

## THE EXTENSION PROBLEM FOR COMPLETE $UV^n$ -PREIMAGES

By

S. M. AGEEV, D. REPOVŠ and E. V. ŠČEPIN

**Abstract.** We investigate the solvability of the *extension problem* for complete preimages from the given class  $\mathcal{F}$  of surjective, perfect mappings of metric spaces, which consists of representing an arbitrary mapping  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  as the restriction of another mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{F}$ , onto the complete preimage  $f_0^{-1}(Y_0) = X_0$ , where  $Y$  is an arbitrary metric space, containing  $Y_0$  as a closed subset. We prove that this problem can be solved for the class of open  $UV^n$ -mappings. Along the way, we also establish that the subset  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  of the exponent  $\exp(\ell_2(\tau))$  of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  of density  $\tau$ , consisting of  $UV^n$ -compacta, belongs to the class of absolute retracts.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of perfect surjective mappings of metric spaces. If a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $Y_0 \subset Y$  is any closed subset then quite often the restriction  $g$  of  $f$  onto the complete preimage  $f^{-1}(Y_0)$  of the set  $Y_0$  also belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is true for the following classes of interest:

- (a) The class  $\mathcal{F}_a$  of all open maps;
- (b) The class  $\mathcal{F}_b$  of all monotone open maps;
- (c) The class  $\mathcal{F}_c$  of all  $n$ -soft maps;
- (d) The class  $\mathcal{F}_d$  of all open  $UV^n$ -maps;
- (e) The class  $\mathcal{F}_e$  of all locally trivial fibrations (cf. [8]); and

---

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary: 54B20, 54C35; Secondary: 54E40, 54C55.

*Keywords, and phrases.* Extension problem, absolute neighborhood retract, generalized Hilbert space,  $UV^n$ -compactum, monotone open map.

Received January 14, 1998

Revised May 25, 1998

(f) The class  $\mathcal{F}_f$  of all  $G$ -mappings (where  $Y_0$  is taken to be an invariant subset of  $Y$ ) (cf. [1, 2]).

In the present paper we shall be interested in the *inverse* problem, the exact meaning of which we explain below:

**DEFINITION (1.1).** The extension problem for complete preimages from the class  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *solvable*, provided that for every map  $g : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  and every closed embedding of  $Y_0$  into the metric space  $Y$ , there exist a closed embedding of  $X_0$  into the metric space  $X$  and a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  such that:

- (i)  $f|_{X_0} = g$ ; and
- (ii)  $f(X \setminus X_0) = Y \setminus Y_0$ .

It is clear that the map  $g$  is the restriction of  $f$  onto the complete preimage  $f^{-1}(Y_0) = g^{-1}(Y_0) = X_0$ . Consequently, this extension problem is equivalent to the problem of representing an arbitrary map  $g : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  as the restriction of another map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto the complete preimage  $g^{-1}(Y_0) = f^{-1}(Y_0)$ , where  $Y_0 \subset Y$  is an arbitrary embedding of  $Y_0$  into the metric space  $Y$ .

Extensions of complete preimages are closely connected with the extension problem for maps into certain hyperspaces. To establish this connection let us restrict ourselves to metric spaces of a fixed weight  $\tau$ . It is well-known that all such spaces are subspaces of the generalized Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  (cf. [10]). This fact allows us to represent any map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  from the class  $\mathcal{F}$  as the restriction of the projection  $\text{pr}_1 : \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2(\tau) \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  onto some subset of the product.

**DEFINITION (1.2).** A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces is said to have a *kernel*  $Z$  if any of the following two equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (1) There exists a map  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  such that the diagonal map  $f\Delta g : X \rightarrow Y \times Z$  is a topological embedding;
- (2) There exists a homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow T$  between  $X$  and a subset  $T \subset Y \times Z$  which maps every fiber  $f^{-1}(y), y \in Y$ , into the fiber  $T \cap \{y \times Z\}$  of the map  $\text{pr}_Y|_T : T \rightarrow Y$ , i.e.  $f = (\text{pr}_Y|_T) \circ h$ .

**PROPOSITION (1.3).** *Every mapping between metric spaces of weight  $\tau$  has the kernel  $Z = \ell_2(\tau)$ .*

Therefore, every perfect surjective map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  can be generated by a subset  $T \subset \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2(\tau)$ , which satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) For every  $y \in Y \hookrightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$ , the intersection  $\Phi(y) = T \cap (y \times \ell_2(\tau))$  is compact; and

(ii) The map  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \exp(\ell_2(\tau))$ , given by  $\Phi(y) = T \cap (y \times \ell_2(\tau))$ , is upper semicontinuous, i.e. for every point  $y \in Y$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}(y)$  such that for every  $y' \in \mathcal{O}(y)$ ,  $\Phi(y') \subset N(\Phi(y), \varepsilon)$ .

Let us list some well-known facts concerning the relationship among the classes of maps  $\mathcal{F}$  and properties of the maps  $\Phi$ :

( $\alpha$ ) A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is open if and only if the corresponding map  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \exp(\ell_2(\tau))$  is continuous in the Hausdorff metric  $\rho H$ ;

( $\beta$ ) A map  $f$  is  $UV^n$  if and only if for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $\Phi(y)$  is a  $UV^n$ -set; and

( $\gamma$ ) A map  $f$  is  $n$ -soft if and only if for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $\Phi(y)$  is an  $AE(n)$ -set and  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \exp(\ell_2(\tau))$  is continuous in the Kuratowski metric  $\rho K$  (cf. [10]).

