^{a}W_{a}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} [N_{ji}{}^{a}N_{ih}{}^{t} + N_{it}{}^{a}N_{hj}{}^{t} + N_{ht}{}^{a}N_{ji}{}^{t}]W_{a},$$

that is

$$(5.4) R_{jih} + R_{ihj} + R_{hji} + Q_{jih} + N_{ih}{}^{t}(\partial_{j}W_{t} - \partial_{t}W_{j})$$

$$+ N_{hj}{}^{t}(\partial_{i}W_{t} - \partial_{t}W_{i}) + S_{jih}{}^{s}F_{s}{}^{a}W_{a}$$

$$+rac{1}{2}(N_{jt}{}^aN_{ih}{}^t+N_{it}{}^aN_{hj}{}^t+N_{ht}{}^aN_{ji}{}^t)W_a=0$$
 ,

where

$$(5.5) S_{jih}{}^{s} = F_{j}{}^{t} \partial_{t} N_{ih}{}^{s} + F_{i}{}^{t} \partial_{t} N_{hj}{}^{s} + F_{h}{}^{t} \partial_{t} N_{ji}{}^{s}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F_{j}{}^{t} (\partial_{i} N_{ht}{}^{s} - \partial_{h} N_{it}{}^{s}) + F_{i}{}^{t} (\partial_{h} N_{jt}{}^{s} - \partial_{j} N_{ht}{}^{s}) \right.$$

$$+ F_{h}{}^{t} (\partial_{j} N_{it}{}^{s} - \partial_{i} N_{jt}{}^{s}) \right\}$$

$$+ N_{ji}{}^{t} (\partial_{h} F_{i}{}^{s} - \partial_{t} F_{h}{}^{s}) + N_{ih}{}^{t} (\partial_{j} F_{i}{}^{s} - \partial_{t} F_{j}{}^{s}) + N_{hj}{}^{t} (\partial_{i} F_{i}{}^{s} - \partial_{t} F_{i}{}^{s})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\partial_{j} F_{i}{}^{t} - \partial_{i} F_{j}{}^{t}) N_{th}{}^{s} + (\partial_{i} F_{h}{}^{t} - \partial_{h} F_{i}{}^{t}) N_{tj}{}^{s} \right.$$

$$+ (\partial_{h} F_{j}{}^{t} - \partial_{j} F_{h}{}^{t}) N_{ti}{}^{s} \right\} .$$

Equation (5.4) shows the tensor character of S_{jih} . This is the tensor first introduced by Slebodzinski. [3]. (The expression of Slebodzinski tensor in Math. Rev. 30 (1965), p. 652, 3438, should be read as 2[]+[]+2[]-[].) T. J. Willmore [4] showed that this tensor is identically zero.

6. Complete lift of a connection on cross-sections. Suppose that there is given a symmetric affine connection ∇ in M whose components are Γ_{ji}^k . Then (3.10) defines a Riemannian metric in ${}^cT(M)$ which is called the Riemann extension of ∇ .

We construct the Levi-Civita connection ∇^c from this Riemann extension and call it *complete lift of the symmetric affine connection* ∇ to the cotangent bundle ${}^cT(M)$. The complete lift ∇^c has components $\widetilde{\Gamma}^A_{CB}$ given by

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{h} = \Gamma_{ji}^{h} , \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j\bar{i}}^{h} = 0 , \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\bar{j}i}^{h} = 0 , \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\bar{j}\bar{i}}^{h} = 0 .$$

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = p_{a}(\partial_{h}\Gamma_{ji}^{a} - \partial_{j}\Gamma_{ih}^{a} - \partial_{i}\Gamma_{jh}^{a} + 2\Gamma_{ht}^{a}\Gamma_{ji}^{t}) ,$$

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}} = -\Gamma_{jh}^{i} , \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\bar{i}i}^{\bar{h}} = -\Gamma_{hi}^{j} , \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}} = 0 ,$$

and the curvature tensor of the complete lift ∇^{σ} components \widetilde{R}_{DGB}^{A} given by

$$(6.2) \begin{split} \widetilde{R}_{kji}{}^{h} &= R_{kji}{}^{h}, \\ \widetilde{R}_{kji}{}^{\bar{h}} &= (\bigtriangledown_{h} R_{kji}{}^{a} - \bigtriangledown_{i} R_{kjh}{}^{a} \\ &+ \Gamma^{a}_{ht} R_{kji}{}^{t} + \Gamma^{a}_{kt} R_{ihj}{}^{t} + \Gamma^{a}_{jt} R_{hik}{}^{t} + \Gamma^{a}_{it} R_{kjh}{}^{t}) p_{a}, \\ \widetilde{R}_{kj\bar{\imath}}{}^{\bar{h}} &= -R_{kjh}{}^{i}, \quad \widetilde{R}_{k\bar{\jmath}i}{}^{\bar{h}} &= -R_{hik}{}^{j}, \quad \widetilde{R}_{k\bar{\jmath}i}{}^{\bar{h}} &= -R_{hij}{}^{k}, \end{split}$$

all the others being zero, where $R_{kji}^{\ \ \ \ \ }$ are components of the curvature tensor

of ∇ .

