James Foran, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City, Missouri 64110

Richard O'Malley,¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

INTEGRABILITY CONDITIONS FOR APPROXIMATE DERIVATIVES

1. Introduction:

In light of Richard Fleissner's untimely death, the authors. who feel that the results have been influenced by Dick's work. dedicate this paper to him. It can be viewed as a natural next step based on the results of [3] and [4]. In [3] conditions were examined under which an approximate derivative became Lebesgue integrable. It was found that it is both necessary and sufficient to consider the Lebesgue integrability of the function F*. This F*, as in [4], equals F' wherever it exists and 0 elsewhere. Similarly, F_{ap}^* will denote F'_{ap} when it exists and 0 elsewhere. Here both the wide and restricted Denjoy integrals will replace the Lebesgue integral. For the restricted D integral the situation is found to parallel results of [3], but for the wide sense D integral the results are negative.

2. The restricted sense Denjoy integral, \underline{D}_* .

Preliminaries:

Definition. A function F: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ is called Baire*1 if

¹This author was supported in part by a grant from NSF.

there is a sequence of closed sets X_n such that U X_n = [0,1] and F|X_n is continuous for each n.

<u>Theorem</u> [3]: Let F: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be Baire*1 Darboux. Let U(F) = interior {x: F is continuous at x}. Suppose that F has Lusin property (N) on U(F). Let P = {x: F' exists at x and F'(x) > 0} \cap U(F). Then F is absolutely continuous on [0,1] if and only if F' is Lebesgue integrable over P.

<u>Corollary</u>: Let F: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ and U(F) be as above. If F has (N) on U(F) and F' = 0 almost everywhere where F' exists, then F is a constant.

We now have:

<u>Theorem</u>: Let F: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be approximately differentiable and let

```
F^* = \begin{cases} F' & F' exists \\ 0 & elsewhere \end{cases}
```

Then, if F^* is \mathcal{D}_* integrable, F'_{ap} is \mathcal{D}_* integrable.

<u>Proof.</u> Let G be a \mathcal{D}_* primitive of F*. Let H = F - G. It is known that H is Baire*1, Darboux and has (N) (see [3], p. 261). Let x be a point where H' exists and at the same time both G' exists and G' = F*. Then F' exists at x and hence G' = F' and H' = 0. Consequently, almost everywhere where H' exists it is equal to zero. By the corollary stated above, the function H must be a constant. This yields that F itself is a \mathcal{D}_{\star} primitive of F^{*}. This, in turn, requires that F' exists almost everywhere i.e., $F'_{ap} = F^*$ a.e. So trivially F'_{ap} is \mathcal{D}_{\star} integrable. By similar arguments it can be proved that if F'_{ap} is \mathcal{D}_{\star} integrable, then F is a \mathcal{D}_{\star} primitive and $F'_{ap} = F^*$ almost everywhere. This means that

 F'_{ap} is D_* integrable $\longrightarrow F^*$ is D_* integrable.

It is clear that the above proof does not essentially depend on F being approximately differentiable. For example, if F were merely assumed to be selectively differentiable, [5], all the necessary conditions would be available to yield F'_{S} is \mathcal{D}_{\star} integrable $\longleftrightarrow F^{\star}$ is \mathcal{D}_{\star} integrable.

3. The wide-sense Denjoy integral, D

Let F: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be approximately differentiable for all x in [a,b]. We wish to examine if the \mathcal{D} integrability of F* will imply that F'_ap is \mathcal{D} integrable. If F is approximately differentiable and if F'_ap is \mathcal{D} integrable, then F is a \mathcal{D} primitive of F'_ap (this follows from the Corollary); in particular F is continuous. Moreover, if F is continuous and approximately differentiable, then it is a \mathcal{D} primitive of F'_ap (see [3], p. 261). It is thus clear that the problem reduces to determining whether the \mathcal{D} integrability of F* implies F is continuous. With that insight it is perhaps not surprising that a counterexample exists. We will present such an example. Further we will present counterexamples for other possible conjectures.

Lemma 1. Let [c,d] be an interval and α,β two real numbers. Let E be any perfect nowhere dense but metrically dense subset of [c,d]. (By metrically dense, we mean that for each open interval I, I $\cap E \neq \phi$ implies $|I\cap E| > 0$, where | | denotes Lebesgue measure.) Then there is a differentiable function g: $[c,d] \rightarrow R$ such that

> g is monotone, $g(c) = \alpha, g(d) = \beta,$ g'(c) = g'(d) = 0, $g' \equiv 0$ on any interval contiguous to E, $g' \not\equiv 0$ on any open interval intersecting E.

