

SOME REMARKS ON SEMI-GROUPS OF NONLINEAR OPERATORS

ISAO MIYADERA

(Rec. Aug. 29, 1970)

1. Let X be a Banach space, and let X_0 be a subset of X . By a *contraction semi-group* on X_0 we mean a family $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ of operators from X_0 into X_0 satisfying the following conditions :

$$(1.1) \quad T(0) = I \text{ (the identity), } T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) \text{ for } t, s \geq 0;$$

$$(1.2) \quad \|T(t)x - T(t)y\| \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x, y \in X_0;$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} T(t)x = x \text{ for } x \in X_0.$$

We define the *infinitesimal generator* A_0 of $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ by $A_0x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} h^{-1}(T(h)x - x)$ and the *weak infinitesimal generator* A' by $A'x = w\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} h^{-1}(T(h)x - x)$ whenever the right sides exist.

We shall deal with multi-valued operators. By a multi-valued operator A in X we mean that A assigns to each $x \in D(A)$ a subset $Ax \neq \emptyset$ of X , where $D(A) = \{x \in X; Ax \neq \emptyset\}$. And $D(A)$ is called the domain of A , and the range of A is defined by $R(A) = \bigcup_{x \in D(A)} Ax$. We define $\|Ax\| = \inf\{\|x'\|; x' \in Ax\}$ for $x \in D(A)$ and $A^0x = \{x' \in Ax; \|x'\| = \|Ax\|\}$. A^0 is called the *canonical restriction* of A . A multi-valued operator A in X is said to be closed, if the graph $G(A) = \bigcup_{x \in D(A)} [x, Ax]$ is closed in the product space $X \times X$ where $[x, Ax] = \{[x, x'] \in X \times X; x' \in Ax\}$ for $x \in D(A)$.

We now introduce the notion of dissipativity. Let X^* be the dual space of X and (x, x^*) denote the value of $x^* \in X^*$ at $x \in X$. A multi-valued operator A in X is said to be *dissipative* if for each $x, y \in D(A)$ and $x' \in Ax, y' \in Ay$ there exists a $\zeta^* \in F(x-y)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re}(x' - y', \zeta^*) \leq 0,$$

where $F(x) = \{x^* \in X^*; (x, x^*) = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$ for $x \in X$ and $\operatorname{Re}(x, x^*)$ means the

real part of (x, x^*) . It is known that A is dissipative if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \|x - y - \lambda(x' - y')\| \geq \|x - y\|$$

for $\lambda > 0$, $x, y \in D(A)$ and $x' \in Ax$, $y' \in Ay$ (see[5]).

Recently Crandall and Liggett [3] proved the following

THEOREM A. *If A is a dissipative operator satisfying*

$$(c_1) \quad R(I - \lambda A) \supset D(A) \text{ for } \lambda > 0,$$

then there exists a contraction semi-group $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ such that for each $x \in R \cap \overline{D(A)}$

$$(1.6) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} (I - \lambda A)^{-[t/\lambda]} x$$

uniformly on every bounded interval of $[0, \infty)$, and

$$(1.7) \quad \|T(t)x - T(s)x\| \leq \|Ax\| |t - s| \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } t, s \geq 0,$$

where $R = \bigcap_{\lambda > 0} R(I - \lambda A)$ and $[\]$ denotes the Gaussian bracket.

