

## CONVERGENCE IN PERTURBED NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

ATHANASSIOS G. KARTSATOS

(Received February 24, 1972; Revised May 4, 1972)

C. Avramescu studied in [2] the existence and properties of convergent solutions to perturbed linear systems of the form

$$(I) \quad x' = A(t)x + f(t, x),$$

where  $A(t)$  is a continuous  $n \times n$  matrix and  $f(t, x)$  a continuous  $n$ -vector-valued function.

Hallam [3] studied the problem of the maintenance of the convergence properties of solutions to the nonlinear equation

$$(II) \quad y' = A(t, y)$$

under the effect of a perturbation term  $F(t, y)$ . Hallam made extensive use of Alekseev's formula [1], which can be applied only if the function  $A(t, u)$  is continuously differentiable with respect to  $u$ . The author studied in [6] the asymptotic relationship between the system (II) and the system

$$(III) \quad x' = A(t, x) + F(t, x)$$

in the case in which  $A(t, u)$  is not necessarily differentiable with respect to  $u$ . Our purpose here is to study, by means of our considerations in [6], the convergence properties of the system (III) in connection with the unperturbed system (II).

In Section 1 we give some definitions and preliminary facts. In Section 2 we study the convergence properties of systems of the form (III). In Section 3 we give a theorem, which ensures the existence of convergent solutions of the system (III) with  $F(t, x) = G(t, x)x$ , where  $G$  is a continuous  $n \times n$  matrix.

We note here that the present method can be applied equally well in admissibility problems and problems concerning the existence of periodic, or almost periodic solutions.

1. Let  $C_{t_0}$ ,  $t_0 \geq 0$  be the space of all continuous  $n$ -vector-valued functions on the interval  $[t_0, +\infty)$ . By  $C_{t_0}^b$  we denote the space of all functions in  $C_{t_0}$ , which are bounded on  $[t_0, +\infty)$ , under the norm

$$\|f\|_b = \sup_{t \in [t_0, +\infty)} \{\|f(t)\|\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm in  $R^n$ .  $C_{t_0}^l$  will be the space consisting of all functions in  $C_{t_0}$ , which have a finite limit as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . The space  $C_{t_0}$  is a Fréchet space if its topology is that of the uniform convergence on compact subintervals of  $[t_0, +\infty)$ . The spaces  $C_{t_0}^b, C_{t_0}^l$  are Banach spaces. For  $x \in C_{t_0}^l$ , let  $l_x = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ . A set  $K \subset C_{t_0}^l$  is compact if and only if it is uniformly bounded, equicontinuous and "uniformly convergent" in the following sense: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\|x(t) - l_x\| < \varepsilon$ , for all  $t > \delta(\varepsilon)$  and all  $x \in K$ . For a proof of this statement the reader is referred to Avramescu [2]. For a matrix  $A(t, x) = (a_{ij}(t, x))$  on  $[t_0, +\infty) \times R^n$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we put  $\|A(t, x)\| = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}(t, x)|$ . By  $S_{t_0}^r, r > 0$  we denote the ball  $\{f; f \in C_{t_0}^b, \|f\|_b \leq r\}$ . We also make use of Tychonov's fixed point theorem as quoted in Hartman's book [4]:

"Let  $L$  be a linear, locally convex, topological, complete Hausdorff space. Let  $M$  be a closed, convex subset of  $L$  and  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be a continuous operator such that the closure of  $TM$  is compact. Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $M$ ."

For the system (III) we suppose that  $A, F$  are  $n$ -vector-valued functions, which are defined and continuous on  $R_+ \times R^n$ , where  $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ . By a solution of a system of the form (III) we mean any function  $x \in C_{t_0}^l$  (= the space of all continuously differentiable  $f \in C_{t_0}^b$ ), which satisfies (III) on the interval  $[t_0, +\infty)$ . The number  $t_0$  will depend on the particular solution under consideration. By  $x(t, t_0, x_0)$  we denote a solution of (III), which passes through the point  $(t_0, x_0)$  at time  $t_0$ . A solution of the system (II) will always be denoted by  $y = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ .

The following definitions of convergence are given by Avramescu in [2].

(i) System (III) is said to be "convergent" if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t, t_0, x_0) = l_x(t_0, x_0)$  exists and is finite for each  $(t_0, x_0) \in R_+ \times R^n$ .