Suppose now that there is given a global 1-form W in M. Then the W defines a cross-section in ${}^cT(M)$. The vectors (3.2) are tangent to the cross-section and (3.4) are n linearly independent vectors which are not tangent to the cross-section. We take the vectors C^{iA} as normals to the cross-section and define an affine connection induced on the cross-section. The components of the induced affine connection are given by

(6.3)
$$(\partial_j B_i^A + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{CB}^A B_j^C B_i^B) B_A^h = \Gamma_{ii}^h.$$

From this equation we see that the quantity

$$(6.4) \partial_{j}B_{i}^{A} + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{CB}^{A}B_{j}^{C}B_{i}^{B} - \Gamma_{i}^{h}B_{h}^{A}$$

is a linear combination of the vectors $C_{\bar{\imath}^A}$. To find the coefficients, we put $A = \overline{h}$ in (6.4) and find

$$\begin{split} \partial_{j}\partial_{i}W_{h} + W_{a}(\partial_{h}\Gamma^{a}_{ji} - \partial_{j}\Gamma^{a}_{ih} - \partial_{i}\Gamma^{a}_{jh} + 2\Gamma^{a}_{hl}\Gamma^{t}_{ji}) \\ - \Gamma^{a}_{jh}\partial_{i}W_{a} - \Gamma^{a}_{hl}\partial_{j}W_{a} - \Gamma^{a}_{ji}\partial_{a}W_{h} \\ = \nabla_{j}\nabla_{i}W_{h} + R_{hi}{}_{i}{}^{a}W_{a}. \end{split}$$

Thus representing (6.4) by $\nabla_i B_i^A$, we have

$$(6.5) \qquad \qquad ' \nabla_i B_i{}^{\scriptscriptstyle A} = (\nabla_i \nabla_i W_h + R_{hi}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle B} W_a) C^{hA},$$

which is the equation of Gauss for the cross-section determined by W_i . Thus we have

PROPOSITION 6.1. In order that the cross-section in ${}^{c}T(M)$ determined by a 1-form W in M with symmetric affine connection ∇ be totally geodesic, it is necessary and sufficient that W satisfies

$$(6.6) \qquad \nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} W_{h} + R_{hij}{}^{a} W_{a} = 0.$$

On the other hand, since the components $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{CB}^{A}$ are given by (6.1) we can easily verify that

$$\partial_j C_{\bar{i}}^A + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{CB}^A B_j{}^C C_{\bar{i}}^B - \Gamma_{ih}^i C_{\bar{h}}^A = 0$$

that is

$$\partial_i C^{iA} + \widetilde{\Gamma}^A_{CB} B_i{}^{C} C^{iB} - \Gamma^i_{ih} C^{hA} = 0$$
.

Thus denoting by $\nabla_j C^{iA}$ the left hand member of this equation, we get

$$(6.7) \qquad \qquad ' \nabla_i C^{iA} = 0.$$

This is the equation of Weingarten for the cross-section. Applying the operator ∇_k to (6.5), we find

$$^{'}igtriangledown_{_{i}}^{'}igtriangledown_{_{j}}B_{_{i}}^{_{A}}=igtriangledown_{_{k}}(igtriangledown_{_{j}}igtriangledown_{_{k}}W_{_{h}}+R_{_{hij}}{}^{a}W_{_{a}})\,C^{_{hA}}$$
 ,

from which, remembering that

$$(\nabla_k \nabla_j B_i^A - \nabla_j \nabla_k B_i^A = \widetilde{R}_{DCB}^A B_k^D B_i^C B_i^B - R_{kji}^A B_k^A,$$

we find

(6.8)
$$\widetilde{R}_{DCB}{}^{A}B_{k}{}^{D}B_{j}{}^{C}B_{i}{}^{B} - R_{kji}{}^{h}B_{h}{}^{A} = [(\nabla_{k}R_{hij}{}^{a} - \nabla_{j}R_{hik}{}^{a})W_{a} - R_{kji}{}^{a}\nabla_{a}W_{h} - R_{kjh}{}^{a}\nabla_{i}W_{a} + R_{hij}{}^{a}\nabla_{k}W_{a} - R_{hik}{}^{a}\nabla_{j}W_{a}]C^{hA}.$$

Thus we have

PROPOSITION 6.2. In order that $\widetilde{R}_{DCB}^{\ A}B_k^{\ D}B_j^{\ C}B_i^{\ B}$ is tangent to the cross-section, it is necessary and sufficient that

(6.9)
$$(\nabla_k R_{hij}{}^a - \nabla_j R_{hik}{}^a) W_a$$

$$= R_{kji}{}^a \nabla_a W_h + R_{kjh}{}^a \nabla_i W_a - R_{hij}{}^a \nabla_k W_a + R_{hik}{}^a \nabla_j W_a.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] E. M. PATTERSON AND A. G. WALKER, Riemann extensions. Quart. Journ. of Math., 3(1952), 19-28.
- [2] I. SATÔ, Almost analytic vector fields in almost complex manifolds, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 17(1965), 185-199.
- [3] W. SLEBODZINSKI, Contribution à la géométrie différentielle d'un tenseur mixte de valence deux, Collog. Math., 13(1964), 49-54.
- [4] T. J. WILLMORE, Note on the Slebodzinski tensor of an almost complex structure, to appear.
- [5] K. YANO, Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces, Pergamon Press, 1965.
- [6] K. YANO AND E. M. PATTERSON, Vertical and complete lifts from a manifold to its cotangent bundle, to appear.

University of Aberdeen, Scotland.