

## ON THE ALGEBRA OF MEASURABLE OPERATORS FOR A GENERAL $AW^*$ -ALGEBRA II

KAZUYUKI SAITÔ

(Rec. April 30, 1971)

**1. Introduction.** Let us consider the following problem: Let  $\Pi M_i$  be the  $c^*$ -sum of a family  $(M_i)$  of  $AW^*$ -algebras, that is, the algebra of all bounded sequences  $\{a_i\}$ ,  $a_i \in M_i$ , with natural norm and  $*$ -operations (note that  $M_\infty = \Pi M_i$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra ([5]) and  $C_\infty$  (resp.  $C_i$ ) be the algebra of "measurable operators" affiliated with  $M_\infty$  (resp.  $M_i$ ) ([8]), then is it true that  $C_\infty$  is the complete direct sum of  $C_i$  (the set of all families  $x = (x_i)$  with  $x_i \in C_i$  for each  $i$ , with the coordinatewise operations)? S.K. Berberian [2] showed that it is true when  $M_\infty$  is of finite type.

However, we shall show that the answer to this problem is negative in general. Then we shall define a "locally measurable operator" affiliated with the given  $AW^*$ -algebra  $M$  ( $LMO(M)$ ) (when  $M$  is of finite class or a factor, then any  $LMO(M)$  is a "measurable operator" affiliated with  $M$  ( $MO(M)$ ) ([2], [8])) and show that with suitable operations, then set  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  of all  $LMO(M)$  is a  $*$ -algebra in which the set  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  of all  $MO(M)$  is naturally imbedded as a  $*$ -subalgebra. Moreover we shall prove: Suppose  $M_\infty$  is the  $c^*$ -sum of a family  $(M_i)$  of  $AW^*$ -algebras and  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_i$ ) is the algebra of all  $LMO(M_\infty)$  (resp.  $LMO(M_i)$ ), then  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  is the complete direct sum of the  $\mathcal{M}_i$ . In the course of the proof, we shall show, along the same lines with [8], for any given  $AW^*$ -algebra  $M$ , (1)  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  is a Baer $*$ -ring in the sense of [7], (2) every element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  has a polar decomposition  $x = w(x^*x)^{1/2}$ , where  $w^*w$  and  $w w^*$  are the right and left projections of  $x$  (in particular  $RP(x) \sim LP(x)$ , where  $RP(x)$  (resp.  $LP(x)$ ) is the right (resp. left) projection of  $x$ ) and (3) if  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  is regular ([10], Definition 2.2), then  $M$  is of finite class (an alternative proof of ([6]) Theorem) and  $\mathcal{M}(M) = \mathcal{C}(M)$  ([2] Lemma). Finally we remark that if  $M$  is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra, then any integrable element of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  with respect to a normal trace is necessarily measurable. All other notations and definitions are referred to [8].

**2. A characterization of the algebra of measurable operators.** We shall start with the following characterization of  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  for any given  $AW^*$ -algebra  $M$ . Suppose  $N$  is an algebra over the complex numbers with involution  $*$ , the unit element 1 and containing the given  $AW^*$ -algebra  $M$  as a  $*$ -subalgebra. Assume further that (1)  $x, y \in N$  and  $x^*x + y^*y = 1$ , then  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  for any  $x \in N$ ,  $1 + x^*x$

is invertible and  $(1+x^*x)^{-1} \in M$  and (3) let  $u$  be a unitary element in  $M$ , write  $\{u\}'' = C(\Omega)$  (where  $\{u\}''$  is the double commutant of  $\{u\}$  in  $M$  and  $C(\Omega)$  is the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on a Stone space  $\Omega$  [4]) and let  $\Omega_0$  be the open set  $\{\omega, \omega \in \Omega, u(\omega) \neq 1\}$ , then  $1-u$  is invertible in  $N$  if and only if  $\Omega_0$  is dense in  $\Omega$  and there exist clopen subsets  $\Omega_n$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega_0$  and  $\{\chi_{\Omega_n}\}$  (where  $\chi_{\Omega_n}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega_n$  for each  $n$ ) is an SDD, that is,  $\chi_{\Omega_n} \uparrow (n)$  and  $1-\chi_{\Omega_n}$  is a finite projection in  $M$  for each  $n$  ([8], Definition 3.1). Then we have

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For any AW\*-algebra  $M, N$  is \*-isomorphic to  $C(M)$ .*