(ii) System (III) is said to be "equi-convergent" if it is convergent and to each triple  $\varepsilon > 0, \alpha \geq 0, t_0 \geq 0$  there corresponds a function  $T(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\|x(t, t_0, x_0) - l_x(t_0, x_0)\| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $t > T(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon) + t_0$ , and every  $x_0$  with  $\|x_0\| \leq \alpha$ .

(iii) System (III) is said to be "equi-uniformly convergent" if it is equi-convergent and  $T$  does not depend on  $t_0$ .

(iv) System (III) is said to be "coalescent" if it is convergent and  $l_x(0, x_0)$  is a constant.

(v) The solutions of (III) are said to be "uniformly bounded" if for

each  $\alpha \geq 0, t_0 \geq 0$  there exists a function  $\beta(\alpha) \geq 0$  such that  $\|x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \beta(\alpha)$  whenever  $\|x_0\| \leq \alpha$  and  $t \geq t_0$ .

2. Our first result guarantees the existence of convergent solutions  $x(t, t_0, x_0)$  of System (III) for any  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, +\infty) \times R^n$  provided that this is true for the system (II).

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that  $y = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  is a solution of (II) and*

$$(i) \quad \|A(t, v_1) - A(t, v_2)\| \leq q(t, \|v_1 - v_2\|)$$

for every  $t \geq t_0$  and every  $v_1, v_2 \in R^n$ , where  $q: [t_0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\| \leq n} q(t, \|u\|) dt = 0 ;$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\| \leq n} \|F(t, y + u)\| dt = 0 .$$

Then there exists a solution  $x(t, \tilde{t}_0, x_0)$  of the system (III), for any  $(\tilde{t}_0, x_0) \in [t_0, +\infty) \times R^n$ .

**PROOF.** Given  $(\tilde{t}_0, x_0) \in [t_0, +\infty) \times R^n$ , the conditions (i), (ii) imply the existence of an  $n_0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|x_0 - y_0\| + \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^{\infty} \|A(t, y + f) - A(t, y)\| dt + \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^{\infty} \|F(t, y + f)\| dt \leq n_0$$

for any function  $f \in S_{\tilde{t}_0}^{n_0}$ . Now, consider the operator  $T: S_{\tilde{t}_0}^{n_0} \rightarrow S_{\tilde{t}_0}^{n_0}$  with

$$(2) \quad v(t) = (Tf)(t) = x_0 - y_0 + \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^t [A(s, y(s) + f(s)) - A(s, y(s))] ds + \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^t F(s, y(s) + f(s)) ds .$$

To show that the ball  $S_{\tilde{t}_0}^{n_0}$  is closed w.r.t. the topology of uniform convergence on compact subintervals of  $[\tilde{t}_0, +\infty)$ , let  $f_n \in S_{\tilde{t}_0}^{n_0}$  be such that  $f_n \rightarrow f \in C_{\tilde{t}_0}^b$  uniformly on every compact subinterval of  $[\tilde{t}_0, +\infty)$ . Then, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n(t)\| = \|f(t)\|$  and  $\|f_n(t)\| \leq n_0$ , it follows that  $\|f(t)\| \leq n_0$ , which shows our assertion. Now let  $f_n, f \in S_{\tilde{t}_0}^{n_0}$  be as above. Then from (2) we obtain

$$(3) \quad \|Tf_n - Tf\|_b \leq \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^{\infty} \|A(s, y(s) + f_n(s)) - A(s, y(s) + f(s))\| ds + \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^{\infty} \|F(s, y(s) + f_n(s)) - F(s, y(s) + f(s))\| ds .$$

Since the integrands in the right-hand member of (3) are bounded by the integrable functions

$$\sup_{\|u\| \leq 2n_0} q(t, \|u\|) \text{ and } \sup_{\|u\| \leq n_0} \|F(t, y + u)\|$$

respectively, it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_n - Tf\|_b = 0$ . The rest of the proof of the fact that  $T$  has a fixed point in  $S_{t_0}^{n_0}$  follows as in Kartsatos [5] and we omit it here. Let  $(Tv)(t) = v(t)$ ,  $t \in [\tilde{t}_0, +\infty)$ . Then putting  $x(t) = v(t) + y(t)$ , we obtain  $x(\tilde{t}_0) = x_0$  and the theorem is proved.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Assume that System (II) has a solution  $y(t, t_0, x_0)$  for every  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, +\infty) \times R^n$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t, t_0, x_0) = l_y(t_0, x_0)$  and it is known "a priori" that if  $x(t, t_0, x_0)$  is a solution of System (III), then it is unique with respect to the initial condition  $x(t_0) = x_0$ . Then, provided that the hypotheses of Th. 1 are satisfied for every solution  $y(t, t_0, x_0)$  of the above type, System (III) is convergent.*

**PROOF.** It is evident that the solution  $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ , guaranteed by Th. 1, has a finite limit as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , because  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t, t_0, 0)$  exists and is finite, where  $v(t, t_0, 0) \equiv x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t_0, x_0)$ .