Denote by  $\exp_{UV^n} X$  the subspace of  $(\exp X, \rho H)$  consisting of all  $UV^n$ -compacta. For  $n = 0$ ,  $\exp_{UV^n} X$  is better known as  $\exp_c X$ , the continual exponent of  $X$ , and for  $n = -1$ ,  $\exp_{UV^n} X$  is just  $\exp X$ . Denote by  $\exp_{AE(n)} X$  the space of all  $AE(n)$ -compacta in  $X$  with the Kuratowski metric.

**PROPOSITION (1.4).** *The extension problem for complete preimages from the class  $\mathcal{F}_a$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_b, \mathcal{F}_c, \mathcal{F}_d$ ) is equivalent to the question whether  $\exp \ell_2(\tau) \in AE$  (resp.  $\exp_c \ell_2(\tau) \in AE, \exp_{AE(n)} \ell_2(\tau) \in AE, \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in AE$ ).*

For complete  $AE(1)$ -spaces  $X$ , the exponent and the continual exponent are absolute extensors (cf. [12]). Consequently, the extension problem for complete preimages for the classes  $\mathcal{F}_a$  and  $\mathcal{F}_b$  is solvable. Concerning the property  $\exp_{AE(n)}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in AE$ , we observe that this is an important open problem of the theory of absolute extensors in finite dimensions (cf. [7]) and, consequently, the same is true for the extension problem for complete preimages for the class  $\mathcal{F}_c$ . The main result of the present paper concerns the last one of these four classes:

**THEOREM (1.5).** *For every integer  $n \geq -1$ , the space  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  is an  $AE$ .*

As a corollary of Theorem (1.5), we deduce for  $n \geq -1$  the solvability of the extension problem for complete preimages for  $\mathcal{F}_a$  and  $\mathcal{F}_b$ :

**THEOREM (1.6).** *The extension problem for complete preimages for the class of open  $UV^n$ -maps is solvable, for every integer  $n \geq -1$ .*

**QUESTION (1.7).** *What can one say about compacta  $X$  such that  $\exp_{UV^n} X \in AE$ ? Is it true that  $X$  must necessarily be a  $UV^n$  compactum?*

## 2. Preliminaries

All spaces will be assumed to be metric and all maps to be continuous. A space  $X$  is said to be an *absolute [neighborhood] extensor in dimension  $n$* ,  $X \in A[N]E(n)$ , provided that  $X$  has the following injectivity property: every map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow X$  of a closed subset  $A \subset Z$  of an  $n$ -dimensional space  $Z$  can be extended to a map  $\hat{\varphi} : Z \rightarrow X$  [ $\hat{\varphi} : U \rightarrow X$ , for some neighborhood  $U \subset Z$  of  $A$ ], i.e.  $\hat{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ . The map  $\varphi$  will be called a *partial  $n$ -map* and will be denoted by  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$ .

For  $n = \infty$ , the class of  $A[N]E(n)$ -spaces (or simply,  $A[N]E$ -spaces) coincides with the class of *absolute [neighborhood] extensors* (cf. [5]). If a closed subset  $X_0 \subset X$  of an  $ANE$ -space is an  $AE(n)$ , then  $X_0$  has the following  $UV^{n-1}$ -property in  $X$  (cf. [11]):

**DEFINITION (2.1).** A closed subset  $X_0 \subset X$  is said to have the  *$UV^k$ -property* in  $X$ ,  $k < \infty$ , provided that for every neighborhood  $U \subset X$  of  $X_0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $X_0$  such that embedding  $i : V \hookrightarrow U$  induces the trivial homomorphism of homotopy groups  $\prod_i$ , for all  $i \leq k$ .

**DEFINITION (2.2).**  $X$  is said to be a  *$UV^k$ -compactum*,  $k < \infty$ , if for every embedding of  $X$  into an  $ANE(k+1)$ -space  $\hat{X}$ ,  $X$  has the  $UV^k$ -property in  $\hat{X}$ .

It is well-known that a compactum  $X$  is  $UV^k$  if and only if for some embedding of  $X$  into an  $ANE(k+1)$ -space  $\hat{X}$ ,  $X$  has the  $UV^k$ -property. Moreover,  $X$  is  $UV^k$  if and only if for every (some) embedding of  $X$  into an  $ANE(k+1)$ -space  $\hat{X}$ ,  $X$  has the following  $UV^k$ -property in  $\hat{X}$ :

( $\alpha$ ) *For every neighborhood  $U \subset \hat{X}$  of  $X$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $X$  such that every partial  $(k+1)$ -map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} V$  has an extension  $\hat{\varphi} : Z \rightarrow U$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ .*

**PROPOSITION (2.3).** *Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $X_0 \subset X$  any  $UV^k$ -compactum. Suppose there exists a homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $H_0 = \text{id}_X$  and  $H_1(X) \subset X_0$ . Then  $X$  is a  $UV^k$ -compactum.*

Therefore every cone over a compactum belongs to the  $UV^k$ -class. As a consequence, the  $UV^k$ -compacta are a wider class than the  $AE(k+1)$ -compacta.

**DEFINITION (2.4).** A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a  *$UV^k$ -map*, provided that every fiber of  $f$  is a  $UV^k$ -compactum.

One of the most important properties of  $UV^k$ -maps is their approximate  $(k + 1)$ -softness (cf. [13]):

**PROPOSITION (2.5).** *Let  $\hat{f} : \hat{Y} \times \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  be the projection of the product of ANE-compacta  $\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{Y}$  onto the first factor,  $f : X \rightarrow f(X) = Y \subset \hat{Y}$  a restriction of  $\hat{f}$  onto a compactum  $X \subset \hat{Y} \times \hat{X}$ . Then  $f \in UV^k$  if and only if for every sequence of maps  $\psi_i : Z \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  of a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional metric space  $Z$  and sequence of the partial maps  $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow \hat{Y} \times \hat{X}$ ,  $\text{Cl}(A) = A \subset Z$ , such that  $\psi_i|_A = \hat{f} \circ \varphi_i$ , for every  $i$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_i(A) \subset X$ , and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \psi_i(Z) \subset Y$ , there exists a sequence of maps  $\hat{\varphi}_i : Z \rightarrow \hat{Y} \times \hat{X}$ , such that the following three conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\hat{\varphi}_i|_A, \varphi_i) = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\varphi}_i(Z) \subset X$ ; and
- (3)  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\hat{f} \circ \hat{\varphi}_i, \psi_i) = 0$ .

