

R. M. Shortt, Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University,  
Middletown, CT 06457.

## THE SINGULARITY OF EXTREMAL MEASURES

### 0. Introduction.

Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . A Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $I \times I$  is doubly-stochastic if  $\mu(A \times I) = \mu(I \times A) = \lambda(A)$  for each Borel set  $A \subseteq I$ . The collection of all doubly-stochastic measures forms a convex, weakly compact set whose extreme points have been much studied: [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. It was shown by Lindenstrauss [5] that every extreme doubly-stochastic measure is singular with respect to planar Lebesgue measure  $\lambda^2$ . It is our purpose to strengthen this result in a general context.

For example, suppose that  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  are lines through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and that  $\nu$  is a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then one can consider the convex set of probabilities on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose projections onto  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  agree with those of  $\nu$ . Theorem 2.1 infra will say that the extreme points of this set are singular with respect to Lebesgue product measure, no matter what the choice of  $\nu$ ! In the doubly-stochastic case,  $m = 2$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are the co-ordinate axes, and  $\nu$  may be taken as  $\lambda^2$  restricted to  $I \times I$ .

### 1. Preliminary results

A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  is countably generated (c.g.)

if there is a sequence  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the sets in the sequence. An  $\mathcal{A}$ -atom is a set  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for any set  $A_0 \subseteq A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  either  $A_0 = A$  or  $A_0 = \emptyset$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is atomic if  $X$  is a union of  $\mathcal{A}$ -atoms. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is c.g., then  $\mathcal{A}$  is atomic. The notation  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  indicates the (c.g.) Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

1.1 Lemma: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0$  be c.g. sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the same atoms. Then  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$ .

Indication: This is the so-called "strong Blackwell property" for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . See, for example, Proposition 6 on p. 21 of [1].

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m$  are sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that  $\mu$  is a Borel probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $E(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m; \mu)$  to be the set of all Borel probabilities  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\nu(A) = \mu(A)$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{A}_m$ . We assume that no  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is one of the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n\}$  or  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . So  $E = E(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m; \mu)$  is a convex set of measures containing  $\mu$ .

Given  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m$  we let  $F$  be the linear space of all functions of the form  $f_1 + \dots + f_m$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are bounded real functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are respectively  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m$ -measurable. Then a Borel probability  $\nu$  belongs to  $E$  if and only if

$$\int f \, d\nu = \int f \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in F.$$

The extreme points of  $E$  are characterized in

1.2 Theorem (Douglas-Lindenstrauss): A Borel probability  $\nu$  is an extreme point of  $E(A_1, \dots, A_m; \nu)$  if and only if  $F$  is dense in  $L^1(\nu)$ .

Indication: See Douglas [3:p. 243]. A special case is given in Lindenstrauss [5:p. 379].

We will prove that in the cases that occur naturally and geometrically, the extreme points of  $E(A_1, \dots, A_m; \mu)$  are singular with respect to  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\lambda^n$ .

A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is affine-invariant if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $\alpha A + v \in \mathcal{A}$  for each non-zero scalar  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be Borel measurable. We say that  $f$  generates the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)\}$ .

1.3 Lemma: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a c.g. sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is generated by an orthogonal projection  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 2)  $\mathcal{A}$  is generated by a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 3)  $\mathcal{A}$  is affine-invariant.

Proof: 1  $\Rightarrow$  2: Immediate.

2  $\Rightarrow$  3: If  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is linear, then for each scalar  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\alpha T^{-1}(B) + v = T^{-1}(\alpha B + Tv)$ . So  $T$  generates

an affine-invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra.

3 $\Rightarrow$ 1: Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be the  $A$ -atom containing the vector 0. For each  $\alpha \neq 0$ , the set  $\alpha K$  is an  $A$ -atom containing 0, so that  $\alpha K = K$ . Likewise, if  $x \in K$ , then  $x + K$  is an  $A$ -atom containing  $x$ , so that  $x + K = K$ . So  $K$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be orthogonal projection onto the orthocomplement  $L = K^\perp$  and let  $T$  generate the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $A$  and  $A_0$  are c.g. sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the same atoms. By lemma 1.1,  $A_0 = A$ .

Q.E.D.

The following geometric construction will facilitate the use of the Lebesgue density lemma in Theorem 2.1.

Let  $|A|$  be the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

1.4 Lemma: Let  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  be non-trivial vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be orthogonal projection onto  $L_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then there is a subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\pi_i(S)| < |S|.$$

Proof: Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be unit vectors taken from the respective orthocomplements  $L_1^\perp, \dots, L_m^\perp$ . Let  $S_1$  be a set of  $m + 1$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|\pi_1(S_1)| = 1$ . Let  $d_1 = \text{diam}(S_1)$ . Put

$$S_2 = \bigcup_{k=0}^m (S_1 + 2kd_1v_2).$$

Then  $S_2$  contains  $(m+1)^2$  points,  $|\pi_1(S_2)| = (m+1)|\pi_1(S_1)| = (m+1)$ , and  $|\pi_2(S_2)| = |\pi_2(S_1)| \leq |S_1| = m+1$ .