In what follows in this section, the systems (II), (III) will be supposed to have unique solutions with respect to any initial conditions  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, +\infty) \times R^n$ . The next theorem ensures equi-convergence for System (III).

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 1, assume further that the systems (II), (III) are uniformly bounded and that System (II) is equi-convergent. Then System (III) is equi-convergent.*

**PROOF.** Let  $h_k(t) = \max_{\|u\| \leq k} \|F(t, u)\|$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Since the Systems (II), (III) are uniformly bounded, it follows that for every  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a function  $\beta_1(\alpha) \geq 0$ ,  $(\beta_2(\alpha) \geq 0)$  such that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \beta_1(\alpha) \text{ for } \|x_0\| \leq \alpha \text{ and } t \geq t_0, \\ (\|y(t, t_0, y_0)\| &\leq \beta_2(\alpha) \text{ for } \|y_0\| \leq \alpha \text{ and } t \geq t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ ,  $y(t, t_0, x_0)$  be two fixed solutions of (III), (II) respectively, which satisfy (4). Then for  $\beta(\alpha) = \beta_1(\alpha) + \beta_2(\alpha)$  we have

$$(5) \quad \|x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \beta(\alpha) \text{ for } t \geq t_0.$$

Now let  $q(t, \alpha) = \sup_{\|u\| \leq \beta(\alpha)} q(t, \|u\|)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Then it follows from (i) of Th. 1 that

$$(6) \quad \int_0^\infty q(t, \alpha) dt < +\infty.$$

Since System (II) is equi-convergent, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $t_0 \geq 0$ , there

exists a function  $T_1(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\|y(t, t_0, x_0) - l_y(t_0, x_0)\| < \varepsilon/3$  for every  $t > T_1(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon) + t_0$  and every  $x_0$  with  $\|x_0\| \leq \alpha$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and fix  $\alpha$  as above. Since by Corollary 1 System (III) is convergent,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t, t_0, x_0) = l_x(t_0, x_0)$  exists and is finite (the limit  $l_x(t_0, x_0)$  does not depend on  $x(t)$  but we use this notation in order to distinguish from the limit of  $y(t, t_0, x_0)$ ). Moreover, for  $t \geq t_0$

$$(7) \quad x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t_0, x_0) = \int_{t_0}^t [A(s, x(s)) - A(s, y(s))] ds + \int_{t_0}^t F(s, x(s)) ds .$$

Taking the limit as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  in both sides of (7), we obtain

$$(8) \quad l_x(t_0, x_0) - l_y(t_0, x_0) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [A(s, x(s)) - A(s, y(s))] ds + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(s, x(s)) ds$$

which, combined with (6) and (7), yields

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \|x(t, t_0, x_0) - l_x(t, t_0, x_0)\| - \|y(t, t_0, x_0) - l_y(t_0, x_0)\| \right| \\ & \leq \int_t^{\infty} \|A(s, x(s)) - A(s, y(s))\| ds + \int_t^{\infty} \|F(s, x(s))\| ds \\ & \leq \int_t^{\infty} q(s, \alpha) ds + \int_t^{\infty} h_{\beta_1(\alpha)}(s) ds , \end{aligned}$$

where  $t \geq t_0$ . Let  $T(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon) \geq T_1(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon)$  be such that

$$\int_t^{\infty} q(s, \alpha) ds < \varepsilon/3, \quad \int_t^{\infty} h_{\beta_1(\alpha)}(s) ds < \varepsilon/3$$

for every  $t \geq T(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon) + t_0$ . Then, for  $t > T(t_0, \alpha, \varepsilon) + t_0$ , (9) implies

$$(10) \quad \|x(t, t_0, x_0) - l_x(t_0, x_0)\| < \|y(t, t_0, x_0) - l_y(t_0, x_0)\| + 2\varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon ,$$

which proves the equi-convergence of System (III).

