

Josef Tkadlec, MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8,  
Czechoslovakia

Constructions of Some Non- $\mathcal{G}$ -porous Sets on the Real  
Line

The class of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous sets introduced by E.P.Dolzenko [1] often appears as a description of exceptional sets in case these are of measure zero and of the first category. The fact that the class of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous sets is strictly contained in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  of sets which are of measure zero and of the first category was demonstrated by L.Zajíček [4]. Since the class  $\mathcal{A}$  has the properties

- (i) if a Borel set  $A$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $A+A$  contains an interval, and
- (ii) each disjoint family of Borel sets not belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable,

it is natural to ask if these properties also hold with  $\mathcal{A}$  replaced by the class of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous sets. These problems were posed by P.D.Humke [3] and W.Wilczynski at the symposium "Real Analysis" held in August 1982 in Esztergom, Hungary. J.Foran and P.D.Humke [2] showed some "enveloping" properties of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous sets and posed a problem whether there exists a porous set contained in no  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous  $G_\delta$  set.

Here we give positive answer to the last question

and prove that the class of  $\sigma$ -porous sets has neither of the properties (i) or (ii) even for perfect sets. To construct the corresponding examples we give a general method of the construction of perfect non- $\sigma$ -porous sets, a special case of which has already been used by L. Zajíček [4] in his construction of perfect non- $\sigma$ -porous set of measure zero.

For a subset  $S$  of the real line we define the set

$$P(S) = \left\{ x \in S; \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0_+} l(S, x, \delta) / \delta > 0 \right\},$$

where  $l(S, x, \delta)$  is the length of the longest subinterval of  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$  disjoint from  $S$ . The set  $S$  is said to be porous if  $P(S) = S$  and is said to be  $\sigma$ -porous if it can be written as a countable union of porous sets. The Lebesgue measure of the set  $S$  will be denoted by  $|S|$ . By an open (closed) interval we mean any nonempty open (closed) connected subset of the real line. If  $x$  is a positive real number and  $I$  an open (closed) interval, then  $x \cdot I$  is the open (closed) interval with the same centre as  $I$  and with length  $|x \cdot I| = x \cdot |I|$ . Through the paper we will distinguish the set of positive integers and the set of natural numbers (containing the number zero).

A general method of construction of perfect non- $\sigma$ -porous sets.

Assume that

- (a)  $(k_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an arbitrary nondecreasing sequence of natural numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \infty$ , and
- (b) for every closed interval  $R$  and every positive integer  $n$ , a finite system  $\mathcal{D}_n(R)$  of closed subintervals of  $R$  is given.

For every closed interval  $R$  and every positive integer  $n$  we define the system  $\mathcal{R}_n(R)$  of closed, non-overlapping subintervals of  $R$  as follows: The set  $E$  of all those endpoints of the intervals  $2^k * D$ ,  $k=0, \dots, k_n$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$ , which belong to  $\text{Int } R$  decompose  $R$  into  $1 + \text{card } E$  closed, non-overlapping (necessarily non-degenerated) subintervals of  $R$ . The system  $\mathcal{R}_n(R)$  is the system of all such subintervals of  $R$  which are not subsets of any element of  $\mathcal{D}_n(R)$ .

Let  $a < b$  be real numbers. By induction we define systems  $\mathcal{R}_n$  of closed, non-overlapping intervals such that  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{[a, b]\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_n = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{R}_n(R); R \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \}$  for every positive integer  $n$ .

Proposition. Suppose for every positive integer  $n$  and every closed interval  $R$  the following conditions hold:

- (C1) Whenever  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$ , then  $2^{k_n+1} * D \subset R$ .
- (C2) Whenever  $k \in \{0, \dots, k_n\}$  and  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$  are such that  $2^k * D_1 \cap 2^k * D_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then there is  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R)$  such that  $(2^k * D_1) \cup (2^k * D_2) \subset (2^k * D)$ .

Then the set  $S = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup \{R; R \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$  is perfect and non-

$\mathcal{G}$ -porous.

