

Franciszek Prus-Wiśniowski, Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Szczeciński,  
ul. Wielkopolska 15, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland.

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Syracuse University, 215  
Carnegie Hall, Syracuse, NY 13210-1150, wisniows@math.syr.edu.

## $\Lambda$ -VARIATION AND BAIRE CATEGORY

### Abstract

Continuous functions of bounded  $\lambda$ -variation that are differentiable at least at one point form a dense set of first Baire category in  $C\Lambda BV$ , the Banach space of continuous functions of bounded  $\lambda$ -variation. An example of a nowhere differentiable continuous function of bounded  $\lambda$ -variation is given. Furthermore,  $C\Lambda BV$ , as a subset of  $C[0, 1]$  with the usual sup-norm, is a dense subset of first Baire category.

At the beginning of the 70s, D. Waterman extracted the useful concept of  $\lambda$ -variation from various techniques of the theory of Fourier series [8]. In this note we will use the definitions and notations introduced in the fundamental paper [9].

Given a  $\lambda$ -sequence  $\Lambda$ , the set of all continuous functions of bounded  $\Lambda$ -variation is a closed linear subspace of the Banach space  $(\Lambda BV, |||_{\Lambda})$ , and will be denoted by  $C\Lambda BV$ . The set of functions differentiable at least at one point of  $[a, b]$  will be denoted by  $D$ . A  $\lambda$ -sequence  $\Lambda = (\lambda_i)$  is said to be proper if  $\lim \lambda_i = \infty$ .

**Proposition 1** *For any proper  $\lambda$ -sequence  $\Lambda$ ,  $D \cap C\Lambda BV$  is of first Baire category in  $(C\Lambda BV, |||_{\Lambda})$ .*

**PROOF.** We will follow the elegant idea of S. Banach [1]. Unfortunately, there is no suitable dense subset of  $C\Lambda BV$  so that Banach's Satz 2 cannot be applied in our case. A slight modification of Banach's proof is required and careful construction of a "bad" function is necessary. Without loss of generality we may assume that the interval  $[a, b]$  is  $[0, 1]$ .

---

Key Words:  $\lambda$ -variation, nowhere differentiable function, Baire category  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A45  
Received by the editors October 13, 1993

For every positive integer  $m$ , we denote by  $Q_m^\Lambda$  the set of functions  $x \in C\Lambda BV$  such that for some  $t_0 \in [a, b]$  and for all  $t \neq t_0$

$$\left| \frac{x(t) - x(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| \leq m$$

Clearly,  $D \cap C\Lambda BV \subset \bigcup_m Q_m^\Lambda$ . We will show that each  $Q_m^\Lambda$  is nowhere dense which implies that  $D \cap C\Lambda BV$  is a set of first Baire category as a subset of  $C\Lambda BV$ .

First we will show that  $Q_m^\Lambda$  is closed in  $C\Lambda BV$ . Suppose  $x_n \in Q_m^\Lambda$ , then  $\|x_n - x\|_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ . By definition

$$(1) \quad \forall n \quad \exists t_0^n \quad \forall t \neq t_0^n \quad \left| \frac{x_n(t) - x_n(t_0^n)}{t - t_0^n} \right| \leq m$$

Passing, if necessary, to a partial sequence, we can assume  $t_0^n \rightarrow t_0$ . Since convergence in  $\|\cdot\|_\Lambda$ -norm implies uniform convergence [9, p.42], we get  $x_n(t_0^n) \rightarrow x(t_0)$ . Passing to the limit in (1) for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$\left| \frac{x(t) - x(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| \leq m$$

for all  $t \neq t_0$ , that is,  $x \in Q_m^\Lambda$  as desired.

Now we shall show that  $Q_m^\Lambda$  is nowhere dense in  $C\Lambda BV$ . It suffices to show that given any  $x \in Q_m^\Lambda$ , no ball centered at  $x$  is contained in  $Q_m^\Lambda$ , that is,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \tilde{x} \notin Q_m^\Lambda \quad \|x - \tilde{x}\|_\Lambda < \epsilon$$

Given such an  $x$  and an  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $n$  such that  $\frac{3m}{n} \sum_1^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} < \epsilon$ . We say that an interval  $I_i = [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]$  is of type A if

$$\exists t_0 \in I_i \quad \forall t \in I_i, t \neq t_0 \quad \left| \frac{x(t) - x(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| \leq m$$

Now we will define an auxiliary function  $y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $y(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $y$  has been defined for  $I_i$  with  $i \leq k$ . To define  $y$  on  $I_{k+1}$  consider two cases.

