## THE MULTIPLICITY OF HELICES FOR A REGULARLY INCREASING SEQUENCE OF $\sigma$ -FIELDS

Dedicated to Professor Tamotsu Tsuchikura on his sixtieth birthday

## TAKASHI SHIMANO

(Received March 29, 1983)

- 1. Introduction. The notion of helices was introduced in the theory of measure-preserving transformations as an application of the martingale theory by J. de Sam Lazaro and P. A. Meyer [2]. The multiplicity of helices has been discussed by the author in the same manner as that of square-integrable martingales [4], [5]. In this paper, we determine the multiplicity of helices under some condition of the regularity on an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -fields.
- 2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper  $(\Omega, F, P)$  denotes a complete separable probability space and T an automorphism of  $\Omega$ , that is, a bimeasurable measure-preserving bijection. Let  $F_0$  be a complete proper sub- $\sigma$ -field of F such that

(a) 
$$F_n \subset F_{n+1}$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

(b) 
$$\bigvee_{n} F_n = F$$

where  $Z = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\}$  and  $F_n$  denotes the sub- $\sigma$ -field  $T^n F_0$ . A pair  $(T, F_0)$  is called a system.

Let H denote the class of all squarely integrable real random variables with expectations 0, which is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space under the ordinary inner product, and  $H_n$  the subspace of H consisting of all elements measurable with respect to  $F_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

DEFINITION 1. A sequence  $X = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in H is called a helix of  $(T, F_0)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(a) \quad x_0 = 0 ,$$

(b) 
$$x_n - x_{n-1} \in H_n \cap H_{n-1}^{\perp}$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

where  $\perp$  indicates the orthogonal complementation in H,

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 57540098), The Ministry of Education.

(c) 
$$(x_n-x_{n-1})\circ T^{-1}=x_{n+1}-x_n$$
 for all  $n\in Z$ .

By this definition, each helix  $X = (x_n)$  can be written as

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}=0$$
 ,  $x_{\scriptscriptstyle n}=\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}x\!\circ\! T^{-(k-1)}$   $(n>0)$  ,  $x_{\scriptscriptstyle n}=-x_{\scriptscriptstyle -n}\!\circ\! T^{-n}$   $(n<0)$ 

for some  $x \in H_1 \cap H_0^{\perp}$ .

Note that each helix has the property of a martingale, namely  $(x_{n+m}-x_m, F_{n+m})_{n\geq 0}$  is a square-integrable martingale. Thus we can apply the method of martingales to the study of helices.

Given two helices  $X = (x_n)$  and  $X' = (x'_n)$  of  $(T, F_0)$ , we define the random variable  $\langle X, X' \rangle$  by

$$\langle X, X' \rangle = E[x_1 x_1' | F_0].$$

If X = X', then we write simply  $\langle X \rangle$  instead of  $\langle X, X \rangle$ . Consider the process  $(\langle X \rangle_n)_{n \geq 0}$  defined by

$$\langle X
angle_{_0}=0$$
 ,  $\ \langle X
angle_{_n}=\sum\limits_{_{k=1}}^{^n}\langle X
angle\circ T^{_{-(k-1)}}$   $\ (n>0)$  ,

which is nothing but the predictable increasing process of the Doob-Meyer decomposition for the martingale  $(x_n, F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ . We see easily that

$$\langle X, X' \rangle = \langle X', X \rangle$$

and for another helix Y,

$$\langle X + Y, X' \rangle = \langle X, X' \rangle + \langle Y, X' \rangle$$
.

DEFINITION 2. Two helices X and X' are said to be strictly orthogonal if  $\langle X, X' \rangle = 0$ .

DEFINITION 3. For two helices X and X', we denote by  $\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}$  the signed measure on  $F_0$  with density  $\langle X, X' \rangle$ , that is, for each  $B \in F_0$ 

$$\mu_{\langle X,X'
angle}\!(B)=\int_{B}\langle X,X'
angle dP=\int_{B}x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}'\!dP\;.$$

It is called the helix-measure of X and X', and  $\mu_{\langle x \rangle}$  is called the helix-measure of X.

DEFINITION 4. For a helix  $X = (x_n)$  and a squarely integrable random variable  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, F_0, \mu_{\langle X \rangle})$ , the helix  $Y = (y_n)$  given by

$$y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}=0$$
 ,  $y_{\scriptscriptstyle n}=\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}{(
u\!\circ\! T^{-(k-1)})}(x_k-x_{k-1})$   $(n>0)$  ,  $y_{\scriptscriptstyle n}=-y_{-n}\!\circ\! T^{-n}$   $(n<0)$  ,

is called the helix-transform of X by  $\nu$  and denoted by  $\nu * X$ .

