Tôhoku Math. Journ. 23(1971), 259-271.

# THE EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSION OF THE SYMMETRIC OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH GELFAND TRIPLET

## Yoshio Itagaki

### (Received Sept. 18, 1970)

Since the work of F. Mautner [10], the integral representation of a spectral measure is successfully established by Gårding, F. E. Browder and others in the field of the theory of partial differential operators (see, e. g., [5]). Gelfand and Kostyuchenko connected naturally these results with the generalized eigenfunction expansion of selfadjoint operators and proved abstract expansion theorem [6]. There, they considered the problem in the rigged Hilbert space or so-called Gelfand triplet [7, 9]. This space is now widely applied; the theory and its proofs have been extended and clarified by many research workers [2]. Our paper is along with Foias [3, 4]. In contrast with the other papers the main part of ours is to prove the eigenfunction expansion theorem without going through the spectral representation or the diagonalization of a selfadjoint operator. It may well be said that our proof is analogous to that of Maurin for the diagonal form [8]. We shall state the subject of the present study more precisely in the following section.

1. First, a few notations. Let  $\Phi$  be a nuclear locally convex vector space and at the same time pre-Hilbert space under the scalar product (, ). We denote by H the completion of  $\Phi$  under the norm induced by (, ). We suppose that embedding p from  $\Phi$  to H is continuous. Then for each element h of H, the anti-linear functional which maps e of  $\Phi$  to (h, pe) is continuous. Denoting the transpose of p by  $p^*$ , we write this relation in the following way:

$$(h, pe) = \langle p^*h, e \rangle, = \langle h^*, e \rangle, \text{ for any } e \text{ of } \Phi,$$

where  $h^*$  is an element of  $\Phi'$ , anti-dual space of  $\Phi$ . We identify h to  $h^*$ . Thus

$$\Phi' \supset H \supset \Phi$$
.

Those three spaces we call Gelfand triplet.

Let  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  be a continuous norm on  $\Phi$  and satisfies the formula  $\|e\| = (e, e)^{1/2}$  $\leq \|e\|_{\alpha}$  for all e of  $\Phi$ . We suppose for simplicity that two norms  $\| \|, \| \|_{\alpha}$  are in concordance (see Definition 2). Then  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ , the completion of  $\Phi$  under  $\| \|_{\alpha}$ , is

naturally embedded into H. This embedding mapping we denote by  $p_{\alpha}$ . Similarly to the above relation

$$h(h,p_{lpha}e)=<\!p_{lpha}{}^{st}h,e\!>_{lpha}=<\!h^{st},e\!>_{lpha},e$$

where  $h^*$  is an element of  $\Phi_{\alpha} \subset \Phi'$ . When *e* is an element of  $\Phi \subset \Phi_{\alpha}$ , last value is equal to  $\langle h^*, e \rangle$ .

$$\Phi' \supset \Phi_{\alpha}' \supset H' = H \supset \Phi_{\alpha} \supset \Phi.$$

By the hypothsis that  $\Phi$  is nuclear,  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  can always be taken such that  $p_{\alpha}$  is a nuclear mapping. In the following, the nuclearity of  $\Phi$  is not essential, but the existense of such  $p_{\alpha}$  is.

Let A be a continuous linear operator on  $\Phi$ . Through this paper A is fixed and we assume that A is formally selfadjoint with respect to the scalar product in H, that is,

$$(Ae, f) = (e, Af)$$
, for  $e, f$  of  $\Phi$ ;

exactly

$$(pAe, pf) = (pe, pAf)$$
.

But we shall suppress p or  $p^*$  when no confusion is likely to result.

We denote the transpose of A by A'. Then  $A' \supset A$  in  $\Phi'$ . The smallest closed extension of A in H, which we shall denote by  $A_0$ , is also symmetric in H. Therefore by the spectral theory of the symmetric operator in the Hilbert space there exists a semi-spectral measure  $\{F(\sigma)\}$  (generelized resolution of identity) defined on Borel field  $\Sigma$  of real line R satisfying the following relations:

(1) 
$$(A_0e,f) = \int_R \lambda d(F(\lambda)e, f)$$
, for  $e \in D_{A_0}$ , domain of  $A_0$ ,

and  $f \in H$ ,

(2) 
$$||A_0e||^2 = \int_R |\lambda|^2 d(F(\lambda)e, e), \text{ for } e \in D_{A_0}.$$

 $\{F(\sigma)\}\$  is uniquely determined only when  $A_0$  is maximally symmetric [1].