The following well-known fact from shape theory is a consequence of Proposition (2.5).

**PROPOSITION (2.6).** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $UV^k$ -map of metric compacta. Then*

- (a) *If  $X \in UV^{k+1}$  then  $Y \in UV^{k+1}$ ; and*
- (b) *If  $Y \in UV^k$  then  $X \in UV^k$ .*

In the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  the unknotting theorem holds for  $Z$ -sets. We recall some necessary definitions:

**DEFINITION (2.7).** A closed subset  $A \subset Z$  of a metric space is said to be a  $Z$ -set, provided that for every open cover  $\omega \in \text{cov } Z$ , there exists a map  $h : Z \rightarrow Z$  which is  $\omega$ -close to  $\text{id}_Z$  and such that  $A \cap \text{Im } h = \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM (2.8).** *Suppose that in the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  we have a homeomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$  of  $Z$ -sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h} : \ell_2(\tau) \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  of the entire space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  such that  $\hat{h}|_A = h$ .*

We complete this section by some definitions and facts concerning the notion of homotopically negligible subsets:

**DEFINITION (2.9).** A subset  $A \subset Z$  of a metric space  $Z$  is said to be *homotopically negligible* in  $Z$ , provided that there exists a homotopy  $H : Z \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$  such that  $H(Z \times (0, 1]) \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $H_0 = \text{Id}$ .

The following are well-known facts concerning homotopically negligible sets (cf. [15]):

**PROPOSITION (2.10).** *Suppose that  $Z \in A[N]E$  and that  $A \subset Z$  is a homotopically negligible subset of  $Z$ . Then  $Z \setminus A \in A[N]E$ .*

**PROPOSITION (2.11).** *Every  $A[N]E$ -space  $X$  can be embedded into a complete  $A[N]E$ -space  $\hat{X} \supset X$  so that  $\hat{X} \setminus X$  is homotopically negligible in  $\hat{X}$ .*

**PROPOSITION (2.12).** *For every metric space  $X$ , there exists an  $A[N]E$ -space  $\hat{X}$ , containing  $X$  as a closed subset and such that  $X$  is homotopically negligible in  $\hat{X}$ .*

### 3. Adjunction Spaces for $UV^n$ -compacta

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces and let  $X_0 \subset X$  be a closed subset. Any continuous map  $f : X_0 \rightarrow Y$  induces a decomposition on the topological sum  $Z = X \oplus Y$ , if for every  $y \in f(X_0)$ , we shrink the set  $f^{-1}(y) \cup \{y\}$  to a point. The resulting decomposition space is denoted by  $X \cup_f Y$  and is called the *adjunction space* of  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $f$ . If the map  $f$  is perfect then the adjunction space  $X \cup_f Y$  is metrizable. Also, if  $X, X_0$  and  $Y$  are  $A[N]E$ -spaces then the adjunction space  $X \cup_f Y$  is also an  $A[N]E$ -space (cf. [9]). We shall now prove an analogous result concerning  $UV^n$ -compacta:

**THEOREM (3.1).** *Let  $X \leftarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{f} Y$  be a partial map such that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $UV^n$ -compacta and  $X_0 \subset X$  is a  $UV^{n-1}$ -compactum. Then the adjunction space  $Z = X \cup_f Y$  is a  $UV^n$ -compactum.*

**REMARK (3.2).** Theorem (3.1) was stated without proof in [3], where theorems on adjoining  $A[N]E(n)$  and  $n$ -movable spaces were also proved.

A short proof of Theorem (3.1) can be derived from Proposition (2.6): Since  $X \rightarrow X/X_0$  is a  $UV^{n-1}$ -map and  $X \in UV^n$ , it follows that  $X/X_0 \in UV^n$ . Since  $X \cup_f Y \rightarrow (X \cup_f Y)/Y = X/X_0$  is a  $UV^n$ -map, it follows that  $X \cup_f Y \in UV^n$ . As this method is not applicable to prove the adjunction theorem for  $n$ -movable spaces, we present the following expanded proof of Theorem (3.1).

**PROOF.** Embed  $Y$  in an  $ANE$ -compactum  $\hat{Y}$  as a homotopically negligible set. Therefore there is a homotopy  $H_t : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  such that  $H_0 = \text{Id}$  and for every

$t > 0, H_t(\hat{Y}) \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Extend the map  $f$  to a map  $f' : \hat{X}_0 \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ , defined on some *ANE*-compactum  $\hat{X}_0 \supset X_0$ . By means of  $H_t$  we can define a new extension of  $f$  as follows:

$$\hat{f}(x) = H(f'(x), \rho(x, X_0)), \quad x \in \hat{X}_0.$$

Clearly,  $\hat{f}(\hat{X}_0 \setminus X_0) \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

We may assume that  $\hat{X}_0$  and  $X$  intersect precisely at  $X_0$ . Embed  $\hat{X}_0 \cup X$  into the *ANE*-compactum  $\hat{X}$ . It follows by the adjunction space theorem for *ANE*-compacta [5] that  $\hat{Z} = \hat{X} \cup_{\hat{f}} \hat{Y} \in \text{ANE}$ . Since the embedding of compacta into *ANE* is done with a great degree of freedom, it suffices, in order to verify  $UV^n$ -properties of the compactum  $Z = X \cup_f Y$  in  $\hat{Z}$ , to take  $\hat{Z}$  instead of  $U$  and to prove that there exist neighborhoods  $V \subset \hat{X}$  of  $X$  and  $W \subset \hat{Y}$  of  $Y$  such that:

(a)  $(\hat{f})^{-1}(W) = V \cap \hat{X}_0$ ; and

(b) The embedding  $V \cap_{\hat{f}} W \hookrightarrow \hat{Z}$  induces a trivial homomorphism of homotopy groups  $\prod_i$ , for all  $i \leq n$ .