In Section 2 we shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem A, suppose that A is closed. Let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ given by Theorem A. If $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and if $T(t)x$ is strongly differentiable at $t_0 > 0$, then*

$$T(t_0)x \in D(A) \text{ and } [(d/dt)T(t)x]_{t=t_0} \in AT(t_0)x.$$

This theorem has been proved in [3] under the condition

$$(c_2) \quad R(I - \lambda A) \supset \text{co } D(A) \text{ for } \lambda > 0,$$

where $\text{co } D(A)$ denotes the convex hull of $D(A)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on Lemma 1. By using the same lemma we have the following

THEOREM 3. *Let A be maximal dissipative in $\overline{D(A)}$ satisfying (c_1) , and let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ given by Theorem A. Assume that A^0 is single valued.*

(i') If X is reflexive, then $D(A^0)=D(A)$, A^0 is the weak infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and

$$(w-D^+)T(t)x = A^0T(t)x \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

(ii') If X is uniformly convex, then $D(A^0)=D(A)$, A^0 is the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and

$$D^+T(t)x = A^0T(t)x \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Here $D^+T(t)x$ (or $(w-D^+)T(t)x$) denotes the strong (or weak) right derivative of $T(t)x$.

And it follows from Theorem 3 that if X and X^* are uniformly convex and if A is closed dissipative satisfying (c_1) , then A^0 is single valued with $D(A^0) = D(A)$ and it is the infinitesimal generator of a unique contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ (Corollary 2).

In Section 3 we shall deal with approximation of contraction semi-groups. And we may obtain the following

THEOREM 4. Let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a contraction semi-group on a closed convex set X_0 , and put $E = \{x \in X_0; \|A^h x\| = O(1) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0+\}$, where $A^h = h^{-1}(T(h) - I)$. Then for each $x \in \overline{E}$

$$(1.8) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{(\lambda, h) \rightarrow (0, 0)} (I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]} x$$

uniformly on every bounded interval of $[0, \infty)$.

For $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ in Theorem 4, we have also that for each $x \in E$

$$(1.9) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{(1-t)I + tT(1/n)\}^n x$$

uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$ (Corollary 3).

Theorem 4 is somewhat sharper than a theorem due to Neuberger [9], and (1.9) is well known in linear case (see [4, Theorem 10.4.3]).

REMARK. Theorem A can be extended to the following form (see [3]). If $A - \omega I$ is dissipative for some $\omega \geq 0$ and $R(I - \lambda A) \supset D(A)$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1/\omega)$, then there exists a semi-group $\{T(t); t \geq 0\} \in Q_\omega(\overline{D(A)})$ satisfying (1.6) with $R = \bigcap_{\lambda \in (0, 1/\omega)} R(I - \lambda A)$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \|T(t)x - T(s)x\| \leq e^{\omega \max(t,s)} \|Ax\| |t-s|$$

for $x \in D(A)$ and $t, s \geq 0$. And the results mentioned in Section 2 may be also extended to this type. Here by $\{T(t); t \geq 0\} \in Q_\omega(X_0)$ we mean that $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$ are operators from X_0 into itself with the properties (1.1), (1.3) and

$$(1.2') \quad \|T(t)x - T(t)y\| \leq e^{\omega t} \|x - y\| \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x, y \in X_0.$$

Our results in Section 3 also hold true for semi-groups of class $Q_\omega(X_0)$.

2. We define $\langle, \rangle_s : X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_s = \sup \{ \operatorname{Re}(x, y^*) ; y^* \in F(y) \}.$$

It is shown that $|\langle x, y \rangle_s| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ and

(2.1) $\langle, \rangle_s : X \times X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is upper semicontinuous (see [3, Lemma 2.16]).

Let A be dissipative satisfying the condition

$$(c_1') \quad R(I - \lambda A) \supset \overline{D(A)} \text{ for } \lambda > 0.$$

Since A is dissipative one can define for each $\lambda > 0$ a single valued operator $J_\lambda = (I - \lambda A)^{-1} : R(I - \lambda A) \rightarrow D(A)$ such that

$$\|J_\lambda x - J_\lambda y\| \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for } x, y \in R(I - \lambda A).$$

We set $A_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(J_\lambda - I)$ for $\lambda > 0$. The following properties of A_λ are well known :

$$(2.2) \quad A_\lambda x \in A J_\lambda x \text{ for } x \in R(I - \lambda A);$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|A_\lambda x\| \leq \|Ax\| \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

