

Bernd Kirchheim, Institute of applied mathematics, Comenius University,  
842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia, (e-mail: kirchheim@fmph.uniba.sk)

## DESCRIPTIVE MAPPING PROPERTIES OF TYPICAL CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

### Abstract

We show that a typical continuous real function generates all analytic sets as image of  $G_\delta$ -sets and all Borel sets as injective images of  $G_\delta$ -sets.

In this note we answer a question posed by G. Petruska during the K&K-seminar in Salzburg, October 93.

**Problem 1** *Is it true that for typical real valued continuous functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  there always exists a  $G_\delta$ -set whose  $f$ -image is not a Borel set?*

The affirmative answer is contained in the following stronger result.

**Theorem 2** *For typical continuous  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  and for any analytic set  $A \subset f([0, 1])$  there exists a  $G_\delta$ -set  $M$  with  $f(M) = A$ . Moreover, in the same situation each Borel subset of  $f([0, 1])$  is an injective  $f$ -image of some  $G_\delta$ -set.*

The proof is based on the standard idea to represent analytic sets as projections of  $G_\delta$  plane sets and to use squarefilling Peano curves. However, since a typical curve is not squarefilling (e.g. the image has Hausdorff dimension one), we have to proceed more carefully. Before proving the Theorem we need two auxiliary results.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $F \subset X$  closed and  $M \subset X \setminus F$ . If for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\{x \in M ; \text{dist}(x, F) > \varepsilon\}$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ , then  $M$  itself is a  $G_\delta$ -set.*

PROOF. We denote  $U_0 = \{x ; \text{dist}(x, F) > 1\}$  and

$$U_i = \left\{ x ; \frac{1}{i + \frac{4}{3}} < \text{dist}(x, F) < \frac{1}{i - \frac{1}{3}} \right\} \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

---

Key Words: analytic and Borel sets, continuous and injective image, typical continuous function and Peano curves

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 28A05, 26A99

Received by the editors April 12, 1994

Since  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i = X \setminus F$ , we need only to show that  $M \cap V$ ,  $M \cap W$  and  $M \cap U_0$  are  $G_\delta$ -sets, where  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{2i}$  and  $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{2i-1}$ . Obviously,  $U_0 \cap M$  is  $G_\delta$  due to the assumption. Moreover, also for each  $i \geq 1$   $M \cap U_i = U_i \cap \left\{ x \in M ; \text{dist}(x, F) > \frac{1}{i+\frac{1}{3}} \right\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Hence we find open sets  $G_i^j \subset U_i$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , with  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_i^j = M \cap U_i$ . Since  $U_i \cap U_{i'} = \emptyset$  for  $|i - i'| \geq 2$ , we see that both  $V \cap M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{2i}^j = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{2i}^j$  and  $W \cap M = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{2i-1}^j$  are  $G_\delta$ -sets.  $\square$

The next statement showing that a typical function is essentially the  $x$ -coordinate of a squarefilling curve, is the "heart" of the paper.

**Proposition 4** *Let  $D, E$  be dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for typical continuous  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $C \subset [0, 1]$  and continuous  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \{(f(x), g(x)) ; x \in C\} = [\min f([0, 1]) + \varepsilon, \max f([0, 1]) - \varepsilon] \times [0, 1]$$

and that the map

$$(2) \quad t \rightarrow (f(t), g(t)) \text{ is injective on } C \setminus (f^{-1}(D) \cup g^{-1}(E)).$$

**PROOF.** We use the Banach-Mazur game, see [1], to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the family  $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$  of all  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  for which there is a  $C \subset [0, 1]$  compact and a  $g \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], [0, 1])$  fulfilling (1) and (2) is residual.

The following two simple observations (whose proofs are left to the reader) will be used during the game:

- a) Let  $K, I$  be compact intervals,  $f$  continuous on  $K$  with  $f(K) \supset I$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Assume  $\max I, \min I \in D$ . Then for any  $N$  and  $\delta > 0$  (we can always assume  $\delta \ll \varepsilon$ ) there is a function  $f' \in U(f, \varepsilon)$ , a  $\delta$ -fine division  $\mathfrak{J}$  of  $I$  having all dividing points in  $D$  and there are mutually disjoint closed subintervals  $K_{I',i}$  of  $\text{int } K$  (for  $I' \in \mathfrak{J}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ), such that  $f'(K_{I',i}) \supset U(I', \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$  and  $|K_{I',i}| < \delta$  holds for any of these intervals.
- b) Let  $\{K_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$  be a finite family of mutually disjoint closed intervals, and let  $g$  be a continuous function with  $g(K_\alpha) \subset J$  for some interval  $J$  and all  $\alpha$ . Whenever nonvoid  $J_\alpha \subset J$  are selected for all  $\alpha \in A$ , then we find a continuous  $g'$  such that  $\|g - g'\| \leq |J|$  and that always  $g'(K_\alpha) \subset J_\alpha$ .

