

R. Henstock, Department of Mathematics, University of Ulster, Coleraine, County Londonderry, Northern Ireland BT52 1SA

## THE INTEGRAL OVER PRODUCT SPACES AND WIENER'S FORMULA

In Lebesgue integration with Wiener measure  $W$  over infinite dimensional Cartesian product spaces  $T$  of copies of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $T(N)$  the Cartesian product of  $N$  (finite) of the  $\mathbf{R}$ , let  $f$  be a function of  $T(N)$  alone, and otherwise constant. For  $f$  Lebesgue integrable over  $T$ ,  $f$  is Lebesgue integrable over  $T(N)$  and Wiener's formula is

$$(1) \quad \int_T f dW = \int_{T(N)} f dW.$$

A few questions arise. Is (1) true for non-absolute integrals such as generalized Riemann integrals in division spaces? Can we generalize  $W$ ? If the right-hand integral exists, does the left-hand integral exist? [4], **Theorem 5**, pp.223-224 (proof on p. 230) answers the first two questions positively, and the third if all divisions of  $T$  are the special kind given in the definition of the "Fubini property in common", [4], p.220 and [6], *Chapter 5*, p.149. However, even for  $N = 1, T(N) = \mathbf{R}$ , not all divisions of  $T$  are special and the question remains: if  $T = T(N) \otimes T(-N), f : T(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  integrable over  $T(N), g : T(-N) \rightarrow \text{sing}(1)$ , is  $fg$  integrable over  $T$ ? [5], **Theorem 1**, p.386, is relevant; for length in  $\mathbf{R}$  as measure, and  $T = \mathbf{R}^2, f, g$  Perron (gauge) integrable over intervals of  $\mathbf{R}, fg$  is gauge integrable over the Cartesian product of the intervals. [3], **Theorem 11**, p. 83, is a more general result for a general product space and products of interval-point  $VB^*$  functions  $h_j (j = 1, 2)$ , with a suggested extension to  $VBG^*$  functions.  $h_2(I_2, t_2)$  finitely additive gives (1). As in [3], [4], [6], *sections 2.7, 2.8, 5.1*, definitions are as follows.

In the space  $T$  of points we choose a family  $\mathcal{T}$  of some non-empty subsets called (*generalized*) *intervals*  $I$ , a fixed non-empty family  $\mathcal{U}^1$  of interval-point pairs  $(I, t) (I \in \mathcal{T}, t \in T)$ , and (controlling integration) non-empty families  $\mathcal{A}$  of some non-empty subsets  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^1$ .

An elementary set  $E$  is an interval or a union of a finite number of mutually disjoint intervals. A subset  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^1$  divides  $E$  if, for a finite subset  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , called a division of  $E$  from  $\mathcal{U}$ , the  $(I, t) \in \mathcal{E}$  have mutually disjoint  $I$  (called partial intervals of  $E$ , and a partition of  $E$ , from  $\mathcal{U}$ ) with union  $E$ . A non-empty  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is a partial division of  $E$  from  $\mathcal{U}$ , the union of  $I$  from  $(I, t) \in \mathcal{P}$  is a partial set  $P$  of  $E$  that comes from  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ , and  $P$  is proper if  $P \neq E$ . For  $\mathcal{U}.E$  the set of all  $(I, t) \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^1$  with  $I$  a partial interval of  $E$ , let  $\mathbf{A}|E$  be the set of all  $\mathcal{U}.E$  dividing  $E$  with  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}$ , and  $E * .\mathcal{U}$  the set of all  $t$  with  $(I, t) \in \mathcal{U}.E$  for some  $I$ . The star set  $E*$  is the intersection of  $E * .\mathcal{U}$  for all  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$ .