In general, we suppose that  $S_p$  ( $p < m$ ) has been defined as a set of  $(m+1)^p$  elements such that

$$|\pi_i(S_p)| \leq (m+1)^{p-1} \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Let  $d_p = \text{diam}(S_p)$  and put

$$S_{p+1} = \bigcup_{k=0}^m (S_p + 2kd_pv_{p+1}).$$

Then  $S_{p+1}$  has  $(m+1)^{p+1}$  elements, and

$$|\pi_i(S_{p+1})| \leq (m+1)(m+1)^{p-1} \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

$$|\pi_{p+1}(S_{p+1})| \leq |S_p| = (m+1)^p$$

as desired. Finally, we take  $S = S_m$  and check

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\pi_i(S)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m (m+1)^{m-1} = m(m+1)^{m-1} < (m+1)^m = |S|.$$

Q.E.D.

## 2. The main theorem.

Let  $V_n(r)$  be the volume of a ball of radius  $r$  in  $R^n$ . Then

$$V_n(r) = \frac{\pi^{n/2} r^n}{\Gamma(1+n/2)}$$

is homogeneous of order  $n$  in the variable  $r$ .

2.1 Theorem: Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  be non-trivial c.g. affine-invariant sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{B}(R^n)$  and let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure on  $R^n$ . If  $\nu$  is an extreme point of  $E(A_1, \dots, A_m; \mu)$ , then  $\nu$  is singular (with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\lambda^n$ ).

Proof: By lemma 1.3, the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  are generated by orthogonal projections  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m$  of  $R^n$  onto subspaces  $L_1, \dots, L_m$ . Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $R^n$  as in lemma 1.4. For each  $s \in S$ , let  $B(s)$  be a ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $s$ . We choose  $r$  small enough so that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and any pair  $s, t$  in  $S$ , the projections  $\pi_i(B(s))$  and  $\pi_i(B(t))$  are either identical or disjoint. Select a large ball  $B$  of radius  $R$  containing all the sets  $B(s)$ . Set  $k = |S|$  and put  $\epsilon = V_n(r)/[V_n(R)(k+1)]$ .

Using the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\nu$  into singular and absolutely continuous parts, we write  $d\nu = d\nu_{\perp} + Fd\lambda^n$  for some  $F \geq 0$  in  $L^1(\lambda^n)$ . Suppose, for the sake of argument, that  $\nu$  is not singular. This means that for some positive  $\delta$ , the set  $P = \{x \in R^n : F(x) > \delta\}$  has positive  $\lambda^n$ -measure. We now appeal to the Lebesgue density theorem and choose a ball  $B_0$  such that  $\lambda^n(P \cap B_0) > (1 - \epsilon)\lambda^n(B_0)$ .

Let  $M : R^n \rightarrow R^n$  be a mapping which is central (the composition of a translation and a central homothety) and takes  $B$  onto  $B_0$ . Let the image of  $S$  under  $M$  be  $S_0 = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ . If  $M(s) = s_1$ , define  $B_0(s_1)$  to be the image of  $B(s)$  under  $M$ . Then we claim that for

$i = 1, \dots, k,$

$$\lambda^n(P \cap B_0(s_i)) > \lambda^n(B_0(s_i)) \frac{k}{k+1}.$$

Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^n(P \cap B_0) &\leq \lambda^n(B_0 \setminus B_0(s_i)) + \lambda^n(P \cap B_0(s_i)) \\ &\leq \lambda^n(B_0) - \lambda^n(B_0(s_i)) + \lambda^n(B_0(s_i)) \frac{k}{k+1} \\ &= \lambda^n(B_0) - \lambda^n(B_0(s_i)) \frac{1}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda^n(P \cap B_0)}{\lambda^n(B_0)} \leq 1 - \frac{\lambda^n(B_0(s_i))}{\lambda^n(B_0)(k+1)} = 1 - \epsilon,$$

a contradiction.

