

Genowefa Rzepecka and Władysław Wilczyński, Łódź University, ul. Stefana Banacha 22, Łódź 90-238 Poland (email: wwil@PLUNLO51.BITNET)

## ON THE TRANSFORMATIONS OF MEASURABLE SETS AND SETS WITH THE BAIRE PROPERTY

It is well known (see, e.g., [1], p. 901), that if  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence tending to zero and  $A \subset [0, 1]$  is a measurable set, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A \Delta (A + x_n)) = 0$ , i.e. the sequence of characteristic functions of the sets  $(A + x_n)$  converges in measure to a characteristic function of the set  $A$ . In [4] it was shown that for a set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  with the Baire property the situation is even better: the sequence of characteristic functions of the sets  $(A + x_n)$  converges to a characteristic function of the set  $A$  except on a set of the first category. Also in [4] one can find an example showing that for measurable sets the convergence in measure cannot in general be improved to the convergence almost everywhere.

This paper is a continuation of [4]. We shall study the behaviour of the sequence of images  $f_n(A)$  of a set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  when the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of continuous strictly increasing functions converges uniformly to the identity function (id).

Our first theorem together with its proof is completely analogous to theorem 2 in [4]. However, we shall present it below because: it is short, it is published in Russian and there is a possibility that this Georgian journal is not easily available now.

**Theorem 1** *If a set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  has the Baire property and  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of continuous strictly increasing functions convergent uniformly to the identity function, then the sequence of characteristic functions of the sets  $f_n(A)$  converges to a characteristic function of the set  $A$  except on a set of the first category.*

**PROOF.** In the sequel  $\chi_E$  will denote the characteristic functions of a set  $E$ . The set  $A$  can be represented in the following way:  $A = (G \cup Y) \setminus Z$ , where  $G$

---

Key Words: sequences of homeomorphisms, images of measurable sets.  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A48; Secondary: 26A46, 40A99, 28A20, 28A33  
Received by the editors December 14, 1993

is an open set and  $Y, Z$  are sets of the first category. Put

$$P = Fr(G) \cup Y \cup Z \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(Y) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(Z),$$

where  $Fr(G)$  is a boundary of  $G$ . Observe that  $P$  is a set of the first category. We shall show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{f_n(A)}(x) = \chi_A$  for each  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus P$ . Indeed, let  $x \in ([0, 1] \setminus P) \cap A$ . We have  $\chi_A(x) = 1$ . Obviously  $x \in G$ . Hence for sufficiently large  $n$  we have also  $x \in f_n(G)$ . For all  $n$ ,  $x \notin f_n(Z)$ , so for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in f_n(G) \cup f_n(Y) \setminus f_n(Z) = f_n(A)$  and finally  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{f_n(A)}(x) = 1 = \chi_A(x)$ . Suppose now that  $x \in ([0, 1] \setminus P) \setminus A$ . We have  $\chi_A(x) = 0$ . Since  $x \notin Fr(G)$ , we have  $x \notin f_n(G)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Also  $x \notin f_n(Y)$  for all  $n$ , so  $x \notin f_n(G) \cup f_n(Y)$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $x \notin f_n(G) \cup f_n(Y) \setminus f_n(Z) = f_n(A)$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{f_n(A)}(x) = 0 = \chi_A(x)$ .

Now we shall study the case of a measurable set  $A \subset [0, 1]$ . Recall that each increasing function  $f$  can be represented as the sum of an absolutely continuous increasing function  $g$  and a singular increasing function  $h$ . This is known as a Lebesgue decomposition of a function  $f$  (cf. [2], theorem 8.13, p. 357). We shall always suppose additionally that  $h(0) = 0$ . The following lemma will be very useful in the proof of the main theorem:

