

## ON BOREL-TYPE METHODS, II

DAVID BORWEIN AND BRUCE L. R. SHAWYER

(Received February 6, 1967)

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we define, and investigate the properties of the strong Borel-type methods  $[B', \alpha, \beta]_p$ ,  $[B, \alpha, \beta]_p$ , which, when the index  $p=1$ , reduce to the methods  $[B', \alpha, \beta]$ ,  $[B, \alpha, \beta]$  considered in [1]. We use \* to designate generalization of theorems, lemmas and definitions of [1]: e.g. Theorem 3\* is a generalization of Theorem 3 of [1].

Suppose that  $\sigma$ ,  $a_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) are arbitrary complex numbers, that  $\alpha > 0$ , that  $\beta$  is real and that  $N$  is a positive integer greater than  $-\beta/\alpha$ . Whenever  $q > 1$ ,  $q'$  denotes the number conjugate to  $q$ , so that

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1.$$

Let  $x$  be a real variable in the range  $[0, \infty)$ : in all limits and order relations involving  $x$ , it is to be understood that  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let

$$s_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu, \quad s_{-1} = 0, \quad \sigma_N = \sigma - s_{N-1},$$

and define Borel-type sums

$$a_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{a_n x^{\alpha n + \beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}; \quad s_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{\alpha n + \beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}.$$

It is known that the convergence of either series for all  $x \geq 0$  implies the convergence, for all  $x \geq 0$ , of the other.

Borel-type means are defined by

$$A_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} a_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt; \quad S_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \alpha e^{-x} s_{\alpha, \beta}(x).$$

Borel-type methods are defined as follows :

1. Summability :

- (i) If  $A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sigma_N$ , we say that  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B', \alpha, \beta)$ ,
- (ii) If  $S_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sigma$ , we say that  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta)$ .

3\*. Strong summability with index  $p$ :

- (i) If

$$\int_0^x e^t |A_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma_N|^p dt = o(e^x),$$

we say that  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B', \alpha, \beta]_p$ .

- (ii) If

$$\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma|^p dt = o(e^x),$$

we say that  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_p$ .

We assume henceforth that the series defining  $a_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ,  $s_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$  are convergent for all  $x \geq 0$ , and, since the actual choice of  $N$  in the definitions is clearly immaterial, that  $\alpha N + \beta \geq 2$ . The functions  $a_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ,  $a_{\alpha,\beta-1}(x)$ ,  $s_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$  and  $s_{\alpha,\beta-1}(x)$  are then all continuous for  $x \geq 0$ . Further, we assume, without loss of generality, that  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{N-1} = 0$ , so that  $\sigma_N = \sigma$ .

Given a function  $f(x)$ , we write for  $\delta > 0$

$$f_\delta(x) = \{\Gamma(\delta)\}^{-1} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-1} f(t) dt$$

whenever the integral exists in the Lebesgue sense.

2. Preliminary Results.

LEMMA A. Suppose that  $f(t)$  is a non-negative function, integrable  $L$  in every finite interval  $(0, x)$ , that  $\alpha > 0$  and that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then

$$\int_0^x f(t) dt = o(e^{\alpha x})$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^x e^{\beta t} f(t) dt = o(e^{(\alpha+\beta)x}).$$

This can readily be proved by integration by parts.

LEMMA B. *If  $f(t)$  is non-negative and integrable  $L^p$  in every finite interval  $(0, x)$ , where  $p > 1$ , then, for  $0 < \delta < 1/p$  and  $q = p/(1-\delta p)$ ,  $f_\delta(t)$  is integrable  $L^q$  in every finite interval  $(0, x)$  and*

$$\left( \int_0^x \{f_\delta(t)\}^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq K \left( \int_0^x \{f(t)\}^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

where  $K$  is a constant independent of  $x$ .

For a proof, see [2], page 290, Theorem 393.

LEMMA 5\*. *If  $f(t)$  is integrable  $L^p$  in every finite interval  $(0, x)$ , where  $p \geq 1$ , and*

$$\int_0^x |f(t)|^p dt = o(e^{px}),$$

then, for  $q \geq p$  and  $\delta > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $f_\delta(x)$  is integrable  $L^q$  in every finite interval  $(0, x)$ , and

$$\int_0^x |f_\delta(t)|^q dt = o(e^{qx}).$$

PROOF. Let  $0 < \mu < 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{\lambda} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ , so that  $(\delta-1)\lambda > -1$ . Using Hölder's inequality twice, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\delta(x)|^p &\leq \left( \int_0^x |f(u)|(x-u)^{\delta-1} du \right)^p \\ &\leq e^{p\mu x} \int_0^x |f(u)|^p (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda p/q} e^{-p\mu u} du \left( \int_0^x (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{-p\mu(x-u)/(p-1)} du \right)^{p-1} \text{ 1) } \\ &\leq K e^{p\mu x} \left( \int_0^x |f(u)|^p (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{-p\mu u} du \right)^{p/q} \left( \int_0^x |f(u)|^p e^{-p\mu u} du \right)^{1-p/q} \end{aligned}$$