Now, start the game and let us be given the answer  $U_1 \subset \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  of the first move of player **A**. We find  $f_1 \in U_1$ ,  $k_1$  with  $2^{-k_1} < \varepsilon$  and  $U(f_1, 2^{-k_1}) \subset U_1$ . We choose  $m \in D \cap [\min f_1([0, 1]), \min f_1([0, 1]) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}]$ ,  $M \in (\max f_1([0, 1]) -$

$\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \max f_1([0, 1])$ , and  $(2^{-k_1})$ -fine divisions  $\mathfrak{I}_1, \mathfrak{J}_1$  of  $[m, M]$  and  $[0, 1]$  having all dividing points in  $D$  and  $E$ , respectively. According to the observations we can select mutually disjoint closed intervals  $K_{I,J}$ ,  $(I, J) \in \mathfrak{I}_1 \times \mathfrak{J}_1$ , not longer than one and contained in  $\text{int}[0, 1]$ , and functions  $f_2 \in U(f_1, 2^{-k_1-2})$ ,  $g_2 \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], [0, 1])$  such that for any pair  $(I, J) \in \mathfrak{I}_1 \times \mathfrak{J}_1$  both  $f_2(K_{I,J}) \supset U(I, 2^{-k_1-2})$  and  $g_2(K_{I,J}) \subset J$  hold. Finally, we return our answer  $U_2 = U_1 \cap U(f_2, 2^{-k_1-3})$  to player A.

Next, we consider the answer  $U_3$  of A. Choose  $k_2 > k_1 + 2$  and  $f_3$  with  $U(f_3, 2^{-k_2}) \subset U_3$ . Since  $f_3 \in U_2$ , the inclusion  $f_3(K_{I,J}) \supset U(I, 2^{-k_1-3})$  holds for any  $(I, J) \in \mathfrak{I}_1 \times \mathfrak{J}_1$ . We put  $g_3 = g_2$  and find  $\mathfrak{I}_2, \mathfrak{J}_2$   $(2^{-k_2})$ -fine divisions refining  $\mathfrak{I}_1$  resp.  $\mathfrak{J}_1$  and again having all the endpoints of the corresponding subintervals in  $D$  and  $E$ , respectively. Oncemore applying the observations we can select  $f_4 \in U(f_3, 2^{-k_2})$ , and a map  $g_4 \in U(g_3, 2^{-k_1})$  into  $[0, 1]$ , and mutually disjoint closed intervals  $K_{I,J}$  for  $(I, J) \in \mathfrak{I}_2 \times \mathfrak{J}_2$  of length at most  $1/2$  such that  $K_{I,J} \subset \text{int}(K_{I',J'})$  if  $I \subset I' \in \mathfrak{I}_1, J \subset J' \in \mathfrak{J}_1$  and that  $f_4(K_{I,J}) \supset U(I, 2^{-k_2-2})$ ,  $g_4(K_{I,J}) \subset J$  for any pair from these second divisions. Then we return  $U_4 = U_3 \cap U(f_4, 2^{-k_2-3})$ .

We continue the game in this way. All we need to show is that for any  $f \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$  there are  $g$  and  $C$  fulfilling (1), (2). The way we played the game ensures that  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  and also that the  $g_n$ 's form a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{C}([l, \infty], [l, \infty])$ . Denote its limit by  $g$ . It is obvious that  $\max f \leq M + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 2^{-k_1-3} < M + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$  and analogous  $\min f > m - \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$ . We also put

$$\tilde{K}_{I,J} = K_{I,J} \cap (f, g)^{-1}(I \times J)$$

for any  $(I, J)$  in some  $\mathfrak{I}_n \times \mathfrak{J}_n$ , and define

$$\tilde{C} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{(I,J) \in \mathfrak{I}_n \times \mathfrak{J}_n} \tilde{K}_{I,J}.$$

We claim that always  $\{(f(t), g(t)) ; t \in \tilde{K}_{I,J}\} = I \times J$ , by compactness and monotonicity this implies also (1). To verify the claim it suffices to show that for any  $n \geq 1, x \in I, y \in J$  with  $(I, J) \in \mathfrak{I}_n \times \mathfrak{J}_n$ , and  $\delta$  positive there is a  $t \in K_{I,J}$  with  $|f(t) - x| + |g(t) - y| < \delta$ . For this purpose, we fix  $N \geq n$  with  $2^{-k_{N-1}+3} < \delta$  and  $(I', J') \in \mathfrak{I}_N \times \mathfrak{J}_N$  such that  $(x, y) \in I' \times J'$ . Hence,  $I' \times J' \subset I \times J$  and  $K_{I',J'} \subset K_{I,J}$ . Observe that  $\|f - f_{2N}\| \leq 2^{-k_N-3}$ , and  $\|g - g_{2N}\| \leq \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} 2^{-k_{i-1}} \leq 2^{-k_{N-1}+1}$ . Hence, for  $K = K_{I',J'}$  we have  $g(K) \subset U(g_{2N}(K), 2^{-k_{N-1}+1}) \subset U(y, 2^{-k_N} + 2^{-k_{N-1}+1}) \subset U(y, \frac{\delta}{2})$ . Moreover, we know that there is  $t \in K$  satisfying  $f_{2N}(t) = x$ , hence  $|f(t) - x| \leq 2^{-k_N-3} < \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $|f(t) - x| + |g(t) - y| < \delta$ .

