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## Derivatives, Continuous Functions and Bounded Lebesgue Functions

It is well-known that the product of a derivative with, say, a continuous function need not be a derivative. This fact leads naturally to the following problem: Let $\Omega$ be a class of derivatives. Characterize the multipliers of $\Omega$, i.e. the functions $f$ such that $f g$ is a derivative for each $g \in \Omega$. This problem has been solved for various classes $\Omega$. (See [F1], [F2], [M1], ... , [M4].) In this note we describe the multipliers, first, of continuous functions (theorem 7) and, second, of bounded Lebesgue functions (theorem 12); these multipliers play an important role in theorem 5.11 in [MW]. It is well-known that the class of bounded Lebesgue functions is identical with the class of bounded approximately continuous functions. (The multipliers of all Lebesgue functions are just the bounded derivatives; see theorem 4.2 in [M4].)

1. Notation. We write, as usual, $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$. The word function means a mapping to $\mathbb{R}$. Further we set $\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0, \infty), I=[0,1]$. The symbol $D$ stands for the system of all finite derivatives on $I$. The words measure and measurable refer to Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$; the measure of a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $|S|$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and if $S$ is a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}$, then $d(S, x)$ is defined as $\lim (|S \cap(x-h, x+h)| / 2 h)(h \rightarrow 0+)$ provided that the limit exists.

Symbols like $\int_{a}^{b} f$ or $\int_{S} f$ mean the corresponding Perron or Lebesgue integrals; "integrable" means "Perron integrable". (We need an integral that integrates every derivative.) If $a>b$, then, as usual, we set $\int_{a}^{b} f=-\int_{b}^{a} f$ provided that the last integral exists.

If $J$ is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$, then $C_{1}(J)$ denotes the class of all functions with a continuous derivative on $J ; C_{1}$ means $C_{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
2. Lemma. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a<b, J=[a, b]$. Let $f$ be integrable on $J$ and let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then there is a $g \in C_{1}$ such that $g=0$ on $\mathbb{R} \backslash J, 0 \leq g \leq 1$ on $J$ and $\left|\int_{J} f-\int_{J} f g\right|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. There is a $\delta \in(0,|J| / 2)$ such that $\left|\int_{x}^{y} f\right|<\varepsilon / 2$, whenever $a \leq x<$ $y \leq b$ and $y-x \leq \delta$. Set $\alpha=a+\delta, \beta=b-\delta$. There is a $g \in C_{1}$ such that $g=0$
on $\mathbb{R} \backslash J, g=1$ on $(\alpha, \beta), g$ is monotone on $(a, \alpha)$ and on $(\beta, b)$. By the Second Mean Value Theorem (see, e.g., [S], p. 246, Theorem (2.6)) there are $\xi \in[a, \alpha]$ and $\eta \in[\beta, b]$ such that $\int_{a}^{\alpha} f(1-g)=\int_{a}^{\xi} f, \int_{\beta}^{b} f(1-g)=\int_{\eta}^{b} f$. We see that $g$ satisfies our requirements.
3. Lemma. Let $a, b, J, f$ be as before and let $Q$ be a number less than $\int_{J}|f|$. Then there is a $g \in C_{1}$ such that $g=0$ on $\mathbb{R} \backslash J,|g| \leq 1$ on $J$ and $\int_{J} f g>Q$.