PROOF. Note first by ([3], Theorem 2.3) that  $N$  is a Baer\*-ring in the sense of [7]. By axiom (2), for any  $x \in N$  with  $x = x^*$ ,  $x+i1$  and  $x-i1$  are invertible in  $N$  and  $(x+i1)^{-1}, (x-i1)^{-1} \in \{x\}''$  (the double commutant of  $\{x\}$  in  $N$ ). Let  $u = (x-i1)(x+i1)^{-1}$ , then  $u$  is a unitary element in  $M$  and  $1-u$  is invertible in  $N$ . Therefore by axiom (3), there is an SDD  $\{e_n\}$  in  $\{u\}''$  such that  $ue_n - e_n$  is invertible in  $e_n M e_n$  for each  $n$ . Observe that  $\{x\}'' \cap M = \{u\}''$  and  $x = i(1+u)(1-u)^{-1}$ ,  $x e_n = i(1+u)(1-u)^{-1} e_n \in M$  for each  $n$ . Therefore for any  $x \in N$  with  $x = y_1 + iy_2$  ( $y_1, y_2 \in N_{sa}$  the self-adjoint part of  $N$ ) let  $u_1$  (resp.  $u_2$ ) be the Cayley transform of  $y_1$  (resp.  $y_2$ ), then there exist SDD's  $\{e_n(1)\} (\subset \{u_1\}'')$  and  $\{e_n(2)\} (\subset \{u_2\}'')$  such that  $y_1 e_n(1), y_2 e_n(2) \in M$  for all  $n$ . Now put  $e_n(1) \wedge e_n(2)$ ,  $x_n = e_n x e_n$  and  $f_n = (x e_n)^{-1} [e_n] \wedge [x^* e_n]^{-1} [e_n]$  where  $x^{-1}[e]$  is the largest projection right-annihilating  $(1-e)x$  in  $N$  (note that  $N$  is a Baer\*-ring and by axiom (1) it has no new projections), then by the definition and ([8] Lemma 3.1),  $\{e_n\}$  and  $\{f_n\}$  are SDD's and  $\{x_n, f_n\}$  is an EMO (according to ([8], Definition 3.1), a pair of sequences  $\{x_n, f_n\}$  with  $x_n \in M$  and  $f_n \in M_p$  (the set of all projections in  $M$ ) is an EMO if  $\{f_n\}$  is an SDD and  $m < n$  implies  $x_n f_m = x_m f_m$  and  $x_n^* f_m = x_m^* f_m$ ). Let us consider the Cayley transforms of the real and imaginary parts of the MO  $[x_n, f_n]$  ([8], Definition 3.4 and Theorem 3.1. Two EMO's  $\{x_n, e_n\}$  and  $\{y_n, f_n\}$  are equivalent if there exists an SDD  $\{g_n\}$  such that  $x_n g_n = y_n g_n$ ,  $x_n^* g_n = y_n^* g_n$  for all  $n$ .  $[x_n, e_n]$  is its equivalence class and is said a "measurable operator" (MO)). Then, an easy calculation shows that  $[1/2(x_n + x_n^*), f_n] = [y_1 e_n, e_n]$ ,  $[1/2i(x_n - x_n^*), f_n] = [y_2 e_n, e_n], (y_1 + i1)^{-1} e_n \in M$  for each  $n$  and  $(i1 + [y_1 e_n, e_n])^{-1} = [(y_1 + i1)^{-1} e_n, e_n]$ . Therefore the Cayley transform of  $[1/2(x_n + x_n^*), f_n]$  (resp.  $[1/2i(x_n - x_n^*), f_n]$ ) is  $u_1$  (resp.  $u_2$ ). Thus for any  $x$ ,  $[x_n, f_n]$  is uniquely determined. Set  $\Phi(x) = [x_n, f_n]$ , then by a direct calculation  $\Phi$  is one to one, linear and \*-preserving map of  $N$  into  $C(M)$ . For any  $x, y$  and  $xy \in N$ , let  $\{e_n(x)\}, \{f_n(x)\}, \{e_n(y)\}, \{f_n(y)\}, \{e_n(xy)\}$  and  $\{f_n(xy)\}$  be SDD's such that  $\Phi(x) = [e_n(x) x e_n(x), f_n(x)]$ ,  $\Phi(y) = [e_n(y) y e_n(y), f_n(y)]$  and  $\Phi(xy) = [e_n(xy) x y e_n(xy), f_n(xy)]$ , then since  $\Phi(x)\Phi(y) = [e_n(x) x e_n(x) e_n(y) y e_n(y), g_n]$  for some SDD  $\{g_n\}$  by ([8], Theorem 3.1), the SDD  $\{h_n\}$  (where  $h_n = f_n(x) \wedge f_n(y) \wedge f_n(xy) \wedge ((y e_n(y))^{-1} [e_n(x)]) \wedge ((x_n e_n(x))^{-1} [e_n(y)]) \wedge (x y e_n(y))^{-1} [e_n(x)] \wedge (y^* x^* e_n(x))^{-1} [e_n(y)])$ ) implements the

equivalence  $\{e_n(x)xe_n(x)e_n(y)ye_n(y), g_n\} \equiv \{e_n(xy)xye_n(xy), f_n(xy)\}$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is a \*-isomorphism of  $N$  into  $C(M)$ . Using axiom (3) and Cayley transform, it is easy to see that this map  $\Phi$  is onto. This completes the proof.