Theorem A shows that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} J_\lambda^{t/\lambda} x \text{ exists for } x \in \overline{D(A)} \text{ and } t \geq 0,$$

and if $T(t)x$ is defined as the limit in (2.4) then $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ is a contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad \|T(t)x - T(s)x\| \leq \|Ax\| |t-s| \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } t, s \geq 0.$$

LEMMA 1. Let A be dissipative satisfying (c_1') and let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ defined by the limit in (2.4). If $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and $y_0 \in Ax_0$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{\xi^* \in F(x-x_0)} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{T(t)x-x}{t}, \xi^* \right) \leq \langle y_0, x-x_0 \rangle_s.$$

PROOF. Since $\|J_\lambda^{[t/\lambda]}x_0 - x_0\| \leq [t/\lambda]\|J_\lambda x_0 - x_0\| \leq t\|Ax_0\|$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \|J_\lambda^{[t/\lambda]}x - x_0\| \leq \|J_\lambda^{[t/\lambda]}x - J_\lambda^{[t/\lambda]}x_0\| + \|J_\lambda^{[t/\lambda]}x_0 - x_0\| \leq \|x-x_0\| + t\|Ax_0\|$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $t \geq 0$. For each $\lambda > 0$ and positive integer k ,

$$y_{\lambda,k} \equiv \lambda^{-1}(J_\lambda^k x - J_\lambda^{k-1}x) = A_\lambda J_\lambda^{k-1}x \in A J_\lambda^k x$$

by (2.2). Since A is dissipative, there is an $\eta^* \in F(J_\lambda^k x - x_0)$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re}(y_{\lambda,k} - y_0, \eta^*) \leq 0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(y_{\lambda,k}, \eta^*) &= \lambda^{-1} \operatorname{Re}(J_\lambda^k x - x_0 - \{J_\lambda^{k-1}x - x_0\}, \eta^*) \\ &\geq \lambda^{-1}(\|J_\lambda^k x - x_0\|^2 - \|J_\lambda^{k-1}x - x_0\| \|J_\lambda^k x - x_0\|) \\ &\geq (2\lambda)^{-1}(\|J_\lambda^k x - x_0\|^2 - \|J_\lambda^{k-1}x - x_0\|^2); \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_\lambda^k x - x_0\|^2 - \|J_\lambda^{k-1}x - x_0\|^2 &\leq 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(y_{\lambda,k}, \eta^*) \\ &= 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(y_{\lambda,k} - y_0, \eta^*) + 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(y_0, \eta^*) \\ &\leq 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(y_0, \eta^*) \quad (\text{by (2.8)}) \\ &\leq 2\lambda \langle y_0, J_\lambda^k x - x_0 \rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $J_\lambda^{[t/\lambda]}x = J_\lambda^k x$ for $k\lambda \leq \tau < (k+1)\lambda$,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|J_\lambda^k x - x_0\|^2 - \|J_\lambda^{k-1}x - x_0\|^2 \\ \leq 2 \int_{k\lambda}^{(k+1)\lambda} \langle y_0, J_\lambda^{[\tau/\lambda]}x - x_0 \rangle_s d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t \geq \lambda$ and add (2.9) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, [t/\lambda]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J_\lambda^{t/\lambda}x - x_0\|^2 - \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \int_\lambda^{(t/\lambda+1)\lambda} \langle y_0, J_\lambda^{\tau/\lambda}x - x_0 \rangle_s d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the lim sup as $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$ we have from (2.7), (2.1) and the Lebesgue convergence theorem that for $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & \|T(t)x - x_0\|^2 - \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^t \langle y_0, T(\tau)x - x_0 \rangle_s d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|T(t)x - x_0\|^2 - \|x - x_0\|^2 \geq 2 \operatorname{Re}(T(t)x - x, \zeta^*)$ for any $\zeta^* \in F(x - x_0)$, (2.10) yields

$$(2.11) \quad \operatorname{Re}(T(t)x - x, \zeta^*) \leq \int_0^t \langle y_0, T(\tau)x - x_0 \rangle_s d\tau$$

for $t \geq 0$.

