

ON AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF II_1 -FACTORS

SHÔICHIRO SAKAI*

(Received August 20, 1973)

1. Introduction. In the present paper, we shall study groups of *-automorphisms on II_1 -factors, using various topologies.

One of the main purposes is to attack the problem whether every II_1 -factor has outer *-automorphisms. In [7], we proved that the symmetry on $M \overline{\otimes} M$ is outer for every non-atomic factor M ; therefore, it is very plausible that every II_1 -factor may have outer *-automorphisms.

Now let M be a II_1 -factor with the separable predual. Let $C(M)$ (resp. $H(M)$ and $T(M)$) be the set of all central (resp. hyper-central and trivial-central) sequences in M . If $H(M) \subseteq C(M)$, then by McDuff's theorem [2], M is *-isomorphic to $M \overline{\otimes} U$, where U is the hyperfinite II_1 -factor, so that M has outer *-automorphisms.

Among other things, we shall show that if $T(M) \subseteq C(M)$, then M has outer *-automorphisms (Corollary 7).

2. Theorems. Let M be a W^* -algebra, and let $A^*(M)$ be the group of all *-automorphisms on M . Let $B(M)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on M and let M_* be the predual of M . By the standard theory of Banach spaces, $B(M)$ is the dual Banach space of $M \otimes_\gamma M_*$, where γ is the greatest cross norm. We shall consider the topology $\sigma(B(M), M \otimes_\gamma M_*)$ on $A^*(M)$. We call this topology on $A^*(M)$ the weak *-topology and denote it by w^* .

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that a directed set (ρ_α) of elements in $A^*(M)$ converges to $\rho_0 \in A^*(M)$ in the w^* -topology; then for any $a \in M$, $(\rho_\alpha(a))$ converges to $\rho_0(a)$ in the $s(M, M_*)$ -topology.*

PROOF. Let M_* be the set of all normal positive linear functionals on M ; then for $\varphi \in M_*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((\rho_\alpha(a) - \rho_0(a))^*(\rho_\alpha(a) - \rho_0(a))) &= \varphi(\rho_\alpha(a^*a) + \rho_0(a^*a) - \rho_\alpha(a^*)\rho_0(a) \\ &\quad - \rho_0(a^*)\rho_\alpha(a)) \rightarrow \varphi(\rho_0(a^*a) + \rho_0(a^*a) - \rho_0(a^*)\rho_0(a) - \rho_0(a^*)\rho_0(a)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\varphi((\rho_\alpha(a) - \rho_0(a))(\rho_\alpha(a) - \rho_0(a))^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

*) This research is supported by National Science Foundation.

This completes the proof.

Let $I^*(M)$ be the subgroup of all inner $*$ -automorphisms on M ; then it is a normal subgroup of $A^*(M)$. Henceforward we shall assume that M is a II_1 -factor and let τ be the unique tracial state on M . Define a scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) in M as follows: $(a, b) = \tau(b^*a)$ ($a, b \in M$). Let \mathcal{H}_τ be the completion of M with respect to this scalar product and denote the norm of \mathcal{H}_τ by $\|\cdot\|_2$. For $\rho \in A^*(M)$, $\tau(\rho(a)) = \tau(a)$ ($a \in M$) and so the mapping $a \rightarrow \rho(a)$ will define a unitary operator $U(\rho)$ on \mathcal{H}_τ such that $U(\rho)a = \rho(a)$ ($a \in M$). Then the mapping $\rho \rightarrow U(\rho)$ is a unitary representation of the group $A^*(M)$, when $A^*(M)$ is considered as a discrete group. Since $U(\rho)bU(\rho^{-1})a = U(\rho)b\rho^{-1}(a) = \rho(b\rho^{-1}(a)) = \rho(b)a$, $U(\rho)bU(\rho^{-1}) = \rho(b)$ ($a, b \in M$).