Now we can describe the expansion theorem which will be proved by our method.

THEOREM. Suppose that  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  is Hilbert norm and mapping  $p_{\alpha}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type. Then there exist a bounded positive measure on  $(R, \Sigma)$ ,  $\mu(\sigma)$  and one-parameter continuous linear operators from  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  to  $\Phi_{\alpha}', \chi(\lambda)$  which satisfy the properties :

$$(a) \quad (F(\sigma)e, f) = \int_{\sigma} < \chi(\lambda)e, f > d\mu(\lambda), for any e, f \in \Phi, \sigma \in \Sigma,$$

(b) 
$$< \chi(\lambda)e, e > \ge 0, for e \in \Phi,$$

(c) 
$$A' \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{\lambda}) = \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{\lambda}), \mu - a. e.$$

 $\chi(\lambda)$  is almost everywhere with respect to  $\mu$  (abbreviated :  $\mu$ -a.e.) uniquely determined for  $\{F(\sigma)\}$ .

Moreover  $\chi(\lambda)$  has  $\mu$ -a.e. the following representation with  $\{e_n^*(\lambda)\}$ , an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ .

$$(\mathbf{d}) \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})e = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < \overline{e_n^{\ast}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), e} > e_n^{\ast}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \ t_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \ge 0, \sum_n t_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < \infty, \ for \ any \ e \in \Phi.$$

(e) 
$$A'e_n^*(\lambda) = \lambda e_n^*(\lambda), n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

 $\{e_n^*(\lambda)\}\$  is the eigenfunction in the sense that it satisfies .ne eigen-equation for the extended operator of A.

REMARK 1. We call  $\chi(\lambda)$  an eigen-operator.  $\chi(\lambda)$  is of rank  $\leq n \mu$ -a.e. if and only if Neumark extension of  $F(\sigma)$  is of multiplicity  $\leq n$ . Let  $F'(\sigma)$  be another semi-spectral measure and the corresponding eigen-operator be  $\chi'(\lambda)$ . Then Neumark extensions of  $F(\sigma)$  and  $F'(\sigma)$  are unitary equivalent if and only if  $\chi(\lambda)$  and  $\chi'(\lambda)$ are of the same rank  $\mu$ -a.e. [3].

Foias proved above theorem for such a norm  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  that  $p_{\alpha}$  is nuclear. When  $A_0$  is a selfadjoint operator this case is proved by Maurin using the decomposition into the direct integral representation relative to  $A_0$ . Above theorem insists on that when  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  is a quadratic norm the condition for  $p_{\alpha}$  is weakened to be of Hilbert-Schmidt type. We remark in section 3 that this is considered to be strictly a generalization.

2. Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces, Y' be the Banach space of continuous anti-linear functional on Y under the uniform topology, B(X, Y'), be the Banach space consisting of bounded linear operators from X to Y' with usual norm topology, and  $\Sigma$  be a Borel field whose element is a subset of a set S. Let m be

a vector-valued measure on  $(S, \Sigma)$  with values in B(X, Y'), that is, m maps  $\Sigma$  into B(X, Y'), and for every element  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\langle m(\sigma)e, f \rangle$  is a usual measure on  $\Sigma$  for each  $e \in X$  and  $f \in Y$ .

DEFINITION 1. The vector-valued measure m is said to be of bounded variation if for all finite partition  $\{\sigma_i\}$  of S,  $\sup_{\{\sigma_i\}} \sum_i ||m(\sigma_i)||$  has a finite value.

Let m be of bounded variation. Then we can define the indefinite total variation  $\nu$  of m by

$$u(\sigma) = \sup_{\{\sigma_i\}} \sum_i \|m(\sigma_i)\|,$$

where  $\{\sigma_i\}$  denote any partition of  $\sigma$ .  $\nu$  is a bounded positive measure on  $\Sigma$ .

