

M. Repický, Matematický ústav SAV, Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Czechoslovakia.

## ADDITIVITY OF POROUS SETS

Let  $\mathbf{P}$  denote the ideal of  $\sigma$ -porous sets and let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the ideal of first category sets on the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ . The aim of this note is to prove this theorem:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be arbitrary ideal on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ . Then  $\text{add}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{d} \leq \text{cof}(\mathcal{I})$ .*

Let us recall some definitions (see e.g. [2] and [5]): A set  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is porous if for every  $b \in A$ ,

$$p(A, b) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda(A, (b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon} > 0.$$

Here  $\lambda(A, I)$  denotes the maximal length of a subinterval of the interval  $I$  which is disjoint with  $A$ . A set  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -porous if it is a countable union of porous sets.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(\mathcal{I}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{I}_0| : \mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{I} \text{ \& \ } \bigcup \mathcal{I}_0 \notin \mathcal{I}\}, \\ \text{cof}(\mathcal{I}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{I}_0| : \mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{I} \text{ \& \ } (\forall A \in \mathcal{I})(\exists B \in \mathcal{I}_0) A \subseteq B\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $f, g \in {}^\omega\omega$ ,  $f \leq^* g$  iff  $(\exists n)(\forall m > n) f(m) \leq g(m)$ .

$$\mathbf{b} = \min\{|F| : F \subseteq {}^\omega\omega \text{ \& \ } (\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega)(\exists g \in F) g \not\leq^* f\},$$

$$\mathbf{d} = \min\{|F| : F \subseteq {}^\omega\omega \text{ \& \ } (\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega)(\exists g \in F) f \leq^* g\}.$$

See also [1].

If  $m, n$  are integers then  $\langle m, n \rangle = \{k \in \omega : m \leq k < n\}$ . For  $s \in {}^{<\omega}2$ ,  $[s] = \{x \in {}^\omega 2 : s \subseteq x\}$  is a basic clopen set of the Cantor space  ${}^\omega 2$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the mapping from  ${}^\omega 2$  onto the interval  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n \in \omega} x(n)2^{-n-1}$ . For  $s \in {}^n 2$ ,  $I_s = \varphi([s])$  is a closed subinterval of  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  of length  $2^{-n}$ .

The proof of the Theorem is a slight modification of A. W. Miller's proof of  $\text{add}(\mathbf{K}) \leq \mathbf{b}$  (see [3]).

Let us fix a sequence of integers  $k(n)$ ,  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$k(n+1) - k(n) > n, \quad \text{for all } n \in \omega.$$

For  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$  let us denote

$$(1) \quad \alpha(f) = \{x \in {}^\omega 2 : (\forall m)x \upharpoonright \langle k(f(m)), k(f(m)+1) \rangle \equiv 1\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *If  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$  is increasing then the set  $A = \varphi(\alpha(f))$  is porous.*

PROOF: Let  $b \in A$  be arbitrary,  $b = \varphi(x)$  for some  $x \in \alpha(f)$ . We will show that  $p(A, b) = 1$ .

Let  $m \in \omega$  be arbitrary. Put  $\varepsilon = 2^m |I_{x \upharpoonright k(f(m)+1)}| < 2^{-m}$ . For  $s \in {}^{k(f(m))}2$  let  $s^*$  denote the sequence  $s \uparrow \dots \uparrow 1$  of length  $k(f(m)+1)$ . It is obvious that  $(x \upharpoonright k(f(m)))^* = x \upharpoonright k(f(m)+1)$  and  $A \cap I_s \subseteq I_{s^*}$ . Since  $|I_s| \geq 2^{f(m)} |I_{s^*}| \geq 2^m |I_{s^*}|$  and the distance between any two closest distinct intervals of the form  $I_{s^*}$  is  $|I_s| - |I_{s^*}| > \varepsilon$  we have  $A \cap (b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon) \subseteq I_{x \upharpoonright k(f(m)+1)}$ . Therefore  $\lambda(A, (b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon)) \geq (1-2^{-m})\varepsilon$  and so  $p(A, b) = 1$ .

It is easy to see that the set  $A$  is closed and even strongly symmetrically porous, see [5].