Now let  $M_\infty$  be the  $c^*$ -sum of a family  $(M_i)$  of  $AW^*$ -algebras,  $C_\infty$  (resp.  $C_i$ ) be the algebra of  $MO(M_\infty)$  (resp.  $M_i$ ) and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all families  $A = (a_i)$  with  $a_i \in C_i$  and  $a_i = [x_n(i), e_n(i)]$  such that  $\|x_n(i)e_n(i)\| \leq k_n$  for all  $i$  for each  $n$  where  $k_n$  is a positive real number which is independent on  $i$ . The operations in  $\mathcal{D}$  are coordinatewise, then one knows that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a \*-algebra over the complex numbers field with unit  $1 = (1)$  which contains  $M$  as the \*-subalgebra.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be defined as above. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is \*-isomorphic to  $C_\infty$ .*

PROOF. We have only to show that  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies axioms (1)–(3) mentioned in the first paragraph of this section. Since for any  $x \in C_\infty$ ,  $1+x^*x$  is invertible in  $C_\infty$  and  $0 \leq (1+x^*x)^{-1} \leq 1$ , it is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies axioms (1) and (2). For any  $A = (a_i) \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $A = A^*$ , let  $u$  be the Cayley transform of  $A$  and  $\{u\}'' = C(\Omega)$  (where  $C(\Omega)$  is the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on a Stone space  $\Omega$ ). Since  $1-u$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega; u(\omega) \neq 1\}$  is dense in  $\Omega$ . Taking an increasing sequence  $\{r_n\}$  of positive numbers satisfying  $r_n > k_n$  for each  $n$  and we define clopen subset  $\Omega_n = \{\omega; |u(\omega)-1| > 2/((r_n)^2+1)^{1/2}\}$ . Note that if  $e_i = (x^j_i)$  where  $x^j_i = 0 (i \neq j)$  and  $x^i_i = 1$  (the unit of  $M_i$ ), then  $e_i$  is a central projection of  $M_\infty$  and  $M_\infty e_i \cong M_i$ , we can easily show that  $(1-\chi_{\Omega_n})e_i$  is finite for each  $i$ , which implies  $\{\chi_{\Omega_n}\}$  is an SDD. Conversely if for given unitary element  $u$  of  $M_\infty$ , there is an SDD  $\{e_n\}$  which satisfies the condition of axiom (3), we can take a self-adjoint element  $x$  of  $C_\infty$  such that  $u$  is the Cayley transform of  $(xe_i) (\in \mathcal{D})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies axiom (3), which implies  $\mathcal{D} \cong C_\infty$ . The proposition follows.

Note (1). In Proposition 2.1, the axiom (3) cannot be dropped. In fact, let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Then an easy calculation shows that the algebra of  $MO$  affiliated with  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is exactly  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Now suppose given a countable set of infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces  $H_1, H_2, \dots$ , let  $K$  be the direct sum of the spaces  $H_1, H_2, \dots$ . We may suppose that for each  $i$ ,  $H_i$  becomes a subspace of  $K$ . For any family  $(T_i)$  with  $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_i)$  (for each  $i$ ) set  $D(T_{(T_i)}) = \{\xi; \sum_i \|P_i T_i P_i \xi\|^2 < \infty$  where  $P_i$  is the orthogonal projection on  $H_i\}$  and define a linear operator  $T_{(T_i)}$  on  $D(T_{(T_i)})$  by  $T_{(T_i)} \xi = \sum_i P_i T_i P_i \xi, \xi \in D(T_{(T_i)})$ , then  $T_{(T_i)}$  is a densely defined closed linear operator such that  $T_{(T_i)}^* = T_{(T_i)^*}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}' = \{T_{(T_i)}; T_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_i)\}$ , then for  $T_{(T_i)}, T_{(S_i)} \in \mathcal{D}'$  and a complex number  $\lambda$ ,  $T_{(T_i+S_i)} = T_{(T_i)} + T_{(S_i)}$  (where  $T_{(T_i)} + T_{(S_i)}$  is the minimal closed extension of  $T_{(T_i)} + T_{(S_i)}$ ),  $\lambda T_{(T_i)} = T_{(\lambda T_i)}$  and  $T_{(T_i \cdot T_{(S_i)})} = T_{(T_i)} \cdot T_{(S_i)}$