With above notations we can describe our main tool.

THEOREM 1. If the vector-valued measure m on  $(S, \Sigma)$  is of bounded variation, there exists B(X, Y')-valued function  $\chi(\lambda)$  which is v-a.e. uniquely determined on S and satisfies the following formula.

$$(3) \qquad <\!\!m(\sigma)e, f\!\!> = \int_{\sigma} <\!\! \chi(\lambda)e, f\!\!> \!d\nu(\lambda), for any \ e \in X, f \in Y$$

and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .

And  $\|\boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\| = 1$ ,  $\nu$ -a. e.

Full proof is mentioned in [3]. Here we sketch only its outline.

By Radon-Nikodym theorem there exists  $\nu$ -integrable function  $\chi_{e,f}(\lambda)$  which satisfies

$$(4) \qquad \qquad < m(\sigma)e, f > = \int_{\sigma} \chi_{e,f}(\lambda) d\nu(\lambda), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma.$$

If  $\varphi(\lambda)$  is an element of  $L^1(S, \Sigma, \nu)$ , i.e.,  $|\varphi(\lambda)|$  is  $\nu$ -integrable, it is also integrable with respect to  $\langle m(\sigma)e, f \rangle$  and

$$\left|\int_{s} \varphi(\lambda) d < m(\lambda) e, f > \right| \leq \|e\| \|f\| \int_{s} |\varphi(\lambda)| d\nu(\lambda).$$

Thus  $\langle m(\sigma)e, f \rangle$  defines a linear functional on  $L^1$ , whose norm is bounded by ||e|| ||f||. Therefore

$$|\chi_{e,f}(\lambda)| \leq ||e|| ||f||,$$

for any  $\lambda$  except for some  $\nu$ -null set N(e, f). But when we set  $\chi_{e,f}(\lambda) = 0$  for  $\lambda$  of N(e, f), above formulas (4), (5) are also satisfied.

Let  $X_0, Y_0$  be countable dense sets of X, Y. Then for finite linear combination of their elements with rational complex,  $\mathcal{X}_{e,f}(\lambda)$  is linear w.r.t. e and f  $\nu$ -a.e. We set  $\langle \mathcal{X}_0(\lambda)e, f \rangle = \mathcal{X}_{e,f}(\lambda)$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}_0(\lambda)$  is bounded by (5), it can be extended for all of X and Y. Thus there exist  $\mathcal{X}(\lambda) \in B(X, Y')$  for which

$$\langle \chi(\lambda)e, f \rangle = \chi_{e,f}(\lambda), \text{ for all } e \in X, f \in Y.$$

By (4) for  $e \in X_0$ ,  $f \in Y_0$  the formula (3) is satisfied, therefore, by Lebesgue theorem, (3) is always satisfied  $\nu$ -a.e.

Other part is easily proved.

Before passing to the next proposition, we note some properties about the mapping  $p_a$ , which we shall use in Theorem 2.

Let  $\| \|_0$  and  $\| \|_1$  be the norms on linear space  $\Phi$  and satisfy the inequality  $\|f\|_0 \leq \|f\|_1$  for an arbitrary f of  $\Phi$ . It will suffice to suppose that there exists a M > 0 such that  $\|f\|_0 \leq M \|f\|_1$ . We denote the completion of  $\Phi$  under  $\| \|_i$  by  $\Phi_i$ . From identity mapping on  $\Phi$  we derive naturally a continuous linear mapping on  $\Phi_1$  into  $\Phi_0$  when both spaces are provided with the norms  $\| \|_1$ ,  $\| \|_0$  respectively. We denote it by  $p_1$  and its transpose by  $p_1^*$ .

DEFINITION 2. Two norms are said to be in concordance with each other, when any sequence in  $\Phi$  which is Cauchy for both norms, and which converges to zero for one of the norms, necessarily converges to zero for the other.

When above two norms  $\|\|_{0}$ ,  $\|\|_{1}$  are in concordance, correspondence of equivalent Cauchy sequences in both norms is one-to-one. Therefore  $\Phi_{1}$  is naturally identified to a subset of  $\Phi_{0}$ .

LEMMA 1. The following three conditions are equivalent.