PROPOSITION 2. *The mapping $\rho \rightarrow U(\rho)$ of $A^*(M)$ with the w^* -topology into $B^u(\mathfrak{S}_\tau)$ with the strong operator topology is homeomorphic, where $B^u(\mathfrak{S}_\tau)$ is the group of all unitary elements in $B(\mathfrak{S}_\tau)$.*

PROOF. Suppose that (ρ_α) converges to ρ_0 in the w^* -topology; then by Proposition 1, $\|\rho_\alpha(a) - \rho_0(a)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ for $a \in M$. Therefore, $(U(\rho_\alpha))$ converges to $U(\rho_0)$ strongly. Conversely suppose that $(U(\rho_\alpha))$ converges to $U(\rho_0)$ strongly; then $((\rho_\alpha(a) - \rho_0(a))b, b) \rightarrow 0$ for $a, b \in M$. Since $(\rho_\alpha(a))$ is uniformly bounded, this implies that (ρ_α) converges to ρ_0 in the w^* -topology. This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3. *$\{U(\rho) \mid \rho \in A^*(M)\}$ is closed in $B^u(\mathfrak{S}_\tau)$ with respect to the strong operator topology.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\{U(\rho_\alpha)\}$ converges to v in $B^u(\mathfrak{S}_\tau)$; then $U(\rho_\alpha)bU(\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \rightarrow v b v^*$ ($b \in M$) (strongly), for $U(\rho_\alpha) \rightarrow v$ (strongly) implies $U(\rho_\alpha)^* \rightarrow v^*$ (strongly), since $U(\rho_\alpha)$ and v are unitary, and the multiplication is jointly strongly continuous on bounded spheres of $B(\mathfrak{S}_\tau)$. It is easily seen that the mapping $b \rightarrow v b v^*$ ($b \in M$) is an automorphism on M . This completes the proof.

Now let $\overline{A^*(M)}^w$ be the w^* -closure of $A^*(M)$ in $B(M)$. It is an interesting problem to investigate mappings belonging to $\overline{A^*(M)}^w$. For example, if M is asymptotically abelian, then it contains the \natural -mapping $a \rightarrow \tau(a)1$ ($a \in M$) ([5]). If M is inner asymptotically abelian, then $\overline{I^*(M)}^w$ contains the \natural -mapping ([8]). $\overline{A^*(M)}^w$ may contain another important class of mappings "into- $*$ -isomorphisms". We shall show an example of II_1 -factors having this property.

EXAMPLE. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be the canonical

anti-commutation relation algebra (the C A R algebra) over \mathcal{H} ([3]); then $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is a uniformly hyperfinite C*-algebra of $\{2, 4, 8, \dots\}$. Let u be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} ; then u will define a *-automorphism ρ^u of $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$ by the relation $\rho^u(a(f)) = a(u(f))$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, where $f \rightarrow a(f)$ is a linear mapping, from \mathcal{H} into $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\{a(f), a(g)\}_+ = 0$, $\{a(f)^*, a(g)\}_+ = (g, f)$ for $g, f \in \mathcal{H}$. Now let (u_n) be a sequence of unitary operators on \mathcal{H} such that it converges strongly to an isometry v such that $vv^* < 1_x$, and let τ be the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$. Since v is an isometry of \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{H} , it will define a *-isomorphism ρ^v of $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$ into $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$, by the relation $\rho^v(a(f)) = a(v(f))$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $\tau(a(f)^*a(g)) = 1/2(g, f)$, $\|\rho^{u_n}(a(f)) - \rho^v(a(f))\|_2 = (1/\sqrt{2})\|a(u_n(f)) - a(v(f))\|_2 = (1/\sqrt{2})\|u_n(f) - v(f)\|_2 \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Hence $\rho^{u_n}(a(f_1)a(f_2) \cdots a(f_n)) \rightarrow \rho^v(a(f_1)a(f_2) \cdots a(f_n))$ (strongly) on \mathcal{H}_τ . This implies that (ρ^{u_n}) converges to an into-*isomorphism ρ^v in the w^* -topology.

PROBLEM 1. Can we conclude that $\overline{A^*(M)}^w$ contains "into-*isomorphisms" for all II₁-factors M ?