LEMMA 2. *Let us denote  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{I}) = \{A \subseteq {}^\omega 2 : \varphi(A) \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . Then  $\text{add}(\mathcal{I}) = \text{add}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{I}))$  and  $\text{cof}(\mathcal{I}) = \text{cof}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{I}))$ .*

PROOF: The lemma is a simple consequence of these two implications (see e.g [4, Lemma 2.2]):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A) \subseteq B \text{ implies } A \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(B), \text{ and} \\ \varphi^{-1}(B) \subseteq A \text{ implies } B \subseteq \varphi(A) \end{aligned}$$

for  $A \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{I})$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

According to the previous two lemmas, for proving the Theorem it is enough to prove the following:

CLAIM. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{\alpha(f) : f \in {}^\omega\omega \text{ is increasing}\}$  and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an arbitrary ideal on  ${}^\omega 2$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbf{K}({}^\omega 2)$ . Then  $\text{add}(\mathcal{J}) \leq \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{d} \leq \text{cof}(\mathcal{J})$ .

PROOF: We will find two mappings

$$\alpha : {}^\omega\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta : \mathbf{K}({}^\omega 2) \rightarrow {}^\omega\omega$$

such that

$$(2) \quad \alpha(f) \subseteq A \text{ implies } f \leq^* \beta(A) \text{ for every } A \in \mathbf{K}({}^\omega 2), f \in {}^\omega\omega.$$

This will conclude the proof because if  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  is any family such that  $\alpha(f) \subseteq A$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is dominated by  $\beta(A)$ . Therefore  $\text{add}(\mathcal{J}) \leq \mathbf{b}$ . The proof of  $\mathbf{d} \leq \text{cof}(\mathcal{J})$  is similar. Let us note that analogous details are omitted in [2] and [3].

The mapping  $\alpha$  is already defined by (1). Let us define  $\beta$ . Let  $A \subseteq {}^\omega 2$  be an arbitrary meager set. There is a sequence  $C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_2 \dots$  of closed nowhere dense subsets of  ${}^\omega 2$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_n$ . By induction define an increasing function  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$  such that

$$(3) \quad (\forall s \in {}^{k(g(m)+1)} 2)(\exists t \in {}^{k(g(m+1))} 2) s \subseteq t \ \& \ [t] \cap C_m = \emptyset$$

for every  $m$ . Put  $\beta(A)(n) = g(2n)$ .

We shall verify (2). Let  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$  be arbitrary and let  $f \not\leq^* \beta(A)$ . We can assume that  $f$  is increasing. Therefore

$$(\forall k)(\exists n > k) f(n) > \beta(A)(n) = g(2n).$$

Let us denote  $A_m = \langle g(m), g(m+1) \rangle \cap \text{rng}(f)$ . We claim that for all  $k \in \omega$  there exists  $m > k$  such that  $A_m = \emptyset$ . To see this choose  $n > k + 2$  such that  $g(2n) < f(n)$ . Then at most  $n$  sets among  $A_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$  are nonempty. Hence there is an  $m > n - 2$  such that  $A_m = \emptyset$ .

Using this fact together with property (3) we can inductively define an  $x \in {}^\omega 2$  and an increasing sequence  $n_i$ ,  $i \in \omega$  such that:

$$(4) \quad (\forall i) [x \upharpoonright k(g(n_i + 1))] \cap C_{n_i} = \emptyset, \text{ and}$$

$$(5) \quad (\forall m) x \upharpoonright \langle k(f(m)), k(f(m) + 1) \rangle \equiv 1.$$

The condition (5) ensures that  $x \in \alpha(f)$  and since the sequence  $C_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  is increasing, (4) ensures that  $x \notin A$ . Therefore  $\alpha(f) \not\subseteq A$  and (2) holds true.

This finishes the proof of the Claim and of the Theorem.

On the one hand the Theorem gives some restrictions on the values of the cardinals  $\text{add}(\mathbf{P})$  and  $\text{cof}(\mathbf{P})$ . On the other hand we still cannot decide whether inequalities  $\text{add}(\mathbf{P}) > \omega_1$  and  $\text{cof}(\mathbf{P}) < 2^\omega$  are possible.

An example of a  $\sigma$ -ideal which fulfils assumptions of the Claim is the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by closed Lebesgue measure zero sets.

### REFERENCES

- [1] van Douwen E., *The integers and topology*, "The Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology," (K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, editors), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [2] Fremlin D. H., *Cichoń's diagram*, Publ. Math. Univ. Pierre Marie Curie **66**. Semin. Initiation Anal. 23eme Anee-1983/84 Exp. No5, 13p.(1984).
- [3] Miller A. W., *Some properties of measure and category*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1981), 93-114.
- [4] Repický M., *Porous sets and additivity of Lebesgue measure*, Real Analysis Exchange (1990).
- [5] Zajíček L., *Porosity and  $\sigma$ -porosity*, Real Analysis Exchange **13**, **2** (1987-1988), 314-350.

*Received March 30, 1990*