<u>Proof.</u> This is a known result which can be obtained, for example, by an application of Theorem 6.8 in [2] (p. 35). Making use of Lemma 1, repeatedly, we define a function F_1 . Let I_n be any sequence of closed intervals $[a_n, b_n]$ with $0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n, a_n \rightarrow 0$, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ having density 0 at 0. For each n, in the left half of I_n we pick a nowhere dense, perfect, metrically dense set E_n containing a_n . For $[c,d] = [a_n, (a_n + b_n)/2], \alpha = 0, \beta = 1$, and $E = E_n$ we apply 297 Lemma 1, to get a differentiable function g_n with the stated properties. We extend the definition of g_n to the right half of I_n by reflecting about the line $x = (a_n + b_n)/2$, and let E_n^* denote $E_n \cup$ (its reflection about the line $x = (a_n + b_n)/2$). We define

$$F_1(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) & \text{if } x \in I_n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise in } [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

Then F_1 is differentiable on (0,1] and approximately differentiable at 0, and F_1 is not continuous at x = 0.

Lemma 2. Let E be a perfect, metrically dense, nowhere dense subset of [c,d] containing c and d. There is a continuous function g: [c,d] \rightarrow R such that

```
g ≡ 0 over E,
g is approximately differentiable for all x in [c,d],
g'ap ≡ 0 over E,
g is not differentiable at any x in E,
g is differentiable on [c,d]\E,
sup{|g(x)|:x∈[c,d]} ≤ d-c.
```

<u>Proof.</u> Let U denote the complement of E relative to [c,d]. For convenience sake we arrange the components of U as in the construction of the Cantor set.

That is, for each n we select 2^{n-1} components of U, I_{ni} , $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^{n-1}$, as follows. Let $G_{11} = [c,d]$. Let I_{11} be any component of U with $|I_{11}| = \sup\{|J|: J \text{ is a }$ component of U}. Let the two closed intervals which compose the complement of I_{11} , relative to [c,d], be designated as G_{21} and G_{22} . Let I_{21} be any component of U with $|I_{21}| = \sup\{|J|: J \text{ is a }$ component of UOG₂₁}, $I_{21} \subset G_{21}$. Let I_{22} be a similar component of U in G_{22} . Let the four remaining intervals be designated as G_{31}, \ldots, G_{34} . Proceed inductively to label G_{ni} , i = 1,...2ⁿ⁻¹, and pick components $I_{ni} \in G_{ni}$. This process will arrange all the components of U, and for each \boldsymbol{x} in \boldsymbol{E} there will be a unique nested sequence of intervals G_{ni_n} with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{ni_n} = \{x\}$, and $|G_{ni_n}| \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. Inside each I_{ri} , we select an open interval w_{ni} , centered about the midpoint of I_{ni} , in such a fashion that $\cup \underset{n_{i}}{\texttt{w}}_{ni}$ has density zero at each point of the E. We are ready to define g: $[c,d] \rightarrow R$. For each n, i fixed let [u, v] = closure of w_{ni} . Let g be any differentiable function with $\hat{g}(u) = \hat{g}'(u) = g'(v) = g(v) = 0$, $g((u+v)/2) = |G_{ni}|$, and g strictly increasing from u to the midpoint of [u,v] and strictly decreasing from the midpoint to v. Let g(x) = 0 for all other x in [c,d].

Of the properties stated for g(x) in the conclusion of the lemma, it is only the nondifferentiability at points of E that may not be immediately clear. Let x belong to E and let G_{ni_n} be the unique sequence such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{ni_n} = \{x\}$. Let m_n be the n=1 midpoint of w_{ni_n} . We have $m_n \in G_{ni_n}$ so $m_n \to x$ as $n \to +\infty$ and

$$\left|\frac{g(x) - g(m_n)}{x - m_n}\right| \ge \left|\frac{G_{\min}}{x - m_n}\right| \ge 1$$

Therefore g has a derived number of absolute value at least 1 at x. But, in addition, since E is perfect and $g \equiv 0$ over E, 0 is a derived number of g at x. Therefore g is not differentiable at x.

<u>Example 1</u>. There is an approximately differentiable F such that F^* is \mathcal{D} integrable and F'_{ap} is not \mathcal{D} integrable.

We now combine Lemma 2 with our construction of F_1 above. For each n, let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ be the intervals in the construction of F_1 and let g_n be the function of Lemma 2 for $[c,d] = I_n$ and $E = E_n^*$.

Let
$$F_2(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) \text{ if } x \in I_n & n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere in } [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then, because $|g_n(x)| \le |I_n|$, we have that F_2 is continuous on [0,1], and approximately differentiable. Hence $(F_2)'_{ap}$ is D integrable.