Proof. Let $V$ be the variation of an indefinite integral of $f$ on $J$. Then $\int_{J}|f|=V$. (This is well-known, if $\int_{J}|f|$ or $V$ is finite; hence it holds even if $\int_{J}|f|=\infty$.) It follows that there is an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in J$ such that $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b$ and that, setting $J_{k}=\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right]$ and $A_{k}=\int_{J_{k}} f$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|A_{k}\right|>Q+\varepsilon$. By 2 there are functions $g_{k} \in C_{1}$ such that $g_{k}=0$ on $\mathbb{R} \backslash J_{k}, 0 \leq g_{k} \leq 1$ on $J_{k}$ and $\left|A_{k}-\int_{J_{k}} f g_{k}\right|<\varepsilon / n$. It is easy to see that the function $g=\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{k} \operatorname{sgn} A_{k}$ satisfies our requirements.
4. Convention. Symbols like $\lim \sup f(x), f(x) \rightarrow 0$ etc. will refer to the case $x \rightarrow 0+$, unless something else is obvious from the context.
5. Lemma. Let $f$ be a function such that $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$ for each $g \in C_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$ with $g(0+)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}|f|<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $f$ is measurable on $(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Now suppose that (1) does not hold. Then there are $x_{n}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0<x_{n}<y_{n}<x_{n-1}, y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and that, setting $J_{n}=\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\right]$, we have $\int_{J_{n}}|f|>$ $n y_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. By 3 there are $g_{n} \in C_{1}$ such that $g_{n}=0$ on $\mathbb{R} \backslash J_{n},\left|g_{n}\right| \leq 1$ on $J_{n}$ nad $\int_{J_{n}} f g_{n}>n y_{n}$. Set $g=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n} / n$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then $g \in C_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $g(0+)=0$. By assumption $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$. It follows that there are $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left|\alpha_{n}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty), \int_{0}^{x_{n}} f g=\alpha_{n} x_{n}, \int_{0}^{y_{n}} f g=\beta_{n} y_{n}$. Then $\int_{J_{n}} f g \leq y_{n}\left(\left|\alpha_{n}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}\right|\right)$. However, $\int_{J_{n}} f g=\frac{1}{n} \int_{J_{n}} f g_{n}>y_{n}$ for each $n$ which is a contradiction.
6. Proposition. Let $f$ be a measurable function on $I$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$ for each $g \in C_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$with $g(0+)=0$;
(ii) $\lim \sup \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}|f|<\infty$;
(iii) $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$ for each measurable function $g$ on $I$ with $g(0+)=0$.

Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) was proved in 5 . The proof of the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is left to the reader. The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious.
7. Theorem. Let $f \in D$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(i) $f g \in D$ for each function $g$ continuous on $I$;
(ii) $\limsup \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y}|f|<\infty(y \rightarrow x, y \in I)$ for each $x \in I$.
(This follows easily from 6.)

Remark. It follows from 7 that the product of a nonnegative derivative with a continuous function is always a derivative. However, it is easy to prove this simple result directly.

On the other hand it is worth mentioning that the product of a Lebesgue integrable derivative with a continuous function need not be a derivative. (A Lebesgue integrable derivative need not be the difference of two nonnegative derivatives.) To see this it suffices to take $f(x)=x^{-1 / 2} \sin (1 / x), g(x)=$ $x^{1 / 2} \sin (1 / x)(x \in(0,1], f(0)=g(0)=0$.
8. Lemma. Let $\delta, A \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Let $f$ be a nonnegative measurable function on $(0, \delta)$ such that $\int_{0}^{\delta} f>\delta A$. Then there is an $x \in(0, \delta / 2]$ such that $\int_{x}^{2 x} f>x A$.

Proof. We may choose $x=\delta / 2^{n}$ for some $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$.
9. Lemma. Let $f$ be a measurable function on I. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x} \int_{S \cap(0, x)} f \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each measurable set $S \subset I$ with $d(S, 0)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x} \int_{S \cap(0, x)}|f| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every such $S$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}|f|<\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be as above. Set $g=f \vee 0, T=S \cap\{f>0\}$. Since $d(T, 0)=0, \int_{S \cap(0, x)} g=\int_{T \cap(0, x)} f$ and $|f|=2 g-f$, we have (3).

Now suppose that (4) does not hold. Using 8 we find $x_{n} \in I$ such that $0<$ $x_{n}<x_{n-1} / 2$ and $\int_{x_{n}}^{2 x_{n}}|f|>n x_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Set $x_{n k}=x_{n}(1+k / n), J_{n k}=$
$\left[x_{n, k-1}, x_{n k}\right]$. For each $n$ there is a $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\int_{J_{n k}}|f|>x_{n}$. Let $L_{n}=J_{n k}, S=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{n}$. It is easy to see that $d(S, 0)=0$. For $x=2 x_{n}$ we have $\int_{S \cap(0, x)}|f| \geqq \int_{L_{n}}|f|>x_{n}=x / 2$ so that (3) does not hold. This contradiction proves (4).
10. Lemma. Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in(0,1)$ and let $f$ be as in 9. Suppose, moreover, that $\int_{0}^{\delta}|f|<\infty$. For each $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $x \in(0,1)$ set $M(c, x)=\{t \in(0, x) ;|f(t)| \geqq$ $c\}$. Then there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{M(c, x)}|f| \leq \varepsilon x$ for each $x \in(0, \delta)$.

