

R.M. Shortt, Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut 06457, U.S.A.

SETS WITH THE  
COUNTABLE CHAIN  
CONDITION

§0. Definitions

Let  $X$  be a subset of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(X) = \{B \cap X : B \text{ Borel subset of } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Two subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  are Borel-isomorphic if there is a one-one correspondence  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$  if and only if  $f(B) \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ . Given an uncountable  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$t(X) = \{Y \subseteq \mathbb{R} : X \text{ and } Y \text{ Borel-isomorphic}\},$$

the isomorphism type of  $X$ . If  $X$  is countable, write  $t(X) = 0$ . Put

$$S = \{t(X) : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The set  $S$  has both an algebraic and an order structure. Define a relation  $\leq$  on  $S$  by declaring  $t(X_1) \leq t(X_2)$  if either

1)  $X_1$  is countable

or

2) there is a Borel-isomorphism of  $X_1$  onto a Borel subset of  $X_2$ .

0.1 Fact: The relation  $\leq$  partially orders the set  $S$ .

Given  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $S$ , let  $X_1 \subseteq (0,1)$  and  $X_2 \subseteq (1,2)$  be such that

$t_1 = t(X_1)$  and  $t_2 = t(X_2)$ . Then put  $t_1 + t_2 = t(X_1 \cup X_2)$ . A similar method defines  $t_1 + t_2 + \dots$  for any sequence  $t_n$  in  $S$ . Write

$$nt = t + \dots + t \quad (n \text{ times})$$

$$\omega t = t + t + \dots .$$

Thus, the elements to  $S$  have properties analogous to those of cardinal numbers. Indeed,  $(S, +, 0)$  as defined above is a cardinal algebra in the sense of Alfred Tarski [6]. In fact, some of the following results can be derived using his theory. Let us simply note

0.2 Fact: Under the operation  $+$  and order  $\leq$ , the set  $S$  becomes a commutative, ordered semi-group with identity element  $0$ .

0.3 Fact: The set  $S$  has cardinality  $2^c$ . A subset  $R$  of  $S$  is bounded above if and only if  $\text{card}(R) \leq c$ .

0.4 Fact: The partially ordered set  $S$  is not a lattice. It contains two elements with no infimum.

Say that a set  $X \subseteq R$  is measurably rigid if whenever  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a Borel-isomorphism of  $X$  onto itself, then  $\{x : f(x) \neq x\}$  is countable. The existence of uncountable measurably rigid sets was demonstrated in [1] (see also [5]). Call  $t \in S$  rigid if  $t \neq 0$  and  $t = t(X)$  for some measurably rigid  $X \subseteq R$ .

§1. Spaces with c.c.c.; complete subsets of  $S$

A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  will satisfy the (measurable) countable chain condition (c.c.c.) if every collection of pair-wise disjoint uncountable sets in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is countable. The existence of uncountable c.c.c. sets can be demonstrated using the Continuum Hypothesis (CH). The well-known Lusin and Sierpinski sets are of this type: see [2] and [4]. Define

$$K = \{t \in S : t = t(X), X \text{ c.c.c.}\}.$$

Then  $K$  is an ideal in  $S$  closed under countable sums.

1.1 Proposition: The partially ordered set  $K$  is a conditionally complete lattice. In fact

$$t \wedge \sup\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} = \sup\{t \wedge t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$$

for each  $t \in S$  and each collection  $\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  bounded above by an element of  $K$ . Also,

$$t \vee \inf\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} = \inf\{t \vee t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$$

whenever  $t$  and  $t_\alpha$  are elements of  $K$ .

1.2 Proposition: Let  $t$  and  $t_\alpha$  be elements of  $K$  for  $\alpha \in A$ . Then

$$t + \inf\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} = \inf\{t + t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}.$$

If the family  $\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is bounded above, we have

$$t + \sup\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} = \sup\{t + t_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}.$$

1.3 Proposition: Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be elements of  $K$ . Then

$$t_1 + t_2 = (t_1 \vee t_2) + (t_1 \wedge t_2).$$

1.4 Example: Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an uncountable, measurably rigid set. Partition  $X$  into uncountable Borel sets  $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ . Put  $t_1 = t(X_1)$ . Then  $(t_1 + t_2) \vee (t_2 + t_3) = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 \neq (t_1 + t_2) + (t_2 + t_3)$ . Thus, the operations of  $\vee$  and  $+$  are distinct.

1.5 Example: Let  $t \in S$  be rigid. Then  $nt \neq mt$  for  $n \neq m$  (including  $n = \omega$ ).

1.6 Example: Let  $A$  be an infinite Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $nt(A) = t(A)$  for all  $n$  (including  $n = \omega$ ).

