

ON DOUBLE COVERINGS OF A POINTED NON-SINGULAR CURVE WITH ANY WEIERSTRASS SEMIGROUP

By

Jiryo KOMEDA* and Akira OHBUCHI**

Abstract. Let H be a Weierstrass semigroup, i.e., the set $H(P)$ of integers which are pole orders at P of regular functions on $C \setminus \{P\}$ for some pointed non-singular curve (C, P) . In this paper for any Weierstrass semigroup H we construct a double covering $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ with a ramification point \tilde{P} such that $H(\pi(\tilde{P})) = H$. We also determine the semigroup $H(\tilde{P})$. Moreover, in the case where H starts with 3 we investigate the relation between the semigroup $H(\tilde{P})$ and the Weierstrass semigroup of a total ramification point on a cyclic covering of the projective line with degree 6.

1 Introduction

Let C be a complete nonsingular irreducible curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0, which is called a *curve* in this paper. Let $\mathbf{K}(C)$ be the field of rational functions on C . For a point P of C , we set

$$H(P) := \{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0 \mid \text{there exists } f \in \mathbf{K}(C) \text{ with } (f)_\infty = \alpha P\},$$

which is called the *Weierstrass semigroup of the point P* where \mathbf{N}_0 denotes the additive semigroup of non-negative integers. A *numerical semigroup* means a subsemigroup of \mathbf{N}_0 whose complement in \mathbf{N}_0 is a finite set. For a numerical

*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (17540046), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

**Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (17540030), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14H55; Secondary 14H30, 14C20.

Key words and phrase: Weierstrass semigroup of a point, Double covering of a curve, Cyclic covering of the projective line with degree 6.

Received December 8, 2005.

Revised March 6, 2006.

semigroup H the cardinality of $N_0 \setminus H$ is called the *genus* of H , which is denoted by $g(H)$. We note that $H(P)$ is a numerical semigroup of genus g . A numerical semigroup H is said to be *Weierstrass* if there exists a pointed curve (C, P) such that $H = H(P)$.

Let (\tilde{C}, \tilde{P}) be a pointed curve of genus \tilde{g} . Let us take a positive integer g with $\tilde{g} \geq 6g + 4$. Using the property of the semigroup $H(\tilde{P})$ Torres [7] characterized the condition under which \tilde{C} is a double covering of some curve C of genus g with ramification point \tilde{P} . In this paper when a pointed curve (C, P) of genus g is given we construct many examples of \tilde{H} which is the semigroup of a ramification point of a double covering of C over the point P even if $g(\tilde{H}) < 6g + 4$. In fact, in Section 2 when H is any Weierstrass semigroup, i.e., there exists a pointed curve (C, P) with $H(P) = H$ we construct a double covering of a curve C with ramification point \tilde{P} over P such that $g(H(\tilde{P})) \geq 2g(H) + c(H) - 1$ where we denote by $c(H)$ the minimum of non-negative integers c satisfying $c + N_0 \subseteq H$. We note that $c(H) \leq 2g(H)$. We can also describe the semigroup $\tilde{H} = H(\tilde{P})$. For any positive integer m a numerical semigroup H is called an *m-semigroup* if the least positive integer in H is m . An *m-semigroup* is said to be *cyclic* if it is the Weierstrass semigroup of a total ramification point on a cyclic covering of the projective line with degree m . If p is prime, Kim-Komeda [1] gives a computable necessary and sufficient condition for a p -semigroup to be cyclic. In Section 3 we describe a necessary and sufficient condition for a 6-semigroup to be cyclic. Moreover, for a 3-semigroup H we find the condition for the semigroup $\tilde{H} = H_n = 2H + nN_0$ in Theorem 2.2 to be cyclic.