Taking  $\mathbf{A}|E$  non-empty (saying that  $\mathbf{A}$  divides  $E$ ), we need  $\mathbf{A}$  directed for divisions of  $E$  (i.e. given  $\mathcal{U}_j \in \mathbf{A}|E (j = 1, 2)$ , a  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2$  is in  $\mathbf{A}|E$ ). This is the direction as  $\mathcal{U}$  shrinks. A restriction of  $\mathcal{U}$  to a partial set  $P$  is a non-empty family  $\mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}.P$ . We assume that  $\mathbf{A}$  has the restriction property (i.e. for each elementary set  $E$ , each partial set  $P$ , and each  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$ , there is in  $\mathbf{A}|P$  a restriction of  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $P$ ) and that  $\mathbf{A}$  is additive (i.e. given disjoint elementary sets  $E_j$  and  $\mathcal{U}_j \in \mathbf{A}|E_j (j = 1, 2)$ , a  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$  is in  $\mathbf{A}|E_1 \cup E_2$ ). Such a  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}, \mathbf{A})$  is an additive division space in [6] (previously called a division space.) We integrate functions  $h : \mathcal{U}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , e.g.  $h(I, t) = f(t)m(I)$  for a measure  $m$ ; a number  $H(E)$  is the  $\mathbf{A}$ -integral of  $h$  over the elementary set  $E$  if, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$  has every division  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $E$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfying

$$|(\mathcal{E}) \sum h - H(E)| < \varepsilon,$$

$(\mathcal{E}) \sum$  denoting summation over the  $(I, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ . In an additive division space an  $h : \mathcal{U}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$ -integrable over  $E$ , is  $\mathbf{A}$ -integrable over every partial set  $P$  of  $E$ , say to  $H(P)$ , finitely additive in  $P$  (i.e. if  $P_j (j = 1, 2)$  are disjoint partial sets of  $E$  then

$$H(P_1) + H(P_2) = H(P_1 \cup P_2),$$

and, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$  is such that for every division  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $E$  from  $\mathcal{U}$ ,

$$(2) \quad (\mathcal{E}) \sum |h(I, t) - H(I)| < \varepsilon.$$

See [6], Theorem 2.5.2, p. 84; Theorem 2.5.5 (2.5.15), p. 87; without additivity of  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}, \mathbf{A})$ . More generally, for  $V(h; \mathcal{U}; E)$  the supremum for all divisions  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $E$  from  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$ , of

$$(\mathcal{E}) \sum |h(I, t)|,$$

the variation  $V(h; \mathbf{A}; E)$  of  $h$  over  $E$ , is, as  $\mathcal{U}$  shrinks,

$$\inf[V(h; \mathcal{U}; E) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E] = \limsup(\mathcal{E}) \sum |h(I, t)|.$$

In (2),  $V(h - H; \mathbf{A}; E) = 0$  ( $h - H$  has variation zero). If  $X \subseteq T$  we write  $V(h; \mathcal{U}; E; X)$  and  $V(h; \mathbf{A}; E; X)$  for  $V(h\chi(X, \cdot); \mathcal{U}; E)$  and  $V(h\chi(X, \cdot); \mathbf{A}; E)$ , respectively,  $\chi(X; t)$  being the characteristic function or indicator of  $X$ . A majorant of  $h : \mathcal{U}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is an  $M : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that for some  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$ ,  $h(I, t) \leq M(I)((I, t) \in \mathcal{U})$ . An  $h : \mathcal{U}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is ultimately finitely additive in  $E$  if, for some  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$ ,  $h$  is finitely additive in  $\mathcal{U}$ , independent of  $t$ . If the majorant  $J(I)$  is the finite supremum of  $(\mathcal{E}) \sum h$  for all divisions  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $I$  from some  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|I$ , then  $J$  is finitely superadditive (i.e.  $(\mathcal{E}) \sum J \leq J(I)$  for all divisions  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $I$ ). However, at times we need ultimately finitely additive majorants  $M$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad M(E) \leq KJ(E)$$

for some fixed number  $K \geq 1$ . No problem occurs if  $T = \mathbf{R}$ . Writing  $J(u, v)$  for  $J([u, v))$ ,

$$J(a, u) + J(u, v) \leq J(a, v), J(u, v) \leq J(a, v) - J(a, u) (a < u < v \leq b)$$

and in  $[a, b)$  we take  $K = 1$  and  $J(a, v) - J(a, u)$  for  $M(u, v)$ . [1] shows difficulties in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

In special cases more can be proved. J. Mařík gave me an honours project by L. Trudzik that translates and discusses [7], including a construction of a finitely additive majorant of  $f(x)G(I)$  where  $fG, |f|G$  are Perron integrable and  $G$  is non-negative and finitely additive, so that  $fG$  is Radon (Lebesgue-Stieltjes) integrable. [1] gives marginally better results; W. F. Pfeffer says that even after 25 years it has not yet been superseded, so that here is another task.