Since  $X \in UV^n$ , there is a neighborhood  $V_1 \subset \hat{X}$  of  $X$  such that:

(c) Every partial  $(n+1)$ -map  $P \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} V_1$  extends to a global map  $P \rightarrow \hat{X}$ .

We now apply the fact that  $Y \in UV^n$ . There exist neighborhoods  $V_2 \subset V_1$  of  $X_0$  and  $W_2 \subset \hat{Y}$  of  $Y$  such that:

(d)  $(\hat{f})^{-1}(W_2) = V_2 \cap \hat{X}_0$ ; and

(e) Every partial  $(n+1)$ -map  $P \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} V_2 \cap_{\hat{f}} W_2$  extends to a global map  $P \rightarrow \hat{Z}$ .

Finally, the hypothesis  $X_0 \in UV^{n-1}$  implies the existence of neighborhoods  $V_3 \subset V_1$  of  $X_0$  and  $W \subset W_2$  of  $Y$  such that:

(f)  $(\hat{f})^{-1}(W) = V_3 \cap \hat{X}_0$ ; and

(g) Every partial  $n$ -map  $P \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} V_3$  extends to a global map  $P \rightarrow V_2$ .

Let  $V \subset \hat{X}$  be a neighborhood of  $X$  such that  $V \subset V_1$  and  $V \cap \hat{X}_0 = V_3 \cap \hat{X}_0 = (\hat{f})^{-1}(W)$ . We claim that  $V$  and  $W$  possess properties (a) and (b) above.

Let  $\varphi : S^n \rightarrow V \cup_{\hat{f}} W$  be any  $n$ -spheroid (i.e. a continuous map of  $S^n$  into  $V \cup_{\hat{f}} W$ ). An  $(n+1)$ -membrane spanning this  $n$ -spheroid is any extension of  $\varphi$  onto the ball  $B^{n+1}$  whose boundary is  $S^n$ . It is easy to find an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional piecewise-linear separator  $F \subset S^n$  homeomorphic to  $S^{n-1}$ , which decomposes  $S^n$  into two closed subsets  $A \cup B = S^n$ , such that  $A \cap B = F$  and

$$\varphi(F) \subset V_3 \setminus \hat{X}_0, \quad \varphi(A) \subset V \setminus \hat{X}_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(B) \subset V_2 \cup_{\hat{f}} W_2.$$

It follows by (g) above, that the partial  $n$ -map  $A \hookrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} V_3$  extends to a map  $\psi : A \rightarrow V_2$ .

The separator  $F$  on the sphere  $S^n$  can be extended to a separator  $\hat{F} \cong A$  on the ball  $B^{n+1}$  which will decompose  $B^{n+1}$  into two closed subsets  $\hat{A} \cup \hat{B} = B^{n+1}$ ,  $A \subset \hat{A}$ ,  $B \subset \hat{B}$ , and  $\hat{A} \cap \hat{B} = \hat{F} \cong A$ . Due to (c), the partial  $(n+1)$ -map

$$\hat{A} \hookrightarrow A \cup \hat{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi|_A \cup \psi} V_2 \hookrightarrow V_1$$

extends to a global map  $\hat{A} \xrightarrow{\xi} \hat{X}$ .

By (e) above, the partial  $(n+1)$ -map

$$\hat{B} \hookrightarrow B \cup \hat{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi|_B \cup \pi \circ \psi} V_2 \cup_f W_2$$

extends to a global map  $\zeta : \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{Z}$  (here,  $\pi : \hat{X} \cup \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{X} \cup_f \hat{Y} = \hat{Z}$  is the canonical projection). Gluing together maps  $\pi \circ \xi$  and  $\zeta$  along their common domain  $\hat{F}$ , we obtain the desired extension  $\hat{\varphi} : B^{n+1} \rightarrow \hat{Z}$  of the  $n$ -spheroid  $\varphi$ . ■

#### 4. A Reduction to Local Connectedness

As it was also pointed out in Chapter 1, the fact that  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  is in the class  $AE$  implies that the extension problem for complete open  $UV^n$ -preimages is solvable. Let us give a proof of this fact.

**PROPOSITION (4.1).** *If  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in AE$  then the extension problem for complete perfect open  $UV^n$ -preimages with kernel  $\ell_2(\tau)$  is solvable.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is any perfect open  $UV^n$ -map and  $i : Y \hookrightarrow \hat{Y}$  is any closed embedding. Since  $f$  has the kernel  $\ell_2(\tau)$ , there exists a closed embedding  $v : X \hookrightarrow Y \times \ell_2(\tau)$  such that  $v(x) \in f(x) \times \ell_2(\tau)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Denote the projection of  $Y \times \ell_2(\tau)$  onto  $\ell_2(\tau)$  by  $q$ . Then the formula  $g(y) = q(v(f^{-1}(y)))$  defines a continuous map  $g : Y \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  which, by hypothesis, has an extension  $\hat{g} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  over all of  $\hat{Y}$ . Inside the product  $\hat{Y} \times \ell_2(\tau)$  we consider the subset  $\hat{X} = \{(y, \hat{g}(y)) \mid y \in \hat{Y}\}$  which contains, in a natural way,  $X \cong \{v(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . The desired map  $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is then defined by  $\hat{f}((y, x)) = y$ , for every  $(y, x) \in \hat{X}$ . ■

The deformation retraction  $F_t : \ell_2(\tau) \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$ ,  $F_t(\ell) = t \cdot \ell$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  to a point, induces a deformation retraction  $\exp F_t$  of the space  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  to a point. Consequently, to verify that  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in AE$ , it suffices to prove that  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  belongs to a wider class of  $ANE$ . But this is not all. As it follows from results of this chapter everything reduces to the local



connectedness of  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  in dimension  $n$ , the verification of which is the subject of our last chapter.