2 Weierstrass Points on a Double Covering of a Curve

In this section when a Weierstrass semigroup H is given we construct a double covering $\pi: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ with a ramification point \tilde{P} such that $H(\pi(\tilde{P})) = H$. Moreover, we determine the Weierstrass semigroup of the ramification point \tilde{P} . For a numerical semigroup H we use the following notation. For an *m-semigroup* H we set

$$S(H) = \{s_0 = m, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{m-1}\}$$

where s_i is the minimum element h in H such that $h \equiv i \pmod{m}$. The set $S(H)$ is called the *standard basis* for H .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let H be an m -semigroup and n an odd integer larger than $2c(H) - 2$. We set $H_n = 2H + nN_0$. Assume that $n \neq 2m - 1$.*

i) H_n is a $2m$ -semigroup with the standard basis

$$S(H_n) = \{2m, 2s_1, \dots, 2s_{m-1}, n, n + 2s_1, \dots, n + 2s_{m-1}\}.$$

ii) The genus of H_n is $2g(H) + (n - 1)/2$.

PROOF. i) Since

$$\text{Max}\{s_i - m \mid i = 1, \dots, m - 1\} = c(H) - 1,$$

we get $s_i - m \leq c(H) - 1$ for all i . Hence, we have

$$2s_i \leq 2(c(H) - 1 + m) \leq 4c(H) - 2 \leq 2n$$

because of $m \leq c(H)$ and the assumption $n \geq 2c(H) - 1$. Therefore, we obtain the standard basis

$$S(H_n) = \{2m, 2s_1, \dots, 2s_{m-1}, n, n + 2s_1, \dots, n + 2s_{m-1}\}$$

for H_n , because

$$\{s \in S(H_n) \mid s \text{ is even}\} = \{2m, 2s_1, \dots, 2s_{m-1}\}$$

and

$$\{s \in S(H_n) \mid s \text{ is odd}\} = \{n, n + 2s_1, \dots, n + 2s_{m-1}\}.$$

ii) If we set

$$n \equiv r \pmod{2m} \quad \text{with } 1 \leq r \leq 2m - 1,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(H_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [(2s_i)/(2m)] + [n/(2m)] + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [(n + 2s_i)/(2m)] \\ &= g(H) + (n - r)/(2m) + (m - 1) \cdot (n - r)/(2m) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [(r + 2s_i)/(2m)] \\ &= g(H) + (n - r)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (s_i - i)/m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [(r + 2i)/(2m)] \\ &= 2g(H) + (n - r)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [(r + 2i)/(2m)]. \end{aligned}$$

By the way we have $r + 2i \leq 4m - 3$, and $r + 2i \geq 2m$ if and only if $i \geq m - (r - 1)/2$. Hence, we obtain

$$g(H_n) = 2g(H) + (n - r)/2 + (r - 1)/2 = 2g(H) + (n - 1)/2. \quad \square$$

We construct a desired double covering $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ as follows:

THEOREM 2.2. *Let H be a Weierstrass m -semigroup of genus $r \geq 0$, i.e., there exists a pointed curve (C, P) such that $H(P) = H$. For any odd $n \geq 2c(H) - 1$ we set $H_n = 2H + nN_0$. Assume that $n \neq 2m - 1$. Then there exists a double covering $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ with a ramification point \tilde{P} over P such that $H(\tilde{P}) = H_n$.*

PROOF. We consider the divisor $D = ((n+1)/2)P$. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on C such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(-D)$. Then we have

$$2D \sim P + (\text{some effective divisor}) = R$$

where R is a reduced divisor. Here for any two divisors D_1 and D_2 on C $D_1 \sim D_2$ means that D_1 and D_2 are linearly equivalent. In fact, we have

$$\deg(2D - P) = 2 \cdot (n+1)/2 - 1 = n \geq 2c(H) - 1 \geq 2r + 1$$

because of $c(H) \geq r + 1$. Hence, the divisor $2D - P$ is very ample. We set $\Delta = |2D - P|$ where for a divisor E on C we denote by $|E|$ the set of effective divisors on C which are linearly equivalent to E . By Bertini's Theorem there exists a non-empty open subset U in Δ which is contained in the set

$$\Delta_0 = \{E \in \Delta \mid E \text{ is reduced}\}.$$

We consider the non-empty open subset

$$U_1 = \{E \in \Delta \mid P \notin E\}.$$

Then $U \cap U_1$ is non-empty open. Take a divisor R' in $U \cap U_1$. We may set $R = P + R'$. Now we have isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(-2D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(-R) \subset \mathcal{O}_C.$$

