

Vasile Ene, Institute of Mathematics, str. Academiei 14, 70109
Bucharest, Romania

Finite Representation of Continuous Functions, Nina Bary's
Wrinkled Functions and Foran's Condition M.

In [1](pp. 222;229;237;611), Nina Bary shows the following chain of inclusions: quasi-derivable $\subsetneq S + S \subsetneq \mathcal{C} = S + S + S$, for continuous functions on $[0,1]$.

It can be shown that above, Banach's condition S can be replaced by $GE(1) \cap T_1 \subsetneq S$, where $GE(1)$ is defined using condition $E(1)$ of [6].

In our paper we define conditions $GAC_{\#}D_1^* \subsetneq GAC_{\#}D_1 \subset GE(1) \cap T_1$ for continuous functions on $[0,1]$, with which we improve the above results. (Following Nina Bary's proof of [1], p.222, conditions $GAC_{\#}D_1^*$ and $GAC_{\#}D_1$ are very natural.)

To prove that $S + S \neq \mathcal{C}$, Nina Bary introduced the wrinkled functions W (she called them "fonctions ridées", [1], p.236) and showed that $W \neq \emptyset$ (see the example of [1], pp.241-248; see also [5] or [3]) and $W \cap (N + \text{quasi-derivable}) = \emptyset$ (see [1], p.237), hence $W \cap (N + N) = \emptyset$, for continuous functions on $[0,1]$.

In our paper we give characterizations of the wrinkled functions which show that between Foran's condition M (introduced in 1979 in [8]) and these functions there is a very close relationship. So we improve Nina Bary's results on wrinkled functions. Finally we construct a wrinkled function which is approximately derivable at no point of $[0,1]$ and for which each level set is perfect.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{F : F \text{ is continuous}\}$. Banach's conditions T_1, T_2, S , Lusin's condition N and conditions $VB_+, VB, AC_+, AC, VBG_+, VBG, ACG_+, ACG$ are defined in [13]; $E(N)$ and \mathcal{C} in [6].

Definition 1. ([1], p.236). Let Q be a measurable real set and let $f: Q \rightarrow R$. f is a wrinkled function, $f \in W$, if for every measurable subset $A \subset Q$, $|A| > 0$, f is monotone on some $B \subset A$, where $|B| = 0$, $f(B)$ is measurable and $|f(B)| > 0$. (Without loss of generality A may be supposed to be perfect, since a measurable set is the union of a F_σ -set and a null set.)

Definition 2. ([1], p.178). A continuous function $f: [0,1] \rightarrow R$ is quasi-derivable if on each interval $f'(x)$ exists and is finite at every point x of a set which has positive measure.

Definition 3. ([8]). A continuous function fulfils Foran's condition M if it is AC on any set on which it is VB .

Definition 4. ([12], p.406). A function f is D_1 (resp. D_1^*) on a set E if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{I_i\}$ of nonoverlapping closed (resp. of open) intervals which covers E and $\sum_1 O(f; E \cap I_i) < \varepsilon$ (resp. $\sum_1 O(f; I_i) < \varepsilon$).

A function f is $E_1(1,1)$ on E if $f \in D_1$ on Z , whenever $Z \subset E$, $|Z| = 0$.

Remark 1. a) In [12], Lee calls condition $D_1, D_1(1)$ and he shows that $E_1(1,1)$ and $E(1)$ (see [6]) are equivalent (see [12], Remark 14, p.416). Another condition which is equivalent with $E(1)$ is given by Iseki (see [12], pp.415-416).

b) Clearly $D_1^* \subset D_1$.

Definition 5. ([12], p.416). For a function property P (resp. for function properties P_1 and P_2) on sets we say that a function

f is generalized P (resp. generalized P_1P_2) on E , writing $f \in GP$ (resp. $f \in GP_1P_2$) on E , if E can be written as the union of countably many sets on each of which f is P (resp. f is P_1 or f is P_2). Thus we have properties like $GD_1^* ; GD_1 ; GAC_*D_1^* ; GAC_*D_1 ; GE(1)$.

- Remark 2. a) $GD_1^* = D_1^*$ on a set.
 b) If $f \in D_1$ on a set E then $|f(E)| = 0$ and $f \in E(1)$ on E . Hence, if $f \in GD_1$ on E then $|f(E)| = 0$ and $f \in GE(1)$ on E .
 c) If f is a Darboux function and $f \in GD_1$ on an interval then f is a constant.
 d) Let f be a nonconstant continuous function on $[0,1]$. If A is a countable dense subset of $[0,1]$ then $f \notin D_1^*$ on $[0,1]$ and $f \in D_1^*$ on A .
 e) $\mathcal{C} \cap GAC_*D_1 \subset T_1$ on an interval (see [13], Theorem 7.2, p.230, Theorem 6.2, p.278 and Remark 2, b)).

Remark 3. For continuous functions on $[0,1]$, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{C} & \stackrel{(1)}{\subsetneq} & N & \stackrel{(2)}{\subsetneq} & M & \stackrel{(3)}{\subsetneq} & \text{quasi-derivable} & \stackrel{(4)}{\subsetneq} & S+S & \stackrel{(5)}{\subsetneq} & \text{quasi-derivable} \\ + \text{quasi-derivable} & & & & & \stackrel{(6)}{=} & \mathcal{C} & \stackrel{(7)}{=} & S+S+S. & & \end{array}$$

Proof. For (1) see [6], p.208; for (2) see [8], p.84; for (3) see [8], p.87; for (4) see [1], p.222, p.229; for (6) see [1], p.599, hence (5) follows by (6) and [1], p.237; for (7) see [1], p.611.

Proposition 1. For continuous functions on $[0,1]$ we have:

$$GAC_*D_1^* \stackrel{(1)}{\subsetneq} GAC_*D_1 \stackrel{(2)}{\subset} GE(1) \cap T_1 \stackrel{(3)}{\subset} \mathcal{C} \cap T_1 \stackrel{(4)}{\subsetneq} S.$$

Proof. For (3), see the definitions and for (4) see [6], p. 208. Clearly $GAC_*D_1^* \subset GAC_*D_1 \subset GE(1) \cap T_1$ (see Remark 2, e)). It remains to show that (1) is strict. Let \mathcal{C} be the Cantor ternary set and let φ be the Cantor ternary function. Let $\{I_n^k\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{k-1}$ be the open intervals excluded at the step k in the

Cantor ternary process. Let c_n^k be the middle point of I_n^k . Let $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = 0$, $x \in C$; $f(c_n^k) = 1/2^k$. Extending f linearly, we have f defined and continuous on $[0,1]$. Clearly $f \in GAC_* D_1$ on $[0,1]$, and $f \in AC_*$ on $[0,1] - C$. Suppose that $f \in GAC_* D_1^*$ on C . Then there exists a sequence of sets $\{E_n\}$ such that $C = \bigcup E_n$ and either $f \in AC_*$ on E_n or $f \in D_1^*$ on E_n . Let p be a natural number such that f is AC_* on E_p . Since $f \in \mathcal{C}$ it follows that f is AC_* on \bar{E}_p . We prove that $f \in D_1^*$ on \bar{E}_p . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let δ be given by the fact that $f \in AC_*$ on \bar{E}_p . Since $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $|\bar{E}_p| = 0$ we can cover E_p with a sequence of nonoverlapping intervals $\{I_n\}$ such that $\sum |I_n| < \delta$ and $\sum O(f; I_n) < \varepsilon$. Hence $f \in D_1^*$ on \bar{E}_p . It follows that $f \in GD_1^*$ on C , hence $f \in D_1^*$ on C . We show that $f \notin D_1^*$ on C . Let $C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)$.