Moreover, if the set  $S$  is nowhere dense and  $G \supset P(S)$  is a  $G_\delta$  set, then  $G$  is non- $\mathcal{G}$ -porous.

Proof. It is easy to see that  $S$  is nonempty and perfect. Hence we need only to prove the second part of the proposition. Denote

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ \text{Int } D; D \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}(R) \text{ and } R \in \mathcal{A}_n \} \setminus \{\emptyset\},$$

$$G = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m,$$

where  $G_m$  ( $m=1,2,\dots$ ) are open sets.

Assume that  $G$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous. Then there exists a sequence  $(P_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  of porous sets such that

$$G = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m \tag{1}$$

and such that for every positive integer  $m$ , for every  $x \in P_m$  and every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an open interval  $I \subset (x-\delta, x+\delta) \setminus P_m$  with  $x \in 2 * I$  (this immediately follows from [4], Theorem 4.5). We will construct a sequence  $(F_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$  of nonempty, perfect sets such that for every positive integer  $m$ ,  $F_m \cap P_m = \emptyset$  and

$F_m \subset F_{m-1} \cap G_m$ , which obviously contradicts (1). The sets  $F_m$  will be given by

$$F_m = R_m \setminus \bigcup \{ 2^m * D; D \subset R_m \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{D} \}, \tag{2}$$

where  $R_m \subset G_m$  belongs to some  $\mathcal{A}_{r_m}$  with

$$k_{r_m} \geq m+1. \quad (3)$$

From (2), (3) and the conditions (C1) and (C2) it is clear that the sets  $F_m$  will be nonempty and perfect.

Let  $r_0$  be a positive integer such that  $k_{r_0} \geq 1$  and let  $R_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_{r_0}$ . We put

$$F_0 = R_0 \setminus \bigcup \{D; D \subset R_0 \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{D}\} = R_0 \cap S.$$

Suppose now that  $m$  is a positive integer and that  $F_{m-1}$  has been already defined. The set  $S$  is nowhere dense, hence  $P(S) \cap \text{Int } R_{m-1} \cap F_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$  and we can find a positive integer  $r'_m \geq r_{m-1}$  and an  $R'_m \in \mathcal{Q}_{r'_m}$ , such that  $R'_m \subset R_{m-1} \cap G_m$  and such that the set

$$F'_m = R'_m \setminus \bigcup \{2^{m-1} * D; D \subset R'_m \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{D}\} \subset F_{m-1}$$

is nonempty and perfect. We distinguish two cases:

1)  $\overline{P}_m \not\subset F'_m$ . Then there exist a positive integer  $r_m$  and an  $R_m \in \mathcal{Q}_{r_m}$  such that (3) holds,  $R_m \subset R'_m \setminus \overline{P}_m$  and  $R_m \cap F'_m$

is infinite. We define  $F_m$  by (2).

2)  $\overline{P}_m \supset F'_m$ . Because

$$P_m \cap \text{Int } R'_m \subset \bigcup \{2 * I; I \subset R'_m \setminus P_m \text{ is an open interval}\}$$

and the components of  $R'_m \setminus F'_m$  are  $2^{m-1} * D$ , where  $D \subset R'_m$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , it follows that

$$P_m \cap \text{Int } R'_m \subset \bigcup \{2^m * D; D \subset R'_m \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

The set

$$F''_m = R'_m \setminus \bigcup \{2^m * D; D \subset R'_m \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is disjoint from  $P_m \cap \text{Int } R'_m$ , nonempty and perfect. There

exist a positive integer  $r_m$  and an  $R_m \in \mathcal{Q}_{r_m}$  such that

(3) holds,  $R_m \subset \text{Int } R'_m$  and  $R_m \cap F'_{10}$  is infinite. We define  $F_m$  by (2).

Corollary 1. There exists a porous set contained in no  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous  $G_{\mathcal{F}}$  set.

Theorem 1. There exists a perfect non- $\mathcal{G}$ -porous set  $S$  such that for every finite sequence  $(c_1, \dots, c_i)$  the set  $\sum_{j=1}^i c_j S$  is of measure zero; hence for every countable set  $C$  the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^i c_j s_j; i \text{ is a natural number, } c_j \in C \text{ and } s_j \in S \right\}$$

does not contain any interval.