If we use the strong *-operator topology, then $B^u(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ is a complete topological group, so that by Proposition 2, $\{U(\rho) \mid \rho \in A^*(M)\}$ is also a complete topological group; therefore by identifying $A^*(M)$ with $\{U(\rho) \mid \rho \in A^*(M)\}$, we can introduce a complete topological group structure into $A^*(M)$. We shall call this topological structure of $A^*(M)$ the strong *-topology of $A^*(M)$ and is denoted by s^* . It is clear that the s^* -topology is stronger than the w^* -topology. If M has the separable predual, then $B^u(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ is a separable complete metric group with respect to the strong *-operator topology; hence $A^*(M)$ is a separable complete metric topological group.

THEOREM 4. Let M be the hyperfinite II₁-factor; then $I^*(M)$ is s^* -dense in $A^*(M)$.

PROOF. Take a uniformly hyperfinite C*-subalgebra \mathfrak{A} of M such that \mathfrak{A} is σ -dense in M . For $\rho \in A^*(M)$, the restriction of ρ to \mathfrak{A} will give a *-isomorphism of \mathfrak{A} onto $\rho(\mathfrak{A})$. Hence by Powers' theorem [4], there is a unitary element u in M such that $u^*\rho(\mathfrak{A})u = \mathfrak{A}$. Now put $\rho'(x) = u^*\rho(x)u (x \in \mathfrak{A})$. Then ρ' is a *-automorphism of \mathfrak{A} . Suppose that $\mathfrak{A} = \text{the uniform closure of } \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{A}_n$, where \mathfrak{A}_n is a type I_{i_n} -factor ($i_n < +\infty$), $\mathfrak{A}_n \subset \mathfrak{A}_{n+1} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ and $1 \in \mathfrak{A}_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$. For \mathfrak{A}_n , let $\{e_{ij}^n \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n\}$ be a matrix unit of \mathfrak{A}_n ; then for $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an \mathfrak{A}_m and a finite family of elements $\{a_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n\}$ in \mathfrak{A}_m such that $n \leq m$ and $\|\rho'(e_{ij}^n) - a_{ij}\| < \varepsilon (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n)$. Then there is a matrix unit $\{f_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n\}$ in \mathfrak{A}_m and a positive number $\delta > 0$ such

that $\|\rho'(e_{ij}^n) - f_{ij}\| < \delta$, where $\delta \rightarrow 0$, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ([1]).

Let $\mathfrak{A}_m = \mathfrak{A}_n \otimes (\mathfrak{A}'_n \cap \mathfrak{A}_m)$, and let \mathfrak{B} be the C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} generated by $\{f_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n\}$; put $\mathfrak{A}_m = \mathfrak{B} \otimes (\mathfrak{B}' \cap \mathfrak{A}_m)$ and $\zeta_1(e_{ij}^n) = f_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n$). Then ζ_1 can be uniquely extended to a $*$ -isomorphism, denoted again by ζ_1 , of \mathfrak{A}_n onto \mathfrak{B} . Let ζ_2 be a $*$ -isomorphism of $(\mathfrak{A}'_n \cap \mathfrak{A}_m)$ onto $(\mathfrak{B}' \cap \mathfrak{A}_m)$; then $\zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2$ will define a $*$ -automorphism of \mathfrak{A}_m onto \mathfrak{A}_m . Since \mathfrak{A}_m is a type I-factor, every automorphism of it is inner; hence there is a unitary element v in such that $\zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2(x) = v^*xv$ for $x \in \mathfrak{A}_m$.

$$\|\rho'(e_{ij}^n) - v^*e_{ij}^nv\| \leq \delta \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(e_{ij}^n) - uv^*e_{ij}^nvu^*\| &= \|u^*\rho(e_{ij}^n)u - v^*e_{ij}^nv\| \\ &= \|\rho'(e_{ij}^n) - v^*e_{ij}^nv\| < \delta \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, i_n). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can easily conclude that for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\inf_{\zeta \in I^*(M), 1 \leq j \leq m} \max \|\rho(a_j) - \zeta(a_j)\| = 0$$

and so we can easily conclude that for $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$,

$$\inf_{\zeta \in I^*(M), 1 \leq j \leq m} \max \|(U(\rho) - U(\zeta))\xi_j\| = 0.$$