For each i let J_i be the greatest excluded open interval (in the Cantor ternary process) contained in $[a_i, b_i]$, where $a_i = \inf((a_i, b_i) \cap C)$ and $b_i = \sup((a_i, b_i) \cap C)$. Suppose that J_i is excluded at the step k . Then

$$J_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i/3^i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} 2/3^i, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i/3^i + 2/3^k \right). \text{ Let}$$

$$J_i^1 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i/3^i, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i/3^i + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} 2/3^i \right]. \text{ Then } [a_i, b_i] \subset J_i^1,$$

hence $C \subset \bigcup J_i^1$. We have $O(f; J_i) = O(f; J_i^1) = |\varphi(J_i^1)| = 1/2^k$,

$$[0,1] = \varphi(C) \subset \bigcup \varphi(J_i^1), \text{ hence } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} O(f; (a_i, b_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} O(f; J_i) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\varphi(J_i^1)| \geq 1 \text{ and } f \notin D_1^* \text{ on } C.$$

Theorem 1. Let $F: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F \in \mathcal{C} \cap \text{quasi-derivable}$. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\emptyset \subset P \subset [0,1]$ be a perfect nowhere dense set and let $D = \{x \in [0,1] - P : F \text{ is derivable at } x\}$. Then there exist a set Q of

F_r -type, $Q \subset D$, $|Q| = |D|$ and two continuous functions f_1 and f_2 such that: a) $F(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$ on $[0,1]$; b) $f_1, f_2 \in D_1^*$ on $Q^c = [0,1] - Q$; c) $f_1, f_2 \in ACG_*$ on Q ; d) $|f_2(x)| < 3a$ on $[0,1]$ and $f_2(0) = f_2(1) = 0$.

Proof. Let P_1 be a perfect nowhere dense subset of D , $|P_1| > 0$. We shall construct a strictly increasing sequence $\{P_k\}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$, of nowhere dense perfect subsets of D such that $P_k - P_{k-1}$ is a nowhere dense subset of positive measure in each \bar{I}_n^{k-1} and $|Q| = |D|$, where I_n^k are the intervals contiguous to P_k , $k = 2, 3, \dots$ and $Q = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k$. Let $g_1: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that: $g_1(x) = F(x)$ on P_1 ; g_1 is a bounded derived number on each I_n^1 ; g_1 is constant on each I_n^2 ; $|h_1(x)| < a/2^{n+1}$ on each I_n^1 , where $h_1(x) = F(x) - g_1(x)$. The existence of g_1 follows by [1], pp.222-224. Since $h_1 = 0$ on P_1 , by [13] (Theorem 8.5, p.232), it follows that $h_1 \in AC_*$ on P_1 . By [13] (Theorem 10.5, p.235), $F \in ACG_*$ on P_2 . Clearly $g_1 \in AC_*$ on each I_n^1 . Since $g_1 = F - h_1$ it follows that $g_1 \in AC_*$ on P_1 , hence $g_1 \in ACG_*$ on $[0,1]$. Since $F \in ACG_*$ on P_2 it follows that $h_1 \in ACG_*$ on P_2 . Since $h_1 - F$ is constant on each I_n^2 , it follows that h_1 is derivable on $D - P_2$. Replacing F by h_1 we construct a continuous function g_2 , analogously to the construction of g_1 , such that $g_2 = h_1$ on P_2 , g_2 is ACG_* on $[0,1]$, g_2 is constant on each interval I_n^3 and $|h_2(x)| < a/2^{n+2}$ on each I_n^2 , where $h_2(x) = h_1(x) - g_2(x)$. Then $h_2(x) = 0$ on P_2 ; h_2 is ACG_* on P_3 ; h_2 is derivable on $D - P_3$; $|h_2(x)| < a/2^2$ on $[0,1]$. Continuing in this way we obtain two sequences of continuous functions $\{g_i\}$, $\{h_i\}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots$ such that:

A. $g_i = h_{i-1}$ on P_i ; $g_i \in ACG_*$ on $[0,1]$; g_i is constant on each

$$I_n^{i+1} ;$$

B. $h_i = 0$ on P_i ; $|h_i(x)| < a/2^{n+i}$ on I_n^i ; $h_i \in \text{ACG}_*$ on P_{i+1} ;

$h_i = h_{i-1} - g_i$ is derivable on $D - P_{i+1}$.

Clearly

$$(1) \quad |h_i(x)| < a/2^i \text{ on } [0,1] .$$

Then we have $F(x) = g_1(x) + \dots + g_m(x) + h_m(x)$, for each natural number m and by (1), $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x)$ converges uniformly to $F(x)$. Let

$$F_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{2i-1}(x) ; F_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{2i}(x) ; R_m(x) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (h_i(x) -$$

$h_{i+1}(x))$. Then $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[0,1]$ and $F(x) = F_1(x) + F_2(x)$.

We have

$$(2) \quad F_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k g_{2i-1}(x) + R_{2k}(x) ;$$

$$(3) \quad F_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k g_{2i}(x) + R_{2k+1}(x) ;$$

$$(4) \quad R_i(x) = 0 \text{ on } P_i ;$$

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} o(R_i; I_n^i) < a/2^{i-2} .$$

By (4), (5) and [13] (Theorem 8.5, p.232) it follows that

$$(6) \quad R_i(x) \text{ is } \text{AC}_* \text{ on } P_i .$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^k g_{2i-1}(x)$ is constant on each I_n^{2k} , it follows that

$$(7) \quad o(F_1; I_n^{2k}) = o(R_{2k}; I_n^{2k}) .$$

By A., (2) and (6), it follows that F_1 is ACG_* on P_{2k} . Hence F_1 is ACG_* on $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$. Analogously F_2 is ACG_* on Q . Moreover,

$$(8) \quad |F_2(x)| = |R_1(x)| < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |h_i(x)| < a .$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let k be a natural number such that $a/2^{2k} < \varepsilon$.

Then $Q^c \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{2k+2}$ and by (5) and (7) it follows that

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O(F_1; I_n^{2k+2}) < a/2^{2k} < \varepsilon$, hence F_1 is D_1^* on Q^c . Analogously,

F_2 is D_1^* on Q^c . Therefore we obtain: $F = F_1 + F_2$ on $[0, 1]$; F_1, F_2 are D_1^* on Q^c ; F_1, F_2 are ACG* on Q ; $|F_2(x)| < a$ on $[0, 1]$. Let

$H(x) = F_2(0) + ((F_2(1) - F_2(0))/|P_1|) \int_0^x K_{P_1}(t) dt$, where K_{P_1} is the

characteristic function of P_1 . Clearly H is AC on $[0, 1]$; $|H(x)|$

$< 2a$; H is constant on each I_n^1 . Let $f_2 = F_2 - H$ and $f_1 = F_1 + H$ on $[0, 1]$.

