A NOTE ON SINGULAR IDEALS

R.YUE CHI MING

(Received February 24, 1969)

Introduction. In this note, A is a ring with identity, and modules are left, unitary.

Our object here is to answer (in the negative) the following question raised by F.L. Sandomierski in [5, p. 117]:

Does the condition Z(M/Z(M)) = 0 for every module M imply that A has a semi-simple maximal left quotient ring?

It is known that A has a semi-simple maximal left quotient ring if, and only if, Z(A) = 0 and the dimension of ${}_{A}A$ is finite (in the sense of Goldie) [5, Th. 1.6].

In fact, we characterize a ring with Z(A)=0 by the above given condition. This characterization also proves that the homomorphic image of an injective module over a ring A with Z(A)=0 has its singular submodule as a direct summand.

Finally, we give a characterization of a self-injective regular ring and a characterization of a self-injective non-regular ring.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We are indebted to Dr. G. Rinehart for many helpful comments.

Let us recall

DEFINITION. The closure of a submodule N in M is $Cl_{M}(N) = \{x \in M/(N : x) \text{ is essential in } A\}$. Then $Cl_{M}(0)$ is the singular submodule of M denoted by Z(M). Write Cl(N) when no ambiguity arises.

The fact that $Cl_{M}(N)$ contains every essential extension of N in M follows from the following known

LEMMA 0. If P is an essential submodule of M, for any $x \in M$, (P : x) is essential in A.

PROOF. Let $0 \neq b \in A$. If bx = 0, $b \in (P : x)$. If $bx \neq 0$, there is a $t \in A$

such that $0 \neq tbx \in P$. Hence $0 \neq tb \in (P:x)$ which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let N be a submodule of M. Then ClCl(N) is the unique maximal essential extension of Cl(N) in M.

PROOF. Since ClCl(N) contains every essential extension of Cl(N) in M, it is sufficient to prove that Cl(N) is essential in ClCl(N).

Suppose it is not: then there is a nonzero $x \in ClCl(N)$ such that $Ax \cap Cl(N) = 0$. Since (Cl(N) : x) is essential in A, for any $0 \neq a_0 \in A$, there is a $t \in A$ such that $0 \neq ta_0 \in (Cl(N) : x)$. Then $ta_0x \in Ax \cap Cl(N) = 0$ which proves that $x \in Z(M)$. Therefore $x \in Ax \cap Cl(N)$ which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. Let N be a submodule of M. For any submodule P of N, N is essential in $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N)$ if, and only if, $Cl_{\mathtt{N}}(P)$ is essential in $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(P)$.

PROOF. If N is essential in $Cl_M(N)$, then obviously $Cl_N(P)$ is essential in $Cl_M(P)$.

Conversely, suppose N is not essential in $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N)$. There is a nonzero $x \in Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N)$ such that $N \cap Ax = 0$. Then (0:x) = (N:x) which implies (0:x) is essential in A. Thus $x \in Z(M) \subseteq Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(P)$. Now $Ax \cap Cl_{\mathtt{N}}(P) \subseteq Ax \cap N = 0$ which proves that $Cl_{\mathtt{N}}(P)$ is not essential in $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(P)$.

LEMMA 3. Let M be an A-module which contains an element whose left annihilator is zero. If Z(M) is a direct summand of M, then Z(A) = 0.

PROOF. Let $x \in M$ such that (0: x) = 0. Since $M = Z(M) \oplus N$, where N is a submodule of M, let x = z + y, where $z \in Z(M)$, $y \in N$. y is nonzero since $x \notin Z(M)$. Now $(0: z) \cap (0: y) \subseteq (0: z + y) = (0: x)$ and therefore $(0: z) \cap (0: y) = 0$ by hypothesis. This implies that (0: y) = 0 since $z \in Z(M)$. Let $0 \neq b \in A$. Since $0 \neq by \in N$, then $by \notin Z(M)$, which means there is a nonzero $c \in A$ such that $(0: by) \cap Ac = 0$. This implies $(0: b) \cap Ac = 0$ which proves that $b \notin Z(A)$, and therefore Z(A) = 0.

THEOREM 4. Let M be a module, N a submodule of M. Consider the following statements:

- (1) Z(A) = 0;
- (2) ClCl(N) = Cl(N) (or equivalently, Z(M/Cl(N)) = 0);
- (3) M injective implies ClCl(N) = Cl(N);
- (4) M injective implies Cl(N) injective;
- (5) Cl(N) is essential in M implies Cl(N) = M.

Then, if (1) is true, (2) through (5) hold for arbitrary M, N. Conversely, if one of (2) through (5) holds for arbitrary M when N = 0, then (1) is true.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). Suppose Z(A) = 0. It is sufficient to show $ClCl(N) \subseteq Cl(N)$. Let $x \in M$, $x \notin Cl(N)$. We prove $x \notin ClCl(N)$. Since (N:x) is not essential in A, there is a nonzero $b \in A$ such that $Ab \cap (N:x) = 0$. We show $(Cl(N):x) \cap Ab = 0$ and this will prove that $x \notin ClCl(N)$.

Let $c \in (Cl(N): x) \cap Ab$. Then c = ab, where $abx \in Cl(N)$. So for any $d \in A$, there is a $t \in A$ such that $0 \neq td \in (N: abx)$.

Hence $tdab \in (N:x) \cap Ab = 0$, which shows that $c = ab \in Z(A) = 0$.

- (2) implies (3) obviously.
- (3) implies (4). If M is injective, let E be an injective hull of Cl(N) in M. Then E = ClCl(N) by Lemma 1, and hence Cl(N) = ClCl(N) = E is injective.
- (4) implies (5). Let $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N)$ be essential in M. Let \widehat{M} be an injective hull fo M. Then $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N) \subseteq Cl_{\widehat{\mathtt{M}}}(N)$ and since $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N)$ is essential in \widehat{M} , so is $Cl_{\widehat{\mathtt{M}}}(N)$. By (4), $Cl_{\widehat{\mathtt{M}}}(N)$ is injective and therefore $Cl_{\widehat{\mathtt{M}}}(N) = \widehat{M}$, which proves that

 $Cl_{\mathtt{M}}(N)=M.$

Here, we remark that (4) for N=0 implies (5) for N=0.

Suppose now that (5) holds for N=0. Let E be an injective hull of Z(A) in \widehat{A} , the injective hull of A.

Then $Z(A) \subseteq Z(E)$ and therefore Z(E) is essential in E which implies Z(E) = E by (5).

Since Z(A) is essential in $Z(\widehat{A})$ by Lemma 2, and $Z(A) \subseteq Z(E) \subseteq Z(\widehat{A})$, E = Z(E) is essential in $Z(\widehat{A})$ which proves that $E = Z(\widehat{A})$. By Lemma 3, Z(A) = 0, which shows that (5) implies (1).

REMARK 1. The equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 4 (taking N=0) answers in the negative a question raised by Sandomierski (see Introduction) since a ring with identity, having zero singular ideal, is not necessarily of finite dimension. (For an example, see [1, p. 219]).

Also this characterization provides the following generalization of [5, Corollary to Theorem 2.10]:

PROPOSITION 5. If Z(A) = 0 and $M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of A-modules with M injective, then Z(Q) is a direct summand of Q.

For a proof, see [5, Theorem 2.10].

Now what can we say about a ring with a non-zero singular ideal?

LEMMA 6. Let M be a module with Z(M) = 0. For any $x \in M$, and any ideal I of A, Ix is essential in $Cl_A(I)x$.

PROOF. Let $0 \neq y \in Cl_A(I)x$. Then y = bx; $b \in Cl(I)$. Since Z(M) = 0, there is a nonzero $c \in A$ such that $Ac \cap (0 : y) = 0$.

Since (I:b) is essential in A, there is a $t \in A$ such that $0 \neq tc \in (I:b)$. Therefore $0 \neq tcy = tcbx \in Ix$, which proves that Ix is essential in Cl(I)x.

PROPOSITION 7. $Z(A) \neq 0$ if, and only if, for any module M with Z(M) = 0, $(0: x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in M$.

PROOF If $Z(A) \neq 0$, for a module M with Z(M) = 0, if $x \in M$, by Lemma 6,0 is essential in Z(A)x, which proves that $(0:x) \neq 0$.

Conversely, let Z(A) = 0. Then (0:1) = 0, where $1 \in A$.

Next we give a characterization of a ring with essential singular ideal (for example, when A is prime or uniform with $Z(A) \neq 0$).

PROPOSITION 8. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Z(A) is essential in A;
- (2) For any module M, Z(M) is essential in M;
- (3) Z(M) = M if Z(M) is injective;
- (4) $Z(M) \neq 0$ for every non-zero module M.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). If Z(M)=M, there is nothing to prove. So let $x \in M$; $x \notin Z(M)$. Then there is a nonzero $b \in A$ such that $Ab \cap (0:x)=0$. Since Z(A) is essential in A, there is a $t \in A$ such that $0 \neq tb \in Z(A)$. Now $Atb \cap (0:x)=0$ and therefore (0:tb)=(0:tbx). Hence $0 \neq tbx \in Z(M)$, which proves that Z(M) is essential in M.

- (2) implies (3) obviously.
- (3) implies (4). If Z(M) = 0, then M = Z(M) = 0.