- (6)  $\| \|_0$  and  $\| \|_1$  in  $\Phi$  are in concordance.
- (7)  $p_1$  is one-to-one.
- (8)  $p_1^*(\Phi_0')$  is total over  $\Phi_1$ .

**PROOF.** We have already seen that  $p_1$  is one-to-one when (6) is satisfied.

 $(7) \rightarrow (8)$ . Suppose that for any  $e^*$  of  $\Phi_1$  and some f of  $\Phi_1 < p_1^*e^*, f >_1 = 0$ . Then  $< e^*, p_1 f >_0 = < p_1^*e^*, f >_1 = 0$ , whence  $p_1 f = 0$ . By the assumption f = 0, which implies that  $p_1^*(\Phi_0)$  is total.

 $(8) \rightarrow (6)$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\Phi$  converges to zero in  $\Phi_0$  and converges to f in  $\Phi_1$ . Then, by the equation  $\langle p_1^*e^*, f \rangle_1 = \langle e^*, p_1 f \rangle_0$ , for any  $e^*$  of  $\Phi_0' \langle p_1^*e^*, f \rangle_1 = 0$ . Therefore by the assumption f = 0.

3. We now return to our problem.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  be a separable Hilbert space. (When  $\Phi$  is nuclear,  $\Phi$  is separable, so  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  is separable.) If  $p_{\alpha}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type,  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$  is of bounded variation.

**PROOF.**  $p_{\alpha}$  is represented in the following form

$$p_{lpha}e=\sum\limits_{n}\lambda_{n}{<}\overline{e_{n}{,}e}{>}_{lpha}h_{n},\ \ \lambda_{n}{\ge}0,\ \ \sum\limits_{n}\lambda_{n}{}^{2}{<}\infty$$
 ,

where  $\{e_n\}$  and  $\{h_n\}$  are orthonormal basis in  $\Phi_a$  and H respectively. As  $(p_a * F(\sigma)p_a)$  is regarded as a positive bounded linear operator on  $\Phi_a$  by the identification of  $\Phi_a'$  to  $\Phi_a$ , it possesses a positive square root  $(p_a * F(\sigma)p_a)^{1/2}$  on  $\Phi_a$ , for which

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n} \left( (p_a * F(\sigma) p_a)^{1/2} e_n, (p_a * F(\sigma) p_a)^{1/2} e_n)_a &= \sum_{n} < p_a * F(\sigma) p_a e_n, e_n > 0 \\ &= \sum_{n} (F(\sigma) p_a e_n, p_a e_n) = \sum_{n} \lambda_n^2 (F(\sigma) h_n, h_n) \leq \sum_{n} \lambda_n^2 < \infty , \end{split}$$

where  $(, )_{\alpha}$  denotes the scalar product in  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ . Therefore  $(p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha})^{1/2}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type; the value of the first formula is independent of the choices of the orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}$ . Especially when we take  $\{e_n\}$  the eigenvectors of  $(p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha})$ , its value is the sum of eigenvalues which are all non-negative, whence it is not smaller than the norm of  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$ . From this fact we derive

$$\|p_{\alpha} * F(\sigma) p_{\alpha}\|_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2}(F(\sigma)h_{n}, h_{n}).$$

consequently for any partition  $\{\sigma_i\}$  of  $\sigma$ 

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i} \| p_{a} * F(\sigma_{i}) p_{a} \|_{\alpha} &\leq \sum_{i} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} (F(\sigma_{i}) h_{n}, h_{n}) = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} \sum_{i} (F(\sigma_{i}) h_{n}, h_{n}) \\ &= \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} (F(\sigma) h_{n}, h_{n}) \leq \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} < \infty \;. \end{split}$$

which was to be proved.

REMARK 2. Above lemma is valid when  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$  is of nuclear type. In fact such  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$  satisfies the following inequality; for the orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}$  in  $\Phi_n$ 

$$\|p_a^*F(\sigma)p_a\|_a \leq \sum_n < p_a^*F(\sigma)p_ae_n, e_n >_a < \infty$$
,

where the second member represents the trace norm of  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$  [7]. Therefore the latter part of the above proof is similarly applicable. When  $F(\sigma)^{1/2}p_{\alpha}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type,  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$  is nuclear. So above lemma is a special case of this.