(where  $T_{(r_i)} \cdot T_{(s_i)}$  is the minimal closed extension of  $T_{(r_i)}T_{(s_i)}$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a  $*$ -algebra with unit relative to the sum  $+$  and product  $\cdot$  which contains the  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -sum  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(H_i)$  of  $(\mathcal{B}(H_i))$  as the  $*$ -subalgebra and it is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{D}'$  satisfies axioms (1) and (2). Let us consider an element  $T_{(n1_n)}$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  where  $1_n$  is the identity operator on  $H_n$  for each  $n$  and suppose  $\mathcal{D}'$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(H_n)\right)$ , then there is a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{D}'$  onto  $\mathcal{C}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(H_n)\right)$  such that  $\phi(T_{(n1_n)}) = [s_n, f_n]$  ([8])  $([s_m, f_m] \in \mathcal{C}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{B}(H_n))\right))$ . Thus  $\phi(T_{(n1_n)})\phi(P_n) = n\phi(P_n)$  and  $\phi(T_{(n1_n)})\phi(P_n)f_m = n\phi(P_n)f_m = s_m f_m$  for each  $m$  and  $n$ . Since  $\phi(P_n)f_m \neq 0$ ,  $n = \|s_m f_m\|$  for all  $m$  and  $n$ . This is a contradiction and  $\mathcal{D}'$  is not  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(H_n)\right)$ . We also note that the above example is a negative one to the problem mentioned in the introduction.

**3. The algebra of “locally measurable operators” affiliated with an  $AW^*$ -algebra.** Let  $M$  be an arbitrary  $AW^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  be the algebra of  $\text{MO}(M)$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. An essentially locally measurable operator affiliated with  $M(\text{ELMO}(M))$  is an indexed family of ordered pairs  $\{x_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$  where  $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  and  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is an orthogonal family of central projections such that  $\sum_\alpha e_\alpha = 1$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. Two  $\text{ELMO}(M)$ 's  $\{x_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$  and  $\{y_\beta, f_\beta\}$  are equivalent, denoted by  $\{x_\alpha, e_\alpha\} \equiv \{y_\beta, f_\beta\}$ , if  $e_\alpha f_\beta x_\alpha = e_\alpha f_\beta y_\beta$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Since  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is a Baer $*$ -ring, it is immediate that the relation just defined is an equivalence relation.

DEFINITION 3.3. An equivalence class  $(x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  of an  $\text{ELMO}(M)\{x_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$  is called a “locally measurable operator affiliated with  $M$ ” ( $\text{LMO}(M)$ ); the set of all  $\text{LMO}(M)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  and we use letters  $x, y, z, \dots$  for the elements of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ .

We shall define the algebraic operations in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ . If  $(x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  and  $(y_\beta, f_\beta)$  are  $\text{LMO}(M)$ 's and  $\lambda$  is a complex number, we define  $\lambda(x_\alpha, e_\alpha) = (\lambda x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$ ,  $(x_\alpha, e_\alpha) + (y_\beta, f_\beta) = (x_\alpha + y_\beta, e_\alpha f_\beta)$ ,  $(x_\alpha, e_\alpha)^* = ((x_\alpha)^*, e_\alpha)$  and  $(x_\alpha, e_\alpha)(y_\beta, f_\beta) = (x_\alpha y_\beta, e_\alpha f_\beta)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is a Baer $*$ -ring, the above definitions are unambiguous. With these

definitions,  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  becomes a  $*$ -algebra over complex numbers. By the Baer $*$ -ring property of  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ , it is easy to see that  $x(\in \mathcal{C}(M)) \longrightarrow (x, 1)$  is an equivalence class of an ELMO( $M$ )  $\{x, 1\}$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  into  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  and  $(1, 1)$  is the unit element of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ . To simplify the notations, we shall denote  $(x, 1)$  by  $x$ ; and thus  $M$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  and all the  $x_\alpha$  are invertible in  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ , then  $x$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  and  $x^{-1} = ((x_\alpha)^{-1}, e_\alpha)$ .*

PROOF.  $x((x_\alpha)^{-1}, e_\alpha) = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)((x_\alpha)^{-1}, e_\alpha) = (x_\alpha(x_\alpha)^{-1}, e_\alpha e_\alpha) = (1, e_\alpha) = (1, 1) = 1$ . The lemma follows.

LEMMA 3.2. *If  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  with  $x = x^*$ , then we can write  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  with  $(x_\alpha)^* = x_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$ .*

Now let  $x \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  and  $\{e_\alpha\}$  be an orthogonal family of central projections such that  $x e_\alpha \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $\sum_\alpha e_\alpha = 1$ , then  $x \geq 0$ . In fact, since  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is a Baer $*$ -ring,  $x$  is automatically self-adjoint and by [8] we can write  $x = [x_n, e_n]$  with  $x_n = x_n^*$  for each  $n$ . By the assumption  $e_n x_n e_n e_\alpha \geq 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\alpha$ . Noting that  $e_\alpha \in \{e_n x_n e_n\}''$  for all  $n$ , if we assume that there are a non-zero projection  $g \in \{e_n x_n e_n\}''$  and a real number  $\delta < 0$  such that  $g e_n x_n e_n \leq \delta g$ , then  $g e_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha$ , which implies  $g = 0$ , contradicting the above result  $g \neq 0$ . Thus  $e_n x_n e_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$  and by ([8], Theorem 5.5),  $x \geq 0$  follows.