Proof. Suppose that such a $c$ does not exist. By 9 there is a $K \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\int_{0}^{x}|f|<K x$ for each $x \in(0, \delta)$. Set $c_{0}=0, x_{0}=\delta$. We construct by induction numbers $x_{n}, y_{n}, c_{n}$ as follows: Let $x_{n-1} \in(0, \delta]$ and let $c_{n-1} \in[0, \infty)$. There is a $c_{n} \in\left(c_{n-1}+1, \infty\right)$ such that $\int_{M\left(c_{n}, \delta\right)}|f|<\varepsilon x_{n-1} / 2$. By assumption there is a $y_{n} \in(0, \delta)$ such that $\int_{M\left(c_{n}, y_{n}\right)}|f|>\varepsilon y_{n}$. Clearly $y_{n}<\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{M\left(c_{n}, \delta\right)}|f|<x_{n-1} / 2$. Now we find an $x_{n} \in\left(0, y_{n}\right)$ such that $\int_{S_{n}}|f|>\varepsilon y_{n}$, where $S_{n}=M\left(c_{n}, \delta\right) \cap$ $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. Set $S=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}$. Let $x_{n}<x \leq x_{n-1}$. Since $S \cap(0, x) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} S_{k}$ and $|f| \geqq c_{k} \geqq k$ on $S_{k}$, we have $|S \cap(0, x)| \leq \int_{0}^{x}|f| / n<K x / n$. Thus $d(S, 0)=0$. However, $\int_{S \cap\left(0, y_{n}\right)}|f| \geqq \int_{S_{n}}|f|>\varepsilon y_{n}$ which contradicts (3).
11. Proposition. Let $f$ be a measurable function on $I$. Then the following four conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$ for each function $g$ bounded and continuous on $(0,1]$ with $\lim \operatorname{ap} g(x)=0$;
(ii) $\frac{1}{x} \int_{S \cap(0, x)} f \rightarrow 0$ for each measurable set $S \subset I$ with $d(S, 0)=0$;
(iii) there is a monotone function $\varphi$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi(t) / t \rightarrow$ $\infty(t \rightarrow \infty)$ and $\lim \sup \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \varphi \circ|f|<\infty ;$
(iv) $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$ for each function $g$ bounded and measurable on $I$ with $\lim \operatorname{ap} g(x)=0$.

Proof. Suppose that (i) holds and let $S$ be as in (ii). It follows from 5 that $\int_{0}^{\delta}|f|<\infty$ for some $\delta \in(0,1)$. Let $h$ be the characteristic function of $S$. It is easy to construct a function $g$ continuous on ( 0,1 ] such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x}(1+|f|)|g-h| \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} h \rightarrow 0$, we have also $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} g \rightarrow 0$ whence lim ap $g(x)=0$. By assumption $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g \rightarrow 0$ so that, by (5), $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f h \rightarrow 0$. This proves (ii).

Suppose that (ii) holds. By 9 there is a $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that $\int_{0}^{\delta}|f|<\infty$. Choose numbers $\varepsilon_{n} \in(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \varepsilon_{n} \leq 1$.

Set $c_{0}=0$. According to 10 there are $c_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_{n}>c_{n-1}+1$ and that $\int_{M\left(c_{n}, x\right)}|f| \leq \varepsilon_{n} x$ for each $x \in(0, \delta)(n=1,2, \ldots)$. For $t \in\left[c_{n}, c_{n+1}\right)$ set $\varphi(t)=$ $n t(n=0,1, \ldots)$. Now let $x \in(0, \delta)$. Define $A_{n}=\left\{t \in(0, x) ; c_{n} \leq|f(t)|<\right.$ $\left.c_{n+1}\right\}$. Clearly $A_{n} \subset M\left(c_{n}, x\right), \varphi \circ|f|=n|f|$ on $A_{n}$ and $(0, x)=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}$. Hence $\int_{0}^{x} \varphi \circ|f| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \int_{M\left(c_{n}, x\right)}|f| \leq x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \varepsilon_{n} \leq x$. This proves (iii).

