

Aleksander Maliszewski*, Mathematics Department, Pedagogical Univ., ul.
Chodkiewicza 30, 85–064 Bydgoszcz, Poland
e-mail: wspb05@pltumk11.bitnet

ALGEBRA GENERATED BY NON-DEGENERATE DERIVATIVES

Abstract

In this paper we prove that each Baire one function $u : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as $u = f \cdot g + h$, where $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non-degenerate derivatives (both notions with respect to the ordinary differentiation basis).

In 1982 D. Preiss proved the following theorem [6].

Theorem 1 *Whenever $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of the first class there are derivatives $f, g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = f \cdot g + h$. Moreover one can find such a representation that g is bounded and h is Lebesgue and in case u is bounded such that f and h are also bounded.*

The generalization of this theorem for derivatives of interval functions (with respect to the ordinary differentiation basis) was proved in 1989 by R. Carrese [2]. However, it is well known (and easy to prove) that derivatives needn't be non-degenerate everywhere. In this paper I prove that each Baire one function $u : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as $u = f \cdot g + h$, where $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non-degenerate derivatives (both notions with respect to the ordinary differentiation basis). In the proof I use the Preiss's method.

First we need some notation. The real line $(-\infty, \infty)$ is denoted by \mathbb{R} , the set of integers by \mathbb{Z} , the set of positive integers by \mathbb{N} and the set of rationals by \mathbb{Q} . To the end of this article m is a fixed positive integer. The word function means mapping from \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R} unless otherwise explicitly stated. The words measure, almost everywhere (a.e.), summable etc. refer to

*Supported by a KBN Research Grant 2 1144 91 01, 1992–94

Key Words: Baire functions, Baire 1 function, algebra of derivatives, derivative of an interval function

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 28A15 Secondary 26B05 26A21

Received by the editors November 29, 1992

the Lebesgue measure and integral in \mathbb{R}^m . We denote by $a \vee b$ ($a \wedge b$) not smaller (not greater) of real numbers a and b . The Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^m will be denoted by ϱ . For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, let $\text{diam } A$ be its diameter (i.e. $\text{diam } A = \sup\{\varrho(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$), χ_A its characteristic function and $|A|$ its outer Lebesgue measure. Symbol $\int_A f$ will always mean the Lebesgue integral. We say that f is a Baire one function, if it is a pointwise limit of some sequence of continuous functions. By $\|f\|$ we denote the sup norm of a function f (i.e. $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$). Finally, the oscillation of a function f on a non-void set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ will be denoted by $\omega(f, A)$ (i.e. $\omega(f, A) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : x, y \in A\}$).

The word *interval* (*cube*) will always mean non-degenerate compact interval (*cube*) in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e. Cartesian product of m non-degenerate compact intervals (compact intervals of equal length) in \mathbb{R} . We denote by Γ the family of all intervals.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that I is a *basic cube of order n* , if

$$I = \left[\frac{k_1}{2^n}, \frac{k_1 + 1}{2^n} \right] \times \dots \times \left[\frac{k_m}{2^n}, \frac{k_m + 1}{2^n} \right]$$

for some $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The family of all basic cubes of order n will be denoted by Γ_n . Elements of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$ will be called simply *basic cubes*.

Remark. Observe that for any two basic cubes I and J , either I and J do not overlap (i.e. $I \cap J \notin \Gamma$), or $I \subset J$, or $J \subset I$.

The following lemma is a slightly modified version of Lemma 2.1 of [5].

Lemma 2 *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be closed and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a family \mathcal{J} of non-overlapping basic cubes such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

i) *each $x \notin A$ belongs to the interior of the union of some finite subfamily of \mathcal{J} ,*

ii) *$\text{diam } J \leq \varepsilon \wedge [\varrho(A, J)]^2$ for each $J \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be a family of basic cubes such that $\bigcup \mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus A$ and each $x \notin A$ belongs to the interior of the union of some finite subfamily of \mathcal{I} [5, Lemma 2.1]. Write each cube $I \in \mathcal{I}$ as the union

$$I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_I} J_{I,i}$$

of non-overlapping basic cubes of diameter less than $\varepsilon \wedge [\varrho(A, I)]^2$ and define

$$\mathcal{J} = \{J_{I,i} : I \in \mathcal{I}, i \in \{1, \dots, k_I\}\}.$$

Then the requirements of the lemma are obviously satisfied. □

By *interval function* we will mean mapping from Γ into \mathbb{R} .

We say that intervals I, J are *contiguous*, if they do not overlap and $I \cup J$ is an interval. We say that an interval function F is *additive*, if $F(I \cup J) = F(I) + F(J)$ whenever I and J are contiguous intervals.

We say that a sequence of intervals $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is *o-convergent* to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, if

1. $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$,
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } I_n = 0$,
3. $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\text{diam } I_n)^m}{|I_n|} < \infty$.

We will write $I_n \xrightarrow{o} x$. (Cf e.g. [5].)

Let F be an arbitrary interval function and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We define

$$o\text{-}\limsup_{I \Rightarrow x} F(I) = \sup \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(I_n) : I_n \xrightarrow{o} x \right\}.$$

In similar way we define $o\text{-}\liminf_{I \Rightarrow x} F(I)$ and $o\text{-}\lim_{I \Rightarrow x} F(I)$.

We say that function f is an *o-derivative*, if there exists an additive interval function F (called the *primitive* of f) such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$o\text{-}\lim_{I \Rightarrow x} \frac{F(I)}{|I|} = f(x).$$

Recall that *o-derivatives* are Baire one functions (cf [1, Lemma 2.1, p. 151] and [5, Lemma 3.1]).

We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *o-Lebesgue point* of function f , if f is locally summable at x and

$$o\text{-}\lim_{I \Rightarrow x} \frac{\int_I |f - f(x)|}{|I|} = 0.$$

We say that f is an *o-Lebesgue function*, if each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *o-Lebesgue point* of f .

We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *o-dispersion point* of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ iff

$$o\text{-}\lim_{I \Rightarrow x} \frac{|A \cap I|}{|I|} = 0.$$

We say that A is d_o -open, if each $x \in A$ is an o -dispersion point of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus A$. The family of all d_o -open sets forms a topology on \mathbb{R}^m , so called o -density topology (cf [4]). The terms “ d_o -closed”, “ d_o -interior” (d_o -int) etc. will refer to this topology. We say that function f is o -approximately continuous if and only if it is continuous with respect to this topology. The family of all o -approximately continuous functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{o\text{-ap}}$. Recall that:

- for every measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $|A \setminus d_o\text{-int } A| = 0$,
- each element of $\mathcal{C}_{o\text{-ap}}$ is a Baire one function,
- each bounded element of $\mathcal{C}_{o\text{-ap}}$ is an o -derivative.

The following lemma can be found both in [3] and in [2].

Lemma 3 *Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be measurable, let $F_1, \dots, F_n \subset d_o\text{-int } B$ be closed and let $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists an o -Lebesgue function φ such that*

- $\varphi(x) = c_i$, if $x \in F_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,
- $\varphi(x) = 0$, if $x \notin B$,
- $\|\varphi\| \leq \max\{|c_i| : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$.

We say that function f is o -non-degenerate at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ if and only if x is not an o -dispersion point of the pre-image of the set $(f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon)$ by f for any $\varepsilon > 0$. We say that f is o -non-degenerate, if it is o -non-degenerate at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 4 *Assume that a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, a sequence of o -approximately continuous functions $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $c \in (0, 1]$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- i) $h_n(x) = 0$, if $x \notin H_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- ii) $|\{x \in H_n : h_n(x) = 0\}| \geq c \cdot |H_n|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- iii) for every $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and every $\tau > 0$, there exists a cube $I \ni x$ such that $\text{diam } I < \tau$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, either $|H_n \cap I| = 0$ or $H_n \subset I$,
- iv) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in H_j$, there is a $p > j$ such that for each $n > p$, $\text{diam } H_n < [\varrho(x, H_n)]^2$.

Set $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$. Then h is o -non-degenerate.

Proof. Take an $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by A the pre-image of the set $(h(x) - \varepsilon, h(x) + \varepsilon)$ by h .

First assume that $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let I_n be a cube chosen according to iii) with $\tau = 1/n$. Then clearly $I_n \xrightarrow{o} x$ and by conditions i) and ii), we get

$$|A \cap I_n| \geq |\{t \in I_n : h(t) = 0\}| \geq \left| I_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k \right| + \sum_{H_k \subset I_n} c \cdot |H_k| \geq c \cdot |I_n|.$$

Hence

$$o\text{-limsup}_{I \ni x} \frac{|A \cap I|}{|I|} \geq c > 0.$$

Now let $x \in H_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let p be a number chosen according to iv) and let $\tau > 0$. Since the function $g = \sum_{i=1}^p h_i$ is o -approximately continuous and $g(x) = h(x)$, there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that for each cube $I \ni x$, if $\text{diam } I < \eta$, then

$$|\{t \in I : |g(t) - h(x)| < \varepsilon\}| > (1 - \tau) \cdot |I|.$$

Let I be a cube such that $x \in I$ and $\text{diam } I < \eta$. Denote by B the union of those H_n with $n > p$ which intersection with the frame of I is non-void. Observe that

$$|B \cap I| \leq 2m \cdot \max\{\text{diam } H_n : n > p\} \cdot (\text{diam } I)^{m-1} \leq 2m \cdot (\text{diam } I)^{m+1},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |A \cap I| &\geq |\{t \in I : |g(t) - h(x)| < \varepsilon\} \cap \{t \in I : h(t) = g(t)\}| \\ &\geq (1 - \tau) \cdot |I| + \sum_{n>p} |\{t \in I \cap H_n : h_n(t) = 0\}| + \left| I \setminus \bigcup_{n>p} H_n \right| - |I| \\ &\geq -\tau \cdot |I| + c \cdot |I \setminus B| \geq (c - \tau - 2m^{1+m/2} \cdot \text{diam } I) \cdot |I|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since τ was arbitrary, we get

$$o\text{-limsup}_{I \ni x} \frac{|A \cap I|}{|I|} \geq c.$$

□

Lemma 5 *The sum of an o -approximately continuous function with an o -non-degenerate function is o -non-degenerate.*

The proof is left to the reader. □

Lemma 6 *Given a function v and a non-empty set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, if $\omega(v, A) \leq M^2$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

- a) $\omega(\sqrt{|v|}, A) \leq |M|$,
- b) $\omega(|v| \vee \sqrt{|v|}, A) \leq M^2 \vee |M|$,
- c) $\omega(1 \wedge \sqrt{|v|}, A) \leq |M|$,

Proof. Set $w_1 = |v| \vee \sqrt{|v|}$ and $w_2 = 1 \wedge \sqrt{|v|}$. Let $x, y \in A$.

a) If $\sqrt{|v(x)|} \leq |M|$ and $\sqrt{|v(y)|} \leq |M|$, then obviously

$$\left| \sqrt{|v(x)|} - \sqrt{|v(y)|} \right| \leq |M|.$$

In the opposite case we have

$$\left| \sqrt{|v(x)|} - \sqrt{|v(y)|} \right| = \left| \frac{|v(x)| - |v(y)|}{\sqrt{|v(x)|} + \sqrt{|v(y)|}} \right| \leq M^2 / |M| = |M|.$$

b) If $|v(x)| \leq 1$ and $|v(y)| \leq 1$, then

$$|w_1(x) - w_1(y)| = \left| \sqrt{|v(x)|} - \sqrt{|v(y)|} \right| \leq |M|.$$

In the opposite case we have

$$|w_1(x) - w_1(y)| \leq ||v(x)| - |v(y)|| \leq M^2.$$

c) If $|v(x)| \geq 1$ and $|v(y)| \geq 1$, then

$$|w_2(x) - w_2(y)| = 0.$$

In the opposite case we have

$$|w_2(x) - w_2(y)| \leq \left| \sqrt{|v(x)|} - \sqrt{|v(y)|} \right| \leq |M|.$$

□

The following two lemmas are due to R. Carrese.

Lemma 7 [2, Proposition 3] Let H_1, H_2, \dots be a sequence of pairwise disjoint compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and let $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers such that the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$ is a Baire one function. Then there is a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers satisfying the following conditions:

a) for every sequence of functions f_1, f_2, \dots , if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

i) f_n is an o -derivative,

ii) $f_n(x) = 0$, if $x \notin H_n$,

iii) $\|f_n\| \leq K_n$,

iv) $\left| \int_I f_n \right| \leq \varepsilon_n$ for every interval I ,

then function $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ is an o -derivative,

b) for every sequence of functions w_1, w_2, \dots , if for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

i) w_n is an o -Lebesgue function,

ii) $w_n(x) = 0$, if $x \notin H_n$,

iii) $\|w_n\| \leq K_n$,

iv) $\int_{K_n} |w_n| \leq \varepsilon_n$,

then function $w = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n$ is an o -Lebesgue function.