This implies that there is a sequence (ρ_n) in $I^*(M)$ such that $U(\rho_n)$ $U(\rho)$ (strongly) and so $U(\rho_n)^* \rightarrow U(\rho)^*$ (strongly). This completes the proof.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that M is a II_1 -factor with the separable predual and $T(M) = C(M)$. Then the set $\{U(\rho) \mid \rho \in I^*(M)\}$ is complete with respect to the strong operator topology. In particular, $I^*(M)$ is complete with respect to the s^* -topology and $I^*(M)$ is closed in $A^*(M)$ with respect to the w^* -topology and s^* -topology respectively.*

PROOF. Let (ρ_n) be a Cauchy sequence of inner $*$ -automorphisms on M . Put $\rho_n(a) = u_n^*au_n$ ($a \in M$), where u_n are unitary in M . Then,

$$\|u_n^*au_n - u_m^*au_m\|_2 = \|au_nu_m^* - u_nu_m^*a\|_2 = \|[a, u_nu_m^*]\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (m, n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $T(M) = C(M)$, $\|u_nu_m^* - \tau(u_nu_m^*)1\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$).

$$\|u_nu_m^* - \tau(u_nu_m^*)1\|_2 = \|u_n - \tau(u_nu_m^*)u_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (n, m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $\|u_nu_m^*\|_2 = 1$, $|\tau(u_nu_m^*)| \rightarrow 1$ and so there is a double sequence of complex numbers $(\mu_{n,m})$ with $|\mu_{n,m}| = 1$ such that $\|u_n - \mu_{n,m}u_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$). We can choose a subsequence (n_j) of (n) such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u_{n_j} - \mu_{n_j, n_{j+1}} \cdot u_{n_{j+1}}\|_2 < +\infty.$$

By induction, we shall define a sequence of unitary elements (v_j) in M . Put $v_1 = u_{n_1}$; suppose that (v_i) ($i \leq j$) are defined; then take a complex number λ_{j+1} ($|\lambda_{j+1}| = 1$) such that $\inf_{|\lambda|=1} \|v_j - \lambda u_{n_{j+1}}\|_2 = \|v_j - \lambda_{j+1} u_{n_{j+1}}\|_2$ and define $v_{j+1} = \lambda_{j+1} u_{n_{j+1}}$. Let $j \geq k$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_j - v_k\|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-k} \|v_{k+i} - v_{k+i-1}\|_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{j-k} \|v_{k+i-1} - \lambda_{k+i} u_{n_{k+i}}\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-k} \|v_{k+i-1} - \mu_{n_{k+i-1}, n_{k+i}} \lambda_{k+i-1} u_{n_{k+i}}\|_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-k} \|u_{k+i-1} - \mu_{n_{k+i-1}, n_{k+i}} u_{n_{k+i}}\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (j, k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is an isometry v belonging to M such that $\|v_n - v\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Since M is finite, v is unitary. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_j^* a v_j - v^* a v\|_2 &= \|u_{n_j}^* a u_{n_j} - v^* a v\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \in M). \\ \|u_m^* a u_m - u_{n_j}^* a u_{n_j}\|_2 + \|u_{n_j}^* a u_{n_j} - v^* a v\|_2 &\rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty, n_j \geq m). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

REMARK 1. This theorem implies that a sequence of inner $*$ -automorphisms on a II_1 -factor M satisfying $T(M) = C(M)$ can not approach to an into- $*$ -isomorphism on M in the w^* -topology. In fact, suppose that a sequence (ρ_n) of inner $*$ -automorphisms on M converges to an into- $*$ -isomorphism ρ in the w^* -topology; then $U(\rho)a = \rho(a)$ ($a \in M$) will define an isometry of \mathcal{H}_τ into \mathcal{H}_τ . Since $(U(\rho_n)a, b) = (\rho_n(a), b) = \tau(b^* \rho_n(a)) \rightarrow (\rho(a), b)$ ($a, b \in M$) and $\|\rho_n(a)\|_2^2 = (\rho_n(a), \rho_n(a)) = \tau(\rho_n(a^*a)) \rightarrow \tau(\rho(a^*a)) = \tau(\rho(a)^* \rho(a)) = \|\rho(a)\|_2^2$, $\|U(\rho_n)a - U(\rho)a\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore, $\{U(\rho_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the strong operator topology.