Corollary 1. Let $a > 0$ and let P be a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 1]$. Let $F: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$, $F \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there exist two continuous functions F_1, F_2 on $[0, 1]$ such that: a) $F = F_1 + F_2$ on $[0, 1]$; b) $F_2 \in D_1^*$ on P and $F_1 \in D_1$ on P ; c) $F_2(0) = F_2(1) = 0$; d) $|F_2(x)| < a$ on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function defined as follows: $f(x) = F(x)$, $x \in P \cup \{0, 1\}$; f is linear on the closure of each interval contiguous to $P \subset [0, 1]$. By Theorem 1, $f = f_1 + f_2$ on $[0, 1]$; $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[0, 1]$; $f_1, f_2 \in D_1^*$ on P ; $f_2(0) = f_2(1) = 0$; $|f_2(x)| < a$ on $[0, 1]$. Let $F_2 = f_2$ and $F_1 = F - f_2$. Then $F_1 = f_1$ on P , hence $F_1 \in D_1$ on P .

Corollary 2. Let $a > 0$ and let P be a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 1]$. Let $F: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$, $F \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there exists a perfect nowhere dense set $Q \supset P$ such that $Q - P$ is a perfect nowhere dense set of positive measure in each interval contiguous to P and there exist two continuous functions F_1, F_2 on $[0, 1]$ such

that: a) $F = F_1 + F_2$ on $[0,1]$; b) $F_1, F_2 \in D_1$ on P ; F_1 is AC on each interval contiguous to P ; F_1 is constant on each interval contiguous to Q ; c) $F_2(0) = F_2(1) = 0$; d) $|F_2(x)| < a$ on $[0,1]$.

Proof. By Corollary 1, for $a/2$, there exist two continuous functions f_1, f_2 on $[0,1]$ such that: a') $F = f_1 + f_2$ on $[0,1]$; b') $f_2 \in D_1^*$ on P and $f_1 \in D_1$ on P ; c') $f_2(0) = f_2(1) = 0$; d') $|f_2(x)| < a/2$ on $[0,1]$. Let $\{I_n\}$ be the intervals contiguous to P with respect to $[0,1]$. For each natural number n there exists a perfect nowhere dense subset Q_n of \bar{I}_n , $|Q_n| > 0$ and a continuous function F_1 on $[0,1]$ such that: $F_1(x) = f_1(x)$ on P ; $F_1(0) = F(0)$; $F_1(1) = F(1)$; $F_1 \in AC$ on each I_n ; F_1 is constant on each interval contiguous to Q_n with respect to \bar{I}_n ; $|F_2(x)| < a$ on $[0,1]$, where $F_2(x) = F(x) - F_1(x)$. These follow by [1], p.609-611 (if we put $I_n = \xi_n$; $f_1 = \bar{\varphi}$; $f_2 = \bar{\psi}$; $F_1 = \varphi$; $F_2 = \psi$; $a/2 = \varepsilon/2$). By a') and c') it follows that $F_1(x) = f_1(x)$ on $P \cup \{0,1\}$, hence $F_2(x) = f_2(x)$ on $P \cup \{0,1\}$. It follows that $F_2(0) = F_2(1) = 0$ and by b'), $F_1, F_2 \in D_1$ on P .

Remark 4. a) Theorem 1 extends Nina Bary's theorem of [1], p.222 and Corollary 1 extends Nina Bary's theorem of [1], p.603 (instead of condition " $|F_1(P)| = |F_2(P)| = 0$ " we put condition b)). b) Corollary 2 is an extension of Nina Bary's Corollary of [1], p.609.

Remark 5. For continuous functions on $[0,1]$ we have: quasi-derivable $\subseteq GAC_* D_1^* + GAC_* D_1^* \subseteq GAC_* D_1 + GAC_* D_1 \subseteq S + S$ (see Theorem 1 and Proposition 1). We don't know if the inclusions are strict.

Proposition 2. For continuous functions on $[0,1]$ we have: quasi-derivable $\not\subseteq GAC_* D_1 + GAC_* D_1$.

Proof. The inclusion follows by Remark 5. To prove that the inclusion is strict we shall construct two continuous functions $f, g: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f, g \in GAC_*D_1$ and $f+g$ is not derivable a.e. on $[0, 1]$. Then $f+g$ is not quasi-derivable on $[0, 1]$. Let P_1 be a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 1]$, symmetrical with respect to $1/2$ such that $0, 1 \in P_1$ and $|P_1| = 1/2$. We shall construct a strictly increasing sequence of subsets of $[0, 1]$, P_k , $k \geq 2$. Let $I_n^k = (a_n^k, b_n^k)$ be the intervals contiguous to P_k with respect to $[0, 1]$. Suppose that P_1, \dots, P_k have been defined and let's define P_{k+1} . Let P_n^k be a perfect nowhere dense subset of \bar{I}_n^k such that $a_n^k, b_n^k \in P_n^k$, $|P_n^k| = |I_n^k|/2$ and P_n^k is symmetrical with respect to $c_n^k = (a_n^k + b_n^k)/2$. Then $P_{k+1} = P_k \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^k) = P_k \cup S^{k+1}$. By [1], pp. 229-230 (for $\mathcal{E} = I_n^k$, $Q = P_n^k$ and $\varepsilon = 1/2^{n+k}$), there exists a continuous function $f_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1/2^k]$ with the following properties: $f_k(x) = 0$ on P_k ; f_k is AC $_G$ on each I_n^k ; $|f_k(x)| < 1/2^{n+k}$ on I_n^k ; $f_k(x) = 0$ on P_n^k ; f_k is AC on I_n^{k+1} ; f_k is constant on each I_n^{k+2} ; f_k is not derivable on P_n^k . Let $A = P_1 \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{2i+1})$; $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{2i}$; $E = [0, 1] - (A \cup B) = [0, 1] - (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i)$. Then $|A \cup B| = 1$.

Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{2i-1}(x)$ and $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{2i}(x)$. Clearly f, g and $f+g$ are continuous on $[0, 1]$. It follows that: a) f is AC $_G$ on A and f is not derivable a.e. on B ; b) g is AC $_G$ on B and g is not derivable a.e. on A ; c) $f \in GD_1$ on B ; $f \in D_1^*$ on E ; $g \in GD_1$ on A ; $g \in D_1^*$ on E . By a) and b), $f+g$ is not derivable a.e. on $[0, 1]$. By a) and c), $f \in GAC_*D_1$ on $[0, 1]$ and by b) and c), $g \in GAC_*D_1$ on $[0, 1]$. We prove only the part with f . Let $R_{2k+1}(x) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} f_{2i+1}(x)$. Then

$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_{2i-1}(x) + R_{2k+1}(x)$. We have

$$(9) \quad R_{2k+1}(x) = 0 \text{ on } P_{2k+1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O(R_{2k+1}; I_n^{2k+1}) < 1/2^{2k-1},$$

hence, by [13] (Theorem 8.5, p.232),

$$(11) \quad R_{2k+1} \text{ is } AC_* \text{ on } P_{2k+1}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^k f_{2i-1}(x)$ is constant on each I_n^{2k+1} , it follows that

$$(12) \quad O(f; I_n^{2k+1}) = O(R_{2k+1}; I_n^{2k+1}).$$

By (9), it follows that

$$(13) \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_{2i-1}(x) \text{ on } P_{2k+1}.$$

a) Since $f(x) = R_1(x)$ on $[0, 1]$, $f \in AC_*$ on P_1 . Since $f_1, f_3, \dots, f_{2k-1}$ are AC_* on each I_n^{2k} , it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^k f_{2i-1}(x)$ is AC_* on each P_n^{2k} . By (11), f is AC_* on each P_n^{2k} . Therefore f is ACG_* on S^{2k+1} .