Continuing with the definitions,  $(T, \mathcal{T}, \mathbf{A})$  is fully decomposable (respectively, decomposable, or measurably decomposable relative to a measure or measure space) if to every family (respectively, countable family or countable family of measurable sets)  $\mathcal{X}$  of mutually disjoint subsets  $X \subseteq T$  and every  $\mathcal{U}(\cdot) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}|E$ , there is a  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{A}|E$  with  $\mathcal{U}[X] \equiv \{(I, t) : (I, t) \in \mathcal{U}, t \in X\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(X) (X \in \mathcal{X})$ . If  $\mathcal{U}[X] = \mathcal{U}(X)[X] (X \in \mathcal{X})$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is the diagonal of the  $(\mathcal{U}(X), \mathcal{X})$ . A fully decomposable additive division space is stable (i.e. for a

$\mathcal{U}(E) \in \mathbf{A}|E$ , every  $\mathcal{U}_1 \in \mathbf{A}|E$  with  $\mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}(E)$  has  $E*\mathcal{U}_1 = E*\mathcal{U}(E) = E*$ . See [6], p. 43, before (1.1.2).

A Cartesian product  $(T_z, \mathcal{T}_z, \mathbf{A}_z)$  of additive division spaces  $(T_u, \mathcal{T}_u, \mathbf{A}_u)$  ( $u = x, y$ ), possibly similar, possibly very different, has  $T_z = T_x \otimes T_y$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_z$  the family of  $I_x \otimes I_y$  for all  $I_u \in \mathcal{T}_u(u = x, y)$ , and  $\mathbf{A}_z$  based on them and the family  $\mathcal{U}_z^1$  of  $(I_x \otimes I_y, (x, y))$ , written  $(I_x, x) \otimes (I_y, y)$ , for all  $(I_u, u) \in \mathcal{U}_u^1(u = x, y)$ . We suppose that  $\mathbf{A}_x, \mathbf{A}_y, \mathbf{A}_z$  have the Fubini property in common (really two properties). First, for  $E_u$  an arbitrary elementary set in  $T_u(u = x, y)$ ,  $E_z = E_x \otimes E_y$ , and arbitrary  $\mathcal{U}_z \in \mathbf{A}_z|E_z$ , there is a  $\mathcal{U}_y(\cdot) : E_x^* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_y|E_y$ , and to each collection of divisions  $\mathcal{E}_y(x)$  of  $E_y$  from  $\mathcal{U}_y(x)$ , one division for each such  $x$ , there is a  $\mathcal{U}_x \in \mathbf{A}_x|E_x$  such that  $(I_x, x) \otimes (I_y, y) \in \mathcal{U}_z$  when  $(I_x, x) \in \mathcal{U}_x, (I_y, y) \in \mathcal{E}_y(x)$ . Secondly, interchange  $x, y$ , but with  $x$  first in all Cartesian products.

Note that star sets give location, particularly in a stable division space.

For a particular construction let  $\mathcal{U}_u(\cdot) : T_z \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_u|E_u(u = x, y)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_z$  be the family of all  $(I_x, x) \otimes (I_y, y)$  with  $(I_u, u) \in \mathcal{U}_u(z)(u = x, y; z = (x, y))$ .  $\mathbf{A}_z$  is the family of finite unions of  $\mathcal{U}_z$  for all finite unions of disjoint products  $E_x \otimes E_y$ . Calling this the *product division space*, by [6], **Theorem 5.1.1**, p. 149, and fully decomposable division spaces, the  $\mathbf{A}_x, \mathbf{A}_y, \mathbf{A}_z$  have the Fubini property in common. (4) seems to follow,

(4) If  $I_x \otimes I_y$  is a partial interval of  $E_x \otimes E_y$ , there are elementary

sets  $E_{1u}$  disjoint from  $I_u$  with  $I_u \cup E_{1u} = E_u(u = x, y)$ .