In view of (2.1) and the strong continuity of $T(\tau)x$ in $\tau \geq 0$, $\langle y_0, T(\tau)x - x_0 \rangle_s$ is upper semicontinuous in $\tau \geq 0$. Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle y_0, T(\tau)x - x_0 \rangle_s < \langle y_0, x - x_0 \rangle_s + \varepsilon \text{ for } 0 \leq \tau < \delta.$$

It follows from (2.11) that if $0 < t < \delta$ then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{T(t)x - x}{t}, \zeta^* \right) \leq \langle y_0, x - x_0 \rangle_s + \varepsilon.$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{T(t)x - x}{t}, \zeta^* \right) \leq \langle y_0, x - x_0 \rangle_s$$

for any $\zeta^* \in F(x - x_0)$. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We note that under assumptions of Theorem 1, (2.6) in Lemma 1 holds true. In fact, $R(I - \lambda A)$ is closed for each $\lambda > 0$ because A is closed; and hence (c_1) implies (c_1') . Then, by using the same method as in the proof of Theorem II in [3], we obtain the conclusion. Q. E. D.

REMARK. In [3], the condition (c₂) has been used only to prove Lemma 1 above.

Let A_i , $i=1, 2$ be multi-valued operators in X . A_2 is an extension of A_1 , and A_1 is a restriction of A_2 , in symbol $A_2 \supset A_1$, $A_1 \subset A_2$, if $D(A_1) \subset D(A_2)$ and $A_1 x \subset A_2 x$ for $x \in D(A_1)$. If S is a subset of X and A is a dissipative operator, we say that A is *maximal dissipative* in S if $D(A) \subset S$ and A has not any proper dissipative extension \tilde{A} such that $D(\tilde{A}) \subset S$. Lemma 1 leads to the following

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be maximal dissipative in $\overline{D(A)}$ satisfying (c₁), and let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ defined by the limit in (2.4). (Note that (c₁') is satisfied, since the maximality of A implies that A is closed.)*

(i) *If $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and if $x' = \text{w-lim}_{t_n \rightarrow 0^+} t_n^{-1}(T(t_n)x - x)$, then $x \in D(A^0)$, $x \in A^0 x$ and*

$$\lim_{t_n \rightarrow 0^+} t_n^{-1} \|T(t_n)x - x\| = \|x\| = \|Ax\|.$$

(ii) *If X is reflexive, then*

$$\{x \in \overline{D(A)}; \|T(t)x - x\| = O(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+\} = D(A) = D(A^0)$$

and for each x belonging to the set above

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-1} \|T(t)x - x\| = \|Ax\|.$$

PROOF. (i) We first note that there is a $y^* \in F(y)$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Re}(x, y^*)$ since $F(y)$ is compact in the weak* topology of X^* .

Let $x_0 \in D(A)$ and let $y_0 \in Ax_0$. By Lemma 1,

$$\sup_{\zeta^* \in F(x-x_0)} \text{Re}(x, \zeta^*) \leq \text{Re}(y_0, \eta^*) \text{ for some } \eta^* \in F(x-x_0).$$

So that

$$\text{Re}(x' - y_0, \eta^*) \leq 0 \text{ for some } \eta^* \in F(x-x_0).$$

The maximal dissipativity of A implies that $x \in D(A)$ and $x' \in Ax$ (see [6, Lemma 3.4]). But, by (2.5), $\|T(t_n)x - x\| \leq \|Ax\| t_n$. And hence

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|x'\| \leq \liminf_{t_n \rightarrow 0^+} t_n^{-1} \|T(t_n)x - x\|$$

$$\leq \limsup_{t_n \rightarrow 0^+} t_n^{-1} \|T(t_n)x - x\| \leq \|Ax\|.$$

Thus $\lim_{t_n \rightarrow 0^+} t_n^{-1} (\|T(t_n)x - x\|) = \|x\| = \|Ax\|$, $x \in D(A^0)$ and $x' \in A^0x$.