Now (2) follows easily. Indeed, let different  $t, t' \in \tilde{C} \setminus (f^{-1}(D) \cup g^{-1}(E))$ . be given. Since the maximal length of the  $K_{I,J}$  goes to zero, we find an  $n$  and

two different pairs  $(I, J), (I', J') \in \mathfrak{I}_n \times \mathfrak{I}_n$  such that  $t \in \tilde{K}_{I,J}$  and  $t' \in \tilde{K}_{I',J'}$ . Hence,  $f(t) \in \text{int } I, f(t') \in \text{int } I', g(t) \in \text{int } J,$  and  $g(t') \in \text{int } J'$ . But this implies that  $(f, g)(t) \neq (f, g)(t')$ . Hence, we can choose  $C$  to be an appropriate subset of  $\tilde{C}$ .  $\square$

So we can turn to the

PROOF of Theorem 2. We denote  $m = \min f([0, 1]), M = \max f([0, 1])$  and  $F = f^{-1}(\{m, M\})$ . Now let  $A$  be any analytic set contained in the range of  $f$ , obviously we can restrict to the case  $A \subset (m, M)$ . We decompose  $A$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  into the analytic sets

$$A_k = \{t \in A ; \tan(\pi(\frac{f(t) - m}{M - m} - \frac{1}{2})) \in [k, k + 1)\}.$$

Therefore, we can always choose plane  $G_\delta$ -sets  $S_k$  contained in  $A_k \times [0, 1]$  with  $A_k = \text{proj}_x(S_k)$ . Now Proposition 4 ensures the existence of a continuous map  $g_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that the range of the map  $h_k(t) = (f(t), g_k(t))$  contains the whole set  $S_k$  (and even its convex hull). Therefore, the  $G_\delta$ -set  $G_k = h_k^{-1}(S_k) \subset [0, 1]$  is mapped onto  $A_k$  by  $f$ . So we are done if we prove that  $G = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_k$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set again. Obviously,  $G \cap F = \emptyset$ . Further, compactness easily implies that for any  $\varepsilon$  positive  $\{x \in G ; \text{dist}(x, F) > \varepsilon\}$  is an open subset of some finite union of  $G_k$ 's, and consequently also an  $G_\delta$ -set. Hence, an application of Lemma 3 finishes the first part of the proof.

In the second part, let  $B \subset (m, M)$  be any Borel set. Obviously, it suffices to proof that  $B^1 = B \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  as well as  $B^2 = B \cap \mathbb{Q}$  are injective  $f$  images of some  $G_\delta$ -sets. We define the  $B_k^1$ 's and  $B_k^2$ 's analogously to the  $A_k$ 's. Since these sets form a partition of  $B$ , again it suffices to show that each single  $B_k^i$  is of the desired kind, i.e. is an injective  $f$ -image of some  $G_\delta$ -set  $G_k^i$ . For  $i = 1$  we set  $D = \mathbb{Q}$ , for  $i = 2$   $D = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $E = \mathbb{Q}$  in both cases. Because  $B_k^i$  is Borel, there is a relatively closed subset  $S_k^i$  of  $[0, 1] \times ([0, 1] \setminus E)$  which is under the  $x$ -projection injectively mapped onto  $B_k^i$ . Obviously,  $S_k^i$  is of type  $G_\delta$  in the plane and according to Proposition 4 there is a compact set  $C \in [0, 1]$  and a continuous  $g : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $(f, g)(C) \supset S_k^i$ . Moreover, the  $G_\delta$ -set  $G_k^i = C \cap (f, g)^{-1}(S_k^i)$  is disjoint with  $f^{-1}(D) \cup g^{-1}(E)$ . From (2) we know that  $(f, g)$  maps this set injectively onto  $S_k^i$ . Since  $f = \text{proj}_x \circ (f, g)$ , we are done.

### References

[1] J.Oxtoby, *The Banach-Mazur Game and Banach Category Theorem*, Contributions to the Theory of Games (ed. Dresher, Tucker, Wolfe), Ann. Math. Studies **39**, p. 159-163.