Next we shall show the converse of Theorem 5 to be true.

THEOREM 6. Suppose that M is a II_1 -factor with the separable predual. If $I^*(M)$ is closed in $A^*(M)$ with respect to the s^* -topology, then $T(M) = C(M)$.

PROOF. It is easily seen that if every central sequence of unitary elements in M is trivial, then $T(M) = C(M)$ ([2]). Suppose that $I^*(M)$ is s^* -closed in $A^*(M)$. Then $I^*(M)$ is a separable complete metric topological group with respect to the s^* -topology. Let M^u be the group of all unitary elements in M . For $u, v \in M^u$, we shall define a metric $d(u, v)$ as follows; $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|_2$. This is equivalent to the s^* -topology on M^u , for M is finite. Hence M^u is a separable complete metric topological group. For $u \in M^u$, define $\rho_u(a) = uau^*$ ($a \in M$); then ρ_u is an inner $*$ -automorphism on M . Consider a group homomorphism

$\Phi: u \rightarrow \rho_u$ of M^u onto $I^*(M)$. Then clearly Φ is a continuous mapping of M^u with the s^* -topology onto $I^*(M)$ with the s^* -topology. Hence the kernel K of Φ is closed in M^u and so the quotient group M^u/K is again a topological group with the second countability axiom, and the canonical isomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}$ of M^u/K onto $I^*(M)$ defined by Φ is continuous. Let (a_n) be a set of elements in M^u which is s^* -dense in M^u . For an arbitrary positive number ε , let V_ε be the set of elements in M^u such that $V_\varepsilon = \{u \mid d(1, u) < \varepsilon, u \in M^u\}$. Since $d(xa, ya) = \|(x - y)a\|_2 = \|x - y\|_2$ for $a, x, y \in M^u$, $V_\varepsilon a_n = \{w \mid d(a_n, w) < \varepsilon, w \in M^u\}$. Clearly $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty V_\varepsilon a_n = M^u$ and so $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Phi(V_\varepsilon a_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Phi(V_\varepsilon)\Phi(a_n) = I^*(M)$. Since $I^*(M)$ is a complete metric space, it is of the second category. Hence $\Phi(V_\varepsilon a_{n_0})$ is not nowhere dense for some n_0 . Hence the s^* -closure $\overline{\Phi(V_\varepsilon)\Phi(a_{n_0})}$ of $\Phi(V_\varepsilon)\Phi(a_{n_0})$ contains an open set. Since $\overline{\Phi(V_\varepsilon)\Phi(a_{n_0})} = \overline{\Phi(V_\varepsilon)}\Phi(a_{n_0})$, $\overline{\Phi(V_\varepsilon)}$ contains an open set. For V_ε there is a V_{ε_1} such that $V_{\varepsilon_1}V_{\varepsilon_1} \subset V_\varepsilon$. Since $V_{\varepsilon_1} = V_{\varepsilon_1}^{-1}$, $\overline{\Phi(V_{\varepsilon_1})}\overline{\Phi(V_{\varepsilon_1})}$ contains an open set containing the unit 1. Since $\overline{\Phi(V_{\varepsilon_1})}\overline{\Phi(V_{\varepsilon_1})} \subset \overline{\Phi(V_\varepsilon)}$, $\overline{\Phi(V_\varepsilon)}$ contains an open set G containing the unit. Let $\rho_v \in G$; then there is a sequence (u_n) in V_ε such that $\rho_{u_n} \rightarrow \rho_v(s^*)$. Therefore, $\|u_n^* a u_n - v^* a v\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since $u_n \in V_\varepsilon$, $\|u_n - 1\|_2 < \varepsilon$; hence for $a \in M^u$,

