Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 19(1), 1993/94, pp. 266-268

 Thomas E. Armstrong, Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland- Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, 21228-5398

CHEBYSHEV INEQUALITIES AND COMONOTONICITY

 In Heinig and Maligranda [5] Chebyshev's inequality is phrased as follows. Let x and y be positive decreasing functions on $I = [0, a]$. In this case

$$
\int_0^a x(s)ds \int_0^a y(s)ds \le a \int_0^a y(s)x(s)ds
$$

Letting $m(ds)$ be normalized Lebesque measure on I the above inequality may Letting $m(as)$ be normalized Lebesque measure rephrased as

$$
\int_I x dm \int_I y dm \leq \int_I xy dm.
$$

A close inspection of their first proof reveals that m may be replaced by any probability p on I so that

$$
\int_I x dp \int_I y dp \leq \int_I xy dp.
$$

This suggests an analogous inequality for random variables X and Y on a probability space (I, Σ, p) .

$$
E(X)E(Y) \le E(XY)
$$

This is well known to be equivalent to positive correlation of X and Y , that $cov(X, Y) \geq 0$. As a result, a paraphrase of Chebyshev's inequality is that two positive decreasing functions on I are positively correlated with respect to all probabilities on I. Here we extend this to give a characterization of those measurable functions on a measurable space which are positively correlated for all probabilities. This characterization is valid even for unordered measurable spaces, although order is implicit.

Key Words: Chebyshev inequalities, positive correlation, comonotonicity Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 60E15 Received by the editors October 15,1992

If Ω is a set, two real functions f and g on Ω are said to be comonotonic if $f(x) > f(y)$ and $g(y) > g(x)$ is impossible for any $\{x, y\} \subset \Omega$. An equivalent condition is that $[f(x) - f(y)][g(x) - g(y)] \geq 0$ for all $\{x, y\} \subset \Omega$. A real function f induces a weak order \succ_f on Ω via $x \succ_f y$ iff $f(x) > f(y)$. The associated equivalence relation is given by $x \sim_f y$ iff $f(x) = f(y)$. Two functions f and g are known to be comonotonic iff \succ_f and \succ_g admit a common extension to a weak order \succ on Ω (That is, as subsets of $\Omega \times \Omega$, the union of the two relations \succ_f and \succ_g is contained in a weak order \succ so that $x \succ y$ if either $f(x) > f(y)$ or $g(x) > g(y)$.) The concept of comonotonicity has become important in non-linear expected utility theory. See Armstrong [1], Wakker [7], Schmeidler [6] and Dellacherie [3].

Theorem 1 Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space. Two Σ -measurable real functions f and g on Ω satisfy

$$
\int f dP \int g dP \leq \int f g dP
$$

for all countably additive probabilities P iff they are comonotonic.

PROOF. One direction is the first proof given by Heinig and Maligranda of their Theorem 2.1 [5]. Suppose that f and g are comonotonic

$$
0 \leq \int \int [f(x) - f(y)][g(x) - g(y)]P(dx)P(dy)
$$

=
$$
\int \int f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(y)P(dx)P(dy)
$$

=
$$
2 \int f(x)g(x)P(dx) - 2 \int f(y)P(dy) \int g(x)P(dx).
$$

As a result,

$$
\int f(x)g(x)P(dx) \geq \int f(x)P(dx) \int g(x)P(dx).
$$

For the other direction suppose f and g are positively correlated with respect to P then

$$
\frac{1}{2}[f(x)g(x)+f(y)g(y)]\geq \frac{1}{4}[f(x)+f(y)][g(x)+g(y)].
$$

Simple algebra now yields

$$
f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(x) + f(y)g(y) \le 0 \text{ or}
$$

$$
[f(x) - f(y)][g(x) - g(y)] \ge 0.
$$

□

 Remark 1 Theorem 2.1 of Heinig-Maligranda [5] dates back to at least Cheby shev [2].

Recall that a Radon measure on a compact Hausdorff space K is an element of $M(K) = C'(K)$, or alternatively, is an inner regular Borel measure or a countably additive Baire measure.

Corollary 1 Let K be a compact Hausdorff space. Continuous functions f and g are positively correlated with respect to all probability Radon measures iff they are comonotonic.

References

- [1] T. Armstrong, *Comonotonicity, simplicial subdivisions of cubes and non*linear expected utility via Choquet integrals, 1990 (preprint).
- $[2]$ P. Chebyshev, On approximating expressions of one integral by another, Proc. Math. Soc. Karkov, 2 (1882), 93-98.
- $[3]$ C. Dellacherie, Quelque commentaires sur les prolongements de capacities, in Seminaire de probabilitie V, Strasbourg, R Meyer, editor, Springer, Berlin, 1971, 77-81.
- [4] A. Fink and M. Jodeit, On Chebyshev's other inequality, Inequalities in Statistics and Probability, IMS Lecture Notes Monograph Series, 5 (1984), 115-120.
- [5] H. Heinig and L. Maligranda, Chebyshev inequality in function spaces, Real Analysis Exchange, 17 (1991-1992), pp. 211-247.
- [6] D. Schmeidler, Subjective probability and expected utility without additivity, Econometrica, 67 (1989), 571-587.
- [7] P. P. Wakker, Additive representations of preferences, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989.