

Tomasz Natkaniec,\* Mathematical Institute WSP, Chodkiewicza 30, 85–064  
Bydgoszcz, Poland (e-mail: wspb11@pltumk11.bitnet)

## ON ITERATIONS OF DARBOUX FUNCTIONS

### Abstract

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is weakly connected and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is an  $n_x$  with  $f^{n_x}(x) = 1$  then  $f(1) = 1$ . The analogous result holds for any Darboux function  $f$  for which the set of all  $n_x$  is bounded above.

Let us establish some terminology to be used. Denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  the sets of reals and rationals, respectively, and by  $\mathbf{I}$  the unit interval. We shall consider only real-valued functions of a real variable. No distinction is made between a function and its graph. Let:

$\mathcal{C}$  – denote the class of all continuous functions;

$\text{Conn}$  – denote the class of all connected functions (i.e., functions whose graphs are connected in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ );

$\text{Conn}_w$  – denote the class of all weakly connected functions. A function  $f$  is said to be *weakly connected* if for every interval  $J$ ,  $f|J$  can be separated by no continuous function  $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (that is,  $f \cap h = \emptyset$  implies that  $f$  intersects no more than one component of  $(J \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus h$  [3]);

$\mathcal{D}$  – denote the class of Darboux functions, (i.e., functions which have the intermediate value property).

Obviously  $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Conn} \subset \text{Conn}_w \subset \mathcal{D}$ .

**Proposition 1** *For every  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i)  $A$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;

---

Key Words: Darboux function, weakly connected function, quasi-continuous function, Lusin condition (N), strong measure zero set, fixed point

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 26A18. Secondary 26A15, 47H10  
Received by the editors March 14, 1994

\*Supported by KBN Research Grant 1992-94, 2 1144 91 01.

(ii) if  $f$  is a weakly connected function such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f^n(x) \in A$ , then  $f(x) = x$  for some  $x \in A$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $a = \inf(A)$  and  $b = \sup(A)$ . Note that  $f(b) \leq b$  and  $f(a) \geq a$ . Indeed, suppose  $f(b) > b$ . Since  $f^n(b) \leq b$  for some  $n > 1$ ,  $f(x) \leq b$  for some  $x > b$ . So  $f|(b, x)$  intersects the identity function and therefore  $f(t) = t$  for some  $t \notin A$ . Consequently,  $f^n(t) \notin A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction. Analogously we can verify that  $f(a) \geq a$ . Since  $f$  is weakly connected, it intersects the identity and therefore  $f(x) = x$  for some  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since  $f(x) = x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x$  is in  $A$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume that  $A$  is unbounded above and  $(a_n)_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence in  $A$  such that  $\lim_n a_n = \infty$ . Set  $b_{n,m} = a_n + (1 - 2^{-m})(a_{n+1} - a_n)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } x \leq a_0, \\ a_{n+1} & \text{if } x \in [a_n, b_{n,1}], n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \text{linear} & \text{if } x \in [b_{n,1}, a_{n+1}], n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $f$  is continuous. Fix  $x \geq a_0$ . Then  $x \in [b_{n,m}, b_{n,m+1})$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f^{m+1}(x) = a_{n+m+1}$ . Moreover,  $f(x) > x$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1** If  $f$  is weakly connected and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f^n(x) = 1$ , then  $f(1) = 1$ .

The analogous result does not hold for all Darboux functions.

**Proposition 2** There exists a Darboux function  $f$  such that

- (1)  $f(1) \neq 1$ ,
- (2) for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f^n(x) = 1$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable base of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Arrange all elements of the set  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}$  in a sequence  $(I_\alpha, y_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$ . For every  $\alpha < 2^\omega$  choose an

$$x_\alpha \in I_\alpha \setminus (\{x_\beta, y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cup \{0, 1\} \cup \{y_\alpha\}).$$

Put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ y_\alpha & \text{if } x = x_\alpha, \alpha < 2^\omega \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously  $f$  is Darboux and  $f(1) \neq 1$ . We shall verify the condition (2). Evidently, it is sufficient to consider only  $x \in Z = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$ . Suppose

that  $f^n(x_\alpha) \neq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f^n(x_\alpha) \in Z$  for all  $n$ . Set  $f^n(x_\alpha) = x_{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $x_{\alpha_1} = f(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha$ , so  $\alpha_1 < \alpha$  and  $x_{\alpha_{n+1}} = f(x_{\alpha_n}) = y_{\alpha_n}$ , so  $\alpha_{n+1} < \alpha_n$  for each  $n$ . Consequently,  $(\alpha_n)_n$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of ordinals, which is impossible.  $\square$

**Remarks:** 1. Since every Darboux function in the first class of Baire is connected [4], then no such function fulfills (1) and (2). We are unable to determine whether or not there exists a Borel measurable Darboux function which fulfills (1) and (2).