(ii) Clearly $\{x \in \overline{D(A)}; \|T(t)x - x\| = O(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+\} \supset D(A) \supset D(A^0)$ by (2.5). Let $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and let $\|T(t)x - x\| = O(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. It follows from the reflexivity of X that every sequence $\{t_n\}$, $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$ has a subsequence $\{t_{n_i}\}$ such that $\{t_{n_i}^{-1}(T(t_{n_i})x - x)\}$ is weakly convergent. Therefore, by (i), $x \in D(A^0)$ and $\lim_{t_{n_i} \rightarrow 0^+} t_{n_i}^{-1} \|T(t_{n_i})x - x\| = \|Ax\|$. And the uniqueness of the limit shows

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-1} \|T(t)x - x\| = \|Ax\|.$$

Q. E. D.

Let us now consider the Cauchy problem

$$(2.12) \quad (d/dt)u(t) \in Au(t) \text{ a. e. } t \in [0, \infty), \quad u(0) = x$$

where A is a given dissipative operator. A single valued mapping $u(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is called a *solution* of (2.12) if $u(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous in $t \geq 0$, $u(t)$ is strongly differentiable at a. e. $t \geq 0$, $u(t) \in D(A)$ for a. e. $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $u(t)$ satisfies (2.12). It follows from the dissipativity of A that (2.12) has at most one solution (for example, see the proof of Theorem 3 in [8]).

In view of Theorem 1 we have the following

THEOREM 2. *Let A be closed dissipative satisfying (c_1) , and let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ given by Theorem A.*

(a) *If $T(t)x$ with $x \in D(A)$ is strongly differentiable at a. e. $t \in [0, \infty)$ then it is a unique solution of (2.12).*

(b) *If X is reflexive then for each $x \in D(A)$ $T(t)x$ is a unique solution of (2.12).*

PROOF. By (1.7), $T(t)x$ is Lipschitz continuous in $t \geq 0$ if $x \in D(A)$. Therefore (a) follows from Theorem 1. If X is reflexive, then every Lipschitz continuous X -valued function in $t \geq 0$ is strongly differentiable at a. e. $t \in [0, \infty)$ (see [7, Appendix]). Hence (b) is obtained. Q. E. D.

REMARK. Let A be dissipative satisfying the condition (c_1) , and let $x \in D(A)$. It has been proved by Brezis and Pazy [1] that if $u(t)$ is a solution of (2.12)

then $u(t) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} (I - \lambda A)^{-[t/\lambda]} x$ uniformly on every bounded interval of $[0, \infty)$ and $(d/dt)u(t) \in A^0 u(t)$ for a. e. $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2 (b) shows that if X is reflexive and if A is closed dissipative satisfying (c_1) , then $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$(2.13) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} (I - \lambda A)^{-[t/\lambda]} x \text{ for } x \in \overline{D(A)} \text{ and } t \geq 0$$

is a unique contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ such that for each $x \in D(A)$,

$$\|T(t)x - T(s)x\| \leq \|Ax\| |t - s| \quad \text{for } t, s \geq 0$$

and

$$(d/dt)T(t)x \in AT(t)x \quad \text{a. e. } t \in [0, \infty)$$

(and hence $(d/dt)T(t)x \in A^0 T(t)x$ a. e. $t \in [0, \infty)$).

Our next problem is to find the infinitesimal generator of this semi-group.