If  $I_{k+1}$  is of type A, we set

$$y\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{3m}{n} \\ \frac{3m}{n} & \text{if } y\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and then define  $y$  to be continuous and linear on  $I_{k+1}$ . Otherwise, we set  $y\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) = y\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$  and define  $y$  to be linear and continuous on  $I_{k+1}$  (that is,

constant). For every interval  $J$  with both endpoints of the form  $i/n$  either  $|y(J)| = 0$  or  $|y(J)| = 3m/n$ . Since for every family  $\{J_1, \dots, J_j\}$  of nonoverlapping intervals with endpoints of the form  $i/n$  it must be  $j \leq n$ , we get

$$\sum_1^j \frac{|y(I_{i_k})|}{\lambda_k} \leq \sum_1^n \frac{3m}{\lambda_i} < \epsilon$$

Further, since all points of varying monotonicity of  $y$  are of the form  $i/n$ , we conclude that  $\|y\|_\Lambda < \epsilon$  [5, Prop.1.1.]

Let  $\tilde{x} = x + y$ . Then  $\|x - \tilde{x}\|_\Lambda < \epsilon$ , and it remains to show  $\tilde{x} \notin Q_m^\Lambda$ , i.e., we must show that

$$\forall t \exists s \neq t \quad \left| \frac{\tilde{x}(t) - \tilde{x}(s)}{t - s} \right| > m$$

Take any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $t \in I_i$  for some  $i$ . If  $I_i$  is of type A and  $t \neq t_0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{x}(t) - \tilde{x}(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| \geq \left| \left| \frac{y(t) - y(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| - \left| \frac{x(t) - x(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| \right| \geq 3m - m$$

If  $I_i$  is of type A and  $t = t_0$ , take any  $s \in I_i$ ,  $s \neq t_0$ , and we get in a similar manner

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{x}(s) - \tilde{x}(t)}{s - t} \right| \geq 2m$$

If  $I_i$  is not of type A, then

$$\left| \frac{x(t) - x(s)}{t - s} \right| > m$$

for some  $s \in I_i$  which completes the proof because  $\tilde{x} = x$  on such an  $I_i$ .  $\square$

**Remark** What we have proven is in fact that  $\bigcup_m Q_m^\Lambda$  is of first Baire category in  $C\Lambda BV$ . Observe that  $\bigcup_m Q_m^\Lambda$  is the set of all  $C\Lambda BV$ -functions that have all Dini derivatives finite at at least one point. However, if necessary, one can slightly modify the above proof in order to show that a larger set of  $C\Lambda BV$ -functions that have both right-side Dini derivatives finite at at least one point is also of first Baire category (cf. Banach's Satz 1).

**Example** A nowhere differentiable continuous function that is of bounded  $\lambda$ -variation.

To construct such example, it suffices to slightly alter the well-known van der Waerden function [7]. Of course, we have to assume that  $\Lambda = (\lambda_i)$  is a proper

$\Lambda$ -sequence. Let  $u_0(x)$  be the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer. Set  $u_k(x) = 4^{-k}u_0(4^k x)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly,

$$V_\Lambda(u_k, [0, 1]) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^k} \sum_1^{2 \cdot 4^k} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

Next, select a subsequence  $(u_{k_p})$  such that  $\sum_p \|u_{k_p}\|_\Lambda < 1$ . Then  $f = \sum_p u_{k_p}$  is nowhere differentiable, as can be proven in the standard way [2, p.496]. Finally,  $f \in C\Lambda BV$  by the virtue of [6, Prop.VI.5], since  $(C\Lambda BV, \|\cdot\|_\Lambda)$  is a complete space [9, pp.41-42].

S.Perlman has shown that  $C[0, 1] = \bigcup_\Lambda C\Lambda BV$  where the union is taken over all  $\lambda$ -sequences [3, Thm.9]. We endow  $C[0, 1]$  with the usual sup-norm.

**Proposition 2** For any  $\lambda$ -sequence  $\Lambda$ ,  $C\Lambda BV$  is of first Baire category in  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$ .