2. Using the analogous arguments and standard methods of the construction of quasi-continuous functions possessing the Darboux property (see, e.g., [5]) we can construct a measurable quasi-continuous Darboux function which fulfills (1) and (2). Indeed, let  $C$  be the Cantor ternary set,  $\mathcal{J}_n$  be the family of all components of the set  $\mathbb{I} \setminus C$  of the  $n$ -th order (i.e. whose length is equal to  $3^{-n}$ ),  $C_0 = C \setminus \{\text{cl}(J) : J \in \mathcal{J}_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Arrange all rationals in a sequence  $(t_n)_n$ . Let  $(q_n)_n$  and  $(k_n)_n$  be sequences of rationals and of positive integers, respectively, defined as follows:

(1)  $q_1 = t_1$  and  $k_1 = 2$ ;

(2)

$$q_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{J}_{n+1} \neq \emptyset, \\ t_{k_n} & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

(3)  $k_{n+1} = \min\{k : t_k \neq q_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n+1\}$ .

Observe that for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $q = q_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote a countable base of  $C_0$ . Arrange all elements of the set  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}$  in a sequence  $(J_\alpha, y_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$ . For every  $\alpha < 2^\omega$  choose an

$$x_\alpha \in J_\alpha \setminus (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{x_\beta, y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cup \{y_\alpha\})$$

and put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ y_\alpha & \text{for } x = x_\alpha, \alpha < 2^\omega, \\ q_n & \text{for } x \in \bigcup \{\text{cl}(J) : J \in \mathcal{J}_n, q_n \notin J\}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  has all desired properties.

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal of boundary subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We shall say that a function  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -conservative whenever  $f(A) \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Proposition 3** *Assume that  $f$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -conservative Darboux function,  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists an  $i \leq n$  with  $f^i(x) \in A$ . Then there exists exactly one  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = a$ .*

PROOF. We can assume that  $n = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \forall(x \in \mathbb{R}) \exists(i \leq k) f^i(x) \in A\}$  and  $A \subset f(\mathbb{R})$ . Put  $A_0 = A$  and  $A_i = \{x : f^i(x) \in A\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ ,  $f(A_i) \subset A_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $A_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is Darboux,  $f^i$  is Darboux for every  $i$ . Hence  $J_i = f^i(\mathbb{R})$  is an interval for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Observe that  $J_1 = f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i$  and  $A \subset J_1$ ;  $J_2 = f(J_1) \subset f(A) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-2} A_i$  and  $f(A) \subset J_2$ ;  $\dots$ ;  $J_n = f(J_{n-1}) \subset (A \cup f(A) \dots f^{n-1}(A))$  and  $f^{n-1}(A) \subset J_n$ . Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -conservative,  $J_n$  is a boundary set and consequently, it is a singleton. Let  $J_n = \{a_0\}$ . Clearly,  $A \cap J_n \neq \emptyset$ , so  $a_0 \in A$  and  $f^{n-1}(a_0) = a_0$ . Hence  $a_0 \in J_{n-1}$ ,  $f(a_0) \in J_n$  and consequently,  $f(a_0) = a_0$ .

Now suppose  $f(a) = a$  for some  $a \in A$ . Then  $a = f^n(a) = a_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *Assume that  $f$  is a Darboux function,  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{card}(A) < 2^\omega$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists an  $i \leq n$  such that  $f^i(x) \in A$ . Then  $f(a) = a$  for exactly one  $a \in A$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the ideal of all Lebesgue measure zero sets. We say that a function  $f$  satisfies the *Lusin condition (N)* iff  $f$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -conservative. It is well-known (and easy to obtain) that every Lipschitz function satisfies the condition (N). ( $f$  is a *Lipschitz* function if there exists an  $L > 0$  with  $|f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \leq L|x_1 - x_0|$  for all  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .)