This notion is analogous to the so-called martingale-transform. We have obviously  $\langle \nu*X,X'\rangle=\nu\langle X,X'\rangle$  and  $\langle \nu*X\rangle=\nu^2\langle X\rangle$ .

The following result is of fundamental importance in our discussion.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $(T, F_0)$  be a system. Then there exists a sequence of at most countable strictly orthogonal helices  $\mathscr{X} = (X^{(p)})$  which satisfy the following conditions:

(a) Every helix X has the representation

$$X=\sum\limits_{p}
u^{(p)}*X^{(p)}$$
 ,  $\qquad
u^{(p)}\in L^2(\varOmega,\,F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,\mu_{\langle X^{(p)}
angle})$  .

(b)  $\mu_{\langle X^{(p+1)} \rangle}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_{\langle Y^{(p)} \rangle}$  for each p.

Furthermore, if  $\mathscr{Y} = (Y^{(p)})$  is another such sequence, then  $\mu_{\langle Y^{(p)} \rangle}$  is equivalent to  $\mu_{\langle X^{(p)} \rangle}$  for all p.

Such a sequence of helices is called a strict base of helices of the system. Proposition 1 indicates that the length of a strict base is uniquely determined by the system.

DEFINITION 5. The length of a strict base is called the multiplicity of helices of this system, which is denoted by  $M(T, F_0)$ .

As for a calculation of the multiplicity, the following two results are known (cf. [4], [5]):

Let  $(T, F_0)$  be a system such that

$$F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = igvee_{\scriptscriptstyle n<0} T^{\scriptscriptstyle n} A$$

for some sub- $\sigma$ -field A of F. Then, it is possible to estimate the multiplicity of helices of this system.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $(T, F_0)$  be the system mentioned above. Then

$$M(T, F_0) \leq \dim L_0^2(A)$$

where  $L_0^2(A)$  is the subspace of H consisting of all elements measurable with respect to A.

The equality in the above proposition holds for a special class of systems of the following type (cf. [4], [5]):

DEFINITION 6. Let T be an automorphism of  $\Omega$  and A a sub- $\sigma$ -field of F. The pair (T, A) is called a Bernoulli system or simply a B-system if

(a)  $(T^n A)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an independent sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -fields,

(b)  $\bigvee_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} T^n A = F$ .

If we set  $F_0 = \bigvee_{n<0} T^n A$ , then  $(T, F_0)$  is clearly a system, which is called a Kolmogorov system.

PROPOSITION 3. Let (T, A) be a B-system and  $(T, F_0)$  the Kolmogorov system derived from (T, A). Then all helix-measures of a strict base are equivalent to P on  $F_0$  and

$$M(T, F_0) = \dim L_0^2(A).$$

3. Predictable independence. In this section, we define some independence of a sequence of helices and investigate the procedure of Schmidt's orthogonalization for helices.

DEFINITION 7. A sequence  $(X^{(p)})$  of helices is said to be predictably independent if  $\langle \sum_p \nu^{(p)} * X^{(p)} \rangle$  is not equal to 0 for any  $\nu^{(p)} \in L^2(\Omega, F_0, \mu_{\langle X^{(p)} \rangle})$  unless all  $\nu^{(p)}$  are equal to 0.

Note that all subsequences of such a sequence of helices are also predictably independent. Further, we remark the following on this independence of helices. If the sequence  $(X^{(p)})$  is strictly orthogonal and each  $\langle X^{(p)} \rangle$  is positive a.s., then  $(X^{(p)})$  is predictably independent. Indeed, if  $(X^{(p)})$  is strictly orthogonal, then

$$egin{aligned} \langle \sum_{p} 
u^{(p)} * X^{(p)} 
angle &= \sum_{p} 
u^{(p)^2} \langle X^{(p)} 
angle + \sum_{p \neq q} 
u^{(p)} 
u^{(q)} \langle X^{(p)}, X^{(q)} 
angle \\ &= \sum_{p} 
u^{(p)^2} \langle X^{(p)} 
angle \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $\langle \sum_p \nu^{(p)} * X^{(p)} \rangle = 0$ , then all  $\nu^{(p)}$  are equal to 0 since all  $\langle X^{(p)} \rangle$  are positive a.s.

Suppose that a sequence  $(X^{(p)})_{p=1,2,\dots,\kappa}$  of helices is predictably independent and each  $\langle X^{(p)} \rangle$  is positive a.s. In the case that  $\kappa = \infty$ , this means simply that the sequence is countably infinite. From such a sequence, we can obtain the strictly orthogonal sequence  $(Y^{(p)})_{p=1,2,\dots,\kappa}$  of helices by the following procedure.

Schmidt's orthogonalization. First put  $y^{(1)}=x_1^{(1)}/\langle X^{(1)}\rangle^{1/2}$  and construct a helix  $Y^{(1)}=(y_n^{(1)})$  such that  $y_1^{(1)}=y^{(1)}$ , that is,

$$y_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}=0$$
 ,  $y_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}=\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}y^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}\!\circ\! T^{-\scriptscriptstyle (k-1)}$   $(n>0)$  ,  $y_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}=-y_{\scriptscriptstyle -n}\!\circ\! T^{-n}$   $(n<0)$  ,

so that  $\langle Y^{\mbox{\tiny (1)}}
angle = E[y^{\mbox{\tiny (1)}^2}|F_{\mbox{\tiny 0}}] = E[x_{\mbox{\tiny 1}}^{\mbox{\tiny (1)}^2}|F_{\mbox{\tiny 0}}]/\langle X^{\mbox{\tiny (1)}}
angle = 1.$  Then put  $z^{\mbox{\tiny (2)}} = x_{\mbox{\tiny (2)}}^{\mbox{\tiny (2)}} - \langle X^{\mbox{\tiny (2)}}, Y^{\mbox{\tiny (1)}}
angle y^{\mbox{\tiny (1)}}$ 

and construct a helix  $Z^{\scriptscriptstyle(2)}=(z_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle(2)})$  such that  $z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle(2)}=z^{\scriptscriptstyle(2)}$  in the same way as

above for  $Y^{(1)}$ , that is,

$$Z^{(2)} = 1*X^{(2)} - \langle X^{(2)}, Y^{(1)} \rangle *Y^{(1)}$$
.

Then  $\langle Z^{(2)},Y^{(1)}\rangle=\langle X^{(2)},Y^{(1)}\rangle-\langle X^{(2)},Y^{(1)}\rangle\cdot\langle Y^{(1)}\rangle=0$  and  $\langle Z^{(2)}\rangle>0$  a.s., since  $X^{(1)}$  and  $X^{(2)}$  are predictably independent. Put  $y^{(2)}=z^{(2)}/\langle Z^{(2)}\rangle^{1/2}$  and construct a helix  $Y^{(2)}=(y^{(2)}_\pi)$  such that  $y^{(2)}_1=y^{(2)}$ . When  $Y^{(1)},Y^{(2)},\cdots,Y^{(p-1)}$  are obtained in this way, so that  $\langle Y^{(q)},Y^{(r)}\rangle=\delta_{qr}$  for  $1\leq q,r\leq p-1$ , put

$$oldsymbol{z}^{\scriptscriptstyle(p)}=x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle(p)}-\sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle q=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle p-1}\langle X^{\scriptscriptstyle(p)},Y^{\scriptscriptstyle(q)}
angle y^{\scriptscriptstyle(q)}$$

and construct a helix  $Z^{(p)}=(z_n^{(p)})$  such that  $z_1^{(p)}=z^{(p)}$ , that is,

$$Z^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)} = 1{st} X^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)} - \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle q=1}^{p-1} {\left\langle {{X^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}},\,{Y^{\scriptscriptstyle (q)}}} 
ight
angle st {Y^{\scriptscriptstyle (q)}}}$$
 .

Then  $\langle Z^{(p)}, Y^{(q)} \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq p-1$  and  $\langle Z^{(p)} \rangle > 0$  a.s., since  $X^{(1)}$ ,  $X^{(2)}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $X^{(p)}$  are predictably independent. Put  $y^{(p)} = z^{(p)}/\langle Z^{(p)} \rangle^{1/2}$  and construct a helix  $Y^{(p)} = (y^{(p)}_n)$  such that  $y^{(p)}_1 = y^{(p)}$  in the same way as above. Hence  $Y^{(p)}$  added to  $Y^{(1)}$ ,  $Y^{(2)}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $Y^{(p-1)}$  retains the property that  $\langle Y^{(q)}, Y^{(r)} \rangle = \delta_{qr}$  for  $1 \leq q$ ,  $r \leq p$ , and this procedure can be continued to  $p = \kappa$ . Thus we obtain a strictly orthogonal sequence  $(Y^{(p)})_{p=1,2,\dots,\kappa}$  of helices such that  $\mu_{\langle Y^{(p)} \rangle} = P$  on  $F_0$  for all p.

By this procedure, we can show the following for the multiplicity of helices of a system:

THEOREM 1. Let  $(T, F_0)$  be a system such that

- (a)  $F_0 = \bigvee_{n < 0} T^n A$  for some sub- $\sigma$ -field A and
- (b) dim  $L_0^2(A) = \kappa$ .

If  $(X^{(p)})_{p=1,2,...,p}$  is a predictably independent sequence of helices of  $(T, F_0)$  and each  $\langle X^{(p)} \rangle$  is positive a.s., then all helix-measures of each strict base of helices of  $(T, F_0)$  are equivalent to P on  $F_0$  and

$$M(T, F_0) = \kappa$$
.

PROOF. By the procedure of Schmidt's orthogonalization for  $(X^{(p)})$ , we can obtain a strictly orthogonal sequence  $(Y^{(p)})_{p=1,2,\dots,\kappa}$  of helices such that  $\mu_{\langle Y^{(p)} \rangle} = P$  on  $F_0$  for all p. Then we have that  $\kappa \leq M(T, F_0)$ . By Proposition 2 and the condition (b) in the statement, we have that  $M(T, F_0) \leq \kappa$  and hence  $M(T, F_0) = \kappa$ . Thus  $(Y^{(p)})$  is a strict base of helices of  $(T, F_0)$  such that  $\mu_{\langle Y^{(p)} \rangle} = P$  on  $F_0$  for all p. q.e.d.

4. Helices for regularly increasing sub- $\sigma$ -fields. In this section, we deal with a system  $(T, F_0)$  of the following type:

 $F_0 = \bigvee_{n<0} T^n A$  where a sub- $\sigma$ -field A is generated by a partition  $\alpha = \{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of  $\Omega$ .

In addition, we impose the following condition of regularity on this system:

DEFINITION 8. The system  $(T, F_0)$  of the above type is said to be regular if

$$0 < P(A_p|B) < 1$$
 for all  $B \in F_0$  with  $P(B) > 0$  and all  $A_p \in \alpha$ 

where P(A|B) denotes the conditional probability of A under B.

It is obvious that  $(T, F_0)$  is regular if (T, A) is a *B*-system. This definition means that all parts of  $\Omega$  are homogeneously mixed by the transformation T.

THEOREM 2. If a system  $(T, F_0)$  is regular, then all helix-measures of each strict base of helices of  $(T, F_0)$  are equivalent to P on  $F_0$  and

$$M(T, F_0) = \kappa$$
.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha=\{A_0,\,A_1,\,\cdots,\,A_\kappa\}$  be a partition which generates A. Obviously, dim  $L^2_0(A)=\kappa$ . For  $1\leq p\leq \kappa$ , put

$$x^{(p)} = 1_{A_p} - E[1_{A_p}|F_0]$$

where  $1_A$  denotes an indicator of the event A. Then  $x^{(p)} \in H_1 \cap H_0^{\perp}$ . Corresponding to each  $x^{(p)}$ , construct a helix  $X^{(p)} = (x_n^{(p)})$  such that  $x_1^{(p)} = x^{(p)}$ . To prove the statement under the condition of regularity, it is sufficient to show that the sequence  $(X^{(p)})_{p=1,2,\dots,\kappa}$  is predictably independent and each  $\langle X^{(p)} \rangle$  is positive a.s. by Theorem 1 in the preceding section.

First, we shall show that  $\langle X^{(p)} \rangle > 0$  a.s. for  $1 \leq p \leq \kappa$ . By the regularity of  $(T, F_0)$ , it is obvious that

$$0 < E[1_{A_p}|F_0] < 1$$

for  $0 \le p \le \kappa$ . Then

$$\langle X^{(p)} \rangle = E[x^{(p)^2}|F_0] = E[1_{A_n}|F_0](1 - E[1_{A_n}|F_0])$$

is positive a.s. for  $1 \le p \le \kappa$ . Next, to prove that  $(X^{(p)})_{p=1,2,...,\kappa}$  is predictably independent, we put

$$B = \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa} 
u^{(p)} * X^{(p)} 
ight
angle = 0 
ight\}$$

where  $\nu^{(p)} \in L^2(\Omega, F_0, \mu_{\langle X^{(p)} \rangle})$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \kappa$  and

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\kappa} \int_{B} 
u^{(p)^2} d\mu_{\langle X^{(p)} \rangle} < \infty$$
 .