We can call the integral from the product division space a *product space integral*.

Necessary conditions in **Theorem 1** are sufficient in special cases (**Theorem 2**).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(T_u, \mathcal{T}_u, \mathbf{A}_u)(u = x, y)$  be fully decomposable and  $\mathbf{A}_x, \mathbf{A}_y, \mathbf{A}_z$  have the Fubini property in common. Let  $E_x, E_y$  be elementary sets with  $E_z = E_x \otimes E_y$ . Let

$$(5) \quad h_x(I_x, x)h_y(x; I_y, y)$$

be  $\mathbf{A}_z$ -integrable over  $E_z$  with  $X$  the set of  $x$  for which  $h_y(x; \cdot)$  is ultimately finitely additive. Then  $h_x$  is VBG\* in  $E_x^* \setminus X$ .

Let

$$(6) \quad h_y(I_y, y)h_x(y; I_x, x)$$

be  $\mathbf{A}_z$ -integrable over  $E_z$  with  $Y$  the set of  $y$  for which  $h_x(y; \cdot)$  is ultimately finitely additive. Then  $h_y$  is VBG\* in  $E_y * \setminus Y$ .

$$(7) \quad f(x, y)h_x(I_x, x)h_y(I_y, y)$$

has the form of (5), (6); and if the products there are equal, they have the form (7).

**Proof.** In (5)  $h_y(x; \cdot)$  is  $\mathbf{A}_y$ -integrable over  $E_y$  to (say)  $H_y(x; E_y)$  for  $h_x$ -almost all  $x \in E_x^*$  (the unsymmetrical Fubini theorem, [6], **Theorem 5.1.2**, p. 150.) As the exceptional set  $X_o$  has  $h_x$ -variation 0 it is included in  $E_x * \setminus X$  in (5). For all  $x \in E_x * \setminus (X \cup X_o)$  each  $\mathcal{U}_y(x) \in \mathbf{A}_y|E_y$  contains a division  $\mathcal{E}_y(x)$  of  $E_y$  with

$$(8) \quad g(x) \equiv (\mathcal{E}_y(x)) \sum |h_y(x; I_y, y) - H_y(x; I_y)| > 0,$$

or else  $h_y(x; \cdot)$  would ultimately be  $H_y(x; \cdot)$ , finitely additive. By the Fubini property, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $\mathcal{U}_z, \mathcal{U}_y(x), \mathcal{E}_y(x), \mathcal{U}_x$  with the given properties, so that

$$(9) \quad (\mathcal{E}_x) \sum |h_x(I_x, x)|g(x) < \varepsilon, g(x) > 0(x \in E_x * \setminus (X \cup X_o).)$$

As  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is an arbitrary division of  $E_x$  from  $\mathcal{U}_x$  we take the sets of  $x$  where, respectively,  $1/n > g(x) \geq 1/(n+1)$ , ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). By (9),  $h_x$  is VB\* in each, and so VBG\* in  $E_x * \setminus X$ . For (6) interchange  $x$  and  $y$ .

The converse of **Theorem 1** would at least involve proof of the integrability of (7) over the product set. But a Sierpiński [8] construction, a non-measurable plane set meeting every line parallel to the  $x$  and  $y$  axes in at most two points, shows that a converse of **Theorem 1** can only be partial, with no easy proof except in simple cases.