**Corollary 3** *Assume that  $f$  is a Darboux function which satisfies the condition (N),  $A \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists an  $i \leq n$  with  $f^i(x) \in A$ . Then  $f(a) = a$  for exactly one  $a \in A$ .*

$A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called to be a *strong measure zero set* iff given any sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_n$  of positive numbers,  $A$  can be covered by a sequence of sets  $(A_n)_n$  with  $\text{diam}(A_n) < \varepsilon_n$  (where  $\text{diam}(A)$  denotes the diameter of  $A$ ) [1]. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the class of all strong measure zero sets. Recall that  $f(A)$  is strong measure zero whenever  $f$  is continuous and  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  [6].

**Corollary 4** *Assume that  $f$  is continuous,  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists an  $i \leq n$  such that  $f^i(x) \in A$ . Then  $f(a) = a$  for exactly one  $a \in A$ .*

Note that there exist Darboux functions  $f$  with  $f^2(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  which are discontinuous.

Though in Proposition 1 the assumption of boundedness of  $A$  cannot be dropped, we have the following:

**Proposition 4** *Assume that  $f$  is a Darboux function and for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f^n(x) = x$ . Then either  $f = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$  (where  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the identity on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) or  $f$  is continuous and decreasing with  $f = f^{-1}$ .*

PROOF. First note that  $f$  is injective. Indeed, suppose  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  for some  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Set  $n_1 = \min\{n : f^n(x_1) = x_1\}$  and  $n_2 = \min\{n : f^n(x_2) = x_2\}$ . If  $n_1 = n_2$  then  $x_1 = x_2$ . So we may assume that  $n_1 < n_2$ . Let  $n_2 = kn_1 + r$ , where  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq r < n_1$ . Then  $x_2 = f^{n_2}(x_2) = f^{n_2}(x_1) = f^r((f^{n_1})^k(x_1)) = f^r(x_1) = f^r(x_2)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $f$  is continuous (see, e.g., [2]) and monotonic. We consider two cases.

(1.)  $f$  is increasing. Fix an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f^n$  is increasing and (by induction)  $f^n(x) > x$  whenever  $f(x) > x$  and  $f^n(x) < x$  whenever  $f(x) < x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $f(x) = x$  and  $f = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

(2.)  $f$  is decreasing. Fix an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and put  $x_1 = f(x)$ . We shall verify that  $f(x_1) = x$ . Obviously it is sufficient to consider that  $x_1 \neq x$ , so either  $x < x_1$  or  $x_1 < x$ .

(2.1.) Assume  $x < x_1$  and suppose that  $f(x_1) \neq x$ , so either  $f(x_1) < x$  or  $f(x_1) > x$ .

(2.1.1.) Suppose  $f(x_1) < x$ . We can prove by induction that  $f^n(x) > x$  when  $n$  is odd and  $f^n(x) < x$  when  $n$  is even. In fact, this is true for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . Assume that  $f^{2n+1}(x) > x$  and  $f^{2n+2}(x) < x$ . Then  $f^{2n+3}(x) > f(x) = x_1 > x$ . Moreover,  $f^{2n+4}(x) < f(x_1) < x$ , which completes the induction. Thus  $f^n(x) \neq x$  for all positive integer  $n$ , a contradiction.

(2.1.2.) Let  $f(x_1) > x$ . We verify by induction that  $x < f^n(x) < x_1$  for all  $n > 1$ , which contradicts the assumption on  $f$ .

Therefore  $f(x_1) = x$  if  $x < x_1$ .

(2.2.) Now suppose that  $x_1 < x$ . Since  $f$  is decreasing,  $f(f(x)) > f(x)$ . Then, by (2.1.),  $f^3(x) = f(x)$ . Since  $f$  is injective,  $f^2(x) = x$ , so  $f(x_1) = x$ .

□

## References

- [1] E. Borel, *Sur la classification des ensembles de mesure nulle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1919), 97–125.
- [2] A. M. Bruckner and J. G. Ceder, *Darboux continuity*, Jber. Deut. Math. Ver. **67** (1965), 93–117.
- [3] A. M. Bruckner and J. G. Ceder, *On jumping functions by connected sets*, Czech. Math. J. **22** (1972), 435–448.
- [4] K. Kuratowski and W. Sierpiński, *Les fonctions de classe 1 et les ensembles connexes punctiformes*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 303–313.

- [5] T. Natkaniec, *On quasi-continuous functions having Darboux property*, *Math. Pannonica* **3** (1992), 81–96.
- [6] E. Szpilrajn-Marczewski, *Sur une hypothese de M. Borel*, *Fund. Math.* **15** (1930), 126–127.