**PROPOSITION (4.2).** *If  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in LC^n$  then  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in AE$ .*

First, let us see how to represent a metric space as a factor space of an  $n$ -dimensional space via a  $UV^{n-1}$ -decomposition.

**PROPOSITION (4.3).** *For every integer  $n \geq 1$  and every metric space  $X$  there exist:*

- (a) <sub>$n$</sub>  *An  $n$ -dimensional metric space  $\hat{X}$  of the same weight as  $X$ ; and*
- (b) <sub>$n$</sub>  *An open perfect  $UV^{n-1}$ -surjection  $p_X : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ , which is  $n$ -invertible (i.e. for every map  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$  from an  $n$ -dimensional metric compactum  $Z$  into  $X$ , there exists a map  $\psi : Z \rightarrow \hat{X}$ , such that  $p_X \circ \psi = \varphi$ ).*

**PROOF.** (Our argument is analogous to [6] and uses the Dranishnikov resolution [7].) Let  $g : X \rightarrow Q$  be any completely 0-dimensional map into the Hilbert cube  $Q$  and let  $d_n : M_n \rightarrow Q$  be the Dranishnikov resolution, from the  $n$ -dimensional Menger compactum  $M_n$  onto  $Q$ . The fiberwise product  $\hat{X} = X_g \times_{d_n} M_n$  has dimension  $n$ , since the projection  $g' : \hat{X} \rightarrow M_n$  is parallel to  $g$  and hence by [4], it is a completely 0-dimensional map into  $M_n$ . Therefore, the projection  $d'_n : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ , parallel to  $d_n$ , is the desired open  $n$ -invertible perfect  $UV^{n-1}$ -surjection. ■

As a corollary of Proposition (4.3) we obtain a criterion for  $UV^n$ -compacta:

**PROPOSITION (4.4).** *Let  $p_X : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$  be a surjection satisfying the conditions (a) <sub>$n$</sub>  and (b) <sub>$n$</sub> , let  $X$  be an ANE and  $F$  a compactum in  $X$ . Then  $F \in UV^n$  if and only if the map  $p_F = p_X|_{p_X^{-1}(F)} = \hat{F} : \hat{F} \rightarrow F$  is homotopic to the constant map inside any neighborhood of  $F$  in  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** The necessity follows by the property ( $\alpha$ ) from Chapter 2 so it remains to prove the sufficiency. Fix a neighborhood  $U$  of the compactum  $F$ . Since  $X \in ANE$ , there exists a smaller neighborhood  $V \subset U$  such that:

(c) The map  $p_V : \hat{V} \rightarrow V$  is homotopic to the constant map into  $U$ , i.e.  $p_V : \hat{V} \rightarrow V \hookrightarrow U \simeq \text{const}$ .

Let  $\varphi : S^i \rightarrow V, i \leq n$ , be an  $i$ -spheroid. Since  $p_X$  is  $n$ -invertible, there exists an  $i$ -spheroid  $\hat{\varphi} : S^i \rightarrow \hat{V}$  such that  $\varphi = p_V \circ \hat{\varphi}$ . Finally, it follows by (c) above that  $p_V \circ \hat{\varphi} \simeq \text{const}$  in  $U$ . ■

PROPOSITION (4.5). *Let  $\text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau))$  be a metric cone  $\ell_2(\tau) \times (0, 1] \cup \{v\}$  over  $\ell_2(\tau)$  with vertex  $v$ . Then the following pairs of spaces are homeomorphic:*

- (d)  $(\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2(\tau), \ell_2(\tau) \times \{0\}) \cong (\ell_2(\tau) \times [0, 1], \ell_2(\tau) \times \{0\})$ ; and
- (e)  $(\ell_2(\tau), \{0\}) \cong (\text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau)), v)$ .

PROOF. According to Henderson's theorem,  $\text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau)) \cong \ell_2(\tau)$  and  $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2(\tau) \cong \ell_2(\tau) \times [0, 1] \cong \ell_2(\tau)$ . Now, the assertion follows by the Unknotting theorem for  $Z$ -sets in  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . ■

PROPOSITION (4.6). *There exists a retraction*

$$r : \ell_2(\tau) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau) \times \{0\}$$

*such that its restriction onto the complement  $\ell_2(\tau) \times (0, 1]$  is injective.*

PROOF. Represent the index set  $\tau$  as a disjoint union of a countable number of equipotent sets  $\tau_n$ . Clearly,  $\ell_2(\tau)$  is homeomorphic to every  $\ell_2(\tau_n)$  as well as to the product  $\prod_i \ell_2(\tau_i)$ .