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{2i-1}(x)$ is constant on each I_n^{2k-1} , f_{2k-1} is not derivable on P_n^{2k-1} and R_{2k+1} is derivable a.e. on P_{2k+1} . It follows that f is not derivable a.e. on S^{2k} .

c) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let k be a natural number such that $1/2^{2k-1} < \varepsilon$.

Since $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{2k+1}$, by (10) and (12), it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O(f; I_n^{2k+1}) < \varepsilon, \text{ hence } f \in D_1^* \text{ on } E. \text{ Since } \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{2i-1}(x) \text{ is}$$

constant on each I_n^{2k+1} and $f_{2k-1}(x) = 0$ on each P_n^{2k-1} , it follows that f is constant on each P_n^{2k-1} , hence $f \in D_1$ on S^{2k} . Thus $f \in GD_1$ on B .

Using Corollary 2 instead of Nina Bary's Corollary [1], p. 609, the following theorem can be proved:

Theorem 2. (An extension of the theorem of [1], p.611).

$\mathcal{C} = \text{GAC}_* D_1 + \text{GAC}_* D_1 + \text{GAC}_* D_1$ for continuous functions on $[0,1]$.

Remark 6. We don't know if Theorem 2 remains true if $\text{GAC}_* D_1$ is replaced by $\text{GAC}_* D_1^*$.

Theorem 3. (An extension of the theorem of [1], p.237). Let P be a perfect set, $P \subset [0,1]$, $|P| > 0$ and let $F: P \rightarrow [0,1]$. If $F \in W \cap \mathcal{C}$ then F cannot be written as the sum of two continuous functions F_1 and F_2 such that $F_1 \in M$ and F_2 is approximately differentiable on a set of positive measure. Hence $W \cap (M + M) = \emptyset$ for continuous functions on $[0,1]$ (see Remark 3).

Proof. Suppose that there exists a set $E \subset P$ of positive measure such that F_2 is approximately differentiable on E . By [13] (Theorem 10.14, p.239) F_2 is ACG on E . Since $F \in W$ there exists $E_1 \subset E$, $|E_1| = 0$ such that $F(E_1)$ is measurable, $|F(E_1)| > 0$ and F is monotone on E_1 . Then $F_1 = F - F_2$ is VBG on E_1 . Since $F_1 \in M$, $F_1 \in \text{ACG}$ on E_1 . Hence $F_1 + F_2$ is ACG on E_1 and $|F(E_1)| = 0$, a contradiction.

Remark 7. If P is a perfect nowhere dense set of positive measure, $P \subset [0,1]$ then there exists a continuous function in D_1^* on P which is approximately differentiable on no set of positive measure (see Theorem 1 and Theorem 3).

Corollary 3. Let $F: P \subset [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$, where $F \in W \cap \mathcal{C}$ and P is a measurable set of positive measure. Then F is approximately differentiable on no set of positive measure.

Theorem 4. a) Let $F: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $P \subseteq [0,1]$ be a measurable set, $|P| > 0$. Then $F \in W$ on P if and only if $F \in M$ on no closed subset Q of P , $|Q| > 0$.

b) Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$, where $S \subset [0,1]$ is a measurable set. Then $f \in W$ if and only if for every subset $A \subset S$ of positive measure, f is strictly monotone on some perfect subset $B \subset A$ such that $|f(B)| > 0$ and $|B| = 0$.

Proof. a) " \Rightarrow " Let Q be a closed subset of positive measure of P . Since $F \in W$ on P it follows that there exists $Q_1 \subset Q$, $|Q_1| = 0$ such that F is monotone on Q_1 , $F(Q_1)$ is measurable and $|F(Q_1)| > 0$, hence $F \notin M$ on Q .

" \Leftarrow " Let A be a perfect subset of P of positive measure. Since $F \notin M$ on A , by Theorem 1 of [8] (p.83), it follows that there exists $B \subset A$ such that F is monotone on B and $F \notin AC$ on B . Since $F \in \mathcal{C}$, F is monotone on \bar{B} . We prove that $|\bar{B}| = 0$, hence $F(\bar{B})$ is measurable and $|F(\bar{B})| > 0$. Suppose that $|F(\bar{B})| = 0$ then $F \in \mathcal{C} \cap VB \cap N$ on \bar{B} and by Theorem 6.7 of [13] (p.227), $F \in AC$ on \bar{B} , hence $F \in AC$ on B , a contradiction. Suppose that $|\bar{B}| > 0$ then F is approximately differentiable on a measurable set $E \subset B$, $|E| = |\bar{B}|$, hence $F \in ACG$ on E . It follows that there exists a closed set Q , $Q \subset E$, $|Q| > 0$ such that $F \in ACG \subset M$ on Q , a contradiction.

b) " \Rightarrow " is evident.

" \Leftarrow " Let A be a perfect subset of S , $|A| > 0$. Since $f \in W$, there exists $B_1 \subset A$, $|B_1| = 0$, $f|_{B_1}$ is monotone, $f(B_1)$ is measurable and $|f(B_1)| > 0$. We prove that $|\bar{B}_1| = 0$. Suppose that $|\bar{B}_1| > 0$. Since $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $f|_{\bar{B}_1}$ is monotone. Hence f is approximately derivable a.e. on \bar{B}_1 , a contradiction (see Corollary 3). Let $C = \{y \in f(\bar{B}_1) : f^{-1}(y) \cap \bar{B}_1 \text{ contains more than one point}\}$. Then C is countable.

Suppose $C = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$. Let $\varepsilon < (|f(B_1)|)/4$, $a_n = \inf(\bar{B}_1 \cap f^{-1}(y_n))$, $b_n = \sup(\bar{B}_1 \cap f^{-1}(y_n))$. Since $f \in \mathcal{C}$ it follows that there exist $\delta_n > 0$ such that $f(\bar{B}_1 \cap (a_n - \delta_n, b_n + \delta_n)) \subset (y_n - \varepsilon/2^{n+1}, y_n + \varepsilon/2^{n+1})$. Let $G = \bigcup_n (a_n - \delta_n, b_n + \delta_n)$. Hence $|f(\bar{B}_1 \cap G)| < \varepsilon$. Let B be the set of points of accumulation of the closed set $\bar{B}_1 - G$. Then B is a perfect subset of A , $|B| = 0$, $f|_B$ is strictly monotone, $f(B)$ is a compact set (since $f \in \mathcal{C}$) and $|f(B)| > (3/4)|f(B_1)| > 0$.

Lemma 1. Let A be a perfect subset of $[0,1]$, $|A| > 0$ and let $f:A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $E = \{x \in A : f \text{ is approximately differentiable at } x \text{ and } f'_{ap}(x) > 0\}$. If E has positive measure then there exists a perfect subset B of E , $|B| = 0$, such that $f|_B$ is strictly increasing.

Proof. That E is measurable follows by [13], p.299. Let $E_n = \{x \in E : 0 < h < 1/n \text{ implies } |\{t : 1/n \leq (f(t)-f(x))/(t-x), 0 < |t-x| < h\}| > (3/4) \cdot 2h\}$. Let $E_{in} = E_n \cap [i/n, (i+1)/n]$ for each natural number i . Then $E = \bigcup_i \bigcup_n E_{in}$. Let p, j such that $|E_{pj}| > 0$. If $x < y$, $x, y \in E_{pj}$ then $f(y) - f(x) \geq (1/p)(y-x)$. Since $f \in \mathcal{C}$ it follows that $f(y) - f(x) \geq (1/p)(y-x)$, for $x < y$, $x, y \in \bar{E}_{pj}$. Let B be a perfect subset of positive measure of \bar{E}_{pj} . Then f is strictly increasing on B .

Theorem 5. Let P be a perfect subset of $[0,1]$, $|P| > 0$. Let $F:P \rightarrow [0,1]$, $F \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{C}$; $g:P \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, $g(P) = \mathbb{Q}$; $g \in \mathcal{C}$; $f:\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $D_{ap} = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : f \text{ is approximately differentiable at } x\}$. If $F = f \circ g$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $|f(\mathbb{Q} - D_{ap})| = 0$ then $g \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. The proof is similar with that of [1] (Theorem of p. 238), using Lemma 1 instead of the lemma of [1], p.239.

Let A be a perfect subset of P , $|A| > 0$. Since $F \in W$, by Theorem 4, b), there exists a perfect subset B of A , $|B| = 0$, such that $F|_B$ is strictly monotone and $|F(B)| > 0$. Let $B' = g(B)$. By [13] (Theorem 10.14, p.239), it follows that $f \in ACG$ on D_{ap} . Since $|f(Q - D_{ap})| = 0$ it follows that $f \in N$ on Q , hence $|B'| > 0$. Indeed, if $|B'| = 0$ then $|F(B)| = |f(B')| = 0$, a contradiction. Let $D_0 = \{x \in Q : f'_{ap}(x) = 0\}$. By [13] (Lemma 9.2, p.290), it follows that $|f(D_0)| = 0$, hence $B' - D_0$ is measurable and $|B' - D_0| > 0$ (if $|B' - D_0| = 0$ then $|F(B)| = 0$, a contradiction). It follows that B' contains a subset $E \subset D_{ap}$ of positive measure where f'_{ap} does not change the sign. Suppose that $f'_{ap}(x) > 0$, for each $x \in E$. By Lemma 1 there exists a perfect subset C' of E , $|C'| > 0$, such that $f|_{C'}$ is strictly increasing. Let $C = g^{-1}(C')$. Since F is strictly monotone on C , it follows that g is strictly monotone on C , $|C| = 0$ and $|g(C)| = |C'| > 0$, hence $g \in W$.

Remark 8. Theorem 5 is an extension of the theorem of [1], p.238 (there, $f \in AC$).

Theorem 6. Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a perfect set, $|P| > 0$. Let $F: P \rightarrow [0, 1]$; $g: P \rightarrow Q \subset [0, 1]$, $Q = g(P)$; $|Q| > 0$; $f: Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and let $D_{ap} = \{x \in P : g \text{ is approximately differentiable at } x\}$. If $F = f \circ g$, $F, g, f \in \mathcal{C}$, $F \in W$ and $|g(P - D_{ap})| = 0$ then $f \in W$.

Proof. Let A be a perfect subset of Q , $|A| > 0$. Let $A_1 = g^{-1}(A)$, then A_1 is a closed subset of P . But g is ACG on P , hence g satisfies Lusin's condition N on P . It follows that $|A_1| > 0$. Since $|A| > 0$, $D_0 = \{x \in P : g'_{ap}(x) = 0\}$, $|g(D_0)| = 0$ and $|g(P - D_{ap})| = 0$, it follows that $A_1 \cap D_{ap}$ contains a subset E of positive measure where f'_{ap} doesn't change the sign. Suppose that $f'_{ap}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in E$. By Lemma 1 it follows that there exists a perfect

subset C of E , $|C| > 0$ such that $g|_C$ is strictly increasing. $F \in W$ implies that there exists a perfect subset B of C such that $|B| = 0$, $F|_B$ is strictly monotone and $|F(B)| > 0$. Let $B_1 = g(B) \subset A$. Since g is ACG on D_{ap} it follows that $|B_1| = 0$. Since $g|_B$ is strictly increasing and $F|_B$ is strictly monotone it follows that $f|_{B_1}$ is strictly monotone and $|f(B_1)| = |f(g(B))| = |F(B)| > 0$, hence $f \in W$ on Q .

Definition 6. Let $F: [0,1] \rightarrow R$, $F \in C$. F is said to be W^* if for every subinterval I of $[0,1]$, there exists a perfect subset P of I , $|P| = 0$, $F|_P$ - monotone, such that $|F(P)| > 0$. Clearly $WC \subset W^*$.

Remark 9. By Corollary 2 of [2](p.213), a typical continuous function $f: [0,1] \rightarrow R$ does not have finite or infinite derivative at any point. By [8](Theorem 3, p.87), if f is a continuous function on $I \subset [0,1]$ and if $\{x \in I : f'(x) \text{ exists}\}$ has measure 0 then there is a perfect set P , $|P| = 0$ such that f is increasing on P and $|f(P)| > 0$. Hence a typical continuous function is W^* . Is W typical for continuous functions on $[0,1]$?

Remark 10. There exists a function $g \in W^* - W$. By [10] (Example 2, p.41), there exists a continuous function g defined on $[0,1]$ whose graph has V - finite Hausdorff length and such that g is nowhere differentiable but has approximate derivative 0 almost everywhere (g will satisfy condition T_1). Since the set $E = \{x : g'(x) = +\infty\}$ has measure 0 (see [13], Theorem 4.4, p.270), by [8] (Theorem 3, p.87), it follows that $g \in W^*$. By Corollary 3, $g \notin W$.

Lemma 2. There exist a continuous function $F: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ and a symmetric perfect nowhere dense subset Q of $[0,1]$, $0,1 \in Q$, $|Q| = 1/2$, such that: a) $F \in W$ on P ; b) For each $y \in [0,1]$, $Q \cap F^{-1}(y)$ is a nonempty perfect subset of Q ; c) $F|_Q$ has finite or

infinite derivative at no point $x \in Q$; d) $F|_Q$ has finite approximate derivative at no point $x \in Q$; e) F is linear and strictly decreasing on each interval contiguous to Q .

Proof. We shall define the set Q . Let $a_{2i} = (1 - \sum_{k=2}^{i+1} 1/2^k)/4^i = 1/(2 \cdot 4^i) + 1/(2 \cdot 8^i)$, $i \geq 0$; $a_{2i-1} = 2a_{2i}$, $i \geq 1$, $c_1 = a_{1-1} - a_1$, $i \geq 1$. Then $a_{2i} = c_{2i} = \sum_{k=2i+1}^{\infty} c_k$, $c_{2i-1} = 1/4^i + 3/8^i$, $i \geq 1$, $a_{2i-1} = \sum_{k=2i}^{\infty} c_k$. Let $Q = \{x : \text{There exists } e_i(x) \text{ taking on } 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ and } x = \sum e_i(x)c_i\}$. The open intervals deleted in the s -step of the construction of Q are $C_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}} = (\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i c_i + a_s, \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i c_i + c_s)$, $(e_1, \dots, e_{s-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{s-1}$. $C_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}} \neq \emptyset$ iff $s = 2p-1$, $p \geq 1$ and in this case $|C_{e_1 \dots e_{2p-2}}| = 2/8^p$. The remaining intervals of the s -step are $R_{e_1 \dots e_s} = [\sum_{i=1}^s e_i c_i, \sum_{i=1}^s e_i c_i + a_s]$, where $(e_1, \dots, e_s) \in \{0, 1\}^s$. Then $Q = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 2^s a_s = 1/2$. Let $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{2i}(x)/2^i$, $x \in Q$. Extending F linearly on each interval contiguous to Q we have F defined and continuous on $[0, 1]$. We have:

$$(14) \quad F(R_{e_1 \dots e_{2s}}) = F(Q \cap R_{e_1 \dots e_{2s}}) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^s e_{2i}/2^i, \sum_{i=1}^s e_{2i}/2^i + 1/2^s \right].$$

(See fig.1 for the representation of the first two steps in the construction of the graph of F .)

a) Let $a_i' = 1/2^{i+1} + 1/4^{i+1}$, $i \geq 0$, $c_i' = a_{i-1}' - a_i'$, $i \geq 1$, hence $c_i' = 1/2^{i+1} + 3/4^{i+1}$. Let $P = \{x : \text{There exists } e_i(x) \text{ taking on } 0$

or 1 and $x = \sum e_i(x)c_i'$. Clearly P is a symmetric perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 3/4]$. The open intervals deleted in the s -step of the construction of P are $O'_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}} = (\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i c_i' + a_s',$

$\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i c_i' + c_s')$, $(e_1, \dots, e_{s-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{s-1}$ and the remaining intervals

of the s -step are $R'_{e_1 \dots e_s} = [\sum_{i=1}^s e_i c_i', \sum_{i=1}^s e_i c_i' + a_s']$, where

$(e_1, \dots, e_s) \in \{0, 1\}^s$, $|P| = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 2^s a_s' = 1/2$. Let $F_1(x) =$

$\sum_{i=1}^s e_i 2^i(x)/2^i$ if $x \in P$. Extending F_1 linearly on each interval

contiguous to P , we have F_1 defined and continuous on $[0, 3/4]$

(see [5]). If s is odd (resp. even) then F_1 is linear and strictly decreasing (resp. constant) on each $O'_{e_1 \dots e_s}$. Let $h: P \rightarrow Q$, $h(x) =$

$h(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i(x)c_i') = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i(x)c_i$. Extending h linearly on each inter-

val contiguous to P we have h defined, continuous and increasing on $[0, 3/4]$, $h(0) = 0$, $h(3/4) = 1$; $h = \text{constant}$ on each

$O'_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}}$ if s is even; $h(P \cap R'_{e_1 \dots e_s}) = Q \cap R_{e_1 \dots e_s}$;

$h(R'_{e_1 \dots e_s}) = R_{e_1 \dots e_s}$. We prove that $h \in AC$ on $[0, 3/4]$. Since

h is increasing it suffices to show that $\int_0^{3/4} h'(x)dx = 1$, hence

$\int_P h'(x)dx = |Q|$. The function h is derivable a.e. on P . Let $x_0 \in P$.

be a point at which h is derivable. Then $h'(x_0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |R'_{e_1 \dots e_s}| /$

$|R'_{e_1 \dots e_s}| = 1$, hence $\int_P h'(x)dx = |Q|$. Since $F_1(x) = F(h(x))$ and

$F_1 \in W$ on P (see Lemma 3 of [5]), by Theorem 6, $F \in W$ on Q .

b) Let $y \in [0, 1]$. If y is uniquely represented in base 2, $y =$

$\sum y_i/2^i$, then $A_y = \{x \in Q : F(x) = y\} = \{x \in Q : e_{2^i}(x) = y_i\}$ is a nowhere perfect subset of Q . If y has two representations in base 2, $y = \sum y_i/2^i = \sum y'_i/2^i$ then $A_y = \{x \in Q : F(x) = y\} = \{x \in Q : e_{2^i}(x) = y_i\} \cup \{x \in Q : e_{2^i}(x) = y'_i\}$ is a nonempty perfect subset of Q .

c) By b) it follows that 0 is a derived number for $F|_Q$ at $x \in Q$. Let $x_0 \in Q$. Then for each $s \geq 1$ there exist e_1, \dots, e_{2^s} such that $x_0 \in R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}}$. Since $0(F; Q \cap R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}}) = 1/2^s$ and $(1/2^s)/a_{2^s} \rightarrow \infty$, $s \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $F|_Q$ has finite or infinite derivative at no point $x_0 \in Q$.

d) Let $x_0 \in Q$, and for each $s \geq 1$, let e_1, \dots, e_{2^s} such that $x_0 \in R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}}$. Then either (i) $F(x_0) \in [\sum_{i=1}^s e_{2^i}(x)/2^i, \sum_{i=1}^s e_{2^i}(x)/2^i + 1/2^{s+1}]$ or (ii) $F(x_0) \in [\sum_{i=1}^s e_{2^i}(x)/2^i + 1/2^{s+1}, \sum_{i=1}^s e_{2^i}(x)/2^i + 1/2^s]$.

Suppose for example (i). Let $E_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}} = Q \cap (R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s} 0101} \cup R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s} 0111} \cup R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s} 1101} \cup R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s} 1111})$. Then $|E_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}}| / |R_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}}| \rightarrow 1/4$ and if $y_s \in E_{e_1 \dots e_{2^s}}$ then $|F(y_s) - F(x_0)| / a_{2^s} \geq (1/2^{s+2}) / a_{2^s} \rightarrow \infty$, hence F has a finite approximate derivative at no point $x_0 \in Q$.

e) Let $s = 2p-1$, $p \geq 1$, then

$$(15) \quad F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i c_i + a_s\right) - F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i c_i + c_s\right) = F(a_{2p-1}) - F(c_{2p-1}) \\ = F\left(\sum_{k=2p}^{\infty} c_k\right) = 1/2^{p-1}.$$

Theorem 7. There exists a continuous function $f: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ with the following properties: a) $f \in W$; b) For each $y \in [0,1]$,

$f^{-1}(y)$ is a nonempty perfect set; c) For each $x \in [0,1]$, $f'(x)$ does not exist (finite or infinite); d) f is approximately derivable at no point $x \in [0,1]$.