THEOREM 3. *Let A be maximal dissipative in $\overline{D(A)}$ satisfying (c_1) , and let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ defined by (2.13). Assume that A^0 is single valued. Then we have*

(i) *if X is reflexive, then $D(A^0) = D(A)$, A^0 is the weak infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and*

$$(2.14) \quad (w-D^+)T(t)x = A^0 T(t)x \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } t \geq 0,$$

(ii) *if X is uniformly convex, then $D(A^0) = D(A)$, A^0 is the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ and*

$$(2.15) \quad D^+T(t)x = A^0 T(t)x \text{ for } x \in D(A) \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

PROOF. (i) We proved already

$$\{x \in \overline{D(A)}; \|T(h)x - x\| = O(h) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0^+\} = D(A) = D(A^0)$$

(Corollary 1 (ii)). From this and $\|T(h)T(t)x - T(t)x\| \leq \|T(h)x - x\|$, $T(t)x \in D(A^0)$ for $x \in D(A^0)$ and $t \geq 0$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$(2.16) \quad \text{w-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-1}(T(t)x - x) = A^0 x \text{ for } x \in D(A^0).$$

Let $x \in D(A^0)$ and let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence such that $t_n \rightarrow 0+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since X is reflexive, there are an $x \in X$ and a subsequence $\{t_{n_i}\}$ of $\{t_n\}$ such that

$$x' = \text{w-lim}_{n_i \rightarrow \infty} t_{n_i}^{-1}(T(t_{n_i})x - x).$$

By Corollary 1(i),

$$A^0 x = x = \text{w-lim}_{n_i \rightarrow \infty} t_{n_i}^{-1}(T(t_{n_i})x - x).$$

From the uniqueness of the limit (2.16) follows.

(ii') Since X is also reflexive, the conclusions in (i') hold true. It is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{-1}(T(t)x - x) = A^0 x \quad \text{for } x \in D(A^0).$$

But this is obtained from the uniform convexity of X , (2.16) and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{-1} \|T(t)x - x\| = \|A^0 x\|$ for $x \in D(A^0)$ (Corollary 1 (ii)). Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 2. *Let X and X^* be uniformly convex, and let A be closed dissipative satisfying (c₁). Then*

(a) A^0 is single valued with $D(A^0) = D(A)$,

(b) A^0 is the infinitesimal generator of a unique contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$.

PROOF. Let \tilde{A} be a maximal dissipative operator in $\overline{D(A)}$ such that $\tilde{A} \supset A$. Note that \tilde{A}^0 is single valued with $D(\tilde{A}^0) = D(\tilde{A})$ (see [6, Lemma 3.10]). Since $D(A) \subset D(\tilde{A}) \subset \overline{D(A)}$ and $R(I - \lambda A) \supset \overline{D(A)}$ for $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$R(I - \lambda \tilde{A}) \supset \overline{D(\tilde{A})} (= \overline{D(A)}) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0.$$

Put

$$T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} (I - \lambda \tilde{A})^{-[t/\lambda]} x (= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} (I - \lambda A)^{-[t/\lambda]} x)$$

for $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and $t \geq 0$. By Theorem 3 (ii), $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ is a unique contraction semi-group on $\overline{D(A)}$ with the infinitesimal generator \tilde{A}^0 .

On the other hand it is shown that $D(\tilde{A}) = D(A) = D(A^0)$ and $\tilde{A}^0 = A^0$ (see [10, Proposition 4.2]). This completes the proof.

3. Throughout this section it is assumed that X_0 is a closed convex subset of X . We start from the following

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that C is a contraction from X_0 into itself (i. e., $\|Cx - Cy\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in X_0$), and put $C^h = h^{-1}(C - I)$ for $h > 0$.*

(i) *There exists a unique contraction semi-group $\{T(t; C - I); t \geq 0\}$ on X_0 such that $(d/dt)T(t; C - I)x = (C - I)T(t; C - I)x$ for $x \in X_0, t \geq 0$ and*

$$(3.1) \quad \|T(m; C - I)x - C^m x\| \leq \sqrt{m} \|(C - I)x\|$$

for $x \in X_0, m = 1, 2, \dots$.