Let  $\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots) \in \prod_i \ell_2(\tau_i)$ , let  $h_n : \prod_i \ell_2(\tau_i) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau_n)$  be a homeomorphism, and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a monotone decreasing sequence of real numbers converging to zero. Every number  $t \in [a_{n+1}, a_n]$  can be uniquely represented in the form:

$$t = a_{n+1} + s \cdot (a_n - a_{n+1}), \quad \text{where } 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

The desired retraction is then defined by the following formula:

$$r(\ell, t) = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n, s \cdot h_{n+1}(\ell, t) + (1 - s) \cdot \ell_{n+1}, h_{n+2}(\ell, t), \dots) \times \{0\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION (4.7). *There exist retractions*

$$r : \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2(\tau) \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau) \times \{0\}$$

*and*

$$R : \ell_2(\tau) \times \text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau)) \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau) \times \{v\}$$

*such that*

$$r|_{\ell_2(\tau) \times (\ell_2(\tau) \setminus \{0\})} \quad \text{and} \quad R|_{\ell_2(\tau) \times (\text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau)) \setminus \{v\})}$$

*are injective (here  $v$  is the cone point of  $\text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau))$ ).*

PROOF. This is an obvious consequence of Propositions (4.5) and (4.6). ■

**PROPOSITION (4.8).** *Let  $W$  be a metric space of weight  $\tau$  and  $A \subseteq W$  a closed set. Then for every map  $f : W \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$ , there exists a map  $g : W \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  such that  $g|_A = f|_A$  and  $g|_{W \setminus A}$  is injective.*

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\text{diam } W < 1$  and that  $W$  lies in  $\ell_2(\tau)$ , via the embedding  $h : W \hookrightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$ . Then we can define the desired map  $g$  by  $g|_A = f|_A$  and  $g(x) = R(f(x), h(x), \text{dist}(x, A))$ , for  $x \in W \setminus A$  (here the retraction  $R : \ell_2(\tau) \times \text{Con}(\ell_2(\tau)) \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau) \times \{v\} = \ell_2(\tau)$  is taken from Proposition (4.7)). ■

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION (4.2).** Suppose that we have a partial map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{f} \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  which we wish to extend over  $Z$ . Since  $w(\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))) = \tau$ , this fact suffices to get a proof for  $Z = \ell_2(\tau)$  (cf. [9]). Applying Proposition (4.3), we introduce a perfect open  $UV^n$ -surjection  $p : \hat{Z} \rightarrow Z$  of the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional metric space  $\hat{Z}, w(\hat{Z}) = \tau$ . Let  $\hat{A} = p^{-1}(A)$ . Then the formula  $\hat{f}(\hat{a}) = f(p(\hat{a}))$ ,  $\hat{a} \in \hat{A}$ , gives a partial  $(n + 1)$ -map  $\hat{Z} \leftarrow \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$ . Due to the fact that  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in LC^n \cap C^n$ , there exists a global extension  $g : \hat{Z} \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$ ,  $g|_{\hat{A}} = \hat{f}$ .

If we can find a map  $\tilde{g} : \hat{Z} \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  such that  $\tilde{g}|_{\hat{A}} = g|_{\hat{A}}$  and  $\tilde{g}(\hat{z}) \cap \tilde{g}(\hat{z}_1) = \emptyset$ , whenever  $p(\hat{z}) = p(\hat{z}_1) \notin A$  and  $\hat{z} \neq \hat{z}_1$ , then the formula  $\varphi(z) = f(z)$  if  $z \in A$  and  $\varphi(z) = \tilde{g}(p^{-1}(z))$  if  $z \notin A$ , will give the desired extension  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$ .

Let us construct such a map  $\tilde{g}$ . Consider the set  $\tilde{W} = \{(\tilde{z}, g(\tilde{z})) \mid \tilde{z} \in \hat{Z}\} \subset \hat{Z} \times \ell_2(\tau)$  of the weight  $\tau$ , whose projection  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{W} \rightarrow \hat{Z}$  is a perfect open surjection. Let  $\tilde{A} = \tilde{p}^{-1}(\hat{A})$ . Apply Proposition (4.8) to the projection  $q : \tilde{W} \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  onto the second factor and obtain the map  $\tilde{q} : \tilde{W} \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$ ,  $\tilde{q}|_{\tilde{A}} = q|_{\tilde{A}}$ , whose restriction onto the fiber  $(\tilde{p})^{-1}(\hat{z})$ ,  $\hat{z} \notin \hat{A}$ , is injective. Then  $\tilde{g}(\tilde{z}) = \bigcup_{\tilde{p}(\tilde{\omega})=\hat{z}} \tilde{q}(\tilde{\omega})$  is a compactum, homeomorphic to  $g(\hat{z}) \in UV^n$ . ■

### 5. Local Connectedness of $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$

**PROPOSITION (5.1).** *For every integer  $m$ ,  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau)) \in LC^m$ .*

**PROOF.** In order to establish the local  $m$ -connectedness of  $\exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  let us fix a compactum  $F \in UV^n$  in  $\ell_2(\tau)$  and a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We must find a number  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $k$ -spheroid  $\varphi : S^k \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$ ,  $k \leq m$ , whose image  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is contained in  $N_{\text{exp}}(F, \delta) = \{F' \mid \rho_H(F, F') < \delta\}$ , shrinks via some  $(k + 1)$ -membrane  $\hat{\varphi} : B^{k+1} \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  with  $\text{Im } \hat{\varphi} \subset N_{\text{exp}}(F, \varepsilon)$ .

Apply Proposition (4.3) to obtain an open perfect  $UV^{n-1}$ -surjection  $p : T \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  such that  $\dim T \leq n$ . Since  $F \in UV^n$  it follows by Proposition (4.4) that there is a homotopy  $H : \hat{F} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N(F, \varepsilon/2) = \{\ell \mid \rho(\ell, F) \leq \varepsilon/2\}$ , from  $H_0 = p$  to  $H_1 = \text{const}$ .