Proof. In what follows we use the notations introduced in the proof of Lemma 2. Let $I = [a,b] \subset [0,1]$ and let $h_I: [0,1] \rightarrow [a,b]$, $h_I(x) = (b-a)x + a$. Let $Q_I = h_I(Q) = a + (b-a) \cdot Q$. It follows that $|Q_I| = (1/2) \cdot (b-a)$, $a, b \in Q_I$ and Q_I is a symmetric perfect nowhere dense subset of $[a,b]$, which can be obtained on $[a,b]$ exactly as Q was obtained on $[0,1]$. The open intervals deleted in the s -step of the construction of Q_I are $(O_I)_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}} = a + (b-a)O_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}}$, which are nonempty if and only if $s = 2p-1$, $p \geq 1$. In this case

$$(16) \quad (O_I)_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}} = (b-a) \cdot (2/8^p).$$

The remaining intervals of the s -step are

$$(17) \quad (R_I)_{e_1 \dots e_s} = a + (b-a)R_{e_1 \dots e_s}.$$

Let $g_I = F \circ h_I^{-1}$. By Theorem 5, $g_I \in W$ on Q_I . (The graph of g_I is similar to the graph of F , see fig.1) We have:

$$(18) \quad g_I(a) = 0; \quad g_I(b) = 1; \quad g_I(I) = [0,1] \quad \text{and}$$

$$(19) \quad g_I((R_I)_{e_1 \dots e_{2s}}) = g_I(Q_I \cap (R_I)_{e_1 \dots e_{2s}}) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^s e_{2i}/2^i, \sum_{i=1}^s e_{2i}/2^i + 1/2^s \right].$$

By (15), for $s = 2p-1$, we have

$$(20) \quad O(g_I; (O_I)_{e_1 \dots e_{s-1}}) = 1/2^{p-1}.$$

Let $Q_1 = Q$. We shall construct a strictly increasing sequence Q_k , $k \geq 2$, of nowhere dense perfect subsets of $[0,1]$ and denote

by $I_n^k = (a_n^k, b_n^k)$, $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, the intervals contiguous to Q_k with respect to $[0, 1]$. Let $A_n^k = [a_n^k, c_n^k]$, $B_n^k = [c_n^k, b_n^k]$, where c_n^k is the

middle point of I_n^k . Then $Q_k = Q_{k-1} \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (Q_{A_n^k} \cup Q_{B_n^k}) \right)$. Let $f_1 = F$

on $[0, 1]$. Suppose that $f_{k-1}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $k \geq 2$ has already been

defined and let's define $f_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows: $f_k(x) =$

$f_{k-1}(x)$, $x \in Q_{k-1}$; $f_k(x) = f_{k-1}(a_n^{k-1}) + (f_{k-1}(c_n^{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(a_n^{k-1})) \cdot$

$\frac{x - a_n^{k-1}}{c_n^{k-1} - a_n^{k-1}}$, $x \in A_n^{k-1}$; $f_k(x) = f_{k-1}(c_n^{k-1}) + (f_{k-1}(b_n^{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(c_n^{k-1})) \cdot$

$\frac{x - c_n^{k-1}}{b_n^{k-1} - c_n^{k-1}}$, $x \in B_n^{k-1}$. We prove that $\{f_k\}$ is an uniformly convergent

sequence of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Clearly $f_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[0, 1]$.

Suppose that $f_{k-1} \in \mathcal{C}$, $k \geq 2$ on $[0, 1]$. We prove that $f_k \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[0, 1]$.

Since $f_k = f_{k-1}$ on Q_{k-1} it follows that $f_k \in \mathcal{C}$ on Q_{k-1} . We have

$$(21) \quad f_k(a_n^{k-1}) = f_{k-1}(a_n^{k-1}); f_k(c_n^{k-1}) = f_{k-1}(c_n^{k-1}); f_k(b_n^{k-1}) = f_{k-1}(b_n^{k-1}); f_{k-1} \text{ is linear on } [a_n^{k-1}, b_n^{k-1}].$$

(See (18) and the definition of f_k on A_n^{k-1} and B_n^{k-1} .) Also,

$$(22) \quad f_k(A_n^{k-1}) = f_k(A_n^{k-1}) = [f_{k-1}(a_n^{k-1}), f_{k-1}(c_n^{k-1})]^* \quad \text{and} \\ f_k(B_n^{k-1}) = f_{k-1}(B_n^{k-1}) = [f_{k-1}(c_n^{k-1}), f_{k-1}(b_n^{k-1})]^*,$$

where $[x, y]^*$ is either $[x, y]$ or $[y, x]$ (see (18)). By (21) and (22)

$O(f_k; [a_n^{k-1}, b_n^{k-1}]) = O(f_{k-1}; [a_n^{k-1}, b_n^{k-1}])$, hence $f_k \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[0, 1]$.

Suppose that $|f_{k-1}(a_n^{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(b_n^{k-1})| \leq 1/2^{k-2}$, $k \geq 2$ and let's

prove that

$$(23) \quad |f_k(a_n^k) - f_k(b_n^k)| \leq 1/2^{k-1}.$$

Let (a_n^k, b_n^k) be an open interval of Q_k . Then (a_n^k, b_n^k) is an open

interval either of (i) $Q_{A_p}^{k-1}$ or of (ii) $Q_{B_p}^{k-1}$, for some natural number p . Suppose (i), then by (21) and (22) it follows that $|f_k(a_n^k) - f_k(b_n^k)| \leq |f_{k-1}(A_p^{k-1})| \leq |f_{k-1}(a_p^{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(b_p^{k-1})|/2 \leq 1/2^{k-1}$. Since $f_k(x) - f_{k-1}(x) = 0$ on $Q_{k-1} \cup (\bigcup_n^{\infty} \{e_n^{k-1}\})$ (see (20)

and the definition of f_k), by (21), (22) and (23), it follows that

(24) $|f_k(x) - f_{k-1}(x)| \leq 1/2^{k-1}$ on $[0,1]$. Let $f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f_k(x))$. Then by (24), $f_k \rightarrow f$ [unif] on $[0,1]$, hence $f \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[0,1]$.

a) Since $f_k(x) = f(x)$ on Q_k , by Lemma 2,a) it follows that $f \in W$ on Q_k . Since $|\cup Q_k| = 1$, $f \in W$ on $[0,1]$.

b) Suppose that there exists $y_0 \in [0,1]$ such that $E_{y_0} = \{x \in [0,1] : f(x) = y_0\}$ has an isolated point x_0 . Since $f(x) = f_k(x)$ on Q_k , by Lemma 2,b) it follows that $x_0 \in [0,1] - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$. Since x_0 is isolated,

there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap E_{y_0} = \{x_0\}$. Let k be a natural number such that $I_{n_k}^k \subset (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $x_0 \in A_{n_k}^k$. Let $z_0 = g_{A_{n_k}^k}(x_0) \in [0,1]$.