THEOREM 3.1.  *$\mathcal{M}(M)$  satisfies axioms (1) and (2) mentioned in the first paragraph of this section and  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  is a Baer $*$ -ring.*

PROOF. Suppose  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$ ,  $y = (y_\beta, f_\beta) \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ , and  $x^*x + y^*y = 1$ . Then  $(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha + y_\beta^* y_\beta) e_\alpha f_\beta = e_\alpha f_\beta$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,  $x_\alpha^* x_\alpha e_\alpha f_\beta \leq f_\beta$  for all  $\beta$ , which implies by the considerations following Lemma 3.2,  $x_\alpha^* x_\alpha e_\alpha \leq 1$ . Therefore  $x_\alpha e_\alpha \in M$  and  $\|x_\alpha e_\alpha\| \leq 1$ . By ([5] Lemma 2.5), there is a unique element  $x \in M$  such that  $x e_\alpha = x_\alpha e_\alpha$ . This implies  $x = (x, 1) = x \in M$ . By the same way  $y \in M$ . Now for any  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ ,  $1 + x^*x = (1 + x_\alpha^* x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$ . Therefore by Lemma 3.1,  $1 + x^*x$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  and  $(1 + x^*x)^{-1} = ((1 + x_\alpha^* x_\alpha)^{-1}, e_\alpha)$ . Since  $(1 + x_\alpha^* x_\alpha)^{-1} \in M$  and  $(1 + x_\alpha^* x_\alpha)^{-1} \leq 1$  for each  $\alpha$ , by ([5] Lemma 2.5),  $(1 + x^*x)^{-1} \in M$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  satisfies axioms (1) and (2). By ([3] Theorem 2.3)  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  is a Baer $*$ -ring. This completes the proof.

Now we discuss the spectral theory for  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  with  $x = x^*$ , then  $x + i1$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ . Put  $u = (x - i1)(x + i1)^{-1}$ ,  $u$  is unitary in  $M$  and  $\{x\}'' \cap M = \{u\}''$ . Write  $\{u\}'' = C(\Omega)$  (where  $C(\Omega)$  is the algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on a Stone space  $\Omega$ ). We may write  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  with

$x_\alpha = x_\alpha^*$  and  $(1-u)e_\alpha = 2ie_\alpha(x_\alpha + i1)^{-1}$  for all  $\alpha$ . Since  $e_\alpha \in \{u\}''$ , let  $\Omega_\alpha$  be the clopen subset of  $\Omega$  corresponding to  $e_\alpha$ , then  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega; u(\omega) \neq 1\}$  is dense in  $\Omega$  and there exist clopen subsets  $\Omega_n(\alpha)$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n(\alpha) = \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_\alpha$  and  $\chi_{\Omega_\alpha} - \chi_{\Omega_n(\alpha)}$  is a finite projection for each  $n$  and  $\alpha$ . Conversely for given unitary element  $u \in M$ , if  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega; u(\omega) \neq 1\}$  is dense in  $\Omega$  and there are clopen subsets  $\Omega_\alpha$  and  $\Omega_n(\alpha)$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n(\alpha) = \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_\alpha$ ,  $\chi_{\Omega_\alpha}$  is a central projection for each  $\alpha$  and  $\{\chi_{\Omega_n(\alpha)}\}$  is an SDD in  $Me_\alpha$ , then  $ue_\alpha$  is a Cayley transform of some self-adjoint element  $x_\alpha$  of  $C(M)e_\alpha$ . A direct calculation shows that  $u$  is the Cayley transform of  $(x_\alpha, e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $u \in M_u$ , write  $\{u\}'' = C(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega$  a Stone space ([4]) and let  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega; u(\omega) \neq 1\}$ . Then  $1-u$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  if and only if  $\Omega_0$  is dense in  $\Omega$  and there exist families  $\{\Omega_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\Omega_n(\alpha)\}$  of clopen subsets such that  $\overline{\cup_\alpha \Omega_\alpha} = \Omega$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha \cap \Omega_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n(\alpha)$ ,  $\chi_{\Omega_\alpha}$  is a central projection for each  $\alpha$  and  $\{\chi_{\Omega_n(\alpha)}\}$  is an SDD in  $M_{\chi_{\Omega_\alpha}}$  for each  $\alpha$ . In this case,  $u$  is the Cayley transform of some self-adjoint element of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ .*