By the Borsuk Homotopy extension theorem,  $H$  can be slightly extended: there exist a number  $\Delta > 0$  and a homotopy  $G : \hat{V} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N(F, \varepsilon/2)$ , where  $\hat{V} = p^{-1}(V = N(F, \Delta))$ , such that  $G|_{\hat{F} \times [0, 1]} = H$ ,  $G_0 = p$ , and  $G_1 = \text{const}$ . For  $\delta$  take  $\min\{\Delta/3, \varepsilon/4\}$ .

**LEMMA (5.2).** *For every spheroid  $\varphi : S^k \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$ ,  $k \leq m$ , there exists a  $\delta$ -homotopy  $\varphi_t : S^k \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$ , from  $\varphi_0 = \varphi$  to the  $k$ -spheroid  $\varphi_1$ , such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *For every  $s \in S^k$ ,  $\varphi(s)$  is homeomorphic to  $\varphi_1(s)$ ;*
- (ii) *For every  $s \neq s'$ ,  $\varphi_1(s) \cap \varphi_1(s') = \emptyset$ ; and*
- (iii) *The image  $\bigcup\{\varphi_1(s) \mid s \in S^k\} = \varphi_1(S^k)$  of the spheroid  $\varphi_1$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\ell_2(\tau)$ .*

**PROOF.** Consider the graph  $E = \bigcup\{(s, \varphi(S)) \mid s \in S^k\} \subset S^k \times \ell_2(\tau)$  of the multivalued map  $\varphi$ , which is a compactum. Then apply the Toruńczyk theory of Hilbert cube manifolds [14] and compose the projection  $q : E \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  onto the second factor, with a  $\delta$ -homotopy of some  $Z$ -embedding of  $E$  into  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . We shall need a more precise result:

- (iv) *There exists a  $\delta$ -homotopy  $q_t : E \rightarrow \ell_2(\tau)$  such that  $q_0 = q$  and for every  $t > 0$ , the map  $q_t$  is a  $Z$ -embedding.*

We now define our homotopy  $\varphi_t : S^k \rightarrow \exp_{UV^n}(\ell_2(\tau))$  to be  $\varphi_t(s) = q_t(s, \varphi(s))$ . It is easy to verify that the required properties (i)–(iii) indeed hold. ■

We continue the proof of Proposition (5.1). Observe that the image  $\varphi_1(S^k)$  is a  $Z$ -set, hence the homotopy  $G|_{p^{-1}(\varphi_1(S^k)) \times I}$  can be approximated by a new homotopy  $G' : p^{-1}(\varphi_1(S^k)) \times I \rightarrow N(F, \varepsilon/2)$  such that

- (1)  $G'_0 = p = G_0$  and  $G'_1 = \text{const}$ ;
  - (2) The restriction of  $G'$  onto  $p^{-1}(\varphi_1(S^k)) \times (0, 1)$  is an injection into  $\ell_2(\tau)$ ;
- and
- (3) The image  $G'(p^{-1}(\varphi_1(S^k)) \times (0, 1])$  does not intersect  $\varphi_1(S^k)$ .

Finally, let the  $k$ -spheroid  $\varphi : S^k \rightarrow N_{\exp}(F, \delta) \cap \exp_{UV^n}$  be shrunk via a  $(k+1)$ -membrane  $\hat{\varphi} : B^{k+1} \rightarrow N_{\exp}(F, \varepsilon) \cap \exp_{UV^n}$ , for  $k \leq n$ . Since by Lemma (5.2), the homotopy  $\varphi_t$  is realized inside  $N_{\exp}(F, 2\delta) \cap \exp_{UV^n}$ , it suffices to shrink

the  $k$ -spheroid

$$\varphi_1 : S^k \rightarrow N_{\text{exp}}(F, 2\delta) \cap \text{exp}_{UV^n} \subset N_{\text{exp}}\left(F, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$

by a  $(k + 1)$ -membrane  $\hat{\varphi}$ . ■

LEMMA (5.3). *There exist a homotopy  $\varphi_t : S^k \rightarrow N_{\text{exp}}(F, \varepsilon/2) \cap \text{exp}_{UV^n}$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq 3$ , from  $\varphi_1$  to a spheroid  $\varphi_3$  and a point  $\{*\}$  such that:*

- (v)  $\varphi_3(s) \cap \varphi_3(s') = *$  if and only if  $s \neq s'$ ; and
- (vi)  $\varphi_3(S^k) \in UV^n$ .

PROOF. Define the homotopy  $\varphi_t$  by the formula

$$\varphi_t(s) = G'(\tilde{\varphi}(s) \times [0, t - 1]), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq 2$$

and

$$\varphi_t(s) = G'(\tilde{\varphi}(s) \times [(t - 2)/A, 1]), \quad \text{for } 2 \leq t \leq 3,$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}(s) = p^{-1}(\varphi_1(s)) \in UV^{n-1}$ , and  $A$  is large enough number so that for all  $s \in S^k$ , the set  $G'(\tilde{\varphi}(s) \times [1/A, a])$  lies in  $N_{\text{exp}}(F, \varepsilon/2)$ .

It is clear from the formulae for  $\varphi_t$  that the homotopy lives in some neighborhood of  $N_{\text{exp}}(F, \varepsilon/2)$ . Let us verify this for  $\varphi_t(s) \in UV^n$ . The compactum  $\varphi_t(s)$  contracts in itself inside  $G'(\tilde{\varphi}(s) \times \{0\}) = G(\tilde{\varphi}(s) \times \{0\}) = \varphi_1(s) \in UV^n$ , for  $1 \leq t < 2$ , whereas for  $2 < t \leq 3$  it contracts in itself to the point  $\text{const}_1 = G'(\tilde{\varphi}(s) \times \{1\})$ . Therefore by Proposition (2.3),  $\varphi_t(s) \in UV^n$ , for all  $t \neq 2$ .

