

THE RIGIDITY FOR REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

RYOICHI TAKAGI, IN-BAE KIM AND BYUNG HAK KIM

(Received April 1, 1997, revised March 3, 1998)

Abstract. We prove a rigidity theorem for real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space of complex dimension $n \geq 4$. As an application of this rigidity theorem, we classify all intrinsically homogeneous real hypersurfaces in the complex projective space.

Introduction. Let $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an n -dimensional complex projective space. It is an open question whether a real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ has rigidity or not. More precisely, if M is a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\iota, \hat{\iota}$ are two isometric immersions of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, then are ι and $\hat{\iota}$ congruent?

To this problem, many authors including the present ones gave some partial solutions (see [1], [3], [4] and [5]). Recall that an almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) is naturally induced on a real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ from the complex structure of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, and ξ is called the *structure vector field*. The rank of the second fundamental tensor or the shape operator of a real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be the *type number*. As one of the above-mentioned solutions, the following is known.

THEOREM A ([1]). *Let M be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional connected Riemannian manifold, and ι and $\hat{\iota}$ be two isometric immersions of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$). If the two structure vector fields coincide up to sign on M and the type number of (M, ι) or $(M, \hat{\iota})$ is not equal to 2 at every point of M , then ι and $\hat{\iota}$ are rigid, that is, there exists an isometry φ of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi \circ \iota = \hat{\iota}$.*

The purpose of this paper is to give a solution of the rigidity problem using Theorem A. Namely, first of all we shall prove:

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and ι and $\hat{\iota}$ be two isometric immersions of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$). Then the two structure vector fields coincide up to sign on M .*

The following is immediate from Theorems A and 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional connected Riemannian manifold, and ι and $\hat{\iota}$ be two isometric immersions of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$). If the type number of (M, ι) or $(M, \hat{\iota})$ is not equal to 2 at every point of M , then ι and $\hat{\iota}$ are rigid, that is, there exists*

an isometry φ of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi \circ \iota = i$.

There are two concepts of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. A real hypersurface M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be *intrinsically homogeneous* if for any points p and q in M there exists an isometry σ of M such that $\sigma(p) = q$, and *extrinsically homogeneous* if for any points p and q in M there exists an isometry φ of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi(p) = q$ and $\varphi(M) = M$. It is clear that an extrinsically homogeneous real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is intrinsically homogeneous. The first author classified all extrinsically homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, which consist of the so-called six model spaces of types A_1, A_2, B, C, D and E ([6], [7]).

As an application of Theorem 2, we shall classify all intrinsically homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$). Namely, we can state:

THEOREM 3. *Let M be a $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold. If M admits an isometric immersion ι into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$), then $\iota(M)$ is extrinsically homogeneous, that is, congruent to one of the model spaces of six types.*

1. Preliminaries. We denote by $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ a complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$ and M a $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let ι be an isometric immersion of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. For a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1}\}$ of M , we denote its dual 1-forms by θ_i , where and in the sequel the indices i, j, k, l, \dots run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1\}$ unless otherwise stated. Then the connection forms θ_{ij} and the curvature forms Θ_{ij} of M are defined by

$$d\theta_i + \sum \theta_{ij} \wedge \theta_j = 0, \quad \theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji} = 0,$$

$$\Theta_{ij} = d\theta_{ij} + \sum \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj}$$

respectively. We denote the components of the shape operator or the second fundamental tensor A of (M, ι) by A_{ij} , and put $\psi_i = \sum A_{ij} \theta_j$. Then we have the equations of Gauss and Codazzi

$$(1.1) \quad \Theta_{ij} = \psi_i \wedge \psi_j + c\theta_i \wedge \theta_j + c \sum (\phi_{ik} \phi_{jl} + \phi_{ij} \phi_{kl}) \theta_k \wedge \theta_l,$$

$$d\psi_i + \sum \psi_j \wedge \theta_{ji} = c \sum (\xi_j \phi_{ik} + \xi_i \phi_{jk}) \theta_j \wedge \theta_k$$

respectively, where the triplet $(\phi = (\phi_{ij}), \xi = \sum \xi_i e_i, \eta = \sum \xi_i \theta_i)$ is the almost contact structure on M . The tensor fields ϕ and ξ satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad \sum \phi_{ik} \phi_{kj} = \xi_i \xi_j - \delta_{ij}, \quad \sum \xi_j \phi_{ji} = 0, \quad \sum \xi_i^2 = 1,$$

$$d\phi_{ij} = \sum (\phi_{ik} \theta_{kj} - \phi_{jk} \theta_{ki}) - \xi_i \psi_j + \xi_j \psi_i,$$

$$d\xi_i = \sum (\xi_j \theta_{ji} - \phi_{ji} \psi_j).$$

For another isometric immersion i of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, we shall denote the differential

forms and tensor fields of (M, i) by the same symbol as the ones in (M, ι) but with a hat. Then, since $\theta_i = \hat{\theta}_i$ and $\Theta_{ij} = \hat{\Theta}_{ij}$, from (1.1) we have

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{ik}A_{jl} - A_{il}A_{jk} + c(\phi_{ik}\phi_{jl} - \phi_{il}\phi_{jk} + 2\phi_{ij}\phi_{kl}) \\ = \hat{A}_{ik}\hat{A}_{jl} - \hat{A}_{il}\hat{A}_{jk} + c(\hat{\phi}_{ik}\hat{\phi}_{jl} - \hat{\phi}_{il}\hat{\phi}_{jk} + 2\hat{\phi}_{ij}\hat{\phi}_{kl}). \end{aligned}$$

2. Proof of the theorems. In this section we shall prove Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n-2}, e_0\}$ in such a way that

$$(2.1) \quad \xi_1 = 0, \dots, \xi_{2n-2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 = 1,$$

where 0 denotes the last index $2n - 1$. Then it follows from (1.2) that

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{\phi}_{i0} = 0.$$

If we put $l=0$ in (1.3) and make use of (2.2), then we have

$$(2.3) \quad A_{ik}A_{j0} - A_{i0}A_{jk} + c(\phi_{ik}\phi_{j0} - \phi_{i0}\phi_{jk} + 2\phi_{ij}\phi_{k0}) = \hat{A}_{ik}\hat{A}_{j0} - \hat{A}_{i0}\hat{A}_{jk}.$$

Here we consider a local vector field

$$u_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} \hat{A}_{0j} e_j.$$

This vector field is independent of a choice of a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}, e_0\}$ satisfying (2.1), up to sign. In particular, we can define a subset N_1 of M by

$$N_1 = \{p \in M \mid u_1(p) \neq 0\}.$$

Assume that $N_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then we can take another local orthonormal frame field $\{f_1, \dots, f_{2n-2}, e_0\}$ on N_1 in such a way that the unit vector field f_{2n-2} is parallel to u_1 . If we denote the components of tensor fields with respect to this new orthonormal frame field by the same symbols as those to the old frame field, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}e_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \hat{A}_{0i} e_i = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} \hat{A}_{0j} e_j \right\| f_{2n-2} + \hat{A}_{00} e_0 \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^{2n-2} \hat{A}_{0q} f_q + \hat{A}_{00} e_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ indicates the length of a vector field. It implies that

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{A}_{p0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 3.$$

If $M \setminus \bar{N}_1 \neq \emptyset$, then it is obvious that (2.4) holds on $M \setminus \bar{N}_1$ for any local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}, e_0\}$ satisfying (2.1). Thus we may assume that (2.4) holds

on $M \setminus \partial N_1$. For a while we consider all forms on $M \setminus \partial N_1$. In terms of this new local frame field, it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad A_{pi}A_{q0} - A_{qi}A_{p0} + c(\phi_{pi}\phi_{q0} - \phi_{p0}\phi_{qi} + 2\phi_{pq}\phi_{i0}) = 0,$$

where $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 3$, and $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$.

Here we consider another local vector field

$$u_2 = \sum_{p=1}^{2n-3} A_{p0}f_p.$$

Then, by the same method as in the above, we can define a subset N_2 of M by

$$N_2 = \{p \in M \setminus \partial N_1 \mid u_2(p) \neq 0\}$$

and can assume that

$$(2.6) \quad A_{a0} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (M \setminus \partial N_1) \cap (M \setminus \partial N_2),$$

where and in the sequel the indices a, b, c, \dots run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n - 4\}$. Putting $p = a$ and $q = b$ in (2.5) and making use of (2.6), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \phi_{ai}\phi_{b0} - \phi_{a0}\phi_{bi} + 2\phi_{ab}\phi_{i0} = 0.$$

If we put $i = a$ in (2.7), then we get

$$(2.8) \quad \phi_{ab}\phi_{a0} = 0.$$

Multiplying (2.7) by ϕ_{ab} and making use of (2.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \phi_{ab}\phi_{i0} = 0,$$

and hence it follows from (2.7) and (2.9) that

$$(2.10) \quad \phi_{ai}\phi_{b0} = \phi_{a0}\phi_{bi}.$$

Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be vectors in the $(2n - 4)$ -dimensional vector space \mathbf{R}^{2n-4} given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (\phi_{1\ 2n-3}, \phi_{2\ 2n-3}, \dots, \phi_{2n-4\ 2n-3}), \\ v_2 &= (\phi_{1\ 2n-2}, \phi_{2\ 2n-2}, \dots, \phi_{2n-4\ 2n-2}), \\ v_3 &= (\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \dots, \phi_{2n-4\ 0}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.10) shows that $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a linearly dependent subset of \mathbf{R}^{2n-4} .

Finally, we assert that $\phi_{ab} \neq 0$ for some indices a and b . Indeed, if (ϕ_{ab}) is the zero matrix, then the matrix ϕ is given by

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} & & \vdots & & & & \\ & 0 & & {}^t v_1 & {}^t v_2 & {}^t v_3 & \\ & & \vdots & & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ -v_1 & & \vdots & & & & \\ -v_2 & & \vdots & & * & & \\ -v_3 & & \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix} .$$

Since v_1, v_2 and v_3 are linearly dependent, the rank of ϕ is not greater than 4, a contradiction, because the rank of ϕ is equal to $2n-2$ and $n \geq 4$. Therefore the matrix (ϕ_{ab}) is not zero.

Since there exists a non-zero entry of (ϕ_{ab}) , it follows from (2.9) that $\phi_{i0} = 0$. It is easily seen from (1.2) that $\xi_0 = \pm 1$, and hence the two structure vector fields ξ and ξ coincide up to sign on $(M \setminus \partial N_1) \cap (M \setminus \partial N_2)$, and hence on the whole M . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. It is immediate from Theorems 1 and A.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Since M is homogeneous, both M and $\iota(M)$ are complete. We denote by $\iota(p)$ the type number of ι at a point p of M , and define a subset U of M by

$$U = \{p \in M \mid \iota(p) \geq 3\} .$$

Then obviously U is open. Moreover, by a theorem in [2] or [5], there exists a point p in M such that $\iota(p) \geq 3$. Therefore the set U is non-empty.

For any points p and q in U , there exists an isometry σ of M such that $\sigma(p) = q$. Then, by Theorem 2, the two isometric immersions $\iota \upharpoonright_U$ and $(\iota \circ \sigma) \upharpoonright_U$ are rigid, that is, $\iota(U)$ is congruent to $\iota(\sigma(U))$. Thus the principal curvatures at p coincide with those at q . This implies that the principal curvatures of M are constant on U . Hence U is closed.

Since M is connected, we have $U = M$ and hence the two isometric immersions ι and $\iota \circ \sigma$ are rigid, that is, there exists an isometry φ of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi \circ \iota = \iota \circ \sigma$. Therefore $\iota(M)$ is an extrinsically homogeneous real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. As we have already seen in the Introduction, $\iota(M)$ is congruent to one of the model spaces of six types A_1, A_2, B, C, D and E .

REMARK. Theorem 2 is valid for connected real hypersurfaces in a hyperbolic complex space form $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ of the same complex dimensions as $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ because we can replace $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ in the proofs of both Theorem A (see [1]) and Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

[1] Y.-W. CHOE, H. S. KIM, I.-B. KIM AND R. TAKAGI, Rigidity theorems for real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Hokkaido Math. J. 25 (1996), 433-451.

- [2] H. S. KIM AND R. TAKAGI, The type number of real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, Tsukuba J. Math. 20 (1996), 349–356.
- [3] I.-B. KIM, B. H. KIM AND H. SONG, On geodesic hyperspheres in a complex projective space, Nihonkai Math. J. 8 (1997), 29–36.
- [4] S.-B. LEE, I.-B. KIM, N.-G. KIM AND S. S. AHN, A rigidity theorem for real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 12 (1997), 1007–1013.
- [5] Y. J. SUH AND R. TAKAGI, A rigidity for real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Tôhoku Math. J. 43 (1991), 501–507.
- [6] R. TAKAGI, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495–506.
- [7] R. TAKAGI, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I; II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 45–53; 507–516.

RYOICHI TAKAGI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHIBA UNIVERSITY
CHIBA 263–8522
JAPAN

IN-BAE KIM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HANKUK UNIVERSITY OF FOREIGN STUDIES
SEOUL 130–791
KOREA

BYUNG HAK KIM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE OF NATURAL SCIENCES
KYUNG HEE UNIVERSITY
SUWON 449–701
KOREA