PROOF. We start with the obvious equality

$$C\Lambda BV = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{C\Lambda BV}(0, n)$$

where  $B_{C\Lambda BV}(0, n)$  denotes the closed ball in  $(C\Lambda BV, \|\cdot\|_\Lambda)$  of radius  $n$ , centered at 0 (the constant function 0). Thus, it suffices to show  $B_{C\Lambda BV}(0, n)$  is nowhere dense in  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$ . Since  $B_{C\Lambda BV}(0, n)$  is a closed subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$ , the proof will be completed as soon as we show that  $C[0, 1] \setminus C\Lambda BV$  is dense in  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$ . Thus, it suffices to show that 0 is a  $\|\cdot\|$ -limit of  $C[0, 1] \setminus C\Lambda BV$ -functions. Indeed, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , it is rather elementary to construct a function  $\tilde{x} \in C[0, 1]$  such that  $\|\tilde{x}\| < \epsilon$  and  $V_\Lambda(\tilde{x}) = +\infty$ .  $\square$

We complete this note with the observation that both first Baire category sets discussed above are nevertheless relatively large.

**Proposition 3** For any  $\lambda$ -sequence  $\Lambda$ :

1.  $D \cap C\Lambda BV$  is dense in  $(C\Lambda BV, \|\cdot\|_\Lambda)$
2.  $C\Lambda BV$  is dense in  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$

PROOF. (1) It is clear that, for  $x \in C\Lambda BV$ ,  $\|x_n - x\|_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$  where

$$x_n(t) = \begin{cases} x(t) & t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \\ x(1 - \frac{1}{n}) & t \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

[9, Theorem 4]. Obviously,  $x_n \in C\Lambda BV$  for all  $n$ .

(2) This follows immediately from the inclusion  $\text{Polynomials} \subset CABV$ .  $\square$

S. Perlman and D. Waterman gave a complete characterization of the inclusion  $\Lambda BV \subseteq \Gamma BV$  for two distinct  $\lambda$ -sequences  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  [4, Theorem 3]. In the next proposition, we examine the proper case  $\Lambda BV \subsetneq \Gamma BV$  from the point of view of Baire category.

**Proposition 4** *If  $\Lambda BV \subsetneq \Gamma BV$ , then  $C\Lambda BV$  is of first Baire category in  $(C\Gamma BV, \|\cdot\|_\Gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.** This can be proven in a manner fully analogous to the proof of Proposition 2. The only non-trivial adjustment is required in the construction of the function  $\tilde{x}$ .

It is elementary that  $\Lambda BV \subsetneq \Gamma BV$  implies the existence of a sequence  $a_n \searrow 0$  such that  $\sum a_n/\gamma_n = \infty$  and  $\sum a_n/\lambda_n < \infty$ . For a number  $\delta > 0$ , set  $a_n^\delta = \min\{\delta, a_n\}$ , and then

$$x_\delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} a_k^\delta - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} a_k^\delta & \text{for } t = \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{for } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

We extend  $x_\delta$  continuously onto the whole interval  $[0, 1]$  by requiring that  $x_\delta$  be linear on each interval  $[\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}]$ . Then

$$V_\Gamma(x_\delta) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{a_n^\delta}{\gamma_n} = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad V_\Lambda(x_\delta) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{a_n^\delta}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, by picking a suitable  $\delta$ , we can take  $\tilde{x}$  to be  $x_\delta$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Banach, *Über die Baire'sche Kategorie gewisser Funktionenmengen*, *Studia Math.* 3(1931) 174-179.
- [2] G. M. Fichtenholz, *Differential-und Integralrechnung*, vol. II, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1964.
- [3] S. Perlman, *Functions of generalized variation*, *Fund. Math.* 105(1980) 199-211.
- [4] S. Perlman and D. Waterman, *Some remarks on functions of  $\Lambda$ -bounded variation*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1979) 113-117.
- [5] F. Prus-Wiśniowski,  *$\lambda$ -variation and Hausdorff distance*, *Math. Nachr.* 158(1992) 283-297.

- [6] H. L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, 3rd ed., Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988.
- [7] B. L. van der Waerden, *Ein einfaches Beispiel einernicht-differenzierbaren stetigen Funktion*, Math. Zeit. 32(1930) 474-475.
- [8] D. Waterman, *On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation*, Studia Math. 44(1972) 107-117.
- [9] D. Waterman, *On  $\Lambda$ -bounded variation*, Studia Math. 57(1976) 33-45.