Using the composition of the above two isomorphisms we can construct a double covering

$$\pi : \tilde{C} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C$$

whose branch locus is R (See Mumford [6]). By Riemann-Hurwitz formula the genus of \tilde{C} is

$$2r + (n-1)/2 = 2g(H) + (n-1)/2.$$

Let $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{C}$ be the ramification point of π over P . By Proposition 2.1 in Komeda-Ohbuchi [4] we obtain

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((n-1)\tilde{P})) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(((n-1)/2)P)) + h^0(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(((n-1)/2)P))$$

and

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((n+1)\tilde{P})) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(((n+1)/2)P)) + h^0(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(((n+1)/2)P)).$$

Since $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(-((n+1)/2)P)$, we get

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((n-1)\tilde{P})) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(((n-1)/2)P))$$

and

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((n+1)\tilde{P})) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(((n+1)/2)P)) + 1.$$

The assumption $n \geq 2c(H) - 1$ implies that

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(((n+1)/2)P)) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(((n-1)/2)P)) + 1.$$

Thus, we get

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(n\tilde{P})) = h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((n-1)\tilde{P})) + 1,$$

which implies that $n \in H(\tilde{P})$. Moreover, we have $H(\tilde{P}) \supset 2H$. Thus, we get $H(\tilde{P}) \cong 2H + nN_0 = H_n$. By Lemma 2.1 ii) we have $g(H_n) = g(H(\tilde{P}))$, which implies that $H(\tilde{P}) = H_n$. \square

Since for any $m \leq 5$ every m -semigroup is Weierstrass (Maclachlan [5], Komeda [2], [3]), we get the following:

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let H be an m -semigroup for some $2 \leq m \leq 5$. For any odd $n \geq 2c(H) - 1$ with $n \neq 2m - 1$ there exists a double covering with a ramification point whose Weierstrass semigroup is $2H + nN_0$.*

If we take H as the semigroup generated by 3, 4 and 5, we get the following examples:

EXAMPLE 2.4. For any $g \geq 7$ there exists a double covering with a ramification point whose Weierstrass semigroup is generated by 6, 8, 10 and $2g - 7$.

3 Cyclic 6-semigroups

First, we describe the condition for a 6-semigroup to be cyclic in terms of the standard basis. Using the description we determine the condition on n under which the semigroup H_n in Theorem 2.2 is cyclic when H is a 3-semigroup.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let H be a cyclic 6-semigroup. Then there exists a pointed curve (C, P) satisfying $H(P) = H$ such that the curve C is defined by an equation of the form*

$$z^6 = \prod_{q=1}^5 \prod_{j=1}^{i_q} (x - c_{qj})^q$$

with $\sum_{q=1}^5 qi_q \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$ and that $f(P) = (0 : 1)$ where $f : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is the surjective morphism defined by $f(Q) = (1 : x(Q))$. Here c_{qj} 's are distinct elements of k .