Foias proved the above lemma for the Banach space  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  under the condition that  $p_{\alpha}$  is nuclear. The following theorem shows that this case is reduced to that for Hilbert space, which may be interest in itself.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  be a general norm and  $p_{\alpha}$  is the mapping of nuclear type. Then there exists a Hilbert space  $\Phi_{\beta}$  such that

 $H \supset \Phi_{\beta} \supset \Phi_{\alpha}$ 

and embedding  $p_{\beta}$  from  $\Phi_{\beta}$  into H is of Hilbert-Schmidt type.

PROOF. Since  $p_{\alpha}$  is nuclear, its transpose  $p_{\alpha}^*: H \to \Phi_{\alpha}'$  is also nuclear, i.e., there exist  $\{h_n\} \subset H$  and  $\{e_n^*\} \subset \Phi_{\alpha}'$  such that

$$p_{\alpha}^{*}h = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}(\overline{h_{n},h})e_{n}^{*}, \|h_{n}\| = 1, \|e_{n}^{*}\|_{\alpha} = 1, \ \lambda_{n} \ge 0 \ \text{and} \ \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} < \infty.$$

We equip H with scalar product

$$(g,h)_{\gamma} = \sum_{n} \lambda_n(\overline{h_n,g})(h_n,h)$$
 for each  $g, h \in H$ 

and denote this pre-Hilbert space by  $H_{\gamma}$ .

$$\|p_{\alpha}h\|_{\alpha} \leq K^{1/2} \|h\|_{\gamma} \leq K \|h\|$$
, for  $h \in H$ , where  $K = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}$ .

Identity mapping  $p_{\gamma}: H \rightarrow H_{\gamma}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type. Because, for any orthonormal basis  $\{g_i\}$  in H,

$$\sum_i (g_i, g_i)_{\gamma} = \sum_i \sum_n \lambda_n (\overline{h_n, g_i}) (h_n, g_i) = \sum_n \lambda_n \sum_i |(h_n, g_i)|^2$$
  
 $= \sum_n \lambda_n ||h_n||^2 = \sum_n \lambda_n < \infty$ .

Norms || || and  $|| ||_{\gamma}$  are in concordance but in general  $|| ||_{\alpha}$  and  $|| ||_{\gamma} = (,)_{\gamma}^{1/2}$  are not in concordance. But if we take  $||h||_{\beta}$  for each  $h \in H$  to be the infimum of  $||h||_{\gamma} = \left(\sum \lambda_n |\alpha_n|^2\right)^{1/2}$  for all representation of  $p_{\alpha}^*h$  for fixed  $\{e_n^*\}, || ||_{\beta}$  are also in concordance.

In fact, let  $L_{\lambda}^2$  be the Hilbert space consisting of all sequences  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$  such that  $\sum_n \lambda_n |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty$  under the scalar product of weight sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$ . We denote by P the mapping of  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\} \in L_{\lambda}^2$  to  $\varphi_{\alpha} = \sum_n \lambda_n \alpha_n e_n^*$ . Since for the same K as above the inequality

$$\|\varphi_{\alpha}\| \leq K^{1/2} \|\alpha\|_{L_{\lambda}^{2}}$$

holds, P is continuous mapping from  $L_{\lambda^2}$  into  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ . Evidently for any element  $\varphi$  of H, there exists  $\alpha \in L_{\lambda^2}$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$ . Thus the image of P contains H. Let M be the orthogonal complement of the null kernel of P in  $L_{\lambda^2}$  and  $P_0$  be the mapping of M into  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  induced by P. Then by the identification of M into  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  with  $P_0$ ,

$$\Phi_a^{\sim} \supset M \supset H$$

Since the norms in M is equal to  $\| \|_{\beta}$  for the element of H (this shows, by the way, that  $\| \|_{\beta}$  defines an inner product),  $\| \|_{\alpha}$  and  $\| \|_{\beta}$  are in concordance.