COROLLARY. *Let  $x$  be a self-adjoint element of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ , and  $u$  be its Cayley transform. Then we can write  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  with  $x_\alpha = [x_n(\alpha), e_n(\alpha)]$  such that  $x_n(\alpha), e_n(\alpha) \in \{u\}''$ ,  $x_n(\alpha)e_n(\alpha)e_\alpha = x_n(\alpha) = x_n(\alpha)^*$  and  $x_n(\alpha)^2 \uparrow (n)$  (that is,  $x_n(\alpha)^2 \leq x_{n+1}(\alpha)^2$ ).*

Next we introduce a partial order in the self-adjoint part of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. An element  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  is non-negative ( $x \geq 0$ ) if  $x = y^*y$  for some  $y \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ . If  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  are self-adjoint, write  $x \leq y$  if  $y - x \geq 0$ .

To show that  $\mathcal{M}(M)_{s.a.}$  (the self-adjoint part of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ ) form a partially ordered real linear space with respect to this order, we have only to prove the following:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $x$  be a self-adjoint element of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ ,  $u$  be its Cayley transform. Then the following four conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $x \geq 0$ ,
- (2) we can write  $x = (y_\beta, f_\beta)$  with  $y_\beta \geq 0$  for each  $\beta$ ;
- (3)  $\sigma(u)$  (the spectrum of  $u$ )  $\subset \{e^{i\theta}; -\pi \leq \theta \leq 0\}$ ;
- (4) we may write  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  with  $x_\alpha = [x_n(\alpha), e_n(\alpha)]$  such that  $x_n(\alpha), e_n(\alpha) \in \{u\}''$ ,  $x_n(\alpha)e_n(\alpha)e_\alpha = x_n(\alpha) \geq 0$  and  $x_n(\alpha) \uparrow (n)$  for each  $\alpha$ .

Observe that for any family  $\{y_\beta\}$  of self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  and a negative number  $\lambda$ ,  $(y_\beta + i1)(y_\beta - \lambda 1)^{-1} \in M$  and  $\|(y_\beta + i1)(y_\beta - \lambda 1)^{-1}\| \leq k$  for some positive number  $k$  which is independent on  $\beta$ , by ([5] Lemma 2.5), (2)  $\longrightarrow$  (3) follows. The proofs of (1)  $\longrightarrow$  (2), (3)  $\longrightarrow$  (4)  $\longrightarrow$  (1) are the same as those of ([8], Theorem 5.5), so we omit them.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $x \geq 0$  ( $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ ), then there exists a unique  $y \geq 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  such that  $x = y^2$  and  $y \in \{x\}''$ , that is to say, the Baer\*-ring  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  satisfies the (SR)-axiom in the sense of ([7] p.37).*

Proof is the same as that of ([8] Corollary 5.2).

**DEFINITION 3.5.** Let  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  with  $x \geq 0$ , write  $y = x^{1/2}$  for the unique  $y \geq 0$  in  $\{x\}''$  such that  $x = y^2$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ , write  $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *For any  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ , let  $u$  (resp.  $v$ ) be the Cayley transform of  $x^*x$  (resp.  $xx^*$ ),  $e = LP(1+u)$  and  $f = LP(1+v)$ . Then we may write  $x = w|x|$ , where  $w$  is a partial isometry such that  $w^*w = e$ ,  $ww^* = f$ . In particular  $e \sim f$  and  $e = RP(x)$ ,  $f = LP(x)$ .*