PROOF. Since H is a cyclic 6-semigroup, there is a pointed curve (C, P) such that C is a cyclic covering of \mathbf{P}^1 of degree 6 with its total ramification point P satisfying $H(P) = H$. Hence, C is defined by an equation of the form

$$z^6 = \prod_{q=1}^5 \prod_{j=1}^{i_q} (x - c_{qj})^q$$

where i_1, \dots, i_5 are non-negative integers. If $f : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is the morphism sending Q to $(1 : x(Q))$, then $f(P) = (0 : 1)$ or $(1 : c_{qj})$ for $q = 1$ or 5 and some j . Even if $f(P) = (1 : c_{qj})$, we may assume that $f(P) = (0 : 1)$ by transforming the variable x into $X = 1/(x - c_{qj})$. In this case, we get $\sum_{q=1}^5 qi_q \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let (C, P) be a pointed curve as in Lemma 3.1. Then we have*

$$S(H(P)) = \left\{ 6, \sum_{i=1}^5 qi_i, 2(i_1 + 2i_2 + i_4 + 2i_5), 3(i_1 + i_3 + i_5), \right. \\ \left. 2(2i_1 + i_2 + 2i_4 + i_5), \sum_{i=1}^5 (6 - q)i_q \right\}.$$

PROOF. We set

$$f^{-1}((1 : c_{qj})) = \{P_{qj}\} \quad \text{for } q = 1, 5, \\ f^{-1}((1 : c_{qj})) = \{P_{qj}, P'_{qj}\} \quad \text{for } q = 2, 4, \\ f^{-1}((1 : c_{qj})) = \{P_{qj}, P'_{qj}, P''_{qj}\} \quad \text{for } q = 3.$$

Let H be the semigroup generated by 6 , $b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^5 qi_i$, $b_2 = 2(i_1 + 2i_2 + i_4 + 2i_5)$, $b_3 = 3(i_1 + i_3 + i_5)$, $b_4 = 2(2i_1 + i_2 + 2i_4 + i_5)$ and $b_5 = \sum_{i=1}^5 (6 - q)i_q$. Since

$\sum_{q=1}^5 qi_q \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod 6$, H is a numerical semigroup. First, we show that $H \subseteq H(P)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} z &= -b_1P + \sum_{j=1}^{i_1} P_{1j} + 5 \sum_{j=1}^{i_5} P_{5j} + \sum_{j=1}^{i_2} (P_{2j} + P'_{2j}) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i_4} (P_{4j} + P'_{4j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{i_3} (P_{3j} + P'_{3j} + P''_{3j}), \\ \operatorname{div}(x - c_{qj}) &= -6P + 6P_{qj} \quad \text{for } q = 1, 5, \\ \operatorname{div}(x - c_{qj}) &= -6P + 3P_{qj} + 3P'_{qj} \quad \text{for } q = 2, 4, \\ \operatorname{div}(x - c_{qj}) &= -6P + 2P_{qj} + 2P'_{qj} + 2P''_{qj} \quad \text{for } q = 3. \end{aligned}$$

For any $m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ we set

$$y_m = \prod_{q=1}^5 \prod_{j=1}^{i_q} (x - c_{qj})^{-\lfloor -mq/6 \rfloor}$$

where $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to r for any real number r . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(y_m/z^m) &= - \sum_{q=1}^5 (-mq - 6\lfloor -mq/6 \rfloor) i_q P + (6 - m) \sum_{j=1}^{i_1} P_{1j} \\ &\quad + (-6\lfloor -5m/6 \rfloor - 5m) \sum_{j=1}^{i_5} P_{5j} \\ &\quad + (-3\lfloor -2m/6 \rfloor - m) \sum_{j=1}^{i_2} (P_{2j} + P'_{2j}) \\ &\quad + (-3\lfloor -4m/6 \rfloor - 2m) \sum_{j=1}^{i_4} (P_{4j} + P'_{4j}) \\ &\quad + (-2\lfloor -3m/6 \rfloor - m) \sum_{j=1}^{i_3} (P_{3j} + P'_{3j} + P''_{3j}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\operatorname{div}(y_m/z^m)_\infty = b_{6-m}P$$

for any $m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Thus, we have $H \subseteq H(P)$, which implies that $g(H) \geq g(H(P))$. By Hurwitz's theorem we get

