

Sandra Meinershagen, Department of Mathematics

University of Missouri at Kansas City, Kansas City, MO 64110

## DERIVATION BASES AND THE HAUSDORFF MEASURE

The purpose of this talk is to answer a question posed by Thomson [5, p.164] on the relation, if any, between the Hausdorff measure and the  $D$  derivation basis. It is shown that the Hausdorff measure equals the measure generated by the  $D$  derivation basis (1) when the derivative of  $h$  at  $0$  exists and is finite, (2) when the set is countable or (3) when the sum  $\sum h(|I_n|)$  over the contiguous intervals of a given closed set converges. However, it is shown that the symmetric derivation basis is finite on more sets of finite Hausdorff measure than the measure from the  $D$  derivation basis.

When the lower right derivate of  $h$  at  $0$  is finite, the Hausdorff measure is a multiple of the Lebesgue measure.  $\underline{D}^+h(0)$  is the multiple. When the upper right derivate of  $h$  at  $0$  is finite,  $(h \circ m)_S(E)$ ,  $(h \circ m)_D(E)$  and  $(h \circ m)_{D^\#}(E)$  are a multiple of the Lebesgue measure and that multiple is  $\overline{D}^+h(0)$ .

The remaining question as to the relation between the measures is when  $h$  has an infinite derivate at  $0$ . It is answered as follows: For the  $D^\#$  derivation basis, the answer is trivial. If  $E$  is any non-empty set in  $[a, b]$  then  $(h \circ m)_{D^\#}(E) = \infty$ . As was mentioned above, when the

sum  $\sum h(|I_n|)$  over the contiguous intervals of a given closed set converge, the Hausdorff measure [3] and the measure generated by the D derivation basis agree and are equal to zero. However, there is a set for which  $\sum h(|I_n|) = \infty$  and the set has D derivation measure zero. Also a set E can be constructed with Hausdorff measure zero and  $(h\circ m)_s(E) = \infty$ . For sets of finite, nonzero Hausdorff measure, sufficient conditions for the symmetric derivation basis measure and D derivation basis measure to be infinite are  $d_D(x) =$

$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \mu^h(E \cap I) / h(|I|)$  where x is a left hand endpoint of I, then  $(h\circ m)_D(E) = \infty$  when  $\int_E d_D^{-1}(x) d\mu^h(x) = \infty$ .

Note that if E is the Cantor set and  $h(x) = x^\alpha$  where  $\alpha = \log 2 / \log 3$ , Besicovitch [1] proved that  $d_D(x) = 0$  a.e.  $\mu^h$  on E. Therefore  $(h\circ m)_D(E) = \infty$ . A set was constructed by Besicovitch [1] that has  $d_s(x) = 0$  ( $d_s(x)$  is defined similarly). Since an analogous theorem holds for the symmetric derivation basis measure, there exists a set of finite, non-zero Hausdorff measure which has infinite measure with respect to the symmetric derivation basis. A sufficient condition for  $(h\circ m)_s$  to be finite is given by the following theorem: Let  $E = \bigcup_n E_n$  where E and  $E_n$  are measurable sets and the  $E_n$  are pairwise disjoint. If  $d_s(x) \geq d_n > 0$  for each  $x \in E_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{-1} \mu^h(E_n) < \infty$ , then  $(h\circ m)_s(E) < \infty$ . (A similar theorem holds for  $(h\circ m)_D$ .) If E is the Cantor set, then  $d_s(x) > 1/h(12)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Therefore  $(h\circ m)_s(E) < \infty$ . Furthermore the  $(h\circ m)_s$  measure of the Cantor set is strictly larger than the Hausdorff

measure.

This talk is based on a paper which is derived from part of my dissertation under the direction of Prof. James Foran.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. S. Besicovitch, On Linear Sets of Points of Fractional Dimension, Math. Ann., 101(1929), 11-193.
2. A. S. Besicovitch, On Existence of Subsets of Finite Measure of Sets of Infinite Measure, Indagat. Math. 14(1952), 339-344.
3. A. S. Besicovitch and S. J. Taylor, On the Complementary Intervals of a Linear Closed Set of Zero Lebesgue Measure, J. London Math Soc., 29(1954), 449-458.
4. C. A. Rogers, Hausdorff Measures, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970.
5. B. S. Thomson, Derivation Bases on the Real Line, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1982-1983.
6. B. S. Thomson, Differentiation Bases on the Real Line, II, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1982-1983.