Lemma 8 [2, Proposition 2] Let u be a Baire one function. There are a Baire one function v , a sequence $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of pairwise disjoint compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and a sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that the

i) $u - v$ is an o -Lebesgue function,

ii) v is o -approximately continuous at all points of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$,

iii) $v(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in H_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \notin d_o\text{-int} H_n$,

iv) $|v| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$,

v) $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$ is a Baire one function,

vi) v is bounded provided that u is bounded.

The next lemma is a modified version of Proposition 4 of [2].

Lemma 9 Assume that a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is non-void, bounded and measurable, function v is α -approximately continuous, $v(x) = 0$ for $x \notin A$, $\|v\| \leq c < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist α -approximately continuous functions f and g such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for $x \notin A$,
- ii) $\|f\| \leq 2c \vee \sqrt{2c}$, $\|g\| \leq 1 \wedge \sqrt{2c}$,
- iii) $\left| \int_I f \right| \leq \varepsilon$, $\left| \int_I g \right| \leq \varepsilon$ for every interval I ,
- iv) $\left| \int_I (v - f \cdot g) \right| \leq \varepsilon$ for every interval I ,
- v) $|\{x \in A : f(x) = 0\}| \geq |A|/4$, $|\{x \in A : g(x) = 0\}| \geq |A|/4$,
- vi) $|\{x \in A : v(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)\}| \geq |A|/4$.

Proof. Write A as the union $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n$ of measurable, pairwise disjoint, non-void sets of diameter less than

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{16m \cdot (1 \vee c) \cdot (1 \vee \text{diam } A)^{m-1}}.$$

For $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, do the following.

If $|A_n| = 0$, then set $p_n = 1$, $B_n = C_n = D_n = A_{n,1} = P_{n,1} = Q_{n,1} = \emptyset$, $f_{n,1} = \varphi_{n,1} = 0$ and $v_n = v$. Otherwise find disjoint measurable sets $B_n, C_n \subset A_n$ and a closed set $D_n \subset d_\alpha\text{-int } C_n$ such that

$$7|A_n|/24 > |C_n| \geq |D_n| \geq |B_n| > |A_n|/4.$$

Let φ_n be a non-negative α -approximately continuous function such that:

- $\varphi_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in D_n$,
- $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ if $x \notin C_n$,
- $\varphi_n \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^m

(cf Lemma 3). Put

$$v_n = v + \varphi_n \cdot \frac{\int_{B_n} v}{\int_{C_n} \varphi_n}.$$

Express the set $A_n \setminus B_n$ as the union $A_n \setminus B_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_n} A_{n,j}$ of measurable, pairwise disjoint, non-void sets such that for $j \in \{1, \dots, p_n\}$, v_n does not change its sign on $A_{n,j}$ and

$$\omega(v_n, A_{n,j}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 \vee 2|A|} \wedge \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 \vee 2|A|} \right)^2.$$

For $j \in \{1, \dots, p_n\}$, find closed, disjoint sets $P_{n,j}, Q_{n,j} \subset d_o\text{-int } A_{n,j}$ such that $|P_{n,j}| = |Q_{n,j}|$ and

$$|A_{n,j} \setminus (P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j})| \leq \frac{\varepsilon \wedge |A_n|}{8kp_n \cdot (1 \vee c)},$$

use Lemma 3 to find an α -approximately continuous function $\varphi_{n,j}$ such that

- $\varphi_{n,j}(x) = 1$ if $x \in P_{n,j}$,
- $\varphi_{n,j}(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A_{n,j}$,
- $\varphi_{n,j}(x) = -1$ if $x \in Q_{n,j}$,
- $|\varphi_{n,j}| \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^m

and set

$$f_{n,j} = \begin{cases} \varphi_{n,j} \cdot (v_n \vee \sqrt{|v_n|}) & \text{if } v_n \geq 0 \text{ on } A_{n,j}, \\ -\varphi_{n,j} \cdot (|v_n| \vee \sqrt{|v_n|}) & \text{if } v_n \leq 0 \text{ on } A_{n,j}. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} f_{n,j}$$

and

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^k \left((1 \wedge \sqrt{|v_n|}) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} \varphi_{n,j} \right).$$

Then clearly f and g are α -approximately continuous and i) is fulfilled. Since for $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, if $|A_n| > 0$, then

$$\|v_n\| \leq \|v\| + \frac{\int_{B_n} |v|}{\int_{C_n} \varphi_n} \leq c + c \cdot \frac{|B_n|}{|D_n|} \leq 2c,$$

so condition ii) holds.

Let I be an arbitrary interval. Denote by B the union of those $A_{n,j}$ which intersection with the frame of I is non-void. Let δ denote the *diam* A . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |B \cap I| &\leq 2m \cdot \max\{\text{diam } A_{n,j} : n \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, \dots, p_n\}\} \cdot \delta^{m-1} \\ &\leq 2m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{16m \cdot (1 \vee c) \cdot (1 \vee \delta)^{m-1}} \cdot \delta^{m-1} = \frac{\varepsilon}{8 \cdot (1 \vee c)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for every $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and every $j \in \{1, \dots, p_n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{A_{n,j}} f \right| &\leq \left| \int_{A_{n,j} \setminus (P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j})} f \right| + \left| \int_{P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j}} f \right| \\ &\leq (2c \vee \sqrt{2c}) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{8kp_n \cdot (1 \vee c)} + \omega(|v_n| \vee \sqrt{|v_n|}, A_{n,j}) \cdot |P_{n,j}| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4kp_n} + \frac{\varepsilon \cdot |A_{n,j}|}{1 \vee 4|A|} \end{aligned}$$

(cf Lemma 6), so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_I f \right| &= \left| \int_{A \cap I} f \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} \left| \int_{A_{n,j}} f \right| + \sum_{A_{n,j} \setminus I \neq \emptyset} \left| \int_{A_{n,j} \cap I} f \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k \left(p_n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4kp_n} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} \frac{\varepsilon \cdot |A_{n,j}|}{1 \vee 4|A|} \right) + \int_{B \cap I} |f| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that $|\int_I g| < \varepsilon$.

For $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{A_n} (v - f \cdot g) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{B_n} (v - f \cdot g) + \int_{A_n \setminus B_n} (v - v_n) \right| + \left| \int_{A_n \setminus B_n} (v_n - f \cdot g) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} \left(\int_{A_{n,j} \setminus (P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j})} |v_n - f \cdot g| + \int_{P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j}} |v_n - f \cdot g| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{p_n} \|v_n - f \cdot g\| \cdot |A_{n,j} \setminus (P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j})| \\ &\leq p_n \cdot 4c \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{8kp_n \cdot (1 \vee c)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_I (v - f \cdot g) \right| &= \left| \int_{A \cap I} (v - f \cdot g) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k \left| \int_{A_n} (v - f \cdot g) \right| + \sum_{A_n \setminus I \neq \emptyset} \left| \int_{A_n \cap I} (v - f \cdot g) \right| \\ &\leq k \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} + \int_{B \cap I} |v - f \cdot g| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|v - f \cdot g\| \cdot |B \cap I| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{x \in A : f(x) = 0\} \cap \{x \in A : g(x) = 0\} \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^k B_n$ and $|B_n| \geq |A_n|/4$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, so v holds. Finally observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in A : v(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)\}| &= \sum_{n=1}^k |\{x \in A_n : v(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)\}| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^k |\{x \in A_n : v(x) = v_n(x)\} \cap \{x \in A_n : v_n(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)\}| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^k \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_n} (P_{n,j} \cup Q_{n,j}) \setminus C_n \right| \geq \sum_{n=1}^k \left(|A_n \setminus B_n| - p_n \cdot \frac{|A_n|}{8kp_n} - |C_n| \right) \\ &\geq |A|/4. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will need a modified version of Lemma 8.

Lemma 10 *Whenever u is a Baire one function there exist a Baire one function v , a sequence of pairwise disjoint, compact sets $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative real numbers such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- i) $u - v$ is an o -Lebesgue function,
- ii) v is o -approximately continuous at all points of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$,
- iii) $v(x) = 0$, if $x \in H_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \notin d_o\text{-int} H_n$,
- iv) $|v| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$,
- v) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \cdot \chi_{H_n}$ is a Baire one function,

- vi) v is bounded provided that u is bounded,
- vii) for every $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and every $\tau > 0$, there exists a cube $I \ni x$ such that $\text{diam } I < \tau$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, either $H_n \cap I = \emptyset$ or $H_n \subset I$,
- viii) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in H_j$, there exists a $p > j$ such that for each $n > p$, $\text{diam } H_n < [\varrho(x, H_n)]^2$.

