## TRANSVERSAL INFINITESIMAL AUTOMORPHISMS FOR HARMONIC KÄHLER FOLIATIONS

Dedicated to Professor Morio Obata on his sixtieth birthday

## SEIKI NISHIKAWA AND PHILIPPE TONDEUR\*

(Received June 3, 1987)

Summary. In this paper we consider a harmonic Kähler foliation  $\mathscr{F}$  and study the infinitesimal automorphisms of  $\mathscr{F}$  which are either transversally holomorphic or transversally Killing. A special study is made for the case of foliations with constant transversal scalar curvature.

1. Introduction. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a transversally oriented foliation on a compact oriented manifold M. It is given by an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 
ightarrow L 
ightarrow TM \stackrel{\pi}{
ightarrow} Q 
ightarrow 0$$
 ,

where L is the tangent bundle and Q the normal bundle of  $\mathscr{F}$ . We have an associated exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 o arGamma L o V(\mathscr{F}) \overset{\pi}{ o} arGamma Q^{\scriptscriptstyle L} o 0$$
 ,

where  $V(\mathscr{F})$  denotes the algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms of  $\mathscr{F}$ , and  $\Gamma Q^L$  the portion of  $\Gamma Q$  invariant under the action of L by Lie derivatives [KT 2], [MO]. The foliation is assumed to be transversally Kähler. By a Kähler foliation  $\mathscr{F}$  we mean a foliation satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $\mathscr{F}$  is Riemannian, with a bundle-like metric  $g_M$  on M inducing the holonomy invariant metric  $g_Q$  on  $Q = L^\perp$ , (ii) there is a holonomy invariant almost complex structure  $J\colon Q \to Q$ , where  $\dim Q = q = 2n$  (real dimension), with respect to which  $g_Q$  is Hermitian, i.e.  $g_Q(J\mu, J\nu) = g_Q(\mu, \nu)$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \Gamma Q$ , and (iii) if  $\nabla$  denotes the unique metric and torsionfree connection in Q, then  $\nabla$  is almost complex, i.e.  $\nabla J = 0$ . Note that  $\Phi(\mu, \nu) = g_Q(\mu, J\nu)$  defines a basic 2-form  $\Phi$ , which is closed as a consequence of  $\nabla g_Q = 0$  and  $\nabla J = 0$ .

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 57R30; Secondary 58E20.

Key Words and Phrases: Harmonic foliation, Kähler foliation, infinitesimal automorphism, transversal Killing field, transversally holomorphic field.

<sup>\*</sup> Work supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn.

Associated to  $\nabla$  are transversal curvature data, in particular the transversal Ricci curvature tensor  $S_{\nabla} = \operatorname{Ric}_{\nabla}$ , the associated Ricci operator  $\rho_{\nabla} \colon Q \to Q$ , the transversal scalar curvature  $c_{\nabla}$ , and the transversal Jocabi operator  $J_{\nabla} = \Delta - \rho_{\nabla} \colon \Gamma Q \to \Gamma Q$ . In this paper we study geometric properties of infinitesimal automorphisms  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$ . For  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  the transversal part  $\pi(Y)$  is denoted by  $\overline{Y}$ , and the transversal Lie derivative operator  $\Theta(Y) \colon \Gamma Q \to \Gamma Q$  is defined as in [KT 2]. In view of the variational meaning of  $J_{\nabla}$  [KT 2], it is natural to assume  $\mathscr{F}$  to be harmonic, i.e. all leaves of  $\mathscr{F}$  are minimal submanifolds of  $(M, g_{M})$ .

THEOREM A. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed orientable manifold M, and Y an infinitesimal automorphism of  $\mathscr{F}$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $\overline{Y}$  is transversally holomorphic, i.e.  $\Theta(Y)J = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{Y}$  is a transversal Jacobi field, i.e.  $J_{\nabla}\bar{Y}=0$ .

Combining Theorem A with the results of [KTT] yields the following consequence.

THEOREM B. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed orientable manifold M, and Y an infinitesimal automorphism of  $\mathscr{F}$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $\bar{Y}$  is transversally Killing, i.e.  $\Theta(Y)g_Q=0$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{Y}$  is a transversally divergence free Jacobi field,
- (iii)  $ar{Y}$  is transversally holomorphic and transversally divergence free.

For the point foliation these are results of Bochner [B] and Yano [Y]. The next result generalizes a theorem of Bochner [B] to the foliation context. The corresponding result for Riemannian foliations was proved in [KT 2].

THEOREM C. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed orientable manifold M with transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\tau} \leq 0$ . Then every transversally holomorphic infinitesimal automorphism  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  satisfies  $\nabla \bar{Y} = 0$ . If  $\rho_{\tau} < 0$  for at least one point  $x \in M$ , then every  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  with transversally holomorphic  $\bar{Y}$  satisfies  $Y \in \Gamma L$ .

Note that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow \varGamma Q^{L} \\ & \cup & & \cup \\ K(\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow \bar{K}(\mathscr{F}) \end{array}$$

describes the projection of the transversally metric infinitesimal automorphisms  $K(\mathcal{F})$  to the Lie algebra  $\overline{K}(\mathcal{F})$  of transversal Killing fields.

Similarly the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow \varGamma Q^L \\ & \cup & & \cup \\ H(\mathscr{F}) & \longrightarrow \bar{H}(\mathscr{F}) \end{array}$$

describes the Lie algebra  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$  of transversal holomorphic fields. Then we prove the following theorem.