$$\|u_n^* a u_n - v^* a v\|_2 = \|(u_n v^*)^* a (u_n v) - a\|_2 = \|a(u_n v^*) - (u_n v^*)a\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a v^* - v^* a\|_2 &= \|a(1 - u_n)v^* - (1 - u_n)v^*a + a u_n v^* - u_n v^* a\|_2 \\ &\leq \|a(1 - u_n)v^* - (1 - u_n)v^*a\|_2 + \|a u_n v^* - u_n v^* a\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|a\| \|1 - u_n\|_2 + \|a u_n v^* - u_n v^* a\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon + \|a u_n v^* - u_n v^* a\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|a v^* - v^* a\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon$. Therefore, $\|a v^* a^* - v^* a\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon$ for $a \in M^u$. Hence $\|\tau(v^*)1 - v^*\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon$ and $\|\tau(v)1 - v\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau(v)1 - v\|_2^2 &= \tau((v^* - \overline{\tau(v)}1)(v - \tau(v)1)) \\ &= \tau(1 + |\tau(v)|^2 1 - |\tau(v)|^2 1 - |\tau(v)|^2 1) = (1 - |\tau(v)|^2) \leq 4\varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\tau(v)1}{|\tau(v)|} - v \right\|_2^2 &= 1 + 1 - |\tau(v)| - |\tau(v)| = 2(1 - |\tau(v)|) \\ &= 2(1 - |\tau(v)|^2)/1 + |\tau(v)| \leq 8\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\inf_{|\lambda|=1} d(v, \lambda 1) < 3\varepsilon$. This implies that $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}$ is continuous; hence $\tilde{\Phi}$ is homeomorphic. Especially, if $\rho_{v_n} \rightarrow \rho_i(s^*)$ ($v_n \in M^u$), then there is a sequence of complex numbers (λ_n) with $|\lambda_n| = 1$ such that $d(v_n, \lambda_n 1) = \|v_n - \lambda_n 1\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. If (w_n) is a central sequence of unitary elements in M , then $\|[x, w_n]\|_2 = \|x w_n - w_n x\|_2 = \|w_n^* x w_n - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and $\|w_n x w_n^* - x\|_2 = \|x - w_n^* x w_n\|_2$; hence $\rho_{w_n} \rightarrow \rho_i(s^*)$. Therefore, we have $T(M) = C(M)$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 7. *If $T(M) \subseteq C(M)$ for a II₁-factor M with the separable predual, then M has outer $*$ -automorphisms belonging to the s^* -closure of $I^*(M)$.*

Now let $\overline{I^*(M)}$ be the s^* -closure of $I^*(M)$ in $A^*(M)$. Since $I^*(M)$ is a normal subgroup of $A^*(M)$, $\overline{I^*(M)}$ is also a normal subgroup of $A^*(M)$. Let Π be the group of all finite permutations of all positive integers N onto itself, and let $U(\Pi)$ be the II₁-factor generated by the left regular representation; then by Theorem 4, $I^*(U(\Pi)) \subseteq \overline{I^*(U(\Pi))} = A^*(U(\Pi))$. Next let G_2 be the free group of two generators; then by Theorem 5, $I^*(U(G_2)) = \overline{I^*(U(G_2))}$. Moreover, it is well known that $I^*(U(G_2)) \subseteq A^*(U(G_2))$. Now we shall show the following theorem.

THEOREM 8. *There are three II₁-factors M_1, M_2, M_3 such that*

1. $I^*(M_1) \subseteq \overline{I^*(M_1)} = A^*(M_1)$;
2. $I^*(M_2) = \overline{I^*(M_2)} \subseteq A^*(M_2)$;
3. $I^*(M_3) \subseteq \overline{I^*(M_3)} \subseteq A^*(M_3)$.