(ii) *For each $h > 0$ there exists a unique contraction semi-group $\{T(t; C^h); t \geq 0\}$ on X_0 such that $(d/dt)T(t; C^h)x = C^h T(t; C^h)x$ for $x \in X_0, t \geq 0$ and*

$$(3.2) \quad \|T(t; C^h)x - C^{[t/h]}x\| \leq (\sqrt{th} + h)\|C^h x\|$$

for $x \in X_0, t \geq 0$.

PROOF. For the proof of (i), refer to [1, Lemma 2.4] or [8, Appendix]. (ii) is easily obtained from (i). In fact, $T(t; C^h) = T(t/h; C - I)$. By (3.1)

$$\|T([t/h]h; C^h)x - C^{[t/h]}x\| \leq \sqrt{th} \|C^h x\|$$

for $t \geq 0, x \in X_0$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t; C^h)x - T([t/h]h; C^h)x\| &\leq \int_{[t/h]h}^t \|C^h T(s; C^h)x\| ds \\ &\leq h \|C^h x\| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, x \in X_0. \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities (3.2) follows.

Q. E. D.

Let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a contraction semi-group on X_0 , and set

$$A^h = h^{-1}(T(h) - I) \quad \text{for } h > 0.$$

Using Lemma 2 (ii) with $C = T(h)$ and $C^h = A^h$, we see that there is a unique contraction semi-group $\{T(t; A^h); t \geq 0\}$ on X_0 such that

$$(3.3) \quad (d/dt)T(t; A^h)x = A^h T(t; A^h)x \quad \text{for } x \in X_0, t \geq 0$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \|T(t; A^h)x - T([t/h]h)x\| \leq (\sqrt{th} + h)\|A^h x\|$$

for $x \in X_0$, $t \geq 0$.

Next for any fixed $\xi \in [0, 1]$ and $h > 0$ we define $C(\xi, h)$ by

$$C(\xi, h) = \xi T(h) + (1 - \xi)I.$$

Obviously $C(\xi, h)$ is a contraction from X_0 into itself. Put

$$A^h(\xi) = h^{-1}(C(\xi, h) - I).$$

In view of Lemma 2 (ii) (with $C = C(\xi, h)$ and $C^h = A^h(\xi)$), there is a unique contraction semi-group $\{T(t; A^h(\xi)); t \geq 0\}$ on X_0 such that $(d/dt) T(t; A^h(\xi))x = A^h(\xi)T(t; A^h(\xi))x$ for $x \in X_0$, $t \geq 0$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \|T(t; A^h(\xi))x - C(\xi, h)^{[t/h]}x\| \leq (\sqrt{th} + h)\|A^h(\xi)x\|$$

for $x \in X_0$, $t \geq 0$. Since $A^h(\xi) = \xi A^h$,

$$T(t; A^h(\xi)) = T(t\xi; A^h)$$

Combining this with (3.5)

$$\|T(t\xi; A^h)x - C(\xi, h)^{[t/h]}x\| \leq (\sqrt{th} + h)\|A^h x\|$$

for $x \in X_0$, $t \geq 0$. Setting $t = 1$ in the inequality above, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|T(\xi; A^h)x - \{(1 - \xi)I + \xi T(h)\}^{[1/h]}x\| \leq (\sqrt{h} + h)\|A^h x\|$$

for $x \in X_0$, $\xi \in [0, 1]$ and $h > 0$.

Since $\|T(t)x - T([t/h]h)x\| \leq \|T(t - [t/h]h)x - x\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t \geq 0$, as $h \rightarrow 0+$, for any $x \in X_0$, (3.4) and (3.6) show the following

COROLLARY 3. Set $\bar{E} = \{x \in X_0; \|A^h x\| = O(1) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0+\}$.

(a) For each $x \in \bar{E}$

$$T(t)x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} T(t; A^h)x$$

uniformly on every bounded interval of $[0, \infty)$.