Theorem D. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed orientable manifold M with constant transversal scalar curvature  $c_{\triangledown}$ . Then the Lie algebra  $\overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$  of transversally holomorphic fields splits into the direct sum of the abelian Lie algebra of parallel transversally holomorphic fields and the Lie algebra of the transversally holomorphic fields which are annihilated by all basic harmonic 1-forms. The latter Lie algebra is the complexification of the real Lie subalgebra of transversally Killing fields annihilated by basic harmonic 1-forms.

For a point foliation this is a result of Lichnerowicz [L].

The key elements for the proofs presented below are the transversal divergence theorem of [KTT], valid for harmonic foliations and the de Rham-Hodge theory for basic forms of a Riemannian foliations as developed in [EH] and [KT 5]. Further applications can be made in the spirit of [TT].

2. Transversally holomorphic infinitesimal automorphisms. Let  $R_{\nu}$  be the curvature associated to the unique metric and torsionfree connection  $\nabla$  in the normal bundle of the Riemannian foliation  $\mathscr{F}$ . Let similarly  $S_{\nu}$  be the Ricci curvature. For a Kähler foliation we have then the following identities:

$$(2.1) R_{\text{v}}(\mu, \nu) \circ J = J \circ R_{\text{v}}(\mu, \nu) ,$$

$$(2.2) \hspace{3.1em} R_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}(J\mu,\,J\nu) = R_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}(\mu,\,\nu) \; ,$$

$$S_{ extsf{v}}(J\mu,\,J
u)=S_{ extsf{v}}(\mu,\,
u)$$
 ,

$$(2.4) \hspace{3.1em} R_{\rm V}(\lambda,\,\mu)\nu + R_{\rm V}(\mu,\,\nu)\lambda + R_{\rm V}(\nu,\,\lambda)\mu = 0 \; .$$

In fact, (2.1) follows from  $\nabla J = 0$ . (2.2) and (2.3) follow from  $g_{\varrho}(J\mu, J\nu) = g_{\varrho}(\mu, \nu)$ . Finally (2.4) is a consequence of the Jacobi identity. The proofs are similar to the usual ones in Kähler geometry [KN].

An infinitesimal automorphism  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  gives rise to a transversally holomorphic field  $\bar{Y} = \pi(Y)$  if and only if

$$\Theta(Y)J=0,$$

where for  $Z \in \Gamma L^{\perp}$  by definition

$$(\Theta(Y)J)(Z) = \Theta(Y)(JZ) - J(\Theta(Y)Z).$$

But this expression equals  $\pi[Y, JZ] - J\pi[Y, Z]$ , which yields the formula

$$(2.6) (\Theta(Y)J)(Z) = -\nabla_{JZ}\bar{Y} + J\nabla_{Z}\bar{Y},$$

so that (2.5) holds if and only if

$$\nabla_{zz} \bar{Y} = J \nabla_{z} \bar{Y} \quad \text{for all} \quad Z \in \Gamma L^{\perp}.$$

In the sequel it will be convenient to use the following orthonormal frame on M. For  $x \in M$  let  $\{e_A\}$ ,  $A=1, \cdots, m=\dim M$  be an oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_xM$  with  $e_i$ ,  $i=1, \cdots, p=\dim L$  in  $L_x$  and  $e_\alpha$ ,  $e_{\alpha+n}=Je_\alpha$  in  $L_x^\perp$  for  $\alpha=p+1, \cdots, p+n$  ( $\mathscr F$  is of codimension q=2n on  $M^m$ , m=p+2n). The transversal Kähler property of  $\mathscr F$  allows then to extend  $e_\alpha$ ,  $Je_\alpha$  to local vector fields  $E_\alpha$ ,  $JE_\alpha\in\Gamma L^\perp$  such that for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta=p+1, \cdots, p+n$ 

$$(2.8) \qquad (\nabla_{E_{\sigma}}E_{\beta})_x=0 \; , \quad (\nabla_{E_{\sigma}}JE_{\beta})_x=0 \; , \quad (\nabla_{JE_{\sigma}}E_{\beta})_x=0 \; , \quad (\nabla_{JE_{\sigma}}JE_{\beta})_x=0 \; .$$

As a consequence of torsionfreeness [KT1, 1.5]

$$[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]_{x}, \quad [E_{\alpha}, JE_{\beta}]_{x}, \quad [JE_{\alpha}, JE_{\beta}]_{x} \in L_{x}.$$

The  $E_{\alpha}$ ,  $JE_{\alpha}$  can be chosen as (local) infinitesimal automorphisms of  $\mathscr{F}$ , so that

$$\nabla_x E_\alpha = \pi[X, E_\alpha] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \Gamma L.$$

We can complete  $E_{\alpha}$ ,  $JE_{\alpha}$  by the Gram-Schmidt process to a moving local frame by adding  $E_i \in \Gamma L$  with  $(E_i)_x = e_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ .

In terms of such a moving frame the transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\mathbf{v}} \colon Q \to Q$  is given by

(2.11) 
$$\rho_{\nabla} = \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^{p+n} J \circ R_{\nabla}(E_{\alpha}, JE_{\alpha}) .$$

In fact, let  $\mu \in \Gamma Q$ . Then by (2.4), (2.1)

$$egin{aligned} R_{ extsf{v}}(E_{lpha},JE_{lpha})\mu &= -R_{ extsf{v}}(JE_{lpha},E_{lpha})\mu = R_{ extsf{v}}(E_{lpha},\mu)JE_{lpha} + R_{ extsf{v}}(\mu,JE_{lpha})E_{lpha} \ &= JR_{ extsf{v}}(E_{lpha},\mu)E_{lpha} - JR_{ extsf{v}}(\mu,JE_{lpha})JE_{lpha} \ &= -J(R_{ extsf{v}}(\mu,E_{lpha})E_{lpha} + R_{ extsf{v}}(\mu,JE_{lpha})JE_{lpha}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$JR_{
m V}(E_{
m a},\,JE_{
m a})\mu=R_{
m V}(\mu,\,E_{
m a})E_{
m a}+R_{
m V}(\mu,\,JE_{
m a})JE_{
m a}$$
 .

It follows that

$$ho_{ extsf{V}}\mu = \sum_{lpha=n+1}^{p+n} (R_{ extsf{V}}(\mu, E_{lpha})E_{lpha} + R_{ extsf{V}}(\mu, JE_{lpha})JE_{lpha})$$

is given by (2.11).

PROOF OF THEOREM A. To establish (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) we calculate for  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  with transversally holomorphic  $\bar{Y}$ 

$$(\Delta\,ar{Y})_x = (d_{\scriptscriptstyle ar{v}}^* d_{\scriptscriptstyle ar{v}} ar{Y})_x = -\sum_{A=1}^m (
abla_{e_A} (d_{\scriptscriptstyle ar{v}} ar{Y}))(e_A) = -\sum_{A=1}^m (
abla_{e_A} 
abla_{E_A} ar{Y} - 
abla_{
abla_A^M} ar{Y})$$
 .

By (2.8) we have for  $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, p + n$ 

$$abla_{e_{lpha}}E_{lpha}=\pi(
abla_{e_{lpha}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{M}}E_{lpha})=0$$

and therefore  $\nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}^{\mathtt{M}} E_{\alpha} \in L_{x}$ . Since  $\bar{Y}$  is projectable, i.e.  $\Theta(X)\bar{Y}=0$  or equivalently  $\nabla_{X}\bar{Y}=0$  for every  $X \in \Gamma L$ , the term  $\nabla_{\nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}^{\mathtt{M}} E_{\alpha}} \bar{Y}$  vanishes. Similarly for the corresponding terms involving  $JE_{\alpha}$ . On the other hand, for a projectable  $\bar{Y}$ 

$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{p} 
abla_{\mathbf{v}_{e_{i}^{H}E_{i}}} ar{Y} = 
abla_{ au_{x}} ar{Y}$$

where  $\tau_x = \sum_{i=1}^p \pi(\nabla_{i}^M E_i)$  is the mean curvature vector field of  $\mathscr{F}$ . Since  $\mathscr{F}$  is assumed to be harmonic,  $\tau$  vanishes, and these contributions also disappear. It follows that

$$(\Delta\,ar{Y})_x = \,-\sum_{i=1}^p \,
abla_{e_i} 
abla_{E_i} ar{Y} - \sum_{lpha=p+1}^{p+n} 
abla_{e_lpha} 
abla_{E_lpha} ar{Y} - \sum_{lpha=p+1}^{p+n} 
abla_{Je_lpha} 
abla_{JE_lpha} ar{Y} \,.$$

The first sum disappears since  $\overline{Y}$  is projectable. Since  $\overline{Y}$  is holomorphic, by (2.7) the terms in the third sum equal

$$\nabla_{Je_\alpha}\nabla_{Je_\alpha}\bar{Y} = \nabla_{Je_\alpha}(J\nabla_{E_\alpha}\bar{Y}) = J(\nabla_{Je_\alpha}\nabla_{E_\alpha}\bar{Y}) \; .$$

But

$$R_{ extsf{v}}(Je_{lpha},\,e_{lpha})ar{Y}_{x}=
abla_{Je_{lpha}}
abla_{E_{lpha}}ar{Y}-
abla_{e_{lpha}}
abla_{JE_{lpha}}ar{Y}-
abla_{[JE_{lpha},E_{lpha}]_{x}}ar{Y}$$

where the last term vanishes by (2.9). It follows that

$$\begin{split} (2.12) \qquad (\Delta\,\bar{Y})_x &=\, -\sum_\alpha \nabla_{e_\alpha} \nabla_{E_\alpha} \bar{Y} - \sum_\alpha J(\nabla_{e_\alpha} \nabla_{JE_\alpha} \bar{Y} \,+\, R_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla} (Je_\alpha,\, e_\alpha)\, \bar{Y}_x) \\ &= \sum_\alpha JR_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla} (e_\alpha,\, Je_\alpha)\, \bar{Y}_x \;, \end{split}$$

where we have again used (2.7). By (2.11), (2.12) we find then  $(J_{\nabla}\bar{Y})_x = (\Delta \bar{Y})_x - \rho_{\nabla}\bar{Y}_x = 0$  and  $\bar{Y}$  is indeed a transversal Jacobi field.

To prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we consider conversely  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  such that  $J_{\nabla} \bar{Y} = 0$ . The desired result follows then clearly from the following general formula

$$(2.13) \qquad \langle \Theta(Y)J, \Theta(Y)J \rangle = 2\langle J_{\nabla} \overline{Y}, \overline{Y} \rangle,$$

where the left hand side denotes the global scalar product in the space of endomorphisms of Q, while the right hand side denotes the global

scalar product in  $\Gamma Q$ . It remains to prove (2.13).

First we evaluate for  $x \in M$ 

$$\begin{split} (\Theta(Y)J,\,\Theta(Y)J)_x \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^{p+n} g_Q((\Theta(Y)J)(e_\alpha),\,(\Theta(Y)J)(e_\alpha)) \, + \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^{p+n} g_Q((\Theta(Y)J)(Je_\alpha),\,(\Theta(Y)J)(Je_\alpha)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} g_Q(\nabla_{Je_\alpha} \bar{Y} - J\nabla_{e_\alpha} \bar{Y},\,\nabla_{Je_\alpha} \bar{Y} - J\nabla_{e_\alpha} \bar{Y}) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha} g_Q(\nabla_{e_\alpha} \bar{Y} + J\nabla_{Je_\alpha} \bar{Y},\,\nabla_{e_\alpha} \bar{Y} + J\nabla_{Je_\alpha} \bar{Y}) \; . \end{split}$$

The second sum equals

$$\sum_{\alpha} g_{\mathcal{Q}}(J\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}}\bar{Y}-\nabla_{J\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}}\bar{Y},\,J\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}}\bar{Y}-\nabla_{J\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}}\bar{Y})$$

and thus equals the first sum. It follows that

$$\begin{split} (\Theta(Y)J,\,\Theta(Y)J)_x \\ &= 2\sum_{\alpha}g_{Q}(\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y} - J\nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y},\,\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y}) + 2\sum_{\alpha}g_{Q}(J\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y} + \nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y},\,\nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y}) \\ &= 2\sum_{\alpha}Je_{\alpha}g_{Q}(\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y} - J\nabla_{E_{\alpha}}\bar{Y},\,\bar{Y}) - 2\sum_{\alpha}g_{Q}(\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y} - \nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}J(\nabla_{E_{\alpha}}\bar{Y}),\,\bar{Y}) \\ &+ 2\sum_{\alpha}e_{\alpha}g_{Q}(J\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y} + \nabla_{E_{\alpha}}\bar{Y},\,\bar{Y}) - 2\sum_{\alpha}g_{Q}(\nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}J(\nabla_{J\epsilon_{\alpha}}\bar{Y}) + \nabla_{\epsilon_{\alpha}}\nabla_{E_{\alpha}}\bar{Y},\,\bar{Y}) \\ &= 2(\mathrm{div}_{B}\,Z)_{x} + 2g_{Q}(\Delta\,\bar{Y},\,\bar{Y})_{x} + 2g_{Q}(\sum_{\alpha}JR_{V}(JE_{\alpha},\,E_{\alpha})\bar{Y},\,\bar{Y})_{x}\,, \end{split}$$

where  $Z \in \Gamma Q^L$  is the  $g_o$ -dual of  $\lambda \in \Omega^1_B(\mathscr{F})$  defined by

$$\lambda(X) = g_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle X}ar{Y} + J
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle J\scriptscriptstyle X}ar{Y}, \; ar{Y}) \;\;\; ext{for} \;\;\; X \in arGamma Q$$
 ,

and the transversal divergence  $\operatorname{div}_B Z$  of Z is defined as the unique scalar satisfying  $\Theta(Z)\nu=\operatorname{div}_B Z\cdot\nu$ ,  $\nu$  being the transversal volume form defined by  $g_Q$  [KTT]. The third term is by (2.11) equal to  $2g_Q(-\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla} \bar{Y}, \ \bar{Y})_x$ . It follows that

(2.14) 
$$(\Theta(Y)J, \Theta(Y)J)_x = 2(\operatorname{div}_B Z)_x + 2g_Q(J_{\nabla} \bar{Y}, \bar{Y})_x.$$

By the transversal divergence theorem of [KTT], the integral of  $\operatorname{div}_B Z$  over M vanishes for harmonic  $\mathscr{F}$ . Thus we obtain (2.13) by integrating (2.14) over M.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. This is an immediate consequence of the characterization in [KTT] of transversal Killing fields as transversally divergencefree Jacobi fields, together with Theorem A.

PROOF OF THEOREM C. Let  $\overline{Y}$  be transversally holomorphic. Then  $\overline{Y}$  is a transversal Jacobi field by Theorem A. By [KT 2, (4.3)] we have then the identity

$$(2.15) -\frac{1}{2}\Delta g_{\varrho}(\bar{Y}, \; \bar{Y}) = g_{\varrho}(\nabla \bar{Y}, \; \nabla \bar{Y}) - g_{\varrho}(\rho_{\nu}\bar{Y}, \; \bar{Y}) \; .$$

From here on the proof is exactly as in [KT 2]. Namely  $\rho_{\overline{v}} \leq 0$  yields immediately  $g_{Q}(\nabla \bar{Y}, \nabla \bar{Y}) = 0$  and hence  $\nabla \bar{Y} = 0$ . If  $\rho_{\overline{v}} < 0$  for at least one  $x \in M$ , then one concludes in addition  $\bar{Y}_{x} = 0$  and hence  $\bar{Y} = 0$ .

EXAMPLE 2.16 (Complex hyperbolic space  $CH^n$ , see [KN, p. 282]). This is the quotient space of the anti de Sitter space  $H_1^{2n+1}$  under the canonical circle action. Here

$$H_1^{2n+1} = \{z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^{n+1} | (z, z) = -1\}$$

with the Hermitian form  $(z, w) = -z_0 \overline{w}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \overline{w}_k$ . The signature of the induced metric on  $H_1^{2n+1}$  is (1, 2n), and the sectional curvature is constant -1. The canonical  $S^1$ -action on  $H_1^{2n+1}$  defines a fibration

$$H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2n+1} o CH^{\scriptscriptstyle n} = SU(1,\ n)/S(U(1) imes U(n))$$
 ,

which gives rise naturally to a harmonic (and totally geodesic) Kähler foliation  $\mathscr{F}$  on  $H_1^{2n+1}$ . The transversal holomorphic sectional curvature is constant -4. The assumptions of Theorem C are satisfied, and it follows that every  $Y \in V(\mathscr{F})$  with transversally holomorphic  $\overline{Y}$  satisfies  $Y \in \Gamma L$ . In this case  $\rho_{\overline{v}} < 0$  and  $\mathscr{F}$  is a (strictly) stable harmonic foliation of vanishing index and nullity (see [KT 2] for the second variation formula).

3. Dual basic 1-forms. For use below it is convenient to consider the basic 1-form associated to  $\overline{Y}$  by  $g_q$ -duality. Recall that the basic forms are given by

$$\varOmega_{\mathtt{B}}^{\centerdot}(\mathscr{F})=\{\pmb{\omega}\in\varOmega^{\centerdot}(M)|i(X)\pmb{\omega}=0,\, \varTheta(X)\pmb{\omega}=0\, \text{ for all } X\in\varGamma L\}$$
 .

The exterior differential d restricts to  $d_B: \mathcal{Q}_B \to \mathcal{Q}_B^{+1}$ . The adjoint  $\delta_B$  with respect to the induced scalar product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle_B$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_B$  yield then the basic Laplacian  $\Delta_B = \delta_B d_B + d_B \delta_B$ . In the harmonic case,  $\delta_B$  is locally given by the usual formula (in the general case, the mean curvature produces correction terms). Similarly, in the harmonic case the Weitzenböck formula on basic 1-forms is the usual  $\Delta_B \omega = -\text{trace } \nabla^2 \omega + \rho_{\nabla}(\omega)$  (while in the general case the mean curvature produces correction terms).

Let  $\omega$  be the basic 1-form associated to  $\bar{Y}$  by  $g_Q$ -duality. It then follows that the Jacobi condition  $J_{\nu}\bar{Y}=0$  is equivalent to

$$\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\omega=2
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 
abla}(\omega)$$
 ,

where  $(\rho_{\nu}(\omega))(\mu) = \omega(\rho_{\nu}(\mu))$  for  $\mu \in \Gamma Q$ . By Theorem A it follows that this identity characterizes transversally holomorphic infinitesimal automorphisms, while by Theorem B transversally Killing fields have additionally to satisfy  $\delta_B \omega = -\operatorname{div}_B \overline{Y} = 0$ .

We will need the identity

$$(3.2) 2\delta S_{\nabla} = -d_B c_{\nabla}$$

for the transversal Ricci curvature  $S_{\nabla}$  and transversal scalar curvature  $c_{\nabla}$ . It involves the differential operator  $\delta \colon \Gamma S^2 Q^* \to \Gamma Q^*$  defined in [KTT]. It is proved by contracting the second Bianchi identity for the transversal curvature  $R_{\nabla}$  as in the case of a foliation by points. We further need the following identity for  $\omega \in \Omega^1_B(\mathscr{F})$  and the transversal Kähler form  $\Phi \in \Omega^2_B(\mathscr{F})$ :

(3.3) 
$$\delta_{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Phi})(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) - (\delta_{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \\ = d_{B}(J\boldsymbol{\omega})(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) - (d_{B}\boldsymbol{\omega})(J\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) - (d_{B}\boldsymbol{\omega})(\boldsymbol{\mu}, J\boldsymbol{\nu})$$

for  $\mu, \nu \in \Gamma Q$ . To verify (3.3), let  $E_a$  be one of the vector fields  $E_{p+1}, \dots, E_{p+n}$ ;  $E_{p+n+1} = JE_{p+1}, \dots, E_{p+2n} = JE_{p+n}$ . Then at a point  $x \in M$  we observe for  $a, b, c = p+1, \dots, p+2n$ 

$$\begin{split} \delta_{B}(\omega \wedge \varPhi)_{x}(e_{a},\ e_{b}) &= -\sum_{c} \nabla_{e_{c}}(\omega \wedge \varPhi)(E_{c},\ E_{a},\ E_{b}) \\ &= -\sum_{c} \nabla_{e_{c}}[\omega(E_{c}) \cdot \varPhi(E_{a},\ E_{b}) - \omega(E_{a}) \cdot \varPhi(E_{c},\ E_{b}) + \omega(E_{b}) \cdot \varPhi(E_{c},\ E_{a})] \\ &= -\sum_{c} \nabla_{e_{c}}[\omega(E_{c}) \cdot g_{Q}(E_{a},\ JE_{b}) - \omega(E_{a}) \cdot g_{Q}(E_{c},\ JE_{b}) + \omega(E_{b}) \cdot g_{Q}(E_{c},\ JE_{a})] \\ &= -\sum_{c} \left[ (\nabla_{e_{c}}\omega)(e_{c}) \cdot g_{Q}(e_{a},Je_{b}) - (\nabla_{e_{c}}\omega)(e_{a}) \cdot g_{Q}(e_{c},Je_{b}) + (\nabla_{e_{c}}\omega)(e_{b}) \cdot g_{Q}(e_{c},Je_{a}) \right] \\ &= (\delta_{B}\omega) \cdot \varPhi(e_{a},\ e_{b}) + (\nabla_{Je_{b}}\omega)(e_{a}) - (\nabla_{Je_{a}}\omega)(e_{b}) \ . \end{split}$$

But by direct calculation

$$d_{B}(J\omega)(e_a,\,e_b)-(d_{B}\omega)(Je_a,\,e_b)-(d_{B}\omega)(e_a,\,Je_b)=(\nabla_{Je_b}\omega)(e_a)-(\nabla_{Je_a}\omega)(e_b)\ ,$$
 which completes the proof of (3.3).

We further need the characterization

$$\nabla_{JZ}\omega = -J\nabla_{Z}\omega \quad \text{for all} \quad Z \in \Gamma L^{\perp}$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega^1_B(\mathscr{F})$  associated to  $\bar{Y} \in \bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$  by  $g_Q$ -duality. This is simply the dual version of (2.7). Finally we need for  $\bar{Y} \in \bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$  the J-invariance of  $d_B\omega$ , i.e.

$$(3.5) \qquad (d_B\omega)(JZ,W) + (d_B\omega)(Z,JW) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad Z,W \in \Gamma L^{\perp}.$$

This is a consequence of (3.4).

4. **Proof of theorem D.** For the sake of simplicity we identify from now on  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$  with the corresponding space of dual basic 1-forms, and similarly for  $\bar{K}(\mathscr{F})$ . Consider the de Rham-Hodge direct sum decomposition of  $\Omega_B^1(\mathscr{F})$  [EH], [KT 5], which represents  $\omega$  in the form

$$\omega = d_{\scriptscriptstyle B} lpha + \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B} eta + \pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \omega$$
 ,

where  $\pi_B: \Omega_B^1 \to \mathcal{H}_B^1$  is the orthogonal projection onto the basic harmonic 1-forms  $\mathcal{H}_B^1$ . Define  $\zeta = d_B \alpha$ ,  $\xi = \delta_B \beta + \pi_B \omega$ . Then

(4.2) 
$$\omega = \xi + \zeta \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_B \xi = 0 , \quad d_B \zeta = 0 .$$

We first prove that for  $\omega \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$ 

$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\xi \wedge \varPhi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(J\xi) = 0 \; .$$

By (3.5) we have

$$(d_B\omega)(JE_a, E_b) + (d_B\omega)(E_a, JE_b) = 0,$$

and since  $d_B\zeta=0$ , it follows that

$$(d_B\xi)(JE_a, E_b) + (d_B\xi)(E_a, JE_b) = 0$$
.

From (3.3) and  $\delta_B \xi = 0$  it follows further that

$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\xi \wedge \Phi) = d_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(J\xi)$$
.

Since the left and right hand sides are in orthogonal spaces, they both vanish.

Next we prove for the operator  $\delta^*: \Gamma Q^* \to \Gamma S^2 Q^*$  adjoint to  $\delta$  (see [KTT]), and  $\omega \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$ , the identity

$$(4.4) \qquad (\delta^*\xi)(JZ,W)-(\delta^*\xi)(Z,JW)=0 \quad \text{for all} \quad Z,W\in \Gamma L^\perp \ .$$

In fact, at each  $x \in M$ , by (4.3), (3.3)

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\xi \wedge \varPhi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle a},\, e_{\scriptscriptstyle b}) \,+\, d_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(J\xi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle a},\, e_{\scriptscriptstyle b}) \\ &= (\nabla_{Je_{\scriptscriptstyle b}}\xi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle a}) \,-\, (\nabla_{Je_{\scriptscriptstyle a}}\xi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle b}) \,+\, (\nabla_{e_{\scriptscriptstyle a}}J\xi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle b}) \,-\, (\nabla_{e_{\scriptscriptstyle b}}J\xi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle a}) \\ &= 2[(\delta^*\xi)(e_{\scriptscriptstyle a},\, Je_{\scriptscriptstyle b}) \,-\, (\delta^*\xi)(Je_{\scriptscriptstyle a},\, e_{\scriptscriptstyle b})] \end{split}$$

which yields (4.4).

Now we establish that for  $\omega \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$ 

$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}\xi)=0\;.$$

At  $x \in M$  we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}\xi)_x &= -\sum_a \nabla_{e_a}((\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}\xi)(E_a)) = -\sum_a \nabla_{e_a}(\xi(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}E_a)) \\ &= -\sum_a \left(\nabla_{e_a}\xi\right)(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}e_a) - \xi(\sum_a \nabla_{e_a}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}E_a)) \\ &= -\sum_{a,b} S_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}(e_a,\,e_b) \cdot (\nabla_{e_a}\xi)(e_b) - \sum_{a,b} \xi(e_b) \cdot g_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(\nabla_{e_a}(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla}E_a),\,e_b) \;. \end{split}$$

For the second sum we find

$$\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{e_{a}}(\rho_{\nabla}E_{a}), e_{b}) = \sum_{a} (\nabla_{e_{a}}S_{\nabla})(e_{a}, e_{b})$$
,

and therefore by the definition of  $\delta S_{v}$ 

$$\textstyle\sum_b \, \xi(e_b) \cdot \textstyle\sum_a \, (\nabla_{e_a} S_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla})(e_a, \, e_b) \, = \, - \textstyle\sum_b \, \xi(e_b) \cdot (\delta S_{\scriptscriptstyle \nabla})(e_b) \, \, .$$

Using (3.2) and the constancy of the transversal scalar curvature  $c_{v}$ , we find that this term vanishes. Using the symmetry of  $S_{v}$ , we find therefore

$$egin{aligned} \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 
abla}\xi)_x &= \, -\sum_{a,b} S_{\scriptscriptstyle 
abla}(e_a,\,e_b) iggl[ rac{1}{2} ((
abla_{e_a}\xi)(e_b) \,+\, (
abla_{e_b}\xi)(e_a)) \, iggr] \ &= \, -\sum_{a,b} S_{\scriptscriptstyle 
abla}(e_a,\,e_b) \cdot (\delta^*\xi)(e_a,\,e_b) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3) and (4.4) establishes now (4.5).

We want to show that for  $\omega \in \bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\xi$  in (4.2) are both also in  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$ . By Theorem A and (3.1) we have  $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}\omega = 2\rho_{\mathbf{v}}(\omega)$ , i.e.  $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\zeta + \xi) = 2\rho_{\mathbf{v}}(\zeta + \xi)$ , or equivalently

$$\Delta_B \zeta - 2\rho_{\rm v} \zeta = -(\Delta_B \xi - 2\rho_{\rm v} \xi)$$
.

Applying  $\delta_B$  to both sides, and using (4.5) and  $\delta_B \xi = 0$ , we have

$$\delta_{\rm R}(\Delta_{\rm R}\zeta - 2\rho_{\rm v}\zeta) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\langle J_{
m v}\zeta,\,\zeta
angle = \langle \Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\zeta - 2
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle {
m v}}\zeta,\,d_{\scriptscriptstyle B}lpha
angle = 0$$
 ,

and it follows from (2.13) that  $\zeta \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$ . Therefore also  $\xi = \omega - \zeta \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$ . Note that in fact  $\xi \in \overline{K}(\mathscr{F})$  by Theorem B, since  $\delta_B \xi = 0$ .

Next we want to show that  $\zeta=J\eta$  for some  $\eta\in \bar K(\mathscr F)$ . Necessarily we have

$$(4.7) \eta = -J\zeta$$

and with this definition we now show that indeed  $\eta \in \overline{K}(\mathscr{F})$ . Clearly  $\eta \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$ , so that by Theorem B it remains to show that

$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \eta = \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B} (-J\zeta) = 0 \; .$$

We observe for  $x \in M$ 

$$\begin{split} (\delta_B \eta)_x &= -(\delta_B J \zeta)_x = \sum_a (\nabla_{e_a} (J \zeta))(e_a) = \sum_a (J \nabla_{e_a} \zeta)(e_a) = \sum_a (\nabla_{e_a} \zeta)(J e_e) \\ &= \sum_a (\nabla_{e_a} d_B \alpha)(J e_a) = \sum_{a,b} g_Q (J e_a, \ e_b) \cdot (\nabla d_B \alpha)(e_a, \ e_b) \ . \end{split}$$

Now  $g_Q(Je_a, e_b) = -g_Q(e_a, Je_b)$ , while  $(\nabla d_B \alpha)(e_a, e_b) = (\nabla d_B \alpha)(e_b, e_a)$ . From this (4.8) follows.

The previous results show that the decomposition (4.2) represents  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$  as the (not necessarily direct) sum

$$\bar{H}(\mathscr{F}) = \bar{K}(\mathscr{F}) + J\bar{K}(\mathscr{F}).$$

The canonical homomorphism of  $\bar{K}(\mathscr{F})\otimes C$  onto  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$  has the kernel, the ideal of elements  $\xi\otimes 1+\xi'\otimes \sqrt{-1}$  with  $\xi,\xi'\in \bar{K}(\mathscr{F})$  such that

But  $\xi = -J\xi'$  implies by (4.3)

$$d_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\xi = -d_{\scriptscriptstyle B}J\xi' = 0.$$

Thus  $\Delta_B \xi = 0$ , and hence by (4.10) also  $\Delta_B \xi' = \Delta_B J \xi = J \Delta_B \xi = 0$ .

To complete the proof of Theorem D, we show that the harmonic part  $\pi_B \omega$  in (4.1) defines a parallel vector field. Let

$$(4.11) J\xi = J(\delta_B\beta) + J(\pi_B\omega) \equiv \Psi + \varphi \in J\bar{K}(\mathscr{F}) \subset \bar{H}(\mathscr{F}).$$

Since  $\Delta_B \varphi = \Delta_B (J\pi_B \omega) = J\Delta_B \pi_B \omega = 0$ , it follows that  $d_B \varphi = 0$  and  $\delta_B \varphi = 0$ . By (4.3) we have  $d_B (J\xi) = 0$ , hence also  $d_B \Psi = 0$ . Thus the decomposition (4.11) satisfies the same conditions as (4.2), and by the arguments made above to prove  $\xi \in \overline{K}(\mathscr{F})$ , we conclude also that  $\varphi \in \overline{K}(\mathscr{F})$ . This condition together with  $d_B \varphi = 0$  implies now  $\nabla \varphi = 0$ . But then

$$J\nabla(\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\omega) = \nabla(J\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\omega) = \nabla\varphi = 0$$

and thus  $\nabla \pi_B \omega = 0$ , as claimed. The parallel transversal holomorphic fields form a abelian Lie algebra, since for two such fields  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{Y}'$  we have  $[\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'] = 0$  as a consequence of the torsionfreeness of  $\nabla$ . This completes the proof of Theorem D.

5. Kähler-Einstein foliations. This is a special case of the situation discussed above, where  $\rho_{\text{v}} = c \cdot \text{id} \colon Q \to Q$  for some constant  $c = c_{\text{v}}/q$ . By (3.1) it follows that  $\omega \in \overline{H}(\mathscr{F})$  is characterized by  $\Delta_B \omega = 2c \cdot \omega$ .

If c=0 this shows that  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})\cong \mathscr{H}^1_B$ . Thus in this case  $\bar{K}(\mathscr{F})\cong \bar{H}(\mathscr{F})$ .

COROLLARY 5.1. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler foliation with zero transversal Ricci curvature. Then  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F}) \cong \bar{K}(\mathscr{F})$ , and this Lie algebra is an abelian subalgebra of the algebra of all parallel transversal fields.

In view of Theorem C, the only case of interest for  $c \neq 0$  is c > 0. In this case  $\Delta_B \omega = 2c \cdot \omega$  applied to (4.1) implies that  $\pi_B \omega = 0$ . The previous arguments imply then the following result (see Matsushima [MA] for the case of a point foliation).

COROLLARY 5.2. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler-Einstein foliation with c>0. Then  $\bar{H}(\mathscr{F})\cong \bar{K}(\mathscr{F})\oplus J\bar{K}(\mathscr{F})$ .

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let  $P^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} CP^n$  be a principal circle bundle with a connection form  $\eta$ . Let  $\xi$  be the vertical vector field characterized by

 $\eta(\xi)=1$ . Let  $\bar{g}$  be the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then  $g=\pi^*\bar{g}+\eta\otimes\eta$  defines a Riemannian metric on P, for which  $\xi$  is easily verified to be a unit Killing vector field. The fibers of  $\pi$  are geodesics (see e.g. [KT 1, 3.20]), and define a harmonic Kähler-Einstein foliation. Thus Corollary 5.2 applies and

(5.4) 
$$\bar{H}(\mathscr{F}) = \bar{K}(\mathscr{F}) \oplus J\bar{K}(\mathscr{F})$$
.

We can moreover estimate the nullity of  $\mathscr{F}$  as follows:

(5.5) nullity of 
$$\mathscr{F} \geq 2[(n+1)^2-1]$$
.

In fact, the nullity of  $\mathscr{F}$  is the dimension of the space of Jacobi fields of  $\mathscr{F}$ , and hence it exceeds the dimension of space of transversal Jacobi automorphisms of  $\mathscr{F}$ . But by Theorem A, the latter space coincides with the space of transversally holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathscr{F}$ . By (5.4), this space has twice the dimension of the space of transversal Killing automorphisms of  $\mathscr{F}$ . It follows that

nullity of 
$$\mathscr{F} \geq 2 \cdot \dim(\mathrm{Isom}_0(\mathbb{C}P^n)) = 2 \cdot \dim SU(n+1) = 2[(n+1)^2 - 1]$$
.

In view of [KT1, (3.20)] this inequality holds more generally for the foliation defined by any principal G-bundle over  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . We further note that for the special case of the Hopf fibration  $S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ , the index of  $\mathscr{F}$  is  $\geq 2n+1$  by [KT4], while the (complex) dimension of the space of holomorphic foliations near  $\mathscr{F}$  is precisely  $(n+1)^2-1$  by [DK, p. 79].

## REFERENCES

- [B] S. BOCHNER, Vector fields and Ricci curvature, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 776-797.
- [DK] T. DUCHAMP AND M. KALKA, Holomorphic foliations and deformations of the Hopf foliations, Pacific J. Math. 112 (1984), 69-81.
- [EH] A. El Kacimi and G. Hector, Décomposition de Hodge basique pour un feuilletage riemannien, Ann. Inst. Fourier 36 (1986), 207-227.
- [KN] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of differential geometry, John Wiley and Sons, Vol. II (1969).
- [KO] S. Kobayashi, Transformation groups in differential geometry, Ergebnisse der Math. 70 (1972), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [KT 1] F. W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, Harmonic Foliations, Proc. NSF conference on Harmonic Maps, Tulane University (1980), Springer Lecture Notes 949 (1982), 87-121.
- [KT 2] F. W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliations, Tôhoku Math. J. 34 (1982), 525-538.
- [KT 3] F. W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, Foliations and metrics, Proc. of the 1981-82 year in Differential Geometry, University of Maryland, Birkhäuser, Progress in Mathematics Vol. 32 (1983), 103-152.
- [KT 4] F. W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, The index of harmonic foliations on spheres, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 275 (1983), 257-263.

- [KT 5] F. W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, De Rham-Hodge theory for Riemannian foliations, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 415-431.
- [KTT] F. W. KAMBER, Ph. TONDEUR AND G. TOTH, Transversal Jacobi fields for harmonic foliations, Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987), 261-266.
- [L]A. LICHNEROWICZ, Géométrie des groupes de transformations, Dunod, Paris, 1958.
- [MA] Y. MATSUSHIMA, Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété Kählerienne, Nagoya Math. J. 11 (1957), 145-150.
- [MO] P. Molino, Géométrie globale des feuilletages riemanniens, Proc, Kon. Ned. Akad., A1, 85 (1982), 45-76.
- [TT] PH. TONDEUR AND G. TOTH, On transversal infinitesimal automorphisms for harmonic foliations, Geometriae Dedicata 24 (1987), 229-236.
- [Y] K. YANO, Sur un théorème de M. Matsushima, Nagoya Math. J. 12 (1957), 147-150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCS KYUSHU UNIVERSITY FUKUOKA, 812

University of Illinois at URBANA-CHAMPAIGN 1409 WEST GREEN STREET URBANA, IL 61801 JAPAN USA