PROOF. It is enough to show the 3. Let $\Gamma_j = G_2$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$); then $U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$ is asymptotically abelian ([5]), but by Zeller-Meier's theorem it is not inner asymptotically abelian ([8]). Let (ρ_n) be a sequence of $*$ -automorphisms on $U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$ such that $\|\rho_n(a), b\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ($a, b \in U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$). Now suppose that $\overline{I^*(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j))} = A^*(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j))$. Then for each ρ_n , there is a sequence of inner $*$ -automorphisms $\{\zeta_{n,m}\}$ on $U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$ such that

$$\|\zeta_{n,m}(x) - \rho_n(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty, x \in U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)).$$

Let $\{x_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a subset of $U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$ which is dense in $l^2(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$. For each positive integer k , there is a positive integer m_k such that $\|\zeta_{n,m}(x_i) - \rho_n(x_i)\|_2 < 1/k$ for all $m \geq m_k$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, k, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We may assume that $m_k \leq m_{k+1}$. Put $\gamma_k = \zeta_{k,m_k}$; then we can easily see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_k(a), b\|_2 &\leq \|\gamma_k(a) - \rho_k(a), b\|_2 + \|\rho_k(a), b\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|b\| \|\gamma_k(a) - \rho_k(a)\|_2 + \|\rho_k(a), b\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \\ &\quad (a, b \in U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)$ is inner asymptotically abelian, a contradiction. Hence $\overline{I^*(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j))} \subseteq A^*(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j))$. On the other hand, $T(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)) \subseteq C(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j))$ and so $I^*(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j)) \subseteq \overline{I^*(U(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \oplus \Gamma_j))}$ by Theorem 6. This completes the proof.

Finally we shall state some problems. Let $Q(M)$ be the w^* -closed convex subset of $B(M)$ generated by $A^*(M)$. Then it is easily seen that $Q(M)$ can be identified with the weakly closed convex subset of $B(\mathcal{H})$ generated by $\{U(\rho) \mid \rho \in A^*(M)\}$. Under this identification, the w^* -topology on $Q(M)$ is equivalent to the weak operator topology. Therefore, if M has the separable predual, $Q(M)$ is a compact metric space with the w^* -topology; hence we can apply the Choquet theory to $Q(M)$. Therefore, it is an interesting question to determine extreme points in $Q(M)$. It is clear that all $*$ -automorphisms and all into- $*$ -isomorphisms belonging to $Q(M)$ are extreme. Are there other extreme points in $Q(M)$? Let φ be a state on M and consider a positive linear mapping Ψ of M into M as follows: $\Psi(a) = \varphi(a)1$ for $a \in M$. Then it is easily seen that Ψ belongs to $Q(M)$ if and only if $\varphi = \tau$.

Let N be a W^* -subalgebra of M ; then there is the unique canonical conditional expectation P of M onto N such that $\tau(P(a)x) = \tau(ax)$ for $a \in M$ and $x \in N$. If $N = M \cap (N' \cap M)'$, then it is easily seen that P belongs to $Q(M)$. Can we conclude that P belongs to $Q(M)$ without the assumption $N = M \cap (N' \cap M)'$? If $Q(M)$ contains an into- $*$ -isomorphism, then by the standard theory of locally convex spaces $\overline{A^*(M)}^w$ contains it. Therefore, if $I^*(M) = A^*(M)$, then $Q(M)$ can not contain an into- $*$ -automorphism, by Theorems 5 and 6. Hence it is a quite interesting question whether $Q(M)$ contains an into- $*$ -isomorphism for every II_1 -factor M .

In conclusion, we notice that much part of this paper can be extended to infinite factors with minor modifications.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. GLIMM, On a certain class of operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95 (1960), 216-244.
- [2] D. McDUFF, Central sequences and the hyperfinite factor, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 21 (1970), 443-461.
- [3] R. POWERS, Dissertation.
- [4] R. POWERS, Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and the associated von Neumann algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, 86 (1967), 138-171.
- [5] S. SAKAI, Asymptotically abelian II_1 -factors, *Publ. Res. Inst. Sci.*, 4 (1968), 299-307.
- [6] S. SAKAI, C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [7] S. SAKAI, Automorphisms and tensor products of operator algebras, to appear in *American Journal of Mathematics*.
- [8] G. ZELLER-MEIER, Deux autres facteurs de type II_1 , *Invent. Math.*, 17 (1969), 235-242.