Next, consider $F = F_1 + F_2$: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$. F is not continuous but is approximately differentiable. A moment of checking verifies that $F^* = (F_2)'_{ap}$. From this, we can conclude that the Dintegrability of F^* will not imply the D integrability of F'_{ap} unlike the situation for the L integral.

In another sense, F^* is near to being the proper object for determining the \mathcal{P} integrability of F'_{ap} . More precisely, again let F: $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be approximately differentiable and let ΔF = interior {x: F is differentiable at x}. It is known (see [1], Theorems 2 and 3) that there is a differentiable function H such that H' = F'_{ap} over $[0,1] \setminus \Delta F$. Consider then F - H and the corresponding (F-H)*. It is easy to see that

- i) F'_{ap} is \mathcal{D} integrable $\Leftrightarrow (F-H)'_{ap}$ is \mathcal{D} integrable,
- ii) $(F-H)'_{ap} = (F-H)^*$.

Hence F'_{an} is \mathcal{D} integrable $\Leftrightarrow (F-H)^*$ is \mathcal{D} integrable.

We now include some examples which point out the boundaries of the associated problems.

If F is continuous and approximately differentiable, then F'_{ap} is \mathcal{D} integrable but F* need not be \mathcal{D} integrable. For let $F_1(x) = x^2 \sin(x^{-4})$, with $F_1(0) = 0$. Let E be any metrically dense subset of $\{x: F_1'(x) \ge 0\}$ with the additional property that the integral of $F_1'(x)$ over E is infinite. Then if $F_2(x)$ is 0 on E and ACG and $F_2'(x)$ does not exist if and only if $x \in E$, then $F = F_1 + F_2$ is ACG but F* is not \mathcal{D} integrable. The construction of such an F_2 is given in [6], p. 224. This is because $F'_{ap} - F^* = F_1' x_F$ which is not D integrable.

From [4] and [6] it follows that if F is a continuous function satisfying (N) and if F* is \mathcal{D}_* integrable, then F is a \mathcal{D}_* primitive of F', which exists almost everywhere. We finish this paper with a preliminary example and an example whose purpose is to show that the analogous result for the \mathcal{D} integral does not hold; there is a continuous function G, satisfying (N), and approximately differentiable a.e. such that G* is \mathcal{D} integrable and G'_{ap} is not \mathcal{D} integrable.

<u>Preliminary Example</u>. There exists a continuous function F on [0,1/2] which is differentiable almost everywhere, has (N) and is of unbounded variation on every subinterval of [0,1/2].

Let
$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin^2(\pi x^{-4}) & \text{if } 0 < |x| \le 2^{-n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then g_n is differentiable and of unbounded variation in every neighborhood of 0. Let $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of the rational numbers in (0,1/2), $\{n_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers, $f_k = g_{n_k}(x-r_n)$, $F_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ and $F = \lim_{k \to \infty} F_k$. Then F is continuous. Further let $I_k = [r_k - 2^{-n_k}, r_k + 2^{-n_k}]$, $J_k = [r_k - (2^{-n_k} \cdot n_k^2), r_k + (2^{-n_k} \cdot n_k^2)]$, and $E_N = \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} J_k$, and $E = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N$.

 $J_k = [r_k - (2 + k + n_k^2), r_k + (2 + k + n_k^2)], \text{ and } E_N = \bigcup_{k=N} J_k, \text{ and } E = \bigcap_{N=1} E_N.$ Then |E| = 0. <u>Claim A</u>. If x does not belong to E_N , then F'(x) exists and equals $F'_N(x)$. Thus F'(x) exists almost everywhere.

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{\text{Proof of Claim }A.}{\sum\limits_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^2 - 1} < \epsilon \text{ and set } \delta = (n_M^2 - 1)2^{-n_M}. \text{ Let } 0 < |h| < \delta. \end{array}$

If $N \le k \le M$, $f_k(x+h) = f_k(x) = 0$. If $k \ge M$,

$$\begin{cases} < 2^{n'k} \text{ if } x + h \text{ is } i_n I_k, \text{ and then} \\ |h| > (n_k^2 - 1)2^{-n_k}, \end{cases}$$
$$|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x + h \text{ is not in } I_k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{F(x+h)-F(x)}{h} - \frac{F_N(x+h)-F_N(x)}{h} = \sum_{\substack{k=M \\ k=M}}^{\infty} \frac{f_k(x+h)-f_k(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{\substack{k=M \\ k=M}} \left(\frac{2^{-n_k}}{(n_k^2-1)\cdot 2^{-n_k}} \right) < \varepsilon .$$

Since ε is arbitrary, the claim A is established.