**Lemma 1** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing continuous function and  $f = g + h$  its Lebesgue decomposition with  $g$  is absolutely continuous,  $h$  singular and  $h(0) = 0$ . If  $B \subset \{x \in [0, 1] : -\infty < f'(x) = g'(x) < +\infty\}$  is a measurable set such that  $\lambda(B) = 1$  and  $E = [0, 1] \setminus B$ , then*

$$\lambda(f(E)) = \lambda(h(E)) = h(1).$$

**PROOF.** It is well known that if some function  $F$  has a finite derivative on a measurable set  $D$ , then  $F(D)$  is also measurable and  $\lambda(F(D)) \leq \int_D |F'(x)| dx$  (see e.g., [2], theorem 8.7 p. 355 and theorem 8.10 p. 356). Hence  $\lambda(h(B)) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(h([0, 1])) &= h(1) - h(0) = h(1) \leq \\ \lambda(h(B)) + \lambda(h(E)) &= \lambda(h(E)) \leq \lambda(h([0, 1])), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\lambda(h(E)) = h(1)$ . To prove that  $\lambda(f(E)) = \lambda(h(E))$  observe first that  $f(B) \cap f(E) = \emptyset$  and  $f([0, 1]) = f(B) \cup f(E)$ , so both sets are measurable. Further, from the absolute continuity of  $g$  and from the fact that  $\lambda(B) = 1$  we

conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) - f(0) &= \lambda(f([0, 1])) = \lambda(f(B)) + \lambda(f(E)) \\ &\leq \int_B f'(x) dx + \lambda(f(E)) = \int_B g'(x) dx + \lambda(f(E)) \\ &= \lambda(g(B)) + \lambda(f(E)) = g(1) - g(0) + \lambda(f(E)). \end{aligned}$$

Simultaneously,  $f(1) - f(0) = g(1) - g(0) + h(1)$  so  $\lambda(h(E)) = h(1) \leq \lambda(f(E))$ .

Now let  $G \supset f(B)$  be an arbitrary open set. Then  $f^{-1}(G) \supset f^{-1}(f(B)) = B$ . Also

$$f^{-1}(G) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n),$$

where  $(a_n, b_n)$  are components of  $f^{-1}(G)$ . Hence

$$g(f^{-1}(G)) = g\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g((a_n, b_n)) \supset g(B)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lambda(g(a_n, b_n)) = g(b_n) - g(a_n) \leq f(b_n) - f(a_n) = \lambda(f((a_n, b_n)))$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(g(B)) &\leq \lambda\left(g\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (g(b_n) - g(a_n)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f(b_n) - f(a_n)) \\ &= \lambda\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (f(a_n), f(b_n))\right) \leq \lambda(G). \end{aligned}$$

From the arbitrariness of  $G \supset f(B)$  we conclude that  $\lambda(g(B)) \leq \lambda(f(B))$  and, we know that both sets  $g(B)$  and  $f(B)$  are measurable. Finally

$$f(1) - f(0) = g(1) - g(0) + h(1) = \lambda(g(B)) + \lambda(h(E)) = \lambda(f(B)) + \lambda(f(E)),$$

so  $\lambda(h(E)) \geq \lambda(f(E))$ , which ends the proof.

**Corollary 1**  $\lambda(f'(x)(B)) = \int_B f'(x) dx = \int_B g'(x) dx$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of continuous increasing functions convergent uniformly to the identity function. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^*(A \Delta f_n(A)) = 0$  for every measurable set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  if and only if for the sequences of terms  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(1) = 0$  (i.e.  $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to 0)
2. the sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  consists of uniformly absolutely continuous functions (i.e. for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each finite collection of nonoverlapping intervals  $[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \dots, [a_k, b_k]$  contained in  $[0, 1]$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^k (b_i - a_i) < \delta$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^k (g_n(b_i) - g_n(a_i)) < \varepsilon$ ).

**PROOF.** Suppose first that (1) or (2) is not fulfilled. We shall show that there exists a measurable set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  for which  $\lambda^*(A \Delta f_n(A))$  does not converge to 0.

Indeed, if (1) is not fulfilled, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an increasing sequence of natural numbers  $\{n_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $h_{n_m}(1) \geq \varepsilon$  for each  $m$ .