**COROLLARY 2.** *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 1, assume further that the systems (II), (III) are uniformly bounded and that the System (II) is equi-uniformly convergent. Then the System (III) is equi-uniformly convergent.*

The proof is the same as that of Th. 2.  $T$  is now independent of  $t_0$  since so is  $T_1$ .

We show now that the conditions on  $A$  in Th. 1 prevent System (II) from being coalescent.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $A$  satisfies (i) of Th. 1, then System (II) cannot be coalescent.*

PROOF. Suppose that System (II) coalesces at the point  $y_\infty$ , and consider the integral equation

$$(11) \quad v(t) = \xi - \int_t^\infty [A(s, v(s) + y(s)) - A(s, y(s))] ds,$$

where  $y(t, 0, y_0)$  is a fixed solution of (II) and  $\|\xi\| > 0$ . By the method used in Th. 1 (cf. also Kartsatos [6]) it can be shown that (11) has a solution  $v = v(t, t_0, v_0)$  defined on  $[0, +\infty)$  and such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) = \xi$ . Letting  $z(t, 0, z_0) = v(t, 0, v_0) + y(t, 0, y_0)$ , we obtain  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t, 0, z_0) = \xi + y_\infty$ , a contradiction to coalescence.

3. In this section we study systems of the form

$$(IV) \quad x' = A(t, x) + G(t, x)x,$$

where the  $n \times n$  matrix  $G$  is defined and continuous on  $[0, +\infty) \times R^n$ . We first give a theorem concerning the existence of solutions of (IV) in  $C_{i_0}^i$ . By  $S_{i_0}^{i,r}$  we denote the ball  $\{f; f \in C_{i_0}^i \text{ and } \|f\|_b \leq r\}$ .

THEOREM 4. Assume that for each  $f \in C_{i_0}^i$ , the system

$$(IV)_f \quad u' = G(t, f)u + A(t, f)$$

has a unique solution  $u(t, t_0, u_0) \in C_{i_0}^i$ , where  $u_0$  is a fixed vector in  $R^n$ . Moreover, assume that

(i)  $\|G(t, f)\| \leq p(t)$  for every  $(t, f) \in [t_0, +\infty) \times C_{i_0}^i$ , where  $p$  is continuous and such that  $\int_{t_0}^\infty p(t)dt < +\infty$ ;

(ii)  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \int_{t_0}^\infty \sup_{\|u\| \leq n} \|A(t, u)\| dt = 0$

Then, there exists a solution  $x(t)$  of the system (IV) which belongs to the space  $C_{i_0}^i$ .

PROOF. Let  $T$  be the operator which assigns to each function  $f \in C_{i_0}^i$  the unique solution  $u \in C_{i_0}^i (u(t_0) = u_0)$ , of the system  $(IV)_f$ . We first show that there exists a ball  $S_{i_0}^{i, n_0}$  such that  $T(S_{i_0}^{i, n_0}) \subset S_{i_0}^{i, n_0}$ . In fact, assume that this is not true. Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_n \in S_{i_0}^{i, n}$  and  $\|Tf_n\|_b > n$ . Putting  $u_n = Tf_n$  we obtain

$$(12) \quad u_n(t) = u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t G(s, f_n(s))u_n(s)ds + \int_{t_0}^t A(s, f_n(s))ds,$$

which implies

$$(13) \quad \|u_n(t)\| \leq \|u_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|G(s, f_n(s))\| \|u_n(s)\| ds + \int_{t_0}^t \|A(s, f_n(s))\| ds.$$

An application of Gronwall's inequality in (13) implies

$$(14) \quad \|u_n(t)\| \leq (\|u_0\| + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|A(s, f_n(s))\| ds) \exp \left[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(t) dt \right],$$

or

$$\frac{\|u_n\|}{n} \leq \left[ \frac{\|u_0\|}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\| \leq n} \|A(s, u)\| ds \right] \exp \left[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(t) dt \right].$$

From inequality (14) we obtain  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|/n = 0$ , a contradiction. To show that the set  $TB(B = S_{t_0}^{l, n_0})$  is equi-continuous, let  $t', t''$  be two points in  $[t_0, +\infty)$  with  $t'' \geq t'$ . Then we obtain