1) The second integral does not appear when  $p=1$ .

where, since  $(\delta-1)\lambda > -1$ ,  $K$  is finite and independent of  $x$ ; whence in view of Lemma A with  $\alpha = q$ ,  $\beta = -\mu q$ ,

$$|f_\delta(x)|^q = o \left( e^{q\mu x} e^{(q-p)(1-\mu)x} \int_0^x |f(u)|^p (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{-p\mu u} du \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x |f_\delta(t)|^q e^{-(p-q)t} dt &= o \left( \int_0^x |f(u)|^p e^{-p\mu u} du \int_u^x (t-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{p\mu t} dt \right) \\ &= o \left( \int_0^x |f(u)|^p du \right) = o(e^{px}) \end{aligned}$$

since  $(\delta-1)\lambda > -1$ , and so, in view of Lemma A with  $\alpha = p$ ,  $\beta = q-p$ ,

$$\int_0^x |f_\delta(t)|^q dt = o(e^{qx}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5\*.

**3. Theorems.** This section is divided into two parts. The first contains theorems concerning relations between methods of the same type: that is between “ $B$ ” methods or between “ $B'$ ” methods. The second contains theorems giving interrelations between “ $B$ ” and “ $B'$ ” methods.

**3.1.** To each “ $B$ ” theorem stated in this section, there corresponds an exactly analogous “ $B'$ ” theorem which can be proved by replacing “ $B$ ” by “ $B'$ ”, “ $\sigma$ ” by “ $\sigma_N$ ” and “ $S_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ” by “ $A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ” respectively in the appropriate proof outlined below.

**THEOREM 3\*.** *If  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$  then  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta-\delta)$  where  $q > 1$  and  $\delta < (q-1)/q$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume without loss of generality, that  $\sigma = 0$ . Let  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Using Lemma A with  $\alpha = q$ ,  $\beta = -\theta q$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Gamma(1-\delta)s_{\alpha,\beta-\delta}(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x (x-t)^{-\delta} s_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) dt \right| \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_0^x e^{-\theta qt} |s_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t)|^q dt \right\}^{1/q} \left\{ \int_0^x e^{\theta q t} (x-t)^{-\delta q} dt \right\}^{1/q'} \\
 &= o \left( e^{(1-\theta)x} \left\{ \int_0^x e^{\theta q'(x-u)} u^{-\delta q'} du \right\}^{1/q'} \right) \\
 &= o(e^x)
 \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\delta q' < 1$ ,  $\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta q' u} u^{-\delta q'} du < \infty$ ), and so it follows that  $s_n \rightarrow 0 (B, \alpha, \beta - \delta)$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 3\*.

**THEOREM 5\*.** *If  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta)$  then  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta + 1]_q$  where  $q > 0$ .*

This follows immediately from the definitions.

**THEOREM 9\*.** *If  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_p$  then  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta + \delta]_q$  provided*

- i)  $p > q > 0, \delta = 0,$
- or ii)  $q \geq p \geq 1, \delta > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q},$
- or iii)  $q > p > 1, \delta = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$

**PROOF.** Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain, for  $p > q > 0$ , that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma|^q dt &\leq \left\{ \int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma|^p dt \right\}^{q/p} \left\{ \int_0^x e^t dt \right\}^{1-q/p} \\
 &= o(e^x),
 \end{aligned}$$

from which case (i) follows.

Case (ii) can readily be proved by means of Lemmas A and 5\*, and case (iii) by means of Lemmas A and B. The final theorem in this section exhibits an exact relation between the strong and ordinary methods; it can be proved in a similar way to Theorem 11 of [1] by using Minkowski's inequality instead of the triangle inequality.

**THEOREM 11\*.** *For  $q > 1$ ,  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$  if and only if  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta)$*

and

$$\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\sigma}(t)|^q dt = o(e^x).$$

### 3.2.

THEOREM 15\*. For  $q > 1$ ,  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$  if and only if  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B', \alpha, \beta]_q$  and  $a_n \rightarrow 0[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$ .

THEOREM 18\*. For  $q > 1$ ,  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B', \alpha, \beta]_q$  if and only if  $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta + 1]_q$ .

Proofs of these theorems can be constructed from the proofs of Theorems 15 and 18 of [1], by using

- i) Theorems 3\*, 11\* instead of Theorems 3, 11 of [1],
- ii) Lemma A to give equivalent statements about means and sums,

e.g. 
$$\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\sigma}(t)|^q dt = o(e^x)$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^x |s_{\alpha, \beta}(t) - s_{\alpha, \beta-1}(t)|^q dt = o(e^{qx}),$$

- iii) Lemma 5\* with  $p = q$  instead of Lemma 5 of [1],
- iv) Minkowski's inequality instead of the triangle inequality,
- v) (applicable only to the proof of Theorem 18\*), Theorem 15\* instead of Theorem 15 of [1].

### REFERENCES

- [1] D. BORWEIN AND B. L. R. SHAWYER, On Borel-type Methods, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 18 (1966), 283-298.
- [2] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, (1934).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO,  
LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA.