## HORIZONTAL LIFTS OF SPACELIKE CURVES WITH NON-DIFFERENTIABLE ENDPOINTS

## STEVEN G. HARRIS

(Received October 1, 1984)

Let P(M,G) be a principal fiber bundle with structure group G over a manifold M; let  $\sigma\colon [0,L]\to M$  be a continuous curve in M which is differentiable on the half-open interval [0,L). For a given connection on P, does  $\sigma$  admit a horizontal lift into P defined over the entire closed interval [0,L]? If the connection is flat, it surely does. Here is an example where it does not:  $M=R^2$ , G=GL(2), P= bundle of linear frames in  $R^2$ , L=1,  $\sigma(t)=(1-t)(\cos(1-t)^{-2}, \sin(1-t)^{-2})$ , and the connection is the Levi-Civita connection associated with the metric  $\exp(-y^2)\cdot (dx^2+dy^2)$ ; a linear frame, parallel translated, in this metric, from  $\sigma(0)$  to  $\sigma(t)$ , is rotated through an angle of  $(1/4)\theta^{-1}\sin 2\theta - (1/2)\ln \theta$ , where  $\theta=(1-t)^{-2}$ , so it has no limit as  $t\to 1$ .

The purpose of this paper is to show that if M admits a Lorentz metric for which  $\sigma$  is a finite-length spacelike curve with timelike acceleration (when parametrized by arc-length), then  $\sigma$  does, indeed, admit a horizontal lift over the entire closed interval, i.e., the lift over the differentiable part has a limit as  $t \to L$ . This is done by first showing that the horizontal lift over [0, L] exists in the case that for some Riemannian metric on M,  $\sigma$  has finite length; since  $\sigma$  is compact, if this is the case for one Riemannian metric, so must it be for all Riemannian metrics. Next, it is shown that if  $\sigma$  has infinite Riemannian-length, then any scalar function F on M which, in the given Lorentz metric, has a timelike gradient which is (say) opposite-directed to  $V_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}$  with respect to future and past, must have  $H_F(\dot{\sigma},\dot{\sigma})$  unbounded below, where  $H_F$  is the Hessian of F. Finally, it is shown how to construct, in a neighborhood of any point in any Lorentz manifold, a function with a timelike gradient (either past- or future-directed) and a positive-definite Hessian. Since it is only the behavior of  $\sigma$  and the connection in a neighborhood of  $\sigma(L)$ that is significant, this is sufficient for the problem at hand.

THEOREM 1. Let M be a manifold with a Lorentz metric g, let P be a principal fiber bundle over M with structure group G, and let  $\omega$  be a connection form on P. Let  $\sigma: [0, L] \to M$  be a continuous curve in M which is differentiable on [0, L). If  $\sigma$ , on [0, L), is spacelike, is para-

metrized by arc-length, and has timelike acceleration—or, more generally, for some (continuous) unit-timelike vector-valued function  $N_t$  defined over  $\sigma$  and some  $\kappa(t) \geq 0$ ,  $V_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma} = \kappa N$ —then a horizontal lift  $v: [0, L) \rightarrow P$  of  $\sigma$ has a limit as  $t \to L$ .

PROOF. In the course of this proof, the following elementary result from analysis will be used: For any differentiable function x(t) on a finite interval [0, L), if  $\int_0^L x(t)dt$  is finite but  $\int_0^L |x(t)|dt = \infty$ , then both x and x' are unbounded both above and below on [0, L).

Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a neighborhood of  $\sigma(L)$  over which P is trivial; it does no harm to assume that  $\sigma$  is contained in  $\mathscr{U}$ . Let  $u: \mathscr{U} \to P$  be a crosssection; then a lift  $v_t = u_{\sigma(t)}a_t$  of  $\sigma$ , with  $a:[0,L) \to G$ , is horizontal if and only if  $\dot{a}_t a_t^{-1} = -\omega[(d/dt)u_{\sigma(t)}]$   $(\dot{v} = \dot{u}a + u\dot{a}, \dot{v}a^{-1} = \dot{u} + u\dot{a}a^{-1}, \omega(\dot{v}a^{-1}) =$  $\operatorname{ad}(a)\omega(\dot{v}) = \omega(\dot{u}) + \omega(u\dot{a}a^{-1}) = \omega(\dot{u}) + \dot{a}a^{-1}; \text{ therefore, } \omega(\dot{v}) = 0 \text{ iff } \dot{a}a^{-1} = -\omega(\dot{u});$ see, e.g., [4], p. 69). Define  $\alpha = -u^*\omega$ . Let M have an arbitrary Riemannian metric, and let G have an arbitrary right-invariant Riemannian metric, both denoted by  $\|-\|$ ; then at each x in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\alpha_x$ :  $T_xM \to \mathfrak{g}$  has a norm  $\|\alpha_x\|$  as a linear transformation, and  $\|\alpha\|$  is bounded in a (possibly smaller) neighborhood of  $\sigma(L)$ . The equation  $\dot{a}_t a_t^{-1} = \alpha(\dot{\sigma}_t)$  has a solution for  $0 \le t < L$ . As a curve in G, its length  $L(a) = \int_0^L \|\dot{a}_t\| = \int_0^L \|\dot{a}_t a_t^{-1}\| = \int_0^L \|\alpha(\dot{\sigma}_t)\| \le \int_0^L \|\alpha\| \|\dot{\sigma}_t\|$ . Therefore, if  $\int_0^L \|\dot{\sigma}\|$  is finite, so is L(a). Being homogeneous, G is complete, so if L(a) is finite,  $a_t$  has a limit as  $t \to L$ . Therefore, if  $\sigma$  has finite Riemannian-length, the horizontal lift  $u_{\sigma(t)}a_t$ has a limit  $u_{\sigma(L)}a_L$ .

Let U be any (non-vanishing) timelike vector field on M; let  $U^{\perp}$  be its perpendicular space; and let  $P_v \colon T_x M \to U_x^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}$  be projection.  $(X, Y) \mapsto \langle P_{U}(X), P_{U}(Y) \rangle + \langle X, U \rangle \langle Y, U \rangle$  is a Riemannian metric on M $(\langle -, - \rangle$  denotes g, as will |-|). Thus, if  $\sigma$  has infinite Riemannian-length,  $\int_{-L}^{L} (|P_{U}(\dot{\sigma})|^{2} + \langle \dot{\sigma}, U \rangle^{2})^{1/2} = \infty$ . Since  $\sigma$  is of unit-speed and spacelike,

$$\langle \dot{\pmb{\sigma}},\,\dot{\pmb{\sigma}}
angle = |P_{\it U}(\dot{\pmb{\sigma}})|^2 - \langle \dot{\pmb{\sigma}},\,U
angle^2\!/\!|\,U\!|^2 = 1$$
 ,

SO

$$|P_{\scriptscriptstyle U}(\dot{\sigma})|^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}+\langle\dot{\sigma},\;U
angle^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}=1+(1+1/|U|^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})\langle\dot{\sigma},\;U
angle^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$
 .

In a neighborhood of  $\sigma(L)$ , |U| is bounded; therefore  $\sigma$  has infinite Riemannian-length if and only if  $\int_0^L |\langle \dot{\sigma}, U \rangle| = \infty$ . Now consider any scalar function  $F \colon M \to R$  with  $\nabla F$  timelike;  $\sigma$  has infinite Riemannian-length if and only if  $\int_0^L |\dot{\sigma}F| = \infty$ . However,  $\int_0^L \dot{\sigma}F = \int_0^L (d/dt)F(\sigma(t)) = F(\sigma(L)) - F(\sigma(0))$ , which is finite. Thus, by the remark

made at the beginning of this proof, if  $\sigma$  has infinite Riemannian-length, then  $(d/dt)(\dot{\sigma}F) = \dot{\sigma}\langle\dot{\sigma}, \nabla F\rangle = \langle \mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}, \nabla F\rangle + \langle\dot{\sigma}, \mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\mathcal{V}F\rangle = \langle \mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}, \nabla F\rangle + H_F(\dot{\sigma}, \dot{\sigma})$  is unbounded both above and below. But since  $\mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{V}F$  are both timelike (or each a non-negative multiple of a timelike vector field),  $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}, \mathcal{V}F\rangle$  has constant sign. Thus, for example, if  $\mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{V}F$  lie in opposite time-cones, then  $H_F(\dot{\sigma}, \dot{\sigma})$  must be unbounded below. It follows that if there is a function in a neighborhood of  $\sigma(L)$  with timelike gradient in the opposite time-cone as that of  $\mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}$  and with positive-definite Hessian, then  $\sigma$  must have finite Riemannian-length.

The remainder of the proof is devoted to constructing in a neighborhood of an arbitrary point p in a Lorentz manifold M, a function F with timelike gradient (either future- or past-directed, as needed) and positive-definite Hessian. F is the sum of a function whose Hessian is positive definite on a spacelike hyperplane in  $T_pM$ , and of a second function whose Hessian is zero on that hyperplane but positive on the vector perpendicular to it.

The first function, f, is defined by  $f(x) = \langle \exp_q^{-1}(x), \exp_q^{-1}(x) \rangle$ , where q is a point in the chronological past of p (i.e.,  $q \ll p$ ) that needs to be chosen appropriately. To find Vf, consider a vector V in  $T_xM$ ,  $x\gg q$ , with  $V=(d/dv)x_v$  for some curve  $x_v$ ; let  $x_v=\exp_q(r_vT_v)$  with  $T_v$  unit timelike and  $r_v\geq 0$ . Then  $f(x_v)=-r_v^2$ . Define  $\beta(s,v)=\exp_q(sr_vT_v)$ , so that V at x is extended by the definition to  $V=\beta_*(\partial/\partial v)$ ; define  $S=\beta_*(\partial/\partial s)$  and T=S/|S|. Let  $\gamma_v$  be the geodesic  $\beta(-,v)$  from s=0 to s=1, so  $L(\gamma_v)=|S_v|=r_v$ . Then  $V_xf=(d/dv)f(x_v)=-2r_v(d/dv)r_v=-2r_v(d/dv)L(\gamma_v)=-2r_v[-\langle V,T\rangle]_{s=0}^{s=1}=2|f(x)|^{1/2}\langle V,T\rangle_x$  (first variation of timelike arc-length has been used here—see, e.g., Corollary 11.24 in [1]). Therefore,

$$\nabla f = 2|f|^{1/2}T$$
,

where T is the vector field defined by  $T_x = \dot{\gamma}_x$ , with  $\gamma_x$  the unit-speed geodesic from q to x (for  $x \gg q$ ). Then, for any vector X at x,

$$egin{aligned} m{\mathcal{V}}_{m{\mathcal{X}}} m{\mathcal{V}} f &= 2(X(-f)^{1/2})T + 2|f|^{1/2}m{\mathcal{V}}_{m{\mathcal{X}}} T = -|f|^{-1/2} \langle X, m{\mathcal{V}} f 
angle T + 2|f|^{1/2}m{\mathcal{V}}_{m{\mathcal{X}}} T \ &= -|f|^{-1/2} \langle X, 2|f|^{1/2}T 
angle T + 2|f|^{1/2}m{\mathcal{V}}_{m{\mathcal{X}}} T = 2(|f|^{1/2}m{\mathcal{V}}_{m{\mathcal{X}}} T - \langle X, T 
angle T) \;, \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$H_f(X, X) = \langle \mathcal{V}_X \mathcal{V} f, X \rangle = 2(|f|^{1/2} \langle \mathcal{V}_X T, X \rangle - \langle X, T \rangle^2)$$
.

Therefore,  $H_f(X, Y) = 2(|f|^{1/2} \langle \mathcal{V}_X T, Y \rangle - \langle X, T \rangle \langle Y, T \rangle)$ . For V perpendicular to  $T_x$ , the function  $r_v$  can be taken to be constant at  $r = |f(x)|^{1/2}$ , so [V, T] = (1/r)[V, S] = 0. Then

$$H_f(\mathit{V}, \mathit{V}) = 2|f|^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2} \langle \mathit{V}_\mathit{V} \mathit{T}, \mathit{V} 
angle = |f|^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2} \mathit{T} \langle \mathit{V}, \mathit{V} 
angle$$
 ,

where V is a Jacobi field along  $\gamma_x$  with  $V_q = 0$ .

It remains to be shown how to choose  $q \ll p$  so that  $H_f$  will be positive definite on a spacelike hyperplane at p. To this end, pick any future-directed unit-speed timelike geodesic  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0)=p$ ; let  $T=\dot{\gamma}(0)$ . The basepoint q will be  $\gamma(s)$  for some s<0, and the hyperplane at p will be  $T^{\perp}$ . By the calculations above,  $(\nabla f)_p=2(-s)T$ , and, for any U in  $T^{\perp}$ ,  $H_f(U,U)=(-s)T_0\langle V,V\rangle$ , where  $T_t=\dot{\gamma}(t)$  and V is the Jacobi field on  $\gamma$  defined by V(0)=U and V(s)=0. It will be shown that for s close enough to 0,  $H_f(U,U)$  must be positive for all non-zero U in  $T^{\perp}$ .

On any finite interval of  $\gamma$ , the sectional curvature of any plane  $X \wedge T$  containing T obeys  $K(X \wedge T) \geq -K$  for some constant K > 0 (X can be restricted to  $T^{\perp}$  with |X| = 1, a compact set). For a given unitlength vector U in  $T_0^{\perp}$ , let  $h(t) = \langle V, V \rangle_t$ , V defined as above; then  $h'' = (T\langle V, V \rangle)' = 2\langle \mathcal{F}_T V, V \rangle' = 2(\langle \mathcal{F}_T^2 V, V \rangle + \langle \mathcal{F}_T V, \mathcal{F}_T V \rangle) = 2(-\langle R(V, T)T, V \rangle + |\mathcal{F}_T V|^2) = 2(K(V \wedge T)|V|^2 + |\mathcal{F}_T V|^2) \geq -2K|V|^2 = -2Kh$ . Therefore,

$$h \ge -\frac{1}{2K}h''.$$

Note that h(s)=0 and h(0)=1. For  $-(2K)^{-1/2}< s<0$ , it can be shown that h'(0)>0: There is some  $t_1$  in [s,0] with  $h'(t_1)=-1/s$ . With  $h'(0)\le 0$ , there is some  $r_1$  in  $[t_1,0]$  with  $h''(r_1)=(-st_1)^{-1}\le (-s^2)^{-1}$ . By (\*),  $h(r_1)\ge (2Ks^2)^{-1}$ . From this and h(s)=0, we obtain some  $t_2$  in  $[s,r_1]$  with  $h'(t_2)=(2Ks^2(r_1-s))^{-1}\ge (-2Ks^3)^{-1}$ . With  $h'(0)\le 0$ , there is some  $r_2$  in  $[t_2,0]$  with  $h'(r_2)=(-2Ks^3t_2)^{-1}\le (-2Ks^4)^{-1}$ . By (\*),  $h(r_2)\ge (4K^2s^4)^{-1}$ . Continuing, we obtain a sequence  $r_n$  in [s,0] with  $h(r_n)\ge (2Ks^2)^{-n}$ . With s as specified, this implies that the continuous function s is unbounded on the interval s in s imposibility. Thus, s is s for such an s ensures that s imposibility. Thus, s is s for such an s ensures that s imposibility. Thus, s is s for such an s ensures that s imposibility.

To define the second function, start with the same vector T at p, but extend it differently: For any U in  $T_p^\perp$ , define  $T_x$  for  $x=\exp_p(U)$  as the parallel translate of  $T_p$  along the geodesic from p to x; let  $\gamma_x$  be the geodesic  $\gamma_x(s)=\exp_x(sT_x)$ ; and define T at  $\gamma_x(s)$  to be  $\dot{\gamma}_x(s)$ . Define the function k by  $k(\gamma_x(s))=s$ . Then Vk=-T. Since  $V_TT=0$  and, at p,  $V_TT=0$  for U in  $T^\perp$ ,  $H_k=0$  at p. For any function  $\phi\colon R\to R$ ,  $V(\phi\circ k)=(\phi'\circ k)Vk$  and  $H_{\phi\circ k}=(\phi'\circ k)H_k+(\phi''\circ k)dk\otimes dk$ ; thus, at p,  $V(\phi\circ k)=-\phi'(0)T_p$  and  $H_{\phi\circ k}=\phi''(0)\langle -,T_p\rangle \otimes \langle -,T_p\rangle$ . Let  $F=f+\phi\circ k$ . Then, at p,

$$egin{aligned} 
aligned \mathcal{V}F &= (-2s-\phi'(0))T_p \;, \ H_{\scriptscriptstyle F} &= H_f + \phi''(0)\langle -, \; T_p 
angle \otimes \langle -, \; T_p 
angle \;. \end{aligned}$$

For U in  $T_{p}^{\perp}$ ,  $H_{F}(U+aT, U+aT) = H_{f}(U, U) + 2aH_{f}(U, T) + a^{2}H_{f}(T, T) +$ 

$$\phi''(0)\langle\,U+a\,T,\,T
angle^2=H_f(U,\,U)+(\phi''(0)-2)a^2,\,\,{
m so}\ H_F(X,\,X)=H_f(X^{oldsymbol{\perp}},\,X^{oldsymbol{\perp}})+(\phi''(0)-2)\langle X,\,T_p
angle^2\,,$$

where  $X^{\perp} = X + \langle X, T \rangle T$ . Thus,  $H_F$  is positive-definite at p so long as  $\phi''(0) > 2$ , and  $(\mathbb{F}F)_p$  is timelike so long as  $\phi'(0) \neq -2s$ : future-directed for  $\phi'(0) < -2s$  and past-directed for  $\phi'(0) > -2s$ . These properties of the Hessian and gradient remain true in a neighborhood of p.

Taking  $p = \sigma(L)$  completes the proof.

As an application of this theorem, consider the bundle of orthonormal frames over M with the Levi-Civita connection associated with g: a horizontal lift of  $\sigma$  yields parallel translation along  $\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  is a Frenet curve with a timelike principal normal vector, then the theorem below asserts that an appropriate curvature restriction on  $\sigma$  allows one to parallel translate the velocity vector at  $\sigma(0)$  to a limit vector at  $\sigma(L)$ , yielding a differentiable end point at L. With just a little more work, we need not even assume the existence of the endpoint  $\sigma(L)$ , but infer its existence (first as a continuous endpoint, then as a differentiable one) from a completeness condition on M. The condition required is b-completeness ("b" for "bundle"), defined thus (see [3], p. 259 and Section 8.3): For  $\sigma: [0, L) \to M^n$  a differentiable curve in a manifold M with a connection, any basis for  $T_{\sigma(0)}M$  defines a Riemannian metric in the tangent spaces along  $\sigma$  by being parallel-translated all along  $\sigma$  and being regarded as an orthonormal basis at each point. This determines a length for  $\sigma$ in terms of this metric, called the Schmidt length of  $\sigma$  relative to the initial basis at  $\sigma(0)$ . Whether a Schmidt length for a given curve  $\sigma$  is finite or infinite is independent of the choice of initial basis. M is called *b-complete* if any differentiable curve  $\sigma: [0, L) \to M$  of finite Schmidt length can be continuously extended to L.

THEOREM 2. Let M be a b-complete Lorentz manifold, and let  $\sigma: [0, L) \to M$  be a unit-speed spacelike curve obeying  $\nabla_{\dot{\sigma}} \dot{\sigma} = \kappa N$  with N a unit-timelike vector defined over  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$  a non-negative scalar defined over  $\sigma$ . If  $L = L(\sigma)$  is finite and  $|\nabla_{\dot{\sigma}} N|$  is bounded, then  $\sigma$  is differentiably extendible to (and past) L.

PROOF. Let  $\tau_s^t\colon T_{\sigma(t)}M\to T_{\sigma(s)}M$  be parallel translation along  $\sigma$ . Define  $E(t)=\tau_t^0N(0)$ . Let  $T=\dot{\sigma}$  and  $S=T+\langle T,E\rangle E$ , the component of T perpendicular to E. Let 'denote  $V_{\dot{\sigma}}$ . The main burden of the proof is to show that with L finite and |N'| bounded,  $\langle T,E\rangle'$  and |S'| are bounded also (S',b) being perpendicular to E, is spacelike). From this it immediately follows that  $\langle T,E\rangle$  is bounded, as well as  $|S|=(1+\langle T,E\rangle^2)^{1/2}$ . The

Schmidt length of  $\sigma$ , relative to an orthonormal basis at  $\sigma(0)$  containing  $E_0$ , is  $\int_0^L (\langle T,E\rangle^2 + |S|^2)^{1/2} dt$ , which is therefore finite: this yields the (continuous) endpoint  $\sigma(L)$ . For differentiability, consider  $X_t = \tau_0^t S_t$ : This vector always lies in the spacelike subspace perpendicular to  $E_0$ ; furthermore,  $|X_t'| = |S_t'|$  is bounded. Therefore  $X_t$  has a limit  $X_L$ . Similarly,  $\langle T,E\rangle_t$  has a limit r, so  $\tau_0^t T_t = X_t - \langle T,E\rangle_t E_0$  has a limit  $X_L - r E_0$ . By Theorem 1,  $\tau_L^t$  is defined. Let  $E_L = \tau_L^0 E_0$ . Then we have  $\tau_L^t \dot{\sigma}(t) = \tau_L^0 \tau_0^t T_t$  has a limit  $\tau_L^0 (X_L - r E_0) = \tau_L^0 X_L - r E_L$ . It follows that  $\dot{\sigma}(t)$  approaches  $\tau_L^0 X_L - r E_L$ .

To show the boundedness of  $\langle T, E \rangle'$  and |S'|, first we note that  $\kappa = \langle N', T \rangle$ . At each point  $x = \sigma(t)$ , define  $\pi \colon T_x M \to T_x M$  to be projection onto the (spacelike) subspace perpendicular to both N and T, i.e.,  $\pi Y = Y + \langle Y, N \rangle N - \langle Y, T \rangle T$ . Then  $|\pi E|^2 = -1 + \langle E, N \rangle^2 - \langle E, T \rangle^2$ , or

$$\langle N, E \rangle = \pm (1 + \langle T, E \rangle^2 + |\pi E|^2)^{1/2}.$$

We thus have  $\langle T, E \rangle' = \kappa \langle N, E \rangle = \pm \langle N', T \rangle (1 + \langle T, E \rangle^2 + |\pi E|^2)^{1/2}$ , so

$$\langle T, E \rangle^{2'} = \pm 2 \langle N', T \rangle \langle T, E \rangle (1 + \langle T, E \rangle^2 + |\pi E|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Furthermore, using the fact that  $\langle \pi X, Y \rangle = \langle X, \pi Y \rangle$ , we also have

$$egin{aligned} (3\,) & |\pi E|^{2'} &= 2(\langle E,\,N
angle\langle E,\,N
angle' - \langle E,\,T
angle\langle E,\,\kappa N
angle) \ &= 2\langle N,\,E
angle\langle N'-\langle N',\,T
angle T,\,E
angle \ &= 2\langle N,\,E
angle\langle\pi N',\,E
angle = 2\langle N',\,\pi E
angle\langle N,\,E
angle \ &= \pm 2\langle N',\,\pi E
angle(1+\langle T,\,E
angle^2+|\pi E|^2)^{1/2} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x = \langle T, E \rangle^2$  and  $y = |\pi E|^2$ . Suppose that  $|N'| \leq C$ , a constant. Then, since N', T, and  $\pi E$  all lie in the subspace perpendicular to N, we have, from equations (2) and (3)

$$|x'| \le 2Cx^{1/2}(1+x+y)^{1/2} \le 2C(1+x+y)$$
 ,

and

$$|y'| \le 2Cy^{1/2}(1+x+y)^{1/2} \le 2C(1+x+y)$$
.

Let  $z = \ln(x + y)$ ; then

$$(4) |z'| \le (|x'| + |y'|)/(x + y) \le 4C(1/(x + y) + 1) = 4C(e^{-z} + 1)$$

If  $\limsup(z)=\infty$  as  $t\to L$ , then (since  $L<\infty$ ) there is a sequence  $\{t_i\}$  with  $z(t_i)\geq i$  and  $z'(t_i)\geq i$ , which contradicts inequality (4). Therefore, z is bounded above, so x and y, i.e.,  $\langle T,E\rangle^z$  and  $|\pi E|^z$ , must be also. From equation (1), it follows that  $\langle N,E\rangle$  is bounded. Therefore,  $\langle T,E\rangle'=\kappa\langle N,E\rangle=\langle N',T\rangle\langle N,E\rangle$  is bounded, as is  $|S'|=|\kappa||N+\langle N,E\rangle E|=|\langle N',T\rangle|(\langle N,E\rangle^2-1)^{1/2}$ .

Note that if  $\sigma$  is a geodesic in a spacelike hypersurface in M, then it satisfies  $\mathcal{V}_{\dot{\sigma}}\dot{\sigma}=\kappa N$ , with N the normal vector to the hypersurface. Theorem 2 is used in this context in [2] to show that in a b-complete Lorentz manifold, a closed spacelike hypersurface with bounded principal curvatures must be complete.

REMARK. If the timelike quality of the acceleration vector for  $\sigma$  is removed from the hypotheses of Theorem 1, then it is possible to construct counter-examples. For instance, let  $\sigma\colon [\pi,\,\infty)\to R^s$  be defined by  $\sigma(t)=(4t^{-1/2},\,t^{-1}\sin(t),\int_\pi^t s^{-1}\cos(s)ds)$ . This has a continuous endpoint at  $t=\infty$ . With metric  $dx^2+dy^2-dz^2$ , it is spacelike and has finite length, but its Euclidean length is infinite. If the metric used is  $e^{\rho(x)}(dx^2+dy^2-dz^2)$  for some function  $\rho\colon R\to R$ , then the Lorentz length is still finite. If  $\rho$  is appropriately chosen, then parallel translation along  $\sigma$  can be precisely calculated, and there is a choice of  $\rho$  under which parallel translation along  $\sigma$  fails to have a limit as  $t\to\infty$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author wishes to thank Prof. K. Nomizu of Brown University for bringing this matter to attention.

## REFERENCES

- J. K. BEEM AND P. E. EHRLICH, Global Lorentzian Geometry, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981.
- [2] S. G. HARRIS, "Closed and Complete Spacelike Hypersurfaces in Minkowski Space" (preprint).
- [3] S. W. HAWKING AND G. F. R. ELLIS, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [4] N. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY CORVALLIS, OR 97331 USA