

Jan Mařík, Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

On Generalized Derivatives

Terminology and introduction. Let $R = (-\infty, \infty)$. The words measure, almost etc. refer to the Lebesgue measure in R . If $S \subset R$ and $x \in R$, we write $d(x, S) = \inf \{|y-x|; y \in S\}$. If, moreover, S is measurable, then mS denotes its measure. The notions of the k th Peano derivative f_k and of the k th approximate Peano derivative $f_{(k)}$ of a function f are defined in the usual way (see, e.g., [1] and [3]); $f^{(k)}$ means the classical k th derivative.

Property Z of a real function g on R is defined as follows: If $x \in R$, $\epsilon > 0$, $n > 0$, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each interval $I \subset (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ with either $g(I) \subset [g(x), \infty)$ or $g(I) \subset (-\infty, g(x)]$ we have

$$(1) \quad m\{y \in I; |g(y) - g(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \leq n \cdot (mI + d(x, I)).$$

Property Z was introduced in [4] by Weil. He proved, among other things, that if $k > 0$ and if f_k exists everywhere, then f_k has Property Z. The proof, however, is complicated. In [1], Babcock generalized this result replacing f_k by $f_{(k)}$, but a part of his proof (actually a part of the proof of Lemma 6.1)

consists of hints how to modify the mentioned proof in [4]. The main purpose of this note is to prove a proposition (namely the present Theorem 1) enabling us to simplify the proof of Babcock's assertion which is stated here as Theorem 2. The present Theorem 3 is a simultaneous generalization of Lemma 3.4 in [2] and (with $j=k$) of Theorem 3 in [3].

At this opportunity I would like to express my thanks to Prof. C. E. Weil for his encouragement to write this note.

Lemma 1. Let f be a monotone differentiable function on a bounded interval I . Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and let $m\{x \in I; |f'(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \geq \beta$. Then there is an interval $J \subset I$ such that $mJ = \beta/4$ and that $|f| \geq \epsilon\beta/4$ on J .

Proof. We may suppose that $f' \geq 0$ on I . Let (a,b) be the interior of I . There is a $c \in [a,b]$ such that $f \leq 0$ on (a,c) and $f \geq 0$ on (c,b) . Set $B = \{x \in I; f'(x) \geq \epsilon\}$. If $m(B \cap (c,b)) \geq \beta/2$ and if $x \in (b - \beta/4, b)$, then $f(x) \geq \int_c^x f' \geq \epsilon m(B \cap (c,x)) \geq \epsilon(m(B \cap (c,b)) - (b-x)) \geq \epsilon(\beta/2 - \beta/4) = \epsilon\beta/4$. If $m(B \cap (a,c)) \geq \beta/2$, then, analogously, $f \leq -\epsilon\beta/4$ on $(a, a + \beta/4)$.

Lemma 2. Let I be a bounded interval and let j be a natural number. Let g be a function such that

either $g^{(j)} \geq 0$ on I or $g^{(j)} \leq 0$ on I . Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and let $m\{x \in I; |g^{(j)}(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \geq \beta$. Then there is an interval $J \subset I$ such that $mJ = \beta/4^j$ and that $|g| \geq \epsilon \beta^j / 4^{1+2+\dots+j}$ on J .

(Follows by induction from Lemma 1.)

Theorem 1. Let k be a natural number, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let f be a function such that $f_{(k)}(x)$ exists. Define $P(y) = \sum_{i=0}^k (y-x)^i \cdot f_{(i)}(x) / i!$ ($y \in \mathbb{R}$). Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ with the following properties:

a) If I is a subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$, j an integer with $0 < j \leq k$ and if either $f^{(j)} \leq P^{(j)}$ on I or $f^{(j)} \geq P^{(j)}$ on I , then

$$(2) \quad m\{y \in I; |f^{(j)}(y) - P^{(j)}(y)| \geq \epsilon |y-x|^{k-j}\} \leq \eta \cdot (mI + d(x, I)).$$

b) If I is any subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$, then (2) holds with $j = 0$.

Proof. Let $g = f - P$, $\alpha = 4^{1+2+\dots+k}$. There is a measurable set A and a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that x is a point of density of A and that, for each $y \in A \cap (x - \delta_1, x + \delta_1)$, we have

$$(3) \quad 3^k \alpha |g(y)| \leq \epsilon \eta^k |y-x|^k.$$

Further, there is a $\delta \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that, for each $h \in (0, 3\delta)$, we have

$$(4) \quad 3 \cdot 4^j m([x-h, x+h] \setminus A) \leq h \eta.$$

Now let I be a subinterval of $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$ and let j be an integer, $0 \leq j \leq k$. Let

$$B = \{y \in I; |g^{(j)}(y)| \geq \epsilon |y-x|^{k-j}\}, \beta = \frac{1}{3} m B,$$

$h = m I + d(x, I)$. Now (2) becomes $3\beta \leq \eta h$. Thus, we may suppose that $\beta > 0$. Let $C = B \setminus (x-\beta, x+\beta)$.