For  $t = 2$ , the compactum  $\varphi_t(s)$  is the result of the adjoining the cone  $\text{Con}(\tilde{\varphi}(s)) \in UV^n$  and the  $UV^n$ -set  $\varphi_1(s)$  by the partial map  $\text{Con}(\tilde{\varphi}(s)) \leftarrow \tilde{\varphi}(s) \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{p} \varphi_1(s)$ . Since  $\tilde{\varphi}(s) \in UV^{n-1}$  it follows by the theorem on adjoining  $UV^n$ -sets that

$$\text{Con}(\tilde{\varphi}(s)) \cup_p \varphi_1(s) = \varphi_2(s) \in UV^n.$$

Finally,  $\varphi_3(S^k) = G'(\tilde{\varphi}(S^k) \times [1/A, 1])$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}(S^k) = p^{-1}(\varphi_1(S^k))$ , contracts in itself to a point  $* = G'(\tilde{\varphi}(S^k) \times \{1\})$ , by the formula

$$G'(\tilde{\varphi}(S^k) \times [(1 - 1/A) \cdot s + 1/A, 1]), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1$$

and is therefore a  $UV^n$ -set. The property (v) follows from the property (2) of the homotopy  $G'$  and the property (ii) of the  $k$ -spheroid  $\varphi_1$ . ■

We can now complete the proof of Proposition (5.1). It is clear that it suffices

to shrink the  $k$ -sphere  $\varphi_3$  by the  $(k+1)$ -membrane  $\hat{\varphi}$ . Fix a continuous multivalued retraction  $D: B^{k+1} \rightarrow S^k = \partial B^{k+1}$  from [7], such that  $D(0) = S^k$ ,  $D(r \cdot s) \cong B^k$  and  $D(1 \cdot s) = s$ , for  $0 < r < 1, s \in S^k$ .

The desired  $(k+1)$ -membrane  $\hat{\varphi}: B^{k+1} \rightarrow N_{\exp}(F, \varepsilon/2) \cap \exp_{UV^n}$  is given by the formula  $\hat{\varphi}(r \cdot s) = \varphi_3(D(r \cdot s))$ . Continuity of  $\hat{\varphi}$  follows from the continuity of  $D$  and  $\varphi_3$ . Clearly,  $\hat{\varphi}(B^{k+1}) \subset N_{\exp}(F, \varepsilon/2)$ . Since  $\hat{\varphi}(r \cdot s)$  contracts in itself to a point  $\{*\}$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}(r \cdot s)$  is a  $UV^n$ -compactum, for all  $r \neq 0$  and  $s \in S^k$ . ■

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The first author was supported by the International Science Foundation grant No. NFU000 and the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research grant No. 93-011-1402, the second author by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia grant No. J1-7039-0101-95, and the third author by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research grant No. 96-01-01166a and CONACYT grant No. 980066. We wish to gratefully acknowledge comments and suggestions from K. Sakai and referee.

### References

- [1] S. M. Ageev, Extensor properties of orbit spaces and the problem of the continuation of an action, *Vestnik MGU Mat. Meh.* (1994) No. 1, 11–16 (in Russian).
- [2] S. M. Ageev, On a problem of Zambahidze-Smirnov, *Mat. Zametki* **58:1** (1995), 3–11 (in Russian); English transl. in *Math. Notes* **58:1** (1995), 679–684.
- [3] S. M. Ageev and S. A. Bogatiĭ, On adjunction spaces of certain types of spaces, *Vestnik MGU Mat. Meh.* (1994) No. 6, 19–23 (in Russian); English transl. in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **49:6** (1994), 18–21.
- [4] P. S. Aleksandrov and B. A. Pasyukov, *Introduction to Dimension Theory*, Nauka, Moscow, 1973 (in Russian).
- [5] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, *Monogr. Mat.* **44**, PWN, Warsaw 1967.
- [6] A. Chigogidze and V. Valov, Universal maps and surjective characterizations of completely metrizable  $LC^n$ -spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1992), 1124–1133.
- [7] A. N. Dranishnikov, Absolute extensors in dimension  $n$  and  $n$ -soft dimension raising mappings, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **39:5** (1984), 55–95 (in Russian).
- [8] V. V. Fedorčuk, On extensions of fibrations, *Vestnik MGU Mat. Meh.* (1985) No. 1, 96–100 (in Russian).
- [9] S.-T. Hu, *Theory of Retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit 1965.
- [10] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York 1968.
- [11] R. C. Lacher, Cell-like mappings and their generalizations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 495–552.
- [12] J. van Mill, *Infinite-dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland Math. Library **43**, Amsterdam 1989.
- [13] E. V. Ščepin, Soft mappings of manifolds, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **39:5** (1984), 209–224 (in Russian); Engl. transl. in *Russ. Math. Surv.* **39:5** (1984), 251–270.
- [14] H. Toruńczyk, Characterizing Hilbert space topology, *Fund. Math.* **111** (1981), 247–262.
- [15] H. Toruńczyk, Concerning locally homotopy negligible sets and characterization of  $\ell_2$ -manifolds, *Fund. Math.* **101** (1978) 93–110.
- [16] R. Vaught, On extending actions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107** (1989), 1087–1090.

Sergej M. Ageev  
Department of Physics  
Brest State University  
Brest, Belorussia 224665  
*ageev@highmath.brsu.brest.by*

Dušan Repovš  
Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics  
University of Ljubljana  
Ljubljana, P.O.B. 2964, Slovenia 1001  
*dusan.repovs@fmf.uni-lj.si*

Evgenij V. Ščepin  
Steklov Mathematical Institute  
Russian Academy of Sciences  
8 Gubkina St., Moscow, Russia 117966  
*scepin@class.mian.su*