**Theorem 2.** For the product division space  $(T_z, \mathcal{T}_z, \mathbf{A}_z)$  with (4), of  $(T_u, \mathcal{T}_u, \mathbf{A}_u)$ , ( $u = x, y$ ), fully decomposable additive division spaces, let  $h_u$  be  $\mathbf{A}_u$ -integrable to  $H_u(P_u)$  over the partial sets  $P_u$  of an elementary set  $E_u$  and let  $M_u$  be an ultimately finitely additive majorant of  $|h_u - H_u|$  satisfying (3) for some  $K_u \geq 1$  in  $E_u$  ( $u = x, y$ ). Then  $h_x h_y$  is  $\mathbf{A}_z$ -integrable to  $H_x(E_x)H_y(E_y)$  over  $E_z \equiv E_x \otimes E_y$  in the following cases :

1. if  $h_u$  is ultimately finitely additive over  $E_u$ , VBG\* or not ( $u = x, y$ );
2. if  $h_u$  is VBG\* and ultimately finitely additive over  $E_u$ , but  $h_v$  is not ultimately finitely additive over  $E_v$  ( $u = x$  and  $v = y$ , or  $u = y$  and  $v = x$ );
3. if  $h_u$  is VBG\* and not ultimately finitely additive over  $E_u$  ( $u = x, y$ ).

**Proof.** In (10) let  $\mathcal{E}_z$  be a division of  $E_z$  from a  $\mathcal{U}_z$  for which  $h_x, h_y$  are finitely additive, and let  $(I_x, x) \otimes (I_y, y) \in \mathcal{E}_z$ . By (4) there is a partition of  $E_x$  that includes  $I_x$ . Repeating for each interval-point pair in  $\mathcal{E}_z$  and using [6], **Theorem 2.7.1**, p. 93 (that needs the additivity of the space), with direction in  $\mathbf{A}_x$ , we have a partition of  $E_x$  that partitions every  $I_x$  with  $(I_x, x) \otimes (I_y, y) \in \mathcal{E}_z$ . The corresponding partition  $\mathcal{P}_z$  of  $E_z$  is a collection of partitioned strips  $I_{ox} \otimes E_y$ . By finite additivity of  $h_x$  the sum of  $h_x h_y$  over  $\mathcal{E}_z$  is equal to the sum over  $\mathcal{P}_z$ , which is  $H_x(E_x)H_y(E_y)$ .

For (11) we need only take  $u = x, v = y, h_x = H_x$ , finitely additive, and VB\* in each of a sequence  $(X_n)$  of mutually disjoint sets with union  $T_x$ , proving that

$$(10) \quad h_x h_y - H_x H_y = H_x (h_y - H_y)$$

has variation zero. We temporarily omit suffices  $x$ . As  $V(H; \mathbf{A}; E; X)$  is an outer measure in  $X$  ([6], **Theorem 2.2.1** (2.2.1), p. 71),

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} V_n \equiv V(H; \mathbf{A}; E; X_n) = 0 \text{ (all } n) \text{ imply} \\ V(H; \mathbf{A}; E) = V(H; \mathbf{A}; E; T) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$H$  is finitely additive, hence  $H = 0$  and (13) is 0. Thus we forget (14), taking  $m$  the smallest integer with  $V_m > 0$ , aggregating with  $X_m$  all  $X_n$  with  $V_n = 0$ , again using the outer measure property. Thus we take  $V_n > 0$  (all  $n$ ) and again use suffices  $x$ .

In the product division space construction,  $(T_y, \mathcal{T}_y, \mathbf{A}_y)$  being fully decomposable, we replace  $\mathcal{U}_y(z)$  by  $\mathcal{U}_y(x)$ , chosen so that in (2) all divisions  $\mathcal{E}_y(x)$  over  $E_y$  from  $\mathcal{U}_y(x)$  satisfy

$$(12) \quad (E_y(x)) \sum |h_y(I_y, y) - H_y(I_y)| < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n-1} V_n^{-1} K_y^{-1} (x \in X_n).$$

From (15) and (3) ( $K = K_y$ ) a finitely additive majorant  $M_{ny}$  of  $|h_y - H_y|$  exists with

$$(13) \quad M_{ny}(E_y) < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n-1} V_n^{-1}.$$