Let  $E_{n_m} \subset [0, 1]$  be a set such that  $\lambda(E_{n_m}) = 0$  and

$$\lambda(h_{n_m}(E_{n_m})) = h_{n_m}(1)$$

(it suffices to take  $E_{n_m} = [0, 1] \setminus \{x \in [0, 1] : -\infty < f'_{n_m}(x) = g'_{n_m}(x) < \infty\}$ ). Put  $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_{n_m}$ . We have obviously  $\lambda(A) = 0$  and  $f_{n_m}(A) \supset f_{n_m}(E_{n_m})$  for each  $m$  (both sets are measurable), so

$$\lambda(f_{n_m}(A)) \geq \lambda(f_{n_m}(E_{n_m})) = \lambda(h_{n_m}(E_{n_m})) \geq \varepsilon,$$

whence  $\lambda(A \Delta f_n(A))$  does not converge to 0.

Now suppose that (2) is not fulfilled. It means that there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each positive integer  $m$  there exist  $n_m$  and a set  $I_m$  being finite union of nonoverlapping intervals such that  $\lambda(I_m) < 1/m$  and  $\lambda(g_{n_m}(I_m)) \geq \varepsilon_0$ . It is not difficult to observe that a sequence  $\{n_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverges to infinity. Choose an increasing sequence  $\{m_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_p} < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$ . Put  $A = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} I_{m_p}$ . We have  $\lambda(A) < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$  and

$$\lambda(f_{n_{m_p}}(A)) \geq \lambda(f_{n_{m_p}}(I_{m_p})) \geq \lambda(g_{n_{m_p}}(I_{m_p})) \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

so  $\lambda^*(A \Delta f_{n_{m_p}}(A)) \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence  $\lambda^*(A \Delta f_n(A))$  does not converge to 0.

Suppose now that (1) and (2) are fulfilled. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be a number associated with  $\varepsilon/4$  in (2). Let  $C$  be a finite union of disjoint intervals

such that  $\lambda(A\Delta C) < \min(\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$ . For each positive integer  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\Delta f_n(A) &\subset (A\Delta C) \cup (C\Delta f_n(C)) \cup (f_n(C)\Delta f_n(A)) \\ &= (A\Delta C) \cup (C\Delta f_n(C)) \cup (f_n(C\Delta A)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to the identity function we conclude that there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n > n_1$  we have  $\lambda(C\Delta f_n(C)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ .

Let  $B_n = \{x \in [0, 1] : -\infty < f'_n(x) = g'_n(x) < \infty\}$  and  $E_n = [0, 1] \setminus B_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^*(f_n(C\Delta A)) &\leq \lambda(f_n((C\Delta A) \cap B_n)) + \lambda^*((f_n(C\Delta A) \cap E_n)) \\ &\leq \int_{(C\Delta A) \cap B_n} f'_n(x) dx + \lambda(f_n(E_n)) \\ &= \int_{(C\Delta A) \cap B_n} g'_n(x) dx + \lambda(f_n(E_n)) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 we conclude that  $\lambda(f_n(E_n)) = \lambda(h_n(E_n))$  and since  $g$  is absolutely continuous, we have

$$\int_{(C\Delta A) \cap B_n} g'_n(x) dx = \lambda(g_n(C\Delta A) \cap B_n).$$

Since  $\lambda((C\Delta A) \cap B_n) < \delta$ , from the uniform absolute continuity we obtain easily  $\lambda(g_n(C\Delta A) \cap B_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ . Let  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $h_n(1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  for  $n > n_2$ . Then for  $n > n_2$  we have

$$\lambda(f_n(C\Delta A)) \leq \lambda(g_n(C\Delta A) \cap B_n) + \lambda(h_n(E_n)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Finally for  $n > \max(n_1, n_2)$  we obtain  $\lambda^*(A\Delta f_n(A)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$ , whence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^*(A\Delta f_n(A)) = 0$ .

## References

- [1] N. K. Bari, *Trigonometric series*, Moscow 1961 (in Russian).
- [2] J. Foran, *Fundamentals of real analysis*, Marcel Dekker 1991, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **144**.
- [3] I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, Moscow 1974 (in Russian).
- [4] W. Wilczyński, A. Kharazishvili, *On the translations of measurable sets and sets with the Baire property*, Bull. of the Acad. of Sciences of Georgia, **145**, No. 1, (1992), 43–46. (in Russian, English and Georgian summary).