Suppose that (iii) holds and let $g$ be as in (iv). There is a $\delta \in(0,1)$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $|g|<A$ on $I$ and that $\int_{0}^{x} \varphi \circ|f|<B x$ for each $x \in(0, \delta)$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and let $Q=A B / \varepsilon$. There is a $K \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\varphi(v)>Q v$ for each $v \in(K, \infty)$. If $|f(t)|>K$, then $|f(t)|<\varphi(|f(t)|) / Q$. Thus $\left|\int_{0}^{x} f g\right| \leq$ $K \int_{0}^{x}|g|+\frac{A}{Q} \int_{0}^{x} \varphi \circ|f|$ whence $\lim \sup \left|\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f g\right| \leq A B / Q=\varepsilon$. This proves (iv).

It is obvious that (i) follows from (iv). This completes the proof.
12. Theorem. Let $f \in D$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) For each $x \in I$ and each measurable set $S \subset I$ with $d(S, x)=0$ we have $\frac{1}{h} \int_{S \cap(x-h, x+h)} f \rightarrow 0(h \rightarrow 0+) ;$
(ii) for each $x \in I$ there is a monotone function $\varphi$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0)=$ $0, \varphi(t) / t \rightarrow \infty(t \rightarrow \infty)$ and

$$
\lim \sup \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} \varphi \circ|f|<\infty(y \rightarrow x, y \in I)
$$

(iii) $f g \in D$ for each bounded Lebesgue function $g$.
(This follows easily from 11.)
13. Remark. Theorem 12 characterizes multipliers of bounded Lebesgue functions. Now we would like to get an idea about the "size" of this system; let us denote it by $M$. It is easy to prove that the product of a Lebesgue function with a bounded derivative is always a derivative; thus all Lebesgue functions are in $M$. From 12 we see that $M$ contains, for example, every derivative $f$ such that $\lim \sup \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f^{2}<\infty(y \rightarrow x, y \in I)$ for each $x \in I$. Proposition 5.8 in [MW] says that an approximately continuous function is in $M$ if and only if it is a Lebesgue function.

Now let $E$ be the vector space generated by nonnegative derivatives. (It is easy to see that a derivative $f$ is in $E$ if and only if $|f| \leq g$ for some $g \in D$.) It has already been mentioned that each nonnegative derivative (and so each element of $E$ ) is a multiplier of continuous functions. It may interest the reader
that we have neither $E \subset M$ nor $M \subset E$. To show that $E \not \subset M$ it suffices to construct functions $f$ and $g$ such that $f \geqq 0$ and $0 \leq g \leq 1$ on $I, f$ and $g$ are continuous on $(0,1], f \in D, f(0)=1, g(0)=0=\lim$ ap $g(x)$ and that $f(x)=0$, whenever $x \in(0,1]$ and $g(x)<1$. Then $f g=f$ on $(0,1]$ while $(f g)(0)=0$ so that $f g \notin D, f \in E \backslash M$. To show that $M \not \subset E$ is not so easy. We shall construct an $f \in M \backslash E$ in 15 . First we prove a simple lemma.
14. Lemma. Let $f, g$ be measurable functions on $I,|f| \leq g$. Let $Q \in$ $\mathbb{R}, \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} g \rightarrow Q$. Let $c \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\lim \sup \frac{1}{(c-1) x} \int_{x}^{c x}|f| \leq Q
$$

$$
\text { Proof. Set } G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g . \text { Then } \frac{1}{x} \int_{x}^{c x}|f| \leq \frac{1}{x}(G(c x)-G(x)) \rightarrow(c-1) Q .
$$

15. Example. There is a function $f \in D \backslash E$ such that $f$ is continuous on $(0,1]$ and limsup $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f^{2}<\infty$ (hence $f \in M$ ).