Let  $\Phi_{\beta}^{*}$  be the completion of H under  $\| \|_{\beta}$ . Then, a fortiori, embedding  $p_{\beta}^{*}: H \to \Phi_{\beta}^{*}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type. When we regard, as in section 1, the anti-dual space of Hilbert space  $\Phi_{\beta}^{*}$  as the subset of H, it is  $\Phi_{\beta}$  which we seeked for. In fact,  $H \supset \Phi_{\beta}$  holds by Lemma 1 since H is dense in  $\Phi_{\beta}^{*}$ . On the other hand as  $p_{\beta}^{*}$  is one-to-one and H is dense in  $\Phi_{\alpha}', \Phi_{\beta}^{*}$  is dense in  $\Phi_{\alpha}'$ . Therefore by Lemma 1  $\Phi_{\beta} \supset \Phi_{\alpha}$ . Then  $p_{\beta}$  is obtained as the transpose of  $p_{\beta}^{*}$ .

Under the condition of Lemma 2, applying Theorem 1 to it, we obtain the following theorem. There we shall be able to take as  $\mu(\sigma)$  any positive bounded measure with respect to which the indefinite total variation determined by  $p_{\alpha}^*F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}$  is absolutely continuous. In the sequel we shall fix one of them.

THEOREM 3.  $B(\Phi_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha}')$ -valued function  $\chi(\lambda)$  on R which satisfies the

following relations is  $\mu$ -a.e. uniquely determined.

- (a)  $(F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}e, p_{\alpha}f) = \langle p_{\alpha}^{*}F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}e, f \rangle_{\alpha} = \int_{\sigma} \langle \chi(\lambda)e, f \rangle_{\alpha}d\mu(\lambda),$ for all  $e, f \in \Phi_{\alpha}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ ,
- (b)  $\langle \chi(\lambda)e, e \rangle_{\alpha} \geq 0, \ e \in \Phi_{\alpha},$  $\|\chi(\lambda)\|_{\alpha}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable,

(a') 
$$(p_{\alpha}e, p_{\alpha}f) = \langle p_{\alpha}^{*}p_{\alpha}e, f \rangle_{\alpha} = \int_{R} \langle \chi(\lambda)e, f \rangle_{\alpha} d\mu(\lambda).$$

It is easily proved that conversely if for  $B(\Phi_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha}')$ -valued function  $\chi(\lambda)$  the conditions (b), (a') hold, there exists  $\mu$ -a.e. uniquely determined semi-spectral measure  $\{F(\sigma)\}$  for which the relation (a) is satisfied.

4. Now we are in the position to prove the main theorem.

THEOREM 4. Under the same condition as Theorem 3,

(c) 
$$A X(\lambda) = \lambda X(\lambda) \mu - a. e.,$$

and for any  $e \in \Phi$ ,

(d)  $\chi(\lambda)e = \sum_{n} t_n(\lambda) \langle e_n^*(\lambda), e \rangle e_n^*(\lambda), t_n(\lambda) \ge 0, \sum_{n} t_n(\lambda) \langle \infty \mu - a. e., where A e_n^*(\lambda) = \lambda e_n^*(\lambda), n = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Here we can select  $\{e_n^*(\lambda)\}$  such that for some  $\{e_n(\lambda)\}$ 

$$e_n^{*}(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)e_n(\lambda), \quad \langle e_m^{*}(\lambda), e_n(\lambda) 
angle_a = \delta_{m,n}$$

and  $\{e_n^*(\lambda)\}\$  is an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space  $\Phi_a^{\prime}$ .

PROOF. By (1) and (2) in section 1,  $\chi(\lambda)$  which we get in Theorem 3 satisfies the following two relations.

$$(9) \qquad (A_{0}p_{a}e, p_{a}f) = \int_{R} \lambda < \chi(\lambda)e, f > d\mu(\lambda),$$
$$\|A_{0}p_{a}e\|^{2} = \int_{R} \lambda^{2} < \chi(\lambda)e, e > d\mu(\lambda), \text{ for all } e, f \in \Phi$$

On the other hand

$$(F(\sigma)p_{\alpha}Ae, p_{\alpha}f) = \int_{\sigma} \langle \chi(\lambda)Ae, f \rangle d\mu(\lambda), \text{ for all } e, f \in \Phi.$$

Since  $\chi(\lambda)Ae$  is  $B(\Phi_{\alpha}, C)$ -valued function, where C denote the space of complex numbers, by Theorem 1,  $\chi(\lambda)Ae$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. uniquely determined. First we show that

$$(F(\sigma)A_0p_ae,p_af) = \int_{\sigma} \lambda < \chi(\lambda)e, f > d\mu(\lambda), \text{ for } e, f \in \Phi,$$

from which, since  $A_0 p_{\alpha}$  is equal to  $p_{\alpha} A$  on  $\Phi$  with our identification, it follows that

$$\int_{\sigma} < \chi(\lambda) A e - \lambda \chi(\lambda) e, f > d \mu(\lambda) = 0, \text{ for any } f \in \Phi \text{ and } \sigma \in \sum;$$

consequently

$$\chi(\lambda)Ae = \lambda \chi(\lambda)e, \mu$$
-a.e.

Let the spectral measure  $\{E(\sigma)\}$  on a Hilbert space K be the Neumark extension of  $\{F(\sigma)\}$  [1]. For

$$h = \sum_{i} E(\sigma_i) p_a e_i, e_i \in \Phi$$

put

$$h({f \lambda}) = \sum\limits_i arphi_{\sigma_i}({f \lambda}) e_i$$
 ,

where  $\varphi_{\sigma_i}$  denote the characteristic function of  $\sigma_i$ . We define an anti-linear functional  $\varphi(h)$  on a dense subset of K which maps h to

$$\int_{R}\lambda < \chi(\lambda)e, h(\lambda) > d\mu(\lambda)$$
 .

Then

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{R} \lambda < & \chi(\lambda) e, \sum_{i} \varphi_{\sigma_{i}}(\lambda) e_{i} > d \mu(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{R} |\lambda| < & \chi(\lambda) e, e > \frac{1}{2} < & \chi(\lambda) \sum_{i} \varphi_{\sigma_{i}} e_{i}, \sum_{i} \varphi_{\sigma_{i}} e_{i} > \frac{1}{2} d \mu(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_{R} \lambda^{2} < & \chi(\lambda) e, e > d \mu(\lambda) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j} \int_{\sigma_{i} \cap \sigma_{j}} < & \chi(\lambda) e_{i}, e_{j} > d \mu(\lambda) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

since  $p_{\alpha} * E(\sigma) p_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha} * F(\sigma) p_{\alpha}$  and by (9)

$$= \|A_0 p_{\alpha} e\| \left( \sum_{i,j} (E(\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j) p_{\alpha} e_i, p_{\alpha} e_j) \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= \|A_0 p_{\alpha} e\| \left( \sum_i E(\sigma_i) p_{\alpha} e_i, \sum_j E(\sigma_j) p_{\alpha} e_j \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= \|A_0 p_{\alpha} e\| \|h\|_{K}.$$

This show that  $\varphi$  is continuous, so we extend it on all of K. There exists  $h^*$  of K such that

$$(h^*,h)_{\kappa} = \int_{R} \lambda \langle \chi(\lambda)e,h(\lambda) \rangle d\mu(\lambda).$$

In particular, putting  $h = p_{\alpha} f$ , by (9)

$$(h^*,p_{a}f)_{\kappa}=\int_{R}\lambda<\mathfrak{X}(\lambda)e,\,f>d\mu(\lambda)=(A_{0}p_{a}e,p_{a}f),\,f\in\Phi$$

Thus  $Ph^* = A_0 p_a e$ , where P denotes the projection from K to H. Since by the above inequality  $||h^*|| \leq ||A_0 p_a e||$ ,  $h^* = A_0 p_a e$ , which was to be proved.

From the separability of  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ , it follows that

$$oldsymbol{\chi}(\lambda) A = \lambda oldsymbol{\chi}(\lambda)$$
  $\mu$ -a.e.

Since  $\chi(\lambda)$  are positive by (5) and  $\lambda$  is real, for any e and f of  $\Phi$ ,

$$< \chi(\lambda)f, Ae > = < \overline{\chi(\lambda)Ae, f} > = < \overline{\lambda\chi(\lambda)e, f} > = < \lambda\chi(\lambda)f, e > .$$

Here we note that the above relation is valid for all  $e \in \Phi, f \in \Phi_a$  and < ,  $>_a$ .