Proof. First we associate with every positive integer  $n$ , every closed interval  $R=[c,d]$  and every positive integer  $N$  a system  $\mathcal{D}_n(R,N)$  of closed subintervals of  $R$  and polynomial (not depending on  $R,N$ )  $P_n$  of one variable such that  $|R \setminus \cup \mathcal{D}_n(R,N)| \leq n \cdot 3^{-N} |R|$  as follows.

Define the points  $d_m, m=0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm N, N+1$ , by

$$[d_0, d_1] = \frac{1}{2} * [c, d]$$

$$d_{-m} - c = \frac{1}{3} (d_{-m+1} - c), \quad m=1, \dots, N$$

$$d - d_m = \frac{1}{3} (d - d_{m-1}), \quad m=2, \dots, N+1$$

It follows that

$$|(c, d_{-N})| = |(d_{N+1}, d)| = \frac{1}{4} \cdot 3^{-N} |R|.$$

Hence it is possible to find in each interval  $(d_m, d_{m+1})$ ,

$m=0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm N$ , a closed subinterval such that the system  $\mathcal{D}_1(R, N)$  of all these intervals fulfils

$$|R \setminus \cup \mathcal{D}_1(R, N)| \leq 3^{-N}|R|.$$

The number of intervals in  $\mathcal{D}_1(R, N)$  is  $P_1(N)=2N+1$ .

If  $n > 1$  is a positive integer and for every closed interval  $R'$  and every positive integer  $N$  the system  $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(R', N)$  has been defined, then we define  $\mathcal{D}_n(R, N)$  as follows: For every  $D$  from  $\mathcal{D}_1(R, N)$  the endpoints of the intervals  $2^{-k} * D$ ,  $k=0, \dots, n-1$ , decompose  $D$  into  $2n-1$  non-overlapping closed subintervals. For each such subinterval  $I$  we constructed the system  $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(I, N)$ .

The system  $\mathcal{D}_n(R, N)$  is the union of all such systems  $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(I, N)$  and of the set  $\{2^{-n-1} * D; D \in \mathcal{D}_1(R, N)\}$ . Then

$$\text{card } \mathcal{D}_n(R, N) = (2N+1)(1+(2n-1)P_{n-1}(N)) = P_n(N)$$

$$|R \setminus \cup \mathcal{D}_n(R, N)| \leq (3^{-N} + (n-1)3^{-N})|R| \leq n \cdot 3^{-N}|R|$$

We select real numbers  $a < b$ , put  $k_n = n-1$  for every positive integer  $n$  and construct the set  $S$  by our construction, where we put  $\mathcal{D}_n(R) = \mathcal{D}_n(R, N_n)$  for suitable  $N_n$  such that

$$(\text{card } \mathcal{A}_n)^n \cdot |\cup \mathcal{A}_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (4)$$

for every positive integer  $n$ . This is possible, because

$$(\text{card } \mathcal{A}_n)^n \cdot |\cup \mathcal{A}_n| \leq ((2nP_n(N_n)+1)\text{card } \mathcal{A}_{n-1})^n \cdot$$

$$\cdot n 3^{-N_n} |\cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}|.$$

According to Proposition the set  $S$  is non- $\delta$ -porous.

From (4) it follows that for every positive integer  $n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{j=1}^i c_j S \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^i c_j (\cup \mathcal{A}_n) \right| = \left| \bigcup_{R_1 \in \mathcal{A}_n} \dots \bigcup_{R_i \in \mathcal{A}_n} \sum_{j=1}^i c_j R_j \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{R_1 \in \mathcal{A}_n} \dots \sum_{R_i \in \mathcal{A}_n} \sum_{j=1}^i |c_j| \cdot |R_j| \\
&\leq i \cdot \max \{ |c_j|; j=1, \dots, i \} (\text{card } \mathcal{A}_n)^i |\cup \mathcal{A}_n| \\
&\leq \frac{i}{n} \cdot \max \{ |c_j|; j=1, \dots, i \} (\text{card } \mathcal{A}_n)^{i-n},
\end{aligned}$$

hence  $\left| \sum_{j=1}^i c_j S \right| = 0$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $K$  be of the first category. Then there exists a perfect, non- $\sigma$ -porous set  $S$  of measure zero disjoint from  $K$ .