Proof. Let $F$ be a function continuous and decreasing on $(0,1]$ such that $F(0+)=\infty, F(1)=1$ and $\int_{0}^{1} F^{2}<\infty$. Set $A=\int_{0}^{1} F$. Let $n$ be a positive even number. Let $x_{k}$ be numbers such that $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=$ $1, \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} F=A / n$. Let $y_{k}, z_{k}$ be numbers such that $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{y_{k}} F=\int_{z_{k}}^{x_{k}} F=1 / n^{2}$. Since $A>1$ and $n \geqq 2$, we have $\frac{2}{n^{2}}<\frac{A}{n}$ so that $x_{k-1}<y_{k}<z_{k}<x_{k}$. Let $g_{k}$ be a function continuous on $\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right]$ such that $0 \leq g_{k} \leq F$ there, $g_{k}\left(x_{k-1}=g_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=0, g_{k}=F\right.$ on $\left[y_{k}, z_{k}\right], \int_{x_{k-1}}^{y_{k}} g_{k}=\int_{z_{k}}^{x_{k}} g_{k}=1 / 2 n^{2}$. Now we define a function $F_{n}$ on $I$ setting $F_{n}=g_{k}(-1)^{k-1}$ on $\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right](k=1, \ldots, n)$. It is easy to see that $F_{n}$ is continuous on $I,\left|F_{n}\right| \leq F, \int_{x_{k-2}}^{x_{k}} F_{n}=0(k=2, \ldots, n)$ and $0 \leq \int_{0}^{x} F_{n}<A / n$ for each $x \in I$. Let $V_{n}=\left\{x \in I ;\left|F_{n}(x)\right|<F(x)\right\}, W_{n}=$ $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(x_{k-1}, y_{k}\right) \cup\left(z_{k}, x_{k}\right)\right)$. Since $V_{n} \subset W_{n}$, we have $\int_{V_{n}} F \leq 2 n^{-2} \cdot n=2 / n$.

Now set $z_{k}=2^{-k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$. Define a function $f$ on $I$ setting $f(0)=0$ and $f(x)=F_{2 k}\left(\left(x-z_{k}\right) / z_{k}\right)$ for $x \in\left(z_{k}, 2 z_{k}\right]$. For any such $x$ we have $0 \leq \int_{z_{k}}^{x} f \leq$ $z_{k} A / 2 k$. Clearly $\int_{z_{k}}^{2 z_{k}} f^{2} \leq z_{k} \int_{I} F^{2}$ and $\int_{z_{k}}^{2 z_{k}} f=0$. Hence limsup $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f^{2}<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f \rightarrow 0$. Since $f$ is continuous on ( 0,1 ], we have, by $12, f \in M$.

Let $B \in(1, \infty)$. There is a $b \in(0,1)$ such that $F(b)=B$. Set $v_{k}=z_{k}(1+b)$. (Hence $v_{k}-z_{k}=z_{k} b$.) Then $\int_{z_{k}}^{v_{k}}|f|=z_{k} \int_{0}^{b}\left|F_{2 k}\right|$. Define $S_{k}=(0, b) \backslash V_{2 k}$. Obviously $\left|S_{k}\right| \geqq b-\left|V_{2 k}\right|$; since $F \geqq 1$, we have $\left|V_{2 k}\right| \leq \int_{V_{2 k}} F \leq 1 / k$ so that $\left|S_{k}\right| \geqq b-1 / k$. Further $\int_{0}^{b}\left|F_{2 k}\right| \geqq \int_{S_{k}}\left|F_{2 k}\right|=\int_{S_{k}} F \geqq\left|S_{k}\right| F(b) \geqq(b-$ $1 / k) B, \int_{z_{k}}^{v_{k}}|f| \geqq z_{k}(b-1 / k) B=\left(v_{k}-z_{k}\right)\left(1-(k b)^{-1}\right) B, \liminf \frac{1}{v_{k}-z_{k}} \int_{z_{k}}^{v_{k}}|f| \geqq$ $B(k \rightarrow \infty)$. It follows from 14 that there is no $g \in D$ with $|f| \leq g$. Hence $f \notin E$.
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