$$g(H(P)) = (5i_1 + 4i_2 + 3i_3 + 4i_4 + 5i_5 - 5)/2.$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(H) &\leq \sum_{q=1}^5 [b_q/6] = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 qi_q \right) / 6 \right] + [(2(i_1 + 2i_2 + i_4 + 2i_5))/6] \\
&\quad + [(3(i_1 + i_3 + i_5))/6] + i_1 + i_2 + i_4 + i_5 \\
&\quad + [(-2(i_1 + 2i_2 + i_4 + 2i_5))/6] \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=1}^5 i_q + \left[\left(- \sum_{i=1}^5 qi_q \right) / 6 \right] \\
&= (5i_1 + 4i_2 + 3i_3 + 4i_4 + 5i_5 - 5)/2 = g(H(P)),
\end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{q=1}^5 qi_q \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$. Therefore, we get the equality $g(H) = g(H(P))$, which implies that $H(P) = H$. Moreover, by the above equality the standard basis for $H(P)$ must be the desired one. \square

Using the above description of a cyclic 6-semigroup in terms of the standard basis we get a computable necessary and sufficient condition for a 6-semigroup to be cyclic.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let H be a 6-semigroup with*

$$S(H) = \{6, 6m_1 + 1, 6m_2 + 2, 6m_3 + 3, 6m_4 + 4, 6m_5 + 5\}.$$

Then it is cyclic if and only if we have

$$m_2 + m_5 \geq m_3 + m_4, \quad m_1 + m_5 \geq m_2 + m_4 \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 + m_4 \geq m_2 + m_3.$$

PROOF. First, assume that H is cyclic. By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 there are non-negative integers i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 and i_5 such that

$$\begin{cases}
i_1 + 2i_2 + 3i_3 + 4i_4 + 5i_5 = 6m_1 + 1 \quad (\text{resp. } 6m_5 + 5) \\
2i_1 + 4i_2 + 2i_4 + 4i_5 = 6m_2 + 2 \quad (\text{resp. } 6m_4 + 4) \\
3i_1 + 3i_3 + 3i_5 = 6m_3 + 3 \\
4i_1 + 2i_2 + 4i_4 + 2i_5 = 6m_4 + 4 \quad (\text{resp. } 6m_2 + 2) \\
5i_1 + 4i_2 + 3i_3 + 2i_4 + i_5 = 6m_5 + 5 \quad (\text{resp. } 6m_1 + 1).
\end{cases}$$

Considering i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 to be variables the determinant of the coefficient matrix is 1296. By calculation the above system of linear equations has a unique solution

$$\begin{aligned}
i_1 &= m_3 + m_4 + 1 - m_1 \quad (\text{resp. } m_2 + m_3 - m_5), \\
i_2 &= m_2 + m_5 - m_3 - m_4 \quad (\text{resp. } m_1 + m_4 - m_2 - m_3),
\end{aligned}$$

$$i_3 = m_1 + m_5 - m_2 - m_4,$$

$$i_4 = m_1 + m_4 - m_2 - m_3 \text{ (resp. } m_2 + m_5 - m_3 - m_4),$$

$$i_5 = m_2 + m_3 - m_5 \text{ (resp. } m_3 + m_4 + 1 - m_1).$$

Since all i_q 's must be non-negative, we get the desired result.

We shall show the “only if”-part. Let i_q 's be as in the above, which are non-negative by the assumption. Then we get the pointed curve (C, P) as in Lemma 3.1. Using Proposition 3.2 we get $H = H(P)$, which implies that H is cyclic. \square

When H is a 3-semigroup, we give a criterion for the 6-semigroup H_n as in Lemma 2.1 to be non-cyclic.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let H be a 3-semigroup with $S(H) = \{3, 3l_1 + 1, 3l_2 + 2\}$ and n an odd integer larger than $2c(H) - 2$ and distinct from 5. We set $H_n = 2H + n\mathbf{N}_0$.*

- i) *If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, then the 6-semigroup H_n is cyclic.*
- ii) *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. If $2l_1 = l_2$, then the 6-semigroup H_n is cyclic. Otherwise, H_n is not cyclic.*
- iii) *Let $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. If $l_1 = 2l_2 + 1$, then the 6-semigroup H_n is cyclic. Otherwise, H_n is not cyclic.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1 i) we have

$$S(H_n) = \{6, 6l_1 + 2, 6l_2 + 4, n, n + 6l_1 + 2, n + 6l_2 + 4\}.$$

For any $i = 1, \dots, 5$, let $s_i \in S(H_n)$ such that $s_i \equiv i \pmod{6}$. We set $m_i = [s_i/6]$.

First, we consider the case where $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. Then we have

$$m_1 = l_2 + [n/6] + 1, \quad m_3 = [n/6] \quad \text{and} \quad m_5 = l_1 + [n/6].$$

Thus, we get $m_1 + m_5 > m_2 + m_4$. Since $2l_1 \geq l_2$ and $2l_2 + 1 \geq l_1$, we have

$$m_2 + m_5 \geq m_3 + m_4 \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 + m_4 \geq m_2 + m_3.$$

By Theorem 3.3 the 6-semigroup H_n is cyclic.

Second, we consider the case where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Then we have

$$m_1 = [n/6], \quad m_3 = l_1 + [n/6] \quad \text{and} \quad m_5 = l_2 + [n/6].$$

Thus, we get $m_2 + m_5 = m_3 + m_4$. If $2l_1 > l_2$, then we have

$$m_1 + m_4 = [n/6] + l_2 < 2l_1 + [n/6] = m_2 + m_3,$$

which implies that H_n is not cyclic. Let $2l_1 = l_2$. Then we have

$$m_1 + m_4 = m_2 + m_3.$$

Moreover, we see that $c(H) = 6l_1 + 2 - 3 + 1 = 6l_1$. By the assumption we have $n \geq 12l_1 - 1$, which implies that $[n/6] \geq 2l_1 - 1$. Hence we obtain

$$m_1 + m_5 = l_2 + 2[n/6] \geq l_2 + 4l_1 - 2 > l_1 + l_2 = m_2 + m_4.$$

Thus, if $2l_1 = l_2$, then H_n is cyclic.

Last, let $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. The method similar to the case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ works well. \square

Using the above result we get a criterion for the 6-semigroup in Example 2.4 to be cyclic.

EXAMPLE 3.5. For any $g \geq 7$ let $H(g)$ be the semigroup generated by 6, 8, 10 and $2g - 7$. The 6-semigroup $H(g)$ is cyclic if and only if $g \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

References

- [1] Kim, S. J. and Komeda, J., The Weierstrass semigroup of a pair of Galois Weierstrass points with prime degree on a curve, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **36** (2005), 127–142.
- [2] Komeda, J., On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are four, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **341** (1983), 68–86.
- [3] Komeda, J., On the existence of Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are five, *Manuscripta Math.* **76** (1992), 193–211.
- [4] Komeda, J., A. Ohbuchi, Weierstrass points with first non-gap four on a double covering of a hyperelliptic curve, *Serdica Math. J.* **30** (2004), 43–54.
- [5] Maclachlan, C., Weierstrass points on compact Riemann surfaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 722–724.
- [6] Mumford, D., *Prym varieties I*, *Contributions to Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1974, 325–350.
- [7] Torres, F., Weierstrass points and double coverings of curves, *Manuscripta Math.* **83** (1994), 39–58.

Jiryo Komeda
 Department of Mathematics
 Center for Basic Education and Integrated Learning
 Kanagawa Institute of Technology
 Atsugi, 243-0292, Japan
 e-mail: komeda@gen.kanagawa-it.ac.jp
 fax: +81-46-241-4262

Akira Ohbuchi
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences
Tokushima University
Tokushima, 770-8502, Japan
e-mail: ohbuchi@ias.tokushima-u.ac.jp
fax: +81-88-656-7297