Then we have that

$$egin{align} Eigg[ig(1_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\cdot\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle p=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle \kappa}
u^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}x^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}ig)^2ig|F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}igg] &= 1_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\cdot Eigg[ig(\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle p=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle \kappa}
u^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}x^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}ig)^2ig|F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}igg] \ &= 1_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\cdotig\langle\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle p=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle \kappa}
u^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}*X^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}ig
angle \ &= 0 \quad ext{a.s.} \end{split}$$

This implies that

$$1_{B} \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa} \nu^{(p)} x^{(p)} = 0$$
 a.s.

and hence

$$1_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}} \cdot \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{p=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\kappa}} \nu^{\scriptscriptstyle{(p)}} 1_{\scriptscriptstyle{A_p}} = 1_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}} \cdot \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{p=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\kappa}} \nu^{\scriptscriptstyle{(p)}} E[1_{\scriptscriptstyle{A_p}} | F_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}] \quad \text{a.s.}$$

By the measurability of the right hand side of the above formula, the left hand side is also measurable with respect to  $F_0$ , which implies that

$$E\Big[\Big(1_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\cdot\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle p=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle \kappa}
u^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}1_{\scriptscriptstyle A_{\scriptscriptstyle p}}\Big)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\Big|\,F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\Big]=\Big(1_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\cdot\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle p=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle \kappa}
u^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}1_{\scriptscriptstyle A_{\scriptscriptstyle p}}\Big)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\;.$$

Then we have

$$1_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}} \cdot \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{p=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\kappa}} \nu^{\scriptscriptstyle{(p)}{}^{2}} E[1_{\scriptscriptstyle{A_p}}|F_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}] = 1_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}} \cdot \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{p=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\kappa}} \nu^{\scriptscriptstyle{(p)}{}^{2}} 1_{\scriptscriptstyle{A_p}}$$

since  $A_p \cap A_q = \emptyset$  for  $p \neq q$ . The right hand side of this formula is equal to 0 on  $A_0$ . Then we have

$$1_{A_0}\!\!\left(1_{{\scriptscriptstyle{B}}}\!\cdot\!\sum\limits_{n=1}^{{\scriptscriptstyle{K}}} {{
u}^{(p)}}^2 E[1_{A_p}|F_0]
ight) = 0$$
 a.s.

and hence by conditioning both sides relative to  $F_0$ , we obtain

$$E[1_{A_0}|F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]\Big(1_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\cdot\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle p=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle \kappa}
u^{(p)^2}E[1_{A_{\scriptscriptstyle p}}|F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]\Big)=0 \quad {
m a.s.}$$

Since  $E[1_{A_0}|F_0]>0$  by the regularity of  $(T,F_0)$ , we have

$$1_{{\scriptscriptstyle{B}}} \cdot \sum\limits_{p=1}^{{\scriptscriptstyle{\kappa}}} {{{\mathcal{V}}^{(p)}}^{{\scriptscriptstyle{2}}}} E[1_{{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}_{p}} | F_{{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}}] = 0$$
 a.s.

and since  $E[1_{4_p}|F_0]>0$  for  $1\leq p\leq \kappa$ , we have the consequence that  $\nu^{(p)}$  is equal to 0 on B for  $1\leq p\leq \kappa$ .

## REFERENCES

 [1] N. H. A. DAVIS AND P. VARAIYA, The multiplicity of an increasing family of σ-fields, Ann. Probab. 2 (1974), 958-963.

- [2] J. DE SAM LAZARO AND P. A. MEYER, Méthodes de martingales et théorie de flots, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 18 (1971) 116-140.
- [3] H. Kunita and S. Watanabe, On square integrable martingales, Nagoya Math. J. 30 (1967), 209-245.
- [4] T. SHIMANO, An invariant of systems in ergodic theory, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), 337-350.
- [5] T. SHIMANO, Helix-representation in ergodic theory, Math. Rep. Toyama Univ. 6 (1982), 37-84.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
IWATE UNIVERSITY
MORIOKA 020
JAPAN