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_n(t'') - u_n(t')\| &\leq \int_{t'}^{t''} \|G(s, f_n(s))\| \|u_n(s)\| ds + \int_{t'}^{t''} \|A(s, f_n(s))\| ds \\ &\leq n_0 \int_{t'}^{t''} P(t) dt + \int_{t'}^{t''} \sup_{\|u\| \leq n_0} \|A(s, u)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows as in [5] and we omit it here. Now let  $\lambda_n = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t)$ . Then we have

$$(16) \quad \lambda_n = u_0 + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} G(t, f_n(t)) u_n(t) dt + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} A(t, f_n(t)) dt,$$

and, consequently,

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_n(t) - \lambda_n\| &\leq n_0 \int_t^{\infty} \|G(s, f_n(s))\| ds + \int_t^{\infty} \|A(s, f_n(s))\| ds \\ &\leq n_0 \int_t^{\infty} P(s) ds + \int_t^{\infty} \sup_{\|u\| \leq n_0} \|A(s, u)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (17) that  $TB$  is a uniformly convergent family. Since  $TB$  is bounded, equicontinuous and uniformly convergent, it is compact in  $C_{t_0}^l$ . To show that  $T$  is continuous, let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_b = 0, f_n, f \in B$ . Since the set  $TB$  is compact, there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{k_n}\}$  of  $\{u_n = Tf_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{k_n} - u\|_b = 0$ , where  $u$  is an element in  $TB$ . Now since the sequence  $G(t, f_{k_n}(t))u_{k_n}(t), A(t, f_{k_n}(t))$  converge pointwise to  $G(t, f)u(t)$  and  $A(t, f(t))$  respectively, and

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|G(t, f_{k_n}(t))u_{k_n}(t) - G(t, f(t))u(t)\| &\leq 2n_0 p(t), \\ \|A(t, f_{k_n}(t)) - A(t, f(t))\| &\leq 2 \sup_{\|u\| \leq n_0} \|A(t, u)\|, \end{aligned}$$

and application of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem shows that  $Tf = u$ . Since we could have started with any subsequence of  $\{Tf_n\}$  instead of  $\{Tf_n\}$  itself, we have actually shown that every subsequence of  $\{Tf_n\}$  contains a subsequence converging to  $Tf$ . This proves the continuity of the operator  $T$ . By Tychonov's theorem,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $S_{t_0}^{l, n_0}$ , and this proves the theorem.

REMARK. Assume that the perturbation  $F(t, x)$  in System (III) is continuously differentiable with respect to  $x$ . Then this function satisfies

$$(19) \quad F(t, x) = G^0(t, x)x + F^0(t, x)$$

where  $F_i^0(t, x) = F_i(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$  and  $G^0$  is a diagonal  $n \times n$  matrix, whose diagonal elements are given by

$$(20) \quad G_{ii}^0(t, x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial F_i(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, \tau x_i, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_i} d\tau.$$

Thus, Theorem 4 holds for systems of the type (III) with perturbations like (19), under suitable assumptions on the functions  $G_{ii}^0(t, x)$ ,  $F_i^0(t, x)$ . Th. 1 holds if we interchange the limit conditions on the integrals in (i) and (ii). However, the conditions were imposed only in order to guarantee that for some  $n_0$ ,  $TS_{i_0}^{n_0} \subset S_{i_0}^{n_0}$ . It is evident that they can be avoided if we are only interested in the existence of solutions for all large  $t$ , provided of course that the functions  $q(t, \|u\|)$ ,  $F(t, y + v)$  are eventually uniformly bounded by integrable functions depending only on  $t$  and  $(t, y)$  respectively.

Analogous remarks can be made for Theorems 4 and 5.

The author wishes to express his thanks to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. W. ALEKSEEV, An estimate for the perturbations of ordinary differential equations (Russian), Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh., 2 (1961), 28-36.
- [2] C. AVRAMESCU, Sur l'existence des solutions convergentes d'équations différentielles non linéaires, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., 4 (81) (1969), 147-168.
- [3] T. HALLAM, Convergence of solutions of perturbed nonlinear differential equations, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., to appear.
- [4] P. HARTMAN, Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley, New York 1964.
- [5] A. G. KARTSATOS, Contributions to the research of the oscillation and the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of ordinary differential equations, Doctoral dissertation, Univ. of Athens, Bull. Soc. Math. Grèce, 10 (1969), 1-48.
- [6] ———, On the relationship between a nonlinear system and its nonlinear perturbation, J. Differential Equations, 11 (1972), 582-591.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA  
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33620