A  $\mathcal{U}_x$  and a finitely superadditive majorant  $s_{nx}$  of  $|H_x| \chi(X_n; \cdot)$  using  $\mathcal{U}_x$ , exist with

$$(14) \quad s_{nx}(E_x) \leq V(H_x; \mathcal{U}_x; E_x; X_n) \leq 2V(H_x; \mathbf{A}_x; E_x; X_n) = 2V_n.$$

Using (16), (17), and the proof of (10) (interchanging  $x$  and  $y$ ),

$$|H_x(I_x)| |h_y(I_y, y) - H_y(I_y)| \leq s_{nx}(I_x) M_{ny}(I_y) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} s_{mx}(I_x) M_{my}(I_y)$$

( $x \in X_n$ ),

$$(\mathcal{E}_z) \sum |H_x(I_x)| |h_y(I_y, y) - H_y(I_y)| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} s_{mx}(E_x) M_{my}(E_y) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence (11). For (12), if, because of (3), there is a suitable finitely additive majorant  $M_u$  of  $|h_u - H_u|$  ( $u = x, y$ ), then, using the proof of (10),

$$(15) \quad (\mathcal{E}_z) \sum |h_x - H_x| |h_y - H_y| \leq (\mathcal{E}_z) \sum M_x M_y \leq M_x(E_x) M_y(E_y),$$

suitably small, so that  $(h_x - H_x)(h_y - H_y)$  has variation zero. As

$$h_x h_y - H_x H_y = (h_x - H_x)(h_y - H_y) + H_x(h_y - H_y) + (h_x - H_x)H_y,$$

(18), (11), and the given conditions show that  $h_x h_y$  is product space integrable to  $H_x H_y$ .

By Mařík [7] the existence of the ultimately finitely additive majorant  $M$  occurs when  $h = f \Delta G$  and  $|h|$  are gauge integrable with  $\Delta G \geq 0$ . For the generalization of Wiener's formula (1) in which  $h_y$  is ultimately finitely additive, if  $h_x h_y$  is  $\mathbf{A}_z$ -integrable, the Fubini property and **Theorem 1** show that  $h_x$  is ultimately finitely additive, or  $\mathbf{A}_x$ -integrable with  $h_y$  VBG\*. Conversely, by **Theorem 2**, if  $h_y$  is ultimately finitely additive, say to  $H_y$ , with  $h_x$   $\mathbf{A}_x$ -integrable to  $H_x$  and either ultimately finitely additive or such that  $|h_x - H_x|$  has an ultimately finitely additive majorant satisfying (3) with  $h_y$  VBG\*, then  $h_x h_y$  is product space integrable to  $H_x H_y$ . These results apply even when  $h_x, h_y$  are not necessarily non-negative, and particularly in Feynman integration. Also this paper shows that in [2], p.330, the VBG\* condition is necessary even though it is not used in the proof of [2], **Theorem 4**.

## References

- [1] R. Henstock, *Majorants in variational integration*, Canadian Journal of Math. 18 (1966) 49–74, MR 32#2545.
- [2] R. Henstock, *Integration in product spaces, including Wiener and Feynman integration*, Proceedings London Math.Soc. (3) 27 (1973) 317–344, MR 49#9145.
- [3] R. Henstock, *Integration, variation and differentiation in division spaces*, Proceedings Royal Irish Academy, series A, 78 (1978) 69–85, MR 80d:26011.
- [4] R. Henstock, *Division spaces, vector-valued functions and backwards martingales*, Proceedings Royal Irish Academy, series A, 80 (1980) 217–232, MR 82i:60091.
- [5] R. Henstock, *A problem in two-dimensional integration*, Journal Australian Math.Soc., series A, 35 (1983) 386–404, MR 84k:26010.
- [6] R. Henstock, *General theory of integration* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991)
- [7] J. Mařík, *Základy theorie integrálu v euklidových prostorech* (Foundations of the theory of the integral in Euclidean spaces), Časopis Pest.Mat. 77 (1952), 1–51, 125–145, 267–301, MR 15.691.
- [8] W. Sierpiński, *Sur un problème concernant les ensembles mesurables superficiellement*, Fundamenta Math. 1 (1920) 112–115.

*Received December 6, 1991*