

On integrals and summable trigonometric series

Suppose that the trigonometric series

$$a_0/2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$$

is  $(C, k)$  summable to a finite function  $f(x)$  everywhere. Subject to certain reasonable conditions on the coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ , James [8] (cf. also [3], [11]) proved that the  $P^{k+2}$ -integral [7] can be used to capture the coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  in terms of  $f$ . For example, he gave the formula for  $a_n$  as follows:

$$(*) \quad a_n = \frac{\gamma_k}{2^{k+1} \pi^{k+2}} \int_{(\alpha_i)} f(x) \cos nx \, d_{k+2} x,$$

$$\text{where } \gamma_k = \begin{cases} (2m)!/(m!)^2 & \text{if } k = 2m-2, \\ (2m+1)!/m!(m+1)! & \text{if } k = 2m-1, \end{cases}$$

and  $(\alpha_i)$  is also chosen in a suitable way according to that  $k$  is even or odd. Here, the integral involved is the  $P^{k+2}$ -integral, which captures the " $(k+2)$ <sup>th</sup> order" primitive of the integrand instead of the "first order" one, and hence the formula (\*) for  $a_n$  appears to be much more complicated than the usual Euler-Fourier form. The purpose of the paper [9] is to show that for each non-negative integer  $k$ , a generalized integral, denoted as  $G_{k+1}$ -integral, of first order can be suitably defined to replace the  $P^{k+2}$ -integral in James' result so that the formula (\*) will be reduced to the usual Euler-Fourier form.

To indicate what is involved for the  $G_{k+1}$ -integral, let us consider James' result for the case  $k = 0$ . James did the case in an earlier paper [6], where he showed that the  $P^2$ -integral [5], similar to the "totalisation symétrique à deux degrés" by Denjoy [4] and the  $T$ -integral by Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [10]

is powerful enough to give back the coefficients of any everywhere convergent trigonometric series in terms of its sum function. The same is true for the SCP-integral due to Burkill in [2] (cf. also [1]). The "symmetric totalisation of order two" and the  $P^2$ -integral are of second order involving essentially the concept of recapturing a function from its second symmetric derivative. The T-integral and the SCP-integral are of first order involving essentially the concept of recapturing an "integrable" function from its first "averaged" derivative; and observing the meaning of the "average" derivative, one sees that in fact both the T-integral and the SCP-integral are involving essentially the idea on how to recapture the first derivative of a function from its second symmetric derivative. Extending this idea to higher orders and based on James' work [7] and [8], the  $G_{k+1}$ -integral [9] mentioned previously is easily obtained. In fact, it is involving essentially the concept of recapturing the  $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$  Peano derivative of a function from its  $(k+2)^{\text{th}}$  generalized symmetric derivative, and is defined by the descriptive method of Perron. For details, we refer to [9].

## References

- [1] H. Burkill, A note on trigonometric series, Jour. Math. Anal. and Appl. 40 (1972), 39-44.
- [2] J. C. Burkill, Integrals and trigonometric series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 1 (1951), 46-57.
- [3] G. Cross, The representation of  $(C, k)$  summable series in Fourier form, to appear.
- [4] A. Denjoy, Calcul des coefficients d'une série trigonométrique partout convergente, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 172 (1921), 653-655, 833-835, 903-906, 1218-1221; 173 (1921), 127-129.
- [5] R. D. James and W. H. Gage, A generalized integral, Trans. Roy. Soc. Canad., Third Series, Vol. XL (1946), 25-35.
- [6] R. D. James, A generalized integral II, Canad. Jour. Math. 2 (1950), 297-306.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Generalized  $n^{\text{th}}$  primitives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1954), 149-176.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Summable trigonometric series, Pacific J. Math. 6 (1956), 99-110.
- [9] C. M. Lee, On integrals and summable trigonometric series, submitted.
- [10] J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, On the differentiability of functions and summability of trigonometric series, Fund. Math. 26 (1936), 1-43.
- [11] S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the  $P^n$ -integral and its application to summable trigonometric series, Pacific J. Math. 55 (1974), 233-247.

*Received October 31, 1978*