Proof. We need only to prove that for every  $F_\sigma$  set  $K$  of the first category and of full measure there exists a perfect, non- $\sigma$ -porous set  $S$  disjoint from  $K$ .

Denote  $K = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m$ , where  $F_m$  ( $m=1, 2, \dots$ ) are closed and

nowhere dense. First we associate with every positive integer  $n$ , every closed interval  $R$  such that  $K \cap \text{bdry } R = \emptyset$  and with every positive integer  $m$  a finite system

$\mathcal{D}_n(R, m)$  of closed subintervals of  $R$  such that

$$(F_m \cap R) \subset \bigcup \{ \text{Int } D; D \in \mathcal{D}_n(R, m) \} \quad (5)$$

as follows.

Because  $F_m \cap \text{bdry } R = \emptyset$  and because  $F_m$  is closed and nowhere dense, there exists a finite disjoint system

$\mathcal{D}_1(R, m)$  of closed non-degenerated subintervals of  $R$  such



that (5) holds and that  $2^k D \subset R$  whenever  $D \in \mathcal{D}_1(R, m)$ .

Because the set  $K$  is of the first category it is possible to choose the system  $\mathcal{D}_1(R, m)$  such that  $K \cap \bigcup \{ \text{bdry } 2^k D; k \text{ is an integer and } D \in \mathcal{D}_1(R, m) \} = \emptyset$ .

If  $n > 1$  is a positive integer and for every closed interval  $R'$  with  $K \cap \text{bdry } R' = \emptyset$  and for every positive integer  $m$  the system  $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(R', m)$  has been defined, then we define  $\mathcal{D}_n(R, m)$  as follows: For every  $D$  from  $\mathcal{D}_1(R, m)$  the endpoints of the intervals  $2^{-k} D$ ,  $k=0, \dots, n-1$ , decompose  $D$  into  $2n-1$  non-overlapping closed subintervals  $I_j(D)$ ,  $j=0, \dots, 2n-2$ ,  $I_0(D) = 2^{-n+1} D$ . We define

$$\mathcal{D}_n(R, m) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(I_j(D), m); j=1, \dots, 2n-2 \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{D}_1(R, m) \} \cup \{ 2^{-n+1} D; D \in \mathcal{D}_1(R, m) \} .$$

We select real numbers  $a < b$  not belonging to  $K$  and put  $k_n = n-1$  for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_n(R) = \mathcal{D}_n(R, n)$  for every closed interval  $R$  with  $K \cap \text{bdry } R = \emptyset$  and for every positive integer  $n$ . We construct the set  $S$  by our construction. It is easy to see that the conditions (C1) and (C2) hold and that for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $(\bigcup \mathcal{D}_{n+1}) \cap F_n = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $S \cap K = \emptyset$  and, according to Proposition, the set  $S$  is non- $\delta$ -porous.

Corollary 2. There exists an uncountable family of disjoint non- $\delta$ -porous perfect subsets of the real line.

Remark. By combining the constructions of  $\mathcal{D}_n(R)$

from proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 it is possible to construct the set  $S$  from Theorem 1 disjoint from a given set  $K$  of the first category.

#### References

1. E.P.Dolzenko: Boundary properties of arbitrary functions, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 31(1967), 3-14
2. J.Foran, P.D.Humke: Some set theoretic properties of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porous sets, *Real Anal. Exchange*, Vol.6, No.1(1980), 114-119
3. P.D.Humke: Some problems in need solution, *Real Anal. Exchange*, Vol.7, No.1(1981), 31-41
4. L.Zajíček: Sets of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porosity and sets of  $\mathcal{G}$ -porosity( $q$ ), *Čas. pro pěst. mat.* 101(1976), 350-359

*Received May 5, 1983.*