1.7 Proposition: Suppose that  $s \leq t$  are elements of  $K$ . Then there is a largest element  $c$  in  $K$  such that  $t = s + c$ . For this  $c$ , if  $0 < x \leq c$ , then  $s < s + x$ .

Given  $s \leq t$  in  $K$ , we define  $c(t,s)$  to be the element  $c$  of proposition 1.7.

1.8 Proposition: Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  be a sequence in  $S$ . Then

$$t_1 + t_2 + \dots = \sup\{t_1 + \dots + t_n : n \geq 1\}.$$

## §2. Covers of 0

Say that  $t \in S$  is a cover of 0 if  $t > 0$  and  $t \geq s$  implies  $s = t$  or  $s = 0$ . For  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $t = t(X)$  is a cover of 0 if and only if  $X$  is Borel-isomorphic with each of its uncountable Borel subsets. If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are uncountable Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$t(A_1) = t(A_2)$  (see [3]); it follows that  $t(R) = t(A_1)$  is a cover of  $0$ . We show, under assumption of CH, that many such covers exist.

2.1 Proposition (CH): Let  $a > 0$  be an element of  $S$ . There is some  $x \in K$  such that

- 1)  $x \wedge a = 0$
- 2)  $x$  is a cover of  $0$ .

2.2 Corollary (CH): There are at least  $c^+$  covers of  $0$  in  $K$ , where  $c^+$  is the cardinal successor of the continuum.

The corollary follows from the proposition via Fact 0.3 and an inductive argument. These covers of  $0$  can actually be chosen as isomorphism types of Sierpiński sets. Are there  $2^c$  of them?

### §3. Join-irreducible types

An element  $s$  in the lattice  $K$  is join-irreducible if  $s = s_1 \vee s_2$  implies  $s = s_1$  or  $s = s_2$ . Clearly,  $0$  and every cover of  $0$  in  $K$  is join-irreducible. Define  $\text{sec}(t) = \{s : s \leq t\}$ .

3.1 Proposition: An element  $t$  of  $K$  is join-irreducible if and only if  $\text{sec}(t)$  is linearly ordered.

3.2 Proposition (CH): There is a Sierpinski set  $X \subseteq [0,1]$  of outer Lebesgue measure  $\lambda^*(X) = 1$  such that sets  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  are Borel-isomorphic if and only if  $\lambda^*(A) = \lambda^*(B)$ .

Putting  $t_0 = t(X)$ , we see that  $t_0 \in K$  is join-irreducible (proposition 3.1); in fact, we have

3.3 Corollary (CH): With  $t_0$  as above, the lattice  $\text{sec}(t_0)$  is order-isomorphic to the linearly ordered set  $[0,1]$ .

Remarkably, there is a sort of converse to this proposition as follows:

3.4 Proposition: Let  $t$  be an element of  $K$ . Then  $t$  is join-irreducible if and only if exactly one of the following obtains:

Case 1:  $t = 0$ .

Case 2:  $t$  is a cover of  $0$ .

Case 3:  $t > 0$  is not a cover of  $0$ ; indeed,  $t \neq \omega t$ . Then there is a Sierpiński set  $X \subseteq [0,1]$  with  $t = t(X)$  and  $\lambda^*(X) = 1$  such that sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  are Borel-isomorphic if and only if  $\lambda^*(A) = \lambda^*(B)$ .

Case 4:  $t > 0$  is not a cover of  $0$ , yet  $t = \omega t$ . Then there is a Sierpiński set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $t = t(X)$  and  $\lambda_*(\mathbb{R} \setminus X) = 0$  such that sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  are Borel-isomorphic if and only if  $\lambda^*(A) = \lambda^*(B)$ .

#### §4. References

- [1] Bhaskara Rao, K.P.S. and Rao, B.V., Borel spaces, Dissertationes Mathematicae CXC 1981.
- [2] Brown, J.B. and Cox, G.V., Classical theory of totally imperfect spaces, Real Analysis Exchange 7 (1981-2) 185-232.
- [3] Kuratowski, K., Topology, Volume I, Academic Press-PWN, New York-Warszawa 1966.
- [4] Miller, A.W., Special subsets of the real line, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1984) 201-234.
- [5] Shortt, R.M. and van Mill, J., Automorphism groups for measurable spaces, Topology and Its Appl. (to appear).
- [6] Tarski, A., Cardinal Algebras, Oxford Univ. Press, New York 1949.