Proof. First use Lemma 8 to find a Baire one function v , a sequence of pairwise disjoint, compact sets $\{\overline{H}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a sequence $(\overline{c}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative real numbers satisfying conditions i)–vi). Analysing the proof of this lemma it is easy to observe that we may also require that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{H}_n \subset (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^m$. Set $\mathcal{J}_0 = \Gamma_1$ and $n_0 = 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set $A_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \overline{H}_i$ and apply Lemma 2 to find a family of non-overlapping basic cubes $\mathcal{J}_k = \{J_{k,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{J}_k = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus A_k$, every $x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus A_k$ belongs to the interior of the union of some finite subfamily of \mathcal{J}_k and

$$\text{diam } J_{k,n} \leq \frac{1}{k} \wedge [\varrho(A_k, J_{k,n})]^2$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may also assume that \mathcal{J}_k is a refinement of \mathcal{J}_{k-1} , i.e. each element of \mathcal{J}_k is contained in one of elements of \mathcal{J}_{k-1} (cf Remark on p. 600). By the compactness of \overline{H}_k , only finitely many sets of the family $\{J_{k,n} \cap \overline{H}_k : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are non-void. Denote those sets by $H_{n_{k-1}+1}, \dots, H_{n_k}$ and set $c_i = \overline{c}_k$ for $i \in \{n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_k\}$.

It is easy to see that conditions i)–vi) are still fulfilled. To prove vii) take an $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$. Let $k > 1/\tau$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x \in J_{k,n}$. Set $I = J_{k,n}$. Then $I \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{k-1}} H_i = \emptyset$ and for $l > n_{k-1}$, either $H_l \cap I = \emptyset$ or $H_l \subset I$ (cf the construction of the family $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

Finally let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in H_j$. Then $j < n_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $p = n_k$. It is obvious that p satisfies the requirements of condition viii). □

Theorem 11 Whenever $u : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Baire one function there exist α -non-degenerate α -derivatives $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = f \cdot g + h$. Moreover one can find such a representation that g is bounded and in case u is bounded such that f and h are also bounded.

Proof. Let function v , sequence of compact sets $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and sequence of non-negative real numbers $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in Lemma 10. Apply Lemma 7 with $K_n = c_n \vee \sqrt{c_n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and find a sequence of positive numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying conditions of this lemma. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, use Lemma 9 with $A = H_n$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$, getting in result α -approximately continuous functions

f_n and g_n fulfilling its requirements. Set $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$, $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n$ and $h = u - f \cdot g$. By condition a) of Lemma 7, we get that f , g and $v - f \cdot g$ are α -derivatives (conditions ii)–iii) of Lemma 10 and α -approximate continuity of functions f_n and g_n imply α -approximate continuity of $v_n = v\chi_{H_n} - f_n \cdot g_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By consecutive use of Lemma 4, for the families $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we get that f , g and $v - f \cdot g$ are α -non-degenerate (the assumptions of this lemma follow by conditions i), v) and vi) of Lemma 9 and conditions vii)–viii) of Lemma 10). Since $u - v$ is α -approximately continuous and $v - f \cdot g$ is α -non-degenerate, $h = (u - v) + (v - f \cdot g)$ is α -non-degenerate, too (cf Lemma 5).

If u is bounded, we can choose function v also bounded. Then the families $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ have common bound, so f and h are bounded, which completes the proof. □

References

- [1] A.M. Bruckner, Differentiation of real functions, *Lect. Notes in Math.* #659, Springer-Verlag 1978.
- [2] R. Carrese, On the algebra generated by derivatives of interval functions, *Real Analysis Exchange* 14 (2), (1988–89), 307–320.
- [3] Z. Grande, O przedłużaniu funkcji pierwszej klasy Baire'a (Polish) *Zeszyty Naukowe PG*, 285 (1978), 71–74.
- [4] J. Lukeš, J. Malý, L. Zajíček, Fine topology methods in real analysis and potential theory, *Lect. Notes in Math.* #1189, Springer-Verlag 1986.
- [5] A. Maliszewski, Integration of derivatives of additive interval functions, submitted to *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [6] D. Preiss, Algebra generated by derivatives, *Real Analysis Exchange* 8 (1982–83), 208–216.