Now one may write  $B_0(s_i) = s_i + C$ , where  $C$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at the origin,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Define  $P_0 \subseteq C$  by

$$P_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^k [(P \cap B_0(s_i)) - s_i].$$

We claim that  $\lambda^n(P_0) > 0$ . Otherwise, we have for  $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^n(B_0(s_j)) &= \lambda^n(B_0(s_j) \cap P) + \lambda^n(B_0(s_j) \cap P^c) \\ &> \lambda^n(B_0(s_j)) \frac{k}{k+1} + \lambda^n(B_0(s_j) \cap P^c), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lambda^n(B_0(s_j) \cap P^c) < \frac{\lambda^n(B_0(s_j))}{k+1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda^n(B_0(s_j)) &= \lambda^n(C) = \lambda^n(C \setminus P_0) \\
 &= \lambda^n\left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^k [(P \cap B_0(s_i)) - s_i]^c \cap C \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^n[(P \cap B_0(s_i))^c \cap B_0(s_i)] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^n(B_0(s_i) \cap P^c) \\
 &< \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^n(B_0(s_i)) \frac{1}{k+1} \\
 &= \lambda^n(B_0(s_j)) \frac{k}{k+1} < \lambda^n(B_0(s_j)),
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we define  $A_i = P_0 + s_i$  and the linear functional  $\ell_i : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\ell_i(f) = \int_{A_i} f d\lambda^n.$$

Noting that  $A_i \subseteq P$ , we find

$$|\ell_i(f)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{A_i} |f| F d\lambda^n \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{A_i} |f| d\nu \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int |f| d\nu,$$

so that  $\ell_i$  is continuous. Define a linear transformation

$\ell : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  by setting  $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ .

Let  $F$  be the subspace of  $L^1(\nu)$  comprising all functions of

the form  $f_1 \circ \pi_1 + \dots + f_m \circ \pi_m$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are bounded Borel-measurable real functions on  $L_1, \dots, L_m$ . Note that if  $\pi_C(s_i) = \pi_C(s_j)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_i(f \circ \pi_C) &= \int_{A_i} f \circ \pi_C d\lambda^n = \int_{P_0 + s_i} f \circ \pi_C d\lambda^n \\ &= \int_{P_0} f(\pi_C(x) + \pi_C(s_i)) d\lambda^n(x) \\ &= \int_{P_0} f(\pi_C(x) + \pi_C(s_j)) d\lambda^n(x) = \mathfrak{L}_j(f \circ \pi_C). \end{aligned}$$

This fact allows a description of a set of spanning vectors for  $\mathfrak{L}(F)$ .

For each  $c = 1, \dots, m$  and each  $p \in \pi_C(S_0)$ , there is a  $k$ -vector  $v = v(c, p)$  whose co-ordinates are given by

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi_C(s_i) = p \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_C(s_i) \neq p. \end{cases}$$

These vectors span  $\mathfrak{L}(F)$ . By lemma 1.4, there are fewer than  $k$  such vectors, so that  $\mathfrak{L}(F)$  is a proper subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

However, the range of  $\mathfrak{L}$  is all of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , as may be seen by taking linear combinations of indicator functions for the sets  $A_i$ . Now, the Douglas-Lindenstrauss Theorem implies that  $F$  is dense in  $L'(V)$ . But this means that  $\mathbb{R}^k = \mathfrak{L}(\overline{F}) \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{L}(F)} = \mathfrak{L}(F)$ , a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

There seems to be no straightforward generalization of the theorem to the case  $m = \infty$ . For example, let  $L_1, L_2, \dots$  be an enumeration of all lines in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  passing through the origin and having non-zero rational slope. Let  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow L_1$  be the projection maps generating the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $E = E(A_1, A_2, \dots; \mu)$  is always a singleton set, even for absolutely continuous  $\mu$ . To see this, let  $r_1$  be the slope of  $L_1$ . Then whenever  $t_2 = r_1 t_1$ , we see that the Fourier-Stieltjes transform

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(x_1 t_1 + x_2 t_2)} d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int e^{i(x_1 t_1 + x_2 r_1 t_1)} d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int e^{i t_1 (x_1 + x_2 r_1)} d\mu(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

depends only on the projection of  $\mu$  on  $L_1$ . Therefore, these projections determine  $\hat{\mu}$  on a dense set. So  $E$  is a singleton set.

### 3. References

- [1] Bhaskara Rao, K. P. S. and Rao, B. V. Borel spaces. Dissertationes Mathematicae CXC (1981)

- [2] Brown, J. R. and Shiflett, R. C. On extreme double stochastic measures. Michigan Math. Journal 17 (1970) 249-254
- [3] Douglas, R. G. On extremal measures and subspace density. Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964) 243-246
- [4] Douglas, R. G. On extremal measures and subspace density II. Proc. AMS 17 (1966) 1363-1365
- [5] Lindenstrauss, J. A remark on doubly-stochastic measures. Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965) 379-382
- [6] Losert, V. Counter-examples to some conjectures about doubly stochastic measures. Pacific J. of Math. 99 (1982) 387-397

*Received November 15, 1985*