(b) For each $x \in \bar{E}$

$$T(t)x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \{(1-t)I + tT(h)\}^{[t/h]}x$$

uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$.

REMARK. Let A_0 be the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$. Chambers [2] showed that the above (b) holds true for each $x \in \bar{D}_0$, where D_0 is a subset of $D(A_0)$ such that if $x \in D_0$ then $T(t)x \in D(A_0)$ for a. e. $t \geq 0$.

It is easily shown that for each $h > 0$, A^h is dissipative and

$$(3.7) \quad R(I - \lambda A^h) \supset X_0 = D(A^h) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0.$$

We now consider the behavior of $(I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]}x$ as $(\lambda, h) \rightarrow (0, 0)$. An estimation by Crandall and Liggett [3, (1.9)] shows that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|(I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]}x - (I - \mu A^h)^{-[t/\mu]}x\| \\ &\leq 2(\lambda^2 + t(\lambda - \mu))^{1/2} \|A^h x\| \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in X_0, t \geq 0$ and $\lambda > \mu > 0$.

Note that $T(t; A^h)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} (I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]}x$ for $x \in X_0$ and $t \geq 0$. (For example, this follows from the remark after Theorem 2 because by (3.3) $T(t; A^h)x$ with $x \in X_0$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem $(d/dt)u(t) = A^h u(t), u(0) = x$.) Letting $\mu \rightarrow 0$ in (3.8), we have

$$\|(I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]}x - T(t; A^h)x\| \leq 2(\lambda^2 + \lambda t)^{1/2} \|A^h x\|$$

for $x \in X_0, t \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. Combining this with (3.4),

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|T([t/h]h)x - (I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]}x\| \\ &\leq \{\sqrt{t\bar{h}} + h + 2(\lambda^2 + \lambda t)^{1/2}\} \|A^h x\| \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in X_0, t \geq 0, \lambda > 0$ and $h > 0$.

Thus we obtain the following

THEOREM 4. *Let $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a contraction semi-group on a closed*

convex subset X_0 of X , and put $E = \{x \in X_0; \|A^h x\| = O(1) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0+\}$, where $A^h = h^{-1}(T(h) - I)$. Then for each $x \in \bar{E}$

$$(3.10) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{(\lambda, h) \rightarrow (0, 0)} (I - \lambda A^h)^{-[t/\lambda]} x$$

uniformly on every bounded interval of $[0, \infty)$.

Added in Proof. Under the assumptions that X^* is uniformly convex and A is m -dissipative, the conclusion of Corollary 1 (ii) has been obtained by Brezis (On a problem of T. Kato, to appear).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BREZIS AND A. PAZY, Accretive sets and differential equations in Banach spaces, to appear.
- [2] J. T. CHAMBERS, Some remarks on the approximation of nonlinear semi-groups, Proc. Japan Acad., 46(1970),
- [3] M. G. CRANDALL AND T. M. LIGGETT, Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces, to appear.
- [4] E. HILLE AND R. S. PHILLIPS, Functional analysis and semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., (1957).
- [5] T. KATO, Nonlinear semi-groups and evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19(1967), 503-520.
- [6] T. KATO, Accretive operators and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces, Proc. Symp. Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Chicago, Amer. Math. Soc., (1968).
- [7] Y. KÖMURA, Nonlinear semi-groups in Hilbert space, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19(1967), 493-507.
- [8] I. MIYADERA AND S. OHARU, Approximation of semi-groups of nonlinear operators, Tôhoku Math. J., 22(1970), 24-47.
- [9] J. W. NEUBERGER, An exponential formula for one-parameter semi-groups of nonlinear transformations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 18(1966), 154-157.
- [10] S. OHARU, On the generation of semi-groups of nonlinear contractions, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 22(1970), 526-550.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WASEDA UNIVERSITY
TOKYO, JAPAN