By Lemma 2,b) $E_{z_0} = \{x \in Q_{n_k}^k : g_{A_{n_k}^k}(x) = z_0\}$ is a perfect nonempty set. But $E_{z_0} \subset A_{n_k}^k \subset (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ and $f(E_{z_0}) = \{y_0\}$, a contradiction.

c) If $x_0 \in \cup Q_k$, since $f = f_k$ on Q_k , by Lemma 2,c) it follows that $f'(x_0)$ does not exist finite or infinite. Let $x_0 \in [0,1] - (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k)$. Then there exists a sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$,

$k \geq 1$, such that $x_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{n_k}^k$ and $I_{n_1}^1 \supset I_{n_2}^2 \supset \dots$. For $\{n_k\}$, $k \geq 1$

there exists a sequence of natural numbers $\{p_k\}$, $k \geq 1$ such that

$$(25) \quad |I_{n_k}^k| = 2/8^{p_1+\dots+p_k}, \quad |A_{n_k}^k| = |B_{n_k}^k| = 1/8^{p_1+\dots+p_k} \text{ and}$$

$$O(f; I_{n_k}^k) = 2/2^{p_1+\dots+p_k}, \text{ hence } O(f; A_{n_k}^k) = O(f; B_{n_k}^k) = 1/2^{p_1+\dots+p_k}.$$

Indeed, for n_1 there exists $p_1 \geq 1$ such that $I_{n_1}^1$ is an open interval from the step $2p_1-1$ of the construction of Q_1 , hence $|I_{n_1}^1| = 2/8^{p_1}$.

By (15), $O(f; I_{n_1}^1) = |f_1(b_{n_1}^1) - f_1(a_{n_1}^1)| = 1/2^{p_1-1}$. Continuing, for

$n_k, k \geq 2$ there exists $p_k \geq 1$ such that $I_{n_k}^k$ is an open interval from the step $2p_k-1$ of the construction of $Q_{A_{n_{k-1}}^{k-1}}$ (resp. $Q_{B_{n_{k-1}}^{k-1}}$) for

$x_0 \in A_{n_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ (resp. $x_0 \in B_{n_{k-1}}^{k-1}$). Hence $|I_{n_k}^k| = |A_{n_{k-1}}^{k-1}| \cdot (2/8^{p_k}) =$

$2/8^{p_1+\dots+p_{k-1}+p_k}$ and by (20) $O(f; I_{n_k}^k) = O(f; A_{n_{k-1}}^{k-1}) \cdot (2/2^{p_k-1}) =$

$2/2^{p_1+\dots+p_k}$. By b) it follows that 0 is a derived number for f at x_0 . By (25), $O(f; I_{n_k}^k)/|I_{n_k}^k| \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$, hence $f'(x_0)$ does

not exist, finite or infinite.

d) If $x_0 \in \cup Q_k$, since $f = f_k$ on Q_k , by Lemma 2,d) it follows that $f'_{ap}(x_0)$ does not exist finite. Let $x_0 \in [0,1] - (\cup Q_k)$. Suppose

that $f'_{ap}(x_0) = t_0$. It follows that there exists a measurable set E_{x_0} such that $d(E_{x_0}, x_0) = 1$ and $\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0 \\ x \in E_{x_0}}} (f(x) - f(x_0))/(x - x_0) = t_0$.

(Here $d(E; x)$ denotes the density of the set E at x .) Let k be a natural number such that $|t_0| < 4^{k-1}; |E_{x_0} \cap J|/|J| > 5/32$ for

each interval J with $x_0 \in J, |J| \leq 1/8^{p_1+\dots+p_k}$ and $|f(x) - f(x_0)| <$

$4^{k-1} \cdot |x - x_0|$, for $x \in E_{x_0} \cap J$. We may suppose without loss of

generality that $x_0 \in A_{n_k}^k$. By (19), either (i) $g_{A_{n_k}^k}(x_0) \in [0, 1/2]$

or (ii) $g_{A_{n_k}^k}(x_0) \in [1/2, 1]$. Suppose for example (i). Let $H_k =$

$$(R_{A_{n_k}^k})_{0101} \cup (R_{A_{n_k}^k})_{0111} \cup (R_{A_{n_k}^k})_{1101} \cup (R_{A_{n_k}^k})_{1111}. \text{ Then } H_k \subset A_{n_k}^k,$$

$g_{A_{n_k}^k}(H_k) \in [3/4, 1]$, $|H_k|/|A_{n_k}^k| = 4 \cdot a_4 = 5/32$, hence $E_{x_0} \cap H_k \neq \emptyset$.

By (18) and (25), $|f(c_{n_k}^k) - f(a_{n_k}^k)| = 1/2^{p_1 + \dots + p_k}$. It follows that

there exists $x \in E_{x_0} \cap H_k$ such that $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq (1/4)(1/2^{p_1 + \dots + p_k})$.

Hence $|f(x) - f(x_0)| / |A_{n_k}^k| \geq (1/4)(1/2^{p_1 + \dots + p_k}) \cdot 8^{p_1 + \dots + p_k} \geq 4^{k-1}$

and so $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq 4^{k-1} |x - x_0|$. For $J = A_{n_k}^k$ we have a contradiction.

We are indebted to Professor Solomon Marcus for his help in preparing this article.

References

- [1] Bary, N.: Mémoire sur la représentation finie des fonctions continues. *Math. Ann.*, 103 (1930), 185-248 and 598-653.
- [2] Bruckner, A.M.: Differentiation of Real Functions. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 659, Springer-Verlag, New York (1978).
- [3] Cater, F.S.: On nondifferentiable wrinkled functions. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 14 (1988-89), 175-188.
- [4] Ene, G. and Ene, V.: Nonabsolutely Convergent Integrals. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 11 (1985-86), 121-133.
- [5] Ene, V.: Construction of a wrinkled function. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 14 (1988-89), 224-227.

- [6] Ene, V.: A study of Foran's conditions $A(N)$ and $B(N)$ and his class \mathcal{F} . *Real Analysis Exchange*, 10 (1985), 194-211.
- [7] Foran, J.: An extension of the Denjoy integral. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49 (1975), 359-365.
- [8] Foran, J.: A Generalization of Absolute Continuity. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 5 (1979-80), 82-91.
- [9] Foran, J.: Continuous functions. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 2 (1977), 85-103.
- [10] Foran, J.: On continuous functions with graphs of σ -finite linear measure. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 76 (1974), 33-43.
- [11] Foran, J.: Differentiation and Lusin's Condition (N). *Real Analysis Exchange*, 3 (1977-78), 34-37.
- [12] Lee, C.M.: Some Hausdorff variants of absolute continuity, Banach's condition (S) and Lusin's condition (N), *Real Analysis Exchange*, 13 (1988), 404-419.
- [13] Saks, S.: *Theory of the integral*. 2nd rev. ed. *Monografie Matematyczne*, 7 (1937), PWN, Warsaw.

Received 16 January, 1989