Aliasghar Alijani, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Baire Classification of Generalized Extreme Derivatives

Let B_{α} , respectively L, denote the family of all real Borel functions of a real variable of the class α , respectively the class of all real lebesque measurable functions of a real variable.

W. Sierpiński in [7] showed that the Dini derivatives of a function of B_{α} are in $B_{\alpha+3}$. S. Banach in [2] proved that the Dini derivatives of a bounded function of B_{α} are in $B_{\alpha+2}$. L. Misik in [4] showed that the upper, respectively lower Dini derivatives of a function of class B_{α} are upper, respectively lower semi Borel function of the class $B_{\alpha+1}$. He proved in [5] that the upper unilateral essential derivatives of a continuous function is the limit of a nondecreasing sequence of upper semi Borel functions of the class one and therefore is a lower semi Borel function of the class two. He generalized his result in [6] for $\alpha > 0$.

<u>Theorem (Sierpinski)</u>: If F is continuous on [a,b], then each of the Dini derivatives is in Baire class 2.

Proof: We prove the theorem for $D^{+}F$, a similar proof holds for $\overline{D}^{-}F$. For each positive integer n let

$$F_{n}(x) = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \left\{ \frac{F(t) - F(x)}{t - x} : x + \frac{1}{n+1} \leq t \leq x + \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

Since F is continuous, each function F_n is also continuous. It is easy to verify that $D^+F(x) = \prod_{n \to \infty} F_n(x)$. But an upper limit of a sequence of continuous functions is in Baire Class 2.

A.M. Bruckner, R. O'Malley and B.S. Thomson in [3] introduced the notion of path derivatives. Path derivative is a good setting for exact generalized

derivatives, but it is not a good setting for extreme generalized derivatives. B.S. Thomson's simple system is a better setting for <u>extreme</u> <u>generalized</u> derivatives.

<u>Definition</u>: Let $x \in R$, <u>a path leading to x</u> is a set $E_x \subset R$ such that $x \in E_x$ and x is a point of accumulation of E_x . A system of paths is a collection $E = \{E_x : x \in R\}$ such that E_x is a path leading to x.

<u>Definition</u>: Let F : R \rightarrow R, E = {E_x : x \in R} be a system of paths then Fⁱ_E(x) = $\lim_{y \neq x} \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{y - x}$ when the limit exist. $y \in E_x$

$$\overline{F}_{E}(x) = \frac{\overline{\lim}}{y + x} \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{y - x}$$
$$y \in E_{x}$$

In the case of extreme path derivatives we would like to imitate Sierpinski's proof, so we face two problems. The first problem is that if we define $F_n(x) = \sup \{ \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{y - x} : y \in E_x \cap [x + \frac{1}{n + 1}, x + \frac{1}{n}] \}$ then $E_x \cap [x + \frac{1}{n + 1}, x + \frac{1}{n}]$ might be empty, so in that case what should we define for $F_n(x)$? The second problem is that E_x and E_y might behave totally different when x and y are very close, so even for a continuous function it is possible to find a system of paths such that F_F^i is not in L.

<u>Definition</u>: Let $E = \{E_x : x \in [0,1]\}$ be a system of paths, each of E_x is compact. If the function $E : x \to E_x$ is a continuous function we say E is a continuous system of paths. (E with Hausdorff's metric forms a metric space).

<u>Lemma</u>: Let $E = \{E_x : x \in [0,1]\}$ be a continuous system of paths then

there exist a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $a_n > 0$ for all n. a_n decreasingly tends to zero, and $E_x \cap [x + a_{n+1}, x + a_{n-1}] \neq \emptyset$ for all x.

<u>Theorem 1</u>: Let $F : [0,1] \rightarrow R$ be continuous, $E = \{E_x : x \in [0,1]\}$ be a continuous system of paths, then

- 1) If F is E-differentiable $F'_E \in B_1$.
- 2) $\overline{F}_{E} \in B_{2}$.

<u>Proof</u>: Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence as in lemma, then we define $\overline{F}_n(x) = \sup \{\frac{F(y) - F(x)}{y - x} : y \in E_x \cap [x + a_{n+1}, x + a_{n-1}]\}$ $\underline{F}_n(x) = \sup \{\frac{F(y) - F(x)}{y - x} : y \in E_x \cap (x + a_{n+1}, x + a_{n-1})\}$

then $\overline{F}_{n}(x)$ is an upper semicontinuous function, and $\underline{F}_{n}(x)$ is a lower semicontinuous function. Since $E_{x} \cap (x + a_{n+1}, x + a_{n-1}) \cap (x + a_{n+2}, x + a_{n}) \neq \emptyset$, then the points lost by $\underline{F}_{n}(x)$ are picked by $\underline{F}_{n+1}(x)$. Let $g_{n}(x) = \min(\overline{F}_{n}(x), \overline{F}_{n+1}(x), \overline{F}_{n-1}(x))$

$$\begin{split} h_n(x) &= \max \ (\underline{F}_n(x), \ \underline{F}_{n+1}(x), \ \underline{F}_{n-1}(x)) \\ g_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(x) \quad \text{are upper semicontinuous and lower semicontinuous} \\ \text{respectively, and} \quad g_n(x) \leq h_n(x). \quad \text{So there is a continuous function} \\ P_n(x) \quad \text{such that} \quad g_n(x) \leq P_n(x) \leq h_n(x) \end{split}$$

- 1) when F is E-differentiable $F'_{E}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{n}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_{n}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{n}(x)$ so $F'_{E} \in B_{1}$.
- 2) $\overline{F}_{E}(x) = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} g_{n}(x) = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} h_{n}(x) = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} p_{n}(x)$ so $\overline{F}_{E} \in B_{2}$.

Similar results hold under certain uniform nonporosity assumptions, but fail to hold without uniform nonporosity.

Example: There is a continuous function $F : [0,1] \rightarrow R$ and a nonporous

system of paths $E = \{E_x : x \in [0,1]\}$ such that F'_E exist everywhere (possibly infinite) but $F'_F(x)$ is not Borel.

<u>Theorem 2</u>: Let F : $[0,1] \rightarrow R$ be in B_a and E = {E_x : x $\in [0,1]$ } be a continuous system of paths.

- 1) If F'_E exist, then F'_E is in $B_{\alpha+2}$.
- 2) \overline{F}_{F} is in L.

For a detailed proof see [1].

- [1] Alijani-Koopaei, A., Doctoral Research, Department of Mathematics, U.C.S.B., in preparation.
- [2] Banach, S. Sur les fonctions dérivees des fonctions measurable, Fund. Math, 3, 1921, 128-132.
- [3] A.M. Bruckner, R. O'Malley, B.S. Thomson, Path Derivatives : A Unified View of Certain Generalized Derivatives, to appear in Transactions of the A.M.S.
- [4] L. Misik, L.:Halbborelsche Funktionen Und extreme Ableitungen, Math. Slov. 27, 1977, 409-421.
- [5] Misik, L. : Extreme essential Unilateral derivatives of continuous functions, Commen. Math. 21, 1978, 235-238.
- [6] Misik, L., Extreme Essential Deriviatives of Borel and Lebesque Measurable Functions, Math. Slov. 29, 1979, No. 1, 25-38.
- [7] Sierpiński, W., Surles Fonctions Derivees des Fonctions Discontinues, Fund. Math, 3, 1921, 123-127.