**PROOF.** By [4], we may write  $\{u\}''$  (resp.  $\{v\}''$ ) as the algebra  $C(\Omega)$  (resp.  $C(\Gamma)$ ) of continuous complex-valued functions on a Stone space  $\Omega$  (resp.  $\Gamma$ ). A direct calculation shows by ([3], Lemma 2.1) that the characteristic function of  $\{\omega; u(\omega) + 1 \neq 0\}^-$  (resp.  $\{\gamma; v(\gamma) + 1 \neq 0\}^-$ ) is  $e = RP(x)$  (resp.  $f = LP(x)$ ). Now let  $x^*x = (y_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  such that  $y_\alpha = [y_n(\alpha), e_n(\alpha)]$ ,  $y_n(\alpha), e_n(\alpha) \in \{u\}''$ ,  $y_n(\alpha) \geq 0$ ,  $y_n(\alpha) \uparrow (n)$  and  $y_n(\alpha)e_n(\alpha)e_\alpha = y_n(\alpha)$ . For each  $y_n(\alpha)$ , we can choose families  $\{c_m^n(\alpha)\}$ ,  $\{e_m^n(\alpha)\}$  satisfying the conditions (1)–(5) in the proof of ([8], Theorem 6.3). Now let  $c_m^n = (c_m^n(\alpha), e_\alpha)$  ( $\in \mathcal{M}(M)$ ),  $e_m^n = \sum_\alpha e_m^n(\alpha)e_\alpha$  and  $e_n = \sum_\alpha e_n(\alpha)e_\alpha$ , then we have  $x^*x(c_m^n)^2 = e_m^n$  and  $e_m^n e_n \uparrow e(m, n)$ . In fact,  $x^*x(c_m^n)^2 = (y_\alpha, e_\alpha) ((c_m^n(\alpha))^2, e_\alpha) = (y_\alpha(c_m^n(\alpha))^2, e_\alpha) = (y_n(\alpha)(c_m^n(\alpha))^2, e_\alpha) = (e_m^n(\alpha), e_\alpha) \sum_\alpha e_m^n(\alpha)e_\alpha = e_m^n$ . The last statement is proved by the same method as that of ([8], Theorem 6.3). Thus  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  satisfies the (EP)-axiom in the sense of ([7], p.37). Therefore by ([7] Appendix II, Theorem 2),  $x = w|x|$  with  $w^*w = e$ ,  $ww^* = f$ . It remains to prove the unicity of such decomposition. Let  $x = w_1 y$  with  $y \geq 0$ ,  $w_1^*w_1 = e$ ,  $ey = y$ , then  $x^*x = ye y = y^2$  and by the unicity of the square root of  $x^*x$ ,  $y = |x|$  and  $w_1|x|^2 = w|x|^2$  implies  $w|x|^2(c_m^n)^2 = w_1|x|^2(c_m^n)^2$ ,  $w_1 e_m^n = w e_m^n$  for all  $m, n$ .  $w_1 e = w e$ , that is,  $w_1 = w$ . This completes the proof.

**4. Algebraic structure of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ .** S.K.Berberian showed in ([2], Lemma): Let  $M$  be a finite  $AW^*$ -algebra, and  $\{e_\alpha\}$  a set of orthogonal central projections with  $\sum_\alpha e_\alpha = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is isomorphic to the complete direct sum of  $\{\mathcal{C}(M)e_\alpha\}$ . As we showed in Note in section 2, we cannot drop the condition that  $M$  is of

finite class, but we have

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose  $M_\infty$  is a  $c^*$ -sum of a family  $(M_i)$  of AW\*-algebras,  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_i$ ) is the algebra of LMO's affiliated with  $M_\infty$  (resp.  $M_i$ ). Then  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  is the complete direct sum of the  $\mathcal{M}_i$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the complete direct sum of the  $\mathcal{M}_i$ , that is the set of all families  $x = (x_i)$  with  $x_i \in \mathcal{M}_i$  with coordinatewise operations and let  $e_j = (x_i)$  with  $x_i = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $x_j = 1_j$ , the unit of  $\mathcal{M}_j$ . Then for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  with  $x = (x_\alpha, e_\alpha)(x_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(M_\infty))$ , observe that  $\mathcal{C}(M_\infty)e_i \cong \mathcal{C}(M_i)$  (the algebra of MO's of  $M_i$ ) if we put  $x_i = (x_\alpha e_i, e_i e_\alpha)$ , then  $x_i \in \mathcal{M}_i$  and  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{S}$  is uniquely determined by  $x \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ . By an easy calculation  $x \rightarrow (x_i)$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  into  $\mathcal{S}$ . By the nature of the construction, it is easy to show that this map is onto. This completes the proof.

Because of the inherent nature of the construction of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ , we have:

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be AW\*-algebras and  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}(N)$ ) be the algebra of LMO( $M$ )'s (resp. LMO( $N$ )'s). There exists a one to one correspondence between the  $*$ -isomorphisms  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(N)$  and the  $*$ -isomorphisms  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$  and the correspondence  $\Phi \rightarrow \phi$  is obtained by restricting  $\Phi$  to  $M$ . Moreover for any  $e \in M_p$ , the algebra of all LMO's of  $eMe$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $e \mathcal{M}(M)e$ .*

**THEOREM 4.3.**  *$\mathcal{M}(M)$  is regular in the sense of [10] if and only if  $M$  is finite.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $M$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{M}(M) = \mathcal{C}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is regular by ([1], Corollary 7.1). Conversely if  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  is regular, and suppose  $M$  is not finite, then there exists a family of increasing projections  $\{e_i\}$  in  $M$  such that  $1 - e_i$  is not finite and  $e_i \uparrow 1$ . By the same way as in the proof of ([8], Theorem 6.2), we can find a non-negative invertible elements in  $M$ . Thus there is  $y \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  with  $y = (y_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  and  $ys = sy = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}(M)e_\alpha \cong \mathcal{C}(Me_\alpha)$ , we can show that  $(1 - e_n)e_\alpha$  is finite for each  $n$  and  $\alpha$ , and contradicting the choice of  $\{e_i\}$ , that is,  $M$  is of finite class. This completes the proof.