Finally, if Z(A) is not essential in A, there is a nonzero ideal I such that Z(I) = 0. Hence (4) implies (1).

REMARK 2. A necessary and sufficient condition for Z(A) to be essential in A is that if N is a submodule of M, Z(N) is essential in Z(M) if, and only if, N is essential in M. (This follows from Lemma 2 and the fact that condition (2) in Proposition 8 implies Cl(N) is essential in M).

It is known that for any ring A, Z(A) = 0 if, and only if, A has a regular maximal left quotient ring Q. In that case, ${}_{A}Q$ is an injective hull of ${}_{A}A$. Also ${}_{Q}Q$ is injective. (see, for example, [4]).

We conclude this note with a characterization of a self-injective, regular ring.

THEOREM 9. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is a self-injective regular ring;
- (2) If N is a submodule of M, for any $x \in M$, (Cl(N) : x) is injective;
- (3) (0:b) is injective for every $b \in A$;
- (4) There is a faithful module M such that the annihilator of every element of M is injective.

PROOF. Let us first remark that for any submodule N of M and any $x \in M$,

$$Cl(N:x) = (Cl(N):x).$$

(1) implies (2). Since Z(A) = 0, for a submodule N of M, ClCl(N) = Cl(N) by Theorem 4. By the above remark, if I = (Cl(N) : x), $I = Cl_A(I)$.

Since A is self-injective, I is injective.

- (2) implies (3). Let M = A, N = 0. Then Cl(N) = Z(A). Take I = (Cl(N):1) = Cl(N), where $1 \in A$. Then Z(A) is injective and Lemma 3 implies Z(A) = 0. Hence (0:b) is injective for every $b \in A$.
 - (3) implies (4) evidently.
 - (4) implies (1). Since A annihilates $0 \in M$, A is self-injective.

Let $0 \neq x \in M$. Then $A = (0 : x) \oplus J$, where J is a nonzero ideal of A. Therefore $x \notin Z(M)$ which proves Z(M) = 0.

Since M is faithful, Z(A)=0. (Otherwise let $0 \neq b \in Z(A)$. There is an $x \in M$ such that $0 \neq bx \in Z(M)$ since $(0:b) \subseteq (0:bx)$.)

This proves that A is self-injective, regular.

REMARK 3. A is a self-injective ring if, and only if, for any module M with Z(M)=0, the annihilator of every element of M is an injective module. (This is because if Z(M)=0, for $x\in M$, $(0:x)=Cl_A(0:x)$ by the remark in the proof of Theorem 9).

REMARK 4. Combining Proposition 7 with Remark 3, we see that A is a self-injective, non-regular ring if, and only if, for any module M with Z(M) = 0, (0:x) is non-zero injective for every $x \in M$.

REMARK 5. We would like to thank Dr. G. Renault who pointed out the following:

- (a) Proposition 5 holds for M quasi-injective. In fact, if Z(A) = 0, $M \xrightarrow{f} Q \longrightarrow 0$ is exact with M quasi-injective, then since $f^{-1}(Z(Q)) = Cl(\text{Ker } f)$, by Theorem 4 and [3, prop. 1.5], $M = f^{-1}(Z(Q)) \oplus N$. Hence $Q = f(M) = Z(Q) \oplus f(N)$, where $f(N) \approx N$.
- (b) Z(A) = 0 if, and only if, Cl(I) = ClCl(I) for every left ideal I of A. The implication in one direction follows from Theorem 4. Conversely, suppose

ClCl(I) = Cl(I) for every I and $Z(A) \neq 0$. Let J be a complement ideal of Z(A). Then since $J \oplus Z(A)$ is essential in A and $J \oplus Z(A) \subseteq Cl(J)$, Cl(J) = ClCl(J) = A. This proves that J = (J:1) is essential in A which contradicts $Z(A) \neq 0$.

REMARK 6. We may also add the following characterization: Z(A) = 0 if, and only if, for every quasi-injective module M, the closure of any submodule N is a direct summand of M.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. W. GOLDIE, Semi-prime rings with maximum condition, Proc. London Math. Soc., 10(1960), 201-220.
- [2] A. W. GOLDIE, Torsionfree modules and rings, J. Algebra, 1(1964), 268-287.
- [3] M. HARADA, Note on quasi-injective modules, Osaka J. Math., 2(1965), 351-356.
- [4] J. LAMBEK, On Utumi's ring of quotients, Canad. J. Math., 15(1963), 363-370.
- [5] F. L. SANDOMIERSKI, Semi-simple maximal quotient rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 128 (1967), 112-120.

Institut de Recherche Mathematique Avancée Laboratoire Associé au C. N. R. S. Rue René Descartes, Strasbourg, France