Hence

$$A \chi(\lambda) = \lambda \chi(\lambda) \quad \mu$$
-a.e.

Next we shall prove the rest part of the theorem. By Theorem 3,

$$(p_{\alpha}e, p_{\alpha}f) = \int_{R} \langle \chi(\lambda)e, f \rangle_{\alpha} d\mu(\lambda), e, f \in \Phi_{\alpha}.$$

Let  $\{e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis in  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ , then following value is finite by the assumption that  $p_{\alpha}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type.

$$\sum_{n} \|p_{\alpha}e_{n}\|^{2} = \sum_{n} (p_{\alpha}e_{n}, p_{\alpha}e_{n}) = \sum_{n} \int_{R} \langle \chi(\lambda)e_{n}, e_{n} \rangle_{\alpha} d\mu(\lambda).$$

By Beppo-Levi's theorem

$$\sum_{n} < \chi(\lambda) e_{n}, e_{n} >_{\alpha} < \infty, \quad \mu\text{-a. e.}$$

Identifying  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  to  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ , we regard  $\chi(\lambda)$  as the bounded positive operator on  $\Phi_{\alpha}$ . Above relation shows that the square root of  $\chi(\lambda)$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt type, i.e.,

$$\sum_n \|\chi(\lambda)^{1/2} e_n\|_{\alpha}^2 < \infty .$$

We denote its spectral decomposition by

$$\chi(\lambda)e = \sum_n t_n(\lambda)(e_n(\lambda), e)_{\alpha}e_n(\lambda)$$

where eigenvectors are orthonormal in  $\Phi_a$ , and  $\sum_n t_n(\lambda) < \infty$ . When we regard  $e_n(\lambda)$  as the element of  $\Phi_a$ , we denote it by  $e_n^*(\lambda)$ . Thus the relation  $A'e_n^*(\lambda) = \lambda e_n^*(\lambda)$  can be got by putting e by  $e_n(\lambda)$  in the formula  $A'\mathcal{X}(\lambda)e = \lambda \mathcal{X}(\lambda)e$ .

When  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  is a Banach space and  $p_{\alpha}$  is nuclear, by Theorem 2, this theorem also holds: the only exception concerns the last description; in this case the space  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  must be replaced by  $\Phi_{\beta}$  of Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The auther wishes to thank Professor M. Fukamiya for his helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] N. I. AKHIEZER AND I. M. GLAZMAN, Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space, vol. 2, New York, 1967.
- [2] F. E. BROWDER, Eigenfunction expansions for non-symmetric partial differential operators 1. Amer. J. Math., 80(1958), 365-381.
- [3] C. FOIAS, Décomposition intégrales des familles spectrales et semi-spectrales en opérateurs qui sortent de l'espace hilbertien, Acta Sci. Math., 20(1959), 117-155.
- [4] C. FOIAS, Décompositions en opérateurs et vecteurs propres, 1. Etudes de ces décompositions et leurs rapports avec les prolongements des opérateurs, Revue Math. Pures Appl., 7(1962), 241-282. II. Eléments de théorie spectrale dans les espaces nucléaires, ibid., 7(1962), 571-602.
- [5] L. GARDING, Eigenfunction Expansion. Lectures in applied Mathematics vol. 3, 1964, 303-325.
- [6] I. M. GELFAND AND A. G. KOSTYCHENKO, On eigenfunction expansions of differenital and other operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 103(1955), 349-352.
- [7] I. M. GELFAND AND N. YA. VILENKIN, Generalized function 4, New York, Akad. Press Inc., 1964.
- [8] K. MAURIN, Abbildungen von Hilbert-Schmidtschen Typus and ihre Anwendungen, Math. Scand., 9(1961), 359-371.
- [9] K. MAURIN, Allgemeine Eigenfunktionsentwicklungen, unitare Darstellungen, Localkompakter Gruppen und automorphe Funktionen, Math. Ann., 165(1966), 204-222.
- [10] F. I. MAUTNER, On eigenfunction expansion, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 39(1953), 49-53.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MIYAGI UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION SENDAI, JAPAN