Now $h < 3\delta$, $I \subset [x-h, x+h]$, $|g^{(j)}| \geq \epsilon \beta^{k-j}$ on C and $m C \geq \beta$. If $j > 0$ and if either $g^{(j)} \geq 0$ on I or $g^{(j)} \leq 0$ on I , then, by Lemma 2, there is a set $S \subset I$ such that

$$(5) \quad m S \geq \beta/4^j$$

and that

$$(6) \quad \alpha |g| \geq \epsilon \beta^{k-j} \cdot \beta^j = \epsilon \beta^k \quad \text{on } S;$$

if $j = 0$, then these relations hold with $S = C$. If there is a $y \in S \cap A$, then, by (6) and (3), $3^k \epsilon \beta^k \leq \alpha |g(y)| \leq \epsilon \eta^k h^k$ so that $3\beta \leq \eta h$. If $S \cap A = \emptyset$, then, by (5) and (4), $3\beta/4^j \leq 3m S \leq 3m([x-h, x+h] \setminus A) \leq h \eta/4^j$ whence $3\beta \leq \eta h$ again.

Lemma 3. Let k be a natural number and let f be a function such that $f_{(k)} \geq 0$ on an interval I . Then $f^{(k)} = f_{(k)}$ on I .

(See [1], Theorem 4.1.)

Theorem 2. Let k be a natural number and let f be a function such that $f_{(k)}$ exists everywhere. Then $f_{(k)}$ has Property Z.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$. Choose a δ according to Theorem 1. If P is as above, then, obviously, $P^{(k)} = f_{(k)}(x)$. Let I be a subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ such that either $f_{(k)}(y) \leq f_{(k)}(x)$ for each $y \in I$ or $f_{(k)}(y) \geq f_{(k)}(x)$ for each $y \in I$. By Lemma 3, $f^{(k)} = f_{(k)}$ on I . Thus, (1) with $g = f_{(k)}$ is the same as (2) with $j = k$.

Lemma 4. Let j be a natural number. Let φ be a positive continuous function on an interval I . Let g be a function such that $g_{(j)}$ exists (everywhere) on I and let $|g_{(j)}| \geq \varphi$ almost everywhere on I . Then $g^{(j)}$ exists on I and either $g^{(j)} > 0$ on I or $g^{(j)} < 0$ on I .

Proof. Let $x \in I$. There is an $\epsilon > 0$ and an interval J such that $x \in J \subset I$ and that $\varphi > \epsilon$ on J . Thus, $|g_{(j)}| > \epsilon$ almost everywhere on J . According to Corollary on p. 291 in [1] we have $|g_{(j)}| \geq \epsilon$ on J ; in particular, $g_{(j)}(x) \neq 0$. It follows from Corollary on p. 290 in [1] that either $g_{(j)} > 0$ on I or $g_{(j)} < 0$ on I . Now we apply Lemma 3.

Theorem 3. Let j, k be integers, $0 \leq j \leq k$, $k > 0$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let f be a function such that $f_{(k)}(x)$ exists. Define $P(y) = \sum_{i=0}^k (y-x)^i \cdot f_{(i)}(x) / i!$ ($y \in \mathbb{R}$). Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ with the following property: If L is a subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ such that $f_{(j)}$ exists on L and that $|f_{(j)}(y) - P^{(j)}(y)| \geq \epsilon |y - x|^{k-j}$ for almost all $y \in L$, then $mL \leq \eta d(x, L)$.

Proof. Let δ be chosen according to Theorem 1, where η is replaced by $\eta_1 = \eta / (1 + \eta)$. Now let L be as above. If $L \cap (x, \infty) \neq \emptyset$, set $I = L \cap (x, \infty)$; otherwise set $I = L \cap (-\infty, x)$. If $j > 0$, then it follows easily from Lemma 4 that either $f^{(j)} > P^{(j)}$ on I or $f^{(j)} < P^{(j)}$ on I . According to Theorem 1 we have $mI \leq \eta_1 (mI + d(x, I))$ whence $mI \leq \eta d(x, I)$. In particular, $d(x, I) > 0$ so that $I = L$.

References

- [1] B.S. Babcock, On properties of the approximate Peano derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 279-294.
- [2] R.J. O'Malley and C.E. Weil, The oscillatory behavior of certain derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234,2 (1977), 467-481.
- [3] C.E. Weil, On properties of derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 363-376.
- [4] _____, A property for certain derivatives, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1973), 527-536.

Received April 4, 1978