**5. Complements.** We first remark the following: (1) If  $M$  has the monotone convergence property, that is, it satisfies that if  $\{a_n\}$  is a monotone increasing sequence of self-adjoint elements in  $M$  such that  $a_n \leq b$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) for some self-adjoint element  $b$  of  $M$ , then  $\text{Sup } a_n$  exists with respect to the ordering defined in Definition 3.4, then so does  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ . (2) If  $M$  has the monotone convergence property, and if  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  satisfy  $0 \leq x \leq y$ , then  $x^{1/2} \leq y^{1/2}$ . The proofs are the same as those of [3], so we omit them. The rest of this section is devoted to the non-commutative integration theory.



DEFINITION 5.1. We call that a sequence  $\{x(n)\}$  of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  converges nearly everywhere (or converges n.e.) to an element  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  if for any positive  $\varepsilon$ , there exist a positive integer  $n_0(\varepsilon)$  and an SDD  $\{e_n(\varepsilon)\}$  such that  $|(x(n)-x)e_n(\varepsilon)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot 1$  for all  $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$ .

REMARK. By the same way as that in  $C(M)$ , we can show that a limit n.e. is unique.

Now let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semi-finite trace on  $M$ , then we have

PROPOSITION 5.1. *If  $x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  is integrable with respect to  $\tau$ , that is, there exists a sequence  $\{x(n)\}$  of elements of  $\tau$ -finite rank such that  $x(n) \rightarrow x$  n.e. and  $\tau(|x(n)-x(m)|) \rightarrow 0$  ( $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $x \in C(M)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x = w|x|$  be the polar decomposition of  $x$ , then  $w^*x(n) \rightarrow |x|$  n.e. and  $\tau(|w^*x(n)-w^*x(m)|) \rightarrow 0$  ( $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ), that is,  $|x|$  is integrable with respect to  $\tau$ . Let  $u$  be the Cayley transform of  $|x|$ , then  $1+u = (1/i)(-u+1)|x|$  implies  $1+u$  is integrable with respect to  $\tau$ . Write  $\{u\}'' = C(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega$  a Stone space ([4]), and let  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega; u(\omega) \neq 1\}$ . Set  $\Omega_n = \{\omega; |u(\omega)-1| > 2/((n+1)^2+1)^{1/2}\}^-$ , then  $\Omega_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$  and  $\Omega_n^c \subset \{\omega; |u(\omega)+1| > 2n/(n^2+1)^{1/2}\}^-$ . Let  $f_n$  be the projection corresponding to the clopen set  $\{\omega; |u(\omega)+1| > 2n/(n^2+1)^{1/2}\}^-$ , then write  $w_n = (1+u(\omega))^{-1}f_n$  and observe  $w_n \in M$ , and we have  $w_n(1+u) = f_n$  is also  $\tau$ -integrable, that is,  $f_n$  is  $\tau$ -finite. Thus  $\chi_{\Omega_n^c}$  is a finite projection and  $\{\chi_{\Omega_n^c}\}$  is an SDD. Therefore by ([8], Theorem 5.1),  $|x| \in C(M)$  and  $x = w|x| \in C(M)$ . The proposition follows.

The result of this paper should prove to be useful for attacking the Widom's problem concerning to the "Embedding as a double commutator in algebras of type 1" for the semi-finite case. We propose to investigate this in a subsequent paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. K. BERBERIAN, The regular ring of a finite  $AW^*$ -algebra, *Ann. of Math.*, 65(1957), 224-240.
- [2] S. K. BERBERIAN, Note on a theorem of Fuglede and Putnam, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(1959), 175-182.
- [3] S. K. BERBERIAN, A note on the algebra of measurable operators of an  $AW^*$ -algebra, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 22(1970), 613-618.
- [4] J. DIXMIER, Sur certains espaces considérés par M. H. Stone, *Summa Brasil. Math.*, 2(1951), 151-182.

- [5] I. KAPLANSKY, Projections in Banach algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, 53(1951), 235-249.
- [6] I. KAPLANSKY, Any orthocomplemented complete modular lattice is a continuous geometry, *Ann. of Math.*, 61(1955), 524-541.
- [7] I. KAPLANSKY, *Rings of operators*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1968.
- [8] K. SAITÔ, On the algebra of measurable operators for a general  $AW^*$ -algebra, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 21(1969), 249-270.
- [9] K. SAITÔ, A non-commutative integration theory for a semi-finite  $AW^*$ -algebra and a problem of Feldman, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 22(1970), 420-461.
- [10] J. VON NEUMANN, *Continuous geometry*, Princeton, 1960.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE

TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN