

In Soo Baek\*, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, Korea

## DIMENSIONS OF THE PERTURBED CANTOR SET

### 1. Introduction

Let  $I_\emptyset = [0,1]$ . We obtain the left subinterval  $I_{\sigma,1}$  and the right subinterval  $I_{\sigma,2}$  of  $I_\sigma$  by deleting a middle open subinterval of  $I_\sigma$  inductively for each  $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n$ , where  $n = 0,1,2,\dots$ . Let  $E_n = \cup_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} I_\sigma$ . Then  $\{E_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed sets. For each  $n$ , we set  $|I_{\sigma,1}|/|I_\sigma| = a_{n+1}$  and  $|I_{\sigma,2}|/|I_\sigma| = b_{n+1}$  for all  $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n$ , where  $|I|$  denotes the length of  $I$ . We call  $F = \cap_{n=0}^\infty E_n$  a perturbed Cantor set. In particular, if  $a_n = a$ ,  $b_n = b$  for all  $n$ , we call  $F$  a Cantor type set. In this paper we assume the sequences of ratios  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{d_n\}$ , where  $d_n = 1 - (a_n + b_n)$ , are uniformly bounded away from 0. In [1] it was shown how to find the Hausdorff dimension and the packing dimension of a Cantor type set. In this paper we investigate these dimensions for a perturbed Cantor set. We recall the  $s$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $F$ ,  $H^s(F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_\delta^s(F)$ , where  $H_\delta^s(F) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty |U_n|^s : \{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ is a } \delta\text{-cover of } F\}$ , and the Hausdorff dimension of  $F$ ,  $\dim_H(F) = \sup\{s > 0 : H^s(F) = \infty\} (= \inf\{s > 0 : H^s(F) = 0\})$  ([1]). Also we recall the  $s$ -dimensional packing measure of  $F$ ,  $p^s(F) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty P^s(F_n) : \cup_{n=1}^\infty F_n = F\}$ , where  $P^s(F_n) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_\delta^s(F_n)$  and  $P_\delta^s(E) = \sup\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty |U_n|^s : \{U_n\} \text{ is a } \delta\text{-packing of } E\}$ , and the packing dimension,  $\dim_p(F) = \sup\{s > 0 : p^s(F) = \infty\} (= \inf\{s > 0 : p^s(F) = 0\})$  ([2]). We note that if  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are given, then a perturbed Cantor set  $F$  is determined. We introduce functions  $h^s(F) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s) (= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} |I_\sigma|^s)$  and  $q^s(F) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s) (= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^n} |I_\sigma|^s)$  for  $s \in (0,1)$  and  $F$  a perturbed Cantor set. Clearly  $h^s(F)$  and  $q^s(F)$  are decreasing functions of  $s$ . Using  $h^s$  and  $q^s$  we define the lower Cantor dimension and the upper Cantor dimension of a perturbed Cantor set  $F$  by  $\dim_{\underline{C}}(F) = \sup\{s > 0 : h^s(F) = \infty\}$  and  $\dim_{\overline{C}}(F) = \sup\{s > 0 : q^s(F) = \infty\}$ . Then

\*Supported in part by TGRC-KOSEF 1992.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 28A78, 28A80

Received by the editors October 23, 1992

$\dim_{\underline{C}}(F) = \inf\{s > 0 : h^s(F) = 0\}$  and  $\dim_{\overline{C}}(F) = \inf\{s > 0 : q^s(F) = 0\}$  since  $h^s(F)$  and  $q^s(F)$  are decreasing functions of  $s$ . We note  $\dim_{\underline{C}}$  and  $\dim_{\overline{C}}$  are functions whose domains are the class of the perturbed Cantor sets. Our objective is to show that the obvious covering or packing of  $F$  using the intervals of  $E_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields the correct indices.

## 2. Main results

In this section  $F$  denotes a perturbed Cantor set. Before going into the investigation of the relations of  $\dim_H$  and  $\dim_p$  with  $\dim_{\underline{C}}$  and  $\dim_{\overline{C}}$ , it is fruitful to know where  $\dim_{\underline{C}}$  and  $\dim_{\overline{C}}$  are located in  $(0,1)$  related to  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$ .

**Lemma 1**  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq \dim_{\underline{C}}(F) \leq \dim_{\overline{C}}(F) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n < 1$ , where  $a_n^{s_n} + b_n^{s_n} = 1$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are uniformly bounded away from 0,  $0 < \liminf_n s_n \leq \limsup_n s_n < 1$ . To prove  $\liminf_n s_n \leq \dim_{\underline{C}}(F)$ , we need only show that  $h^s(F) = \infty$  for  $0 < s < \liminf_n s_n$ . Choose  $s$  and  $s'$  such that  $0 < s < s' < \liminf_n s_n$ . Then there exists  $N$  such that  $s_k > s' > s$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Since there is a number  $\beta < 1$  such that  $a_k, b_k < \beta$  for all  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=N}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s) &\geq \prod_{k=N}^n (a_k^{s_k} + b_k^{s_k})\beta^{s-s_k} \\ &\geq \prod_{k=N}^n \beta^{s-s'}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=N}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s) = \infty$ . Hence  $h^s(F) = \infty$ . Similarly we have  $p^t(F) = 0$  for  $t > \limsup_n s_n$ .  $\square$

The definitions of Cantor dimension for  $F$  show that  $0 < h^s(F) < \infty$  implies that  $\dim_{\underline{C}}(F) = s$  while  $0 < q^s(F) < \infty$  implies that  $\dim_{\overline{C}}(F) = s$ . We obtain a lower bound for  $\dim_H(F)$  by applying the density theorem to the natural mass distribution on  $F$ .

**Theorem 2**  $\dim_{\underline{C}}(F) \leq \dim_H(F)$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{d_n\}$  are uniformly bounded away from 0, we may assume that  $a_n, b_n, d_n > \alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  for all  $n$ . Suppose that  $0 < s < \dim_{\underline{C}}(F)$ . Then  $h^s(F) = \infty$ . We define a set function

$$\mu(I_\sigma) = \frac{|I_\sigma|^s}{\sum_{\tau \in \{1,2\}^n} |I_\tau|^s} = \frac{|I_\sigma|^s}{\prod_{k=1}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s)}$$

for each  $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\mu$  extends to a mass distribution on  $[0, 1]$  whose support is in  $E = \cap_{n=1}^\infty E_n$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(I_\sigma) &= \frac{(a_{n+1}^s + b_{n+1}^s)|I_\sigma|^s}{(a_{n+1}^s + b_{n+1}^s) \sum_{\tau \in \{1, 2\}^n} |I_\tau|^s} = \frac{a_{n+1}^s |I_\sigma|^s + b_{n+1}^s |I_\sigma|^s}{\sum_{\tau \in \{1, 2\}^{n+1}} |I_\tau|^s} \\ &= \mu(I_{\sigma, 1}) + \mu(I_{\sigma, 2}) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Proposition 1.7 [1]). Clearly  $\mu([0, 1]) = 1$ . Let  $x \in F = \cap_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{I_{\sigma_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , where  $\sigma_n \in \{1, 2\}^n$  such that  $\cap_{n=1}^\infty I_{\sigma_n} = \{x\}$ . Given a small positive number  $r$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $|I_{\sigma_{n+1}}| \leq r < |I_{\sigma_n}|$ . Since  $d_{j+1}|I_{\sigma_j}| \geq \alpha |I_{\sigma_n}| > \alpha r$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $B_{\alpha r}(x) \subset [\cup_{\tau \neq \sigma_n} I_\tau]^c$ , where  $B_{\alpha r}(x)$  is the ball of radius  $\alpha r$  with center  $x$ . Thus  $\mu(B_{\alpha r}(x)) \leq \mu(I_{\sigma_n})$ .

For  $0 < t < s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(B_{\alpha r}(x))}{(\alpha r)^t} &\leq \frac{\mu(I_{\sigma_n})}{\alpha^t |I_{\sigma_{n+1}}|^t} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(I_{\sigma_n})}{\alpha^t (\alpha^t |I_{\sigma_n}|^t)} \\ &= \frac{|I_{\sigma_n}|^s}{\alpha^{2t} |I_{\sigma_n}|^t \sum_{\tau \in \{1, 2\}^n} |I_\tau|^s} \\ &= \frac{|I_{\sigma_n}|^{s-t}}{\alpha^{2t} \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^t} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|I_{\sigma_n}|^{s-t}}{\alpha^{2t} \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s)} = 0.$$

Thus  $H^t(F) = \infty$  by Proposition 4.9 [1]. Hence  $\dim_H(F) \geq s$ . □

The similarity of  $h^s(F)$  and  $H^s(F)$  guarantees the following .

**Theorem 3**  $\dim_H(F) \leq \dim_{\underline{C}}(F)$ .

PROOF. Because the intervals of  $E_n$  become uniformly small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $s > 0$  we have  $H^s(F) \leq h^s(F)$  and the result now follows from the definition. □

**Corollary 4**  $\dim_{\underline{C}}(F) = \dim_H(F)$ .

We use a Baire Category argument to obtain a lower bound for the packing dimension of  $F$ .

**Theorem 5**  $\dim_{\overline{C}}(F) \leq \dim_p(F)$ .

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 2, we may assume that  $a_n, b_n, d_n > \alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  for all  $n$ . Suppose that  $0 < s < \dim_{\overline{C}}(F)$ . Then  $q^s(F) = \infty$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ , for  $n \geq \frac{\log \delta}{\log(1-\alpha)}$  and  $I_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^n$

$$P_\delta^s(I_\sigma \cap F) \geq \sup_{k \geq n} \sum_{I_\tau \subset I_\sigma, \tau \in \{1, 2\}^k} |I_\tau|^s$$

$$\geq |I_\sigma|^s \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n+1}^m (a_k^s + b_k^s) = \infty.$$

Thus  $P^s(I_\sigma \cap F) = \infty$ . Consider  $\{F_n\}$  such that  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty F_n = F$ . Since  $F$  is compact,  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \overline{F_n} = F$  and there exists  $\overline{F_{n_0}}$  such that the interior of  $\overline{F_{n_0}}$  in  $F$  is non-empty by the Baire Category theorem. Hence there is  $I_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^n$  for large  $n$  such that  $I_\sigma \cap F \subset \overline{F_{n_0}}$ . Then  $P^s(F_{n_0}) = P^s(\overline{F_{n_0}}) \geq P^s(I_\sigma \cap F) = \infty$ . Thus  $P^s(F_{n_0}) = \infty$ . No,

$$p^s(F) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty P^s(F_n) : \cup_{n=1}^\infty F_n = F \right\} = \infty,$$

*i.e.*  $\dim_p(F) \geq s$ . □

Using the packing density theorem we find an upper bound for the packing dimension.

**Theorem 6**  $\dim_p(F) \leq \dim_{\overline{C}}(F)$ .

PROOF. We may assume that  $a_n, b_n > \alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  for all  $n$ . Suppose that  $\dim_{\overline{C}}(F) < s$ . Then  $q^s(F) = 0$ . We define a set function

$$\mu(I_\sigma) = \frac{|I_\sigma|^s}{\sum_{\tau \in \{1, 2\}^n} |I_\tau|^s} = \frac{|I_\sigma|^s}{\prod_{k=1}^n (a_k^s + b_k^s)}$$

for each  $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , as in the proof of Theorem 2. Then  $\mu$  extends to a mass distribution on  $[0, 1]$  whose support is in  $E = \cap_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ , and  $\mu([0, 1]) = 1$ . Let  $x \in F = \cap_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{I_{\sigma_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , where  $\sigma_n \in \{1, 2\}^n$  such that  $\cap_{n=1}^\infty I_{\sigma_n} = \{x\}$ . Given a small positive number  $r$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $|I_{\sigma_{n+1}}| \leq r < |I_{\sigma_n}|$ . For  $t > s$ ,

$$\frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^t} \geq \frac{\mu(I_{\sigma_{n+1}})}{|I_{\sigma_n}|^t}.$$

Since  $|I_{\sigma_{n+1}}|/|I_{\sigma_n}| = a_{n+1}$  or  $b_{n+1} > \alpha > 0$  for all  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^t} &\geq \frac{\mu(I_{\sigma_{n+1}})}{(\frac{1}{\alpha})^t |I_{\sigma_{n+1}}|^t} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^t |I_{\sigma_{n+1}}|^{s-t}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (a_k^s + b_k^s)} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^t} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha^t |I_{\sigma_{n+1}}|^{s-t}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (a_k^s + b_k^s)} = \infty.$$

Thus  $p^t(F) = 0$  by the packing density theorem [2]. Hence  $\dim_p(F) \leq s$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7**  $\dim_{\overline{C}}(F) = \dim_p(F)$ .

Applying Lemma 1 gives the following assertion.

**Corollary 8** *If  $a_n^{s_n} + b_n^{s_n} = 1$  defines  $s_n$  and  $s_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\dim_H(F) = \dim_p(F) = s$ .*

If  $\lim a_n = a$  and  $\lim b_n = b$  with  $0 < a, b$  and  $a + b < 1$ , then  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{1 - (a_n + b_n)\}$  are uniformly bounded away from 0 and  $\lim s_n = s$ , where  $a^s + b^s = 1$ . Thus we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 9** *If  $\lim a_n = a$  and  $\lim b_n = b$ , then  $\dim_H(F) = \dim_p(F) = s$ , where  $a^s + b^s = 1$  ( $0 < a, b$  and  $a + b < 1$  are assumed).*

**Observation 10** *The Cantor type set  $F$  whose  $a_n = a$  and  $b_n = b$  for all  $n$  has Hausdorff and packing dimensions  $s$  where  $a^s + b^s = 1$  ([1]).*

(Using Corollary 9 or the fact that  $h^s(F) = q^s(F) = 1$ , we also obtain the above result.)

**Observation 11** *The Cantor-like set  $F$  whose  $a_n = b_n$  for each  $n$  has Hausdorff dimension*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-n \log 2}{\log \prod_{k=1}^n a_k}$$

and packing dimension

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-n \log 2}{\log \prod_{k=1}^n a_k}.$$

This follows from Corollaries 4 and 7 and the argument of the proof of Lemma 1 (cf.[3]).

**References**

[1] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal geometry (John Wiley & Sons. 1990).*  
 [2] S. J. Taylor and C. Tricot, *The packing measure, and its evaluation for a Brownian path.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288** (1985), 679–699.  
 [3] C. Tricot, *Two definitions of fractal dimension,* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **91** (1982), 57–74.