<u>Claim</u> B. Provided n_k are chosen so that $O(F_{k-1}, J_k) < 2^{-k}$, F satisfies (N).

<u>Proof of Claim B</u>. It suffices to show that |F(E)| = 0because F is differentiable on E^{C} and thus has (N) on E^{C} . Since $|f_{k}(x)| < 2^{-k}$ for all k and $E \subset \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} J_{n}$ for all N, it follows that

$$|F(E)| < \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |F(J_n)| = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} o(F, J_n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \overline{\lim_{k \to \infty} o(F_k, J_n)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} o(F_{n-1}, J_n) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (o(f_k, J_n))$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (2^{-n} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k})$$

$$= \sum_{n=N}^{N+1} \sum_{k=n}^{N+1} \sum_{k=$$

$$= (3/2)(2^{-N+1}) = 3 \cdot 2^{-N}$$

Since N is arbitrary it follows that |F(E)| = 0.

<u>Claim</u> <u>C</u>. If n_k is chosen so that for each k, J_k does not contain $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{k-1}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} F'_{k-1}(x) | dx < 2^{-k} \end{bmatrix}$, then F is of unbounded variation on every neighborhood of (0, 1/2).

Proof of Claim C. We first note that since

$$O(F_{k-1},J_n) \leq Var(F_{k-1},J_k) = \int_{J_k} |F'_{k-1}(x)| dx < 2^{-k},$$

F also satisfies (N). Furthermore, such n_k can be chosen inductively because F'_k is Lebesgue integrable on each chosen interval which does not contain r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} and hence $\lim_{\substack{|I| \to 0 \\ r_k \in I}} (\int_{|I|} |F'_k(x)| dx) = 0.$

Given an interval I, it suffices to show that $\int_{I} |F'(x)| dx = \infty.$

But
$$\int_{I} |F'(x)| dx \ge \int_{I \setminus \bigcup J_n} |F'(x)| dx$$
 and if N is chosen so $n \ge N^n$

that $r_N \in I$,

$$\int_{\substack{I > \bigcup J \\ n > N}} |F'(x)| dx = \int_{\substack{I > \bigcup J \\ n > N}} |F'_N(x)| dx = +\infty$$

This completes the Preliminary Example.

<u>Example 2</u>. There is a function G continuous on [0,1] which satisfies (N) and is approximately differentiable a.e. such that G* is \mathcal{D} integrable, and G' is not \mathcal{D} integrable.

<u>Construction</u>. Let P be a perfect, metrically dense subset of [0,1] with |P| = 1/2, P nowhere dense, and $\{0,1\} \in P$. Let $b(x) = |P\cap[0,x]|$, $0 \le x \le 1$. Then h(x) is monotone nondecreasing, h'(x) = 1 for almost all x in P and h'(x) = 0 at every point of P^{C} . Let F be a continuous function, given in the preliminary example, which satisfies (N) and is not of bounded variation on any interval. Then Foh(x) has derivative 0 at each x in P and is differentiable at almost all x in P but is not of bounded variation on any portion of P. Let g(x) be the function defined in [7,p. 224) which is ACG 0 on P, and not differentiable at any x in P. Let G(x) = g(x) + Foh(x). Then, at almost every point x of P, G'(x) does not exist. Thus $G'(x) = g^*(x) = g^*_{ap}(x)$ is D integrable. But G(x) is not of bounded variation on any portion of P. Therefore, since $G_{ap}^{*}(x) = g'(x)$ in P^{C} and $G_{ap}^{*} = F'$ oh in P, it is not D integrable. For if it were, G would have to be its D integral by [4] and G would be ACG on P, a contradiction.

References

- [1] S. Agronsky, R. Biskner, A. Bruckner, J. Marik, <u>Representations</u> of functions by derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 263 (1981) p. 493-500.
- [2] A. M. Bruckner, Differentiation of real functions, Lecture Notes in Math. #654, Springer-Verlag (1978).
- [3] R. Fleissner and R. O'Malley, <u>Conditions implying the</u> <u>summability of approximate derivatives</u>, Colloquium Math. Vol. 41 (1979) p. 257-263.
- [4] J. Foran, <u>A chain rule for the approximate derivative and change of variables for the D-integral, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 8, No. 2 (1982-83) p. 443-454 and Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 9, No. 1 (1983-84) p. 138-140.</u>
- [5] R. O'Malley, <u>Selection derivatives</u>, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., Vol. 29 (1977) p. 77-97.
- [6] S. Saks, Sur Certaines Classes des Fonctions Continues, Fund. Math. 17 (1931) p. 124-151.
- [7] S. Saks, <u>Theory of the integral</u>, Monografic Matematyczne 7, Warszawa (1937).

Received August 30, 1984

306