

ON THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF NON-ORIENTABLE CLOSED SURFACES

By

Katsuhiko YOSHIJI

0. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional non-orientable closed Riemannian manifold. We study the spectrum of the Laplacian for functions on (M, g) . We express it by

$$\text{Spec}(M, g) = \{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots\}.$$

Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) be the orientable Riemannian double cover of (M, g) . Our interest is what properties are preserved between (M, g) and (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) . The positive first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(M, g)$ has many geometric informations. We have interests in the influences for the positive first eigenvalue by taking the Riemannian double cover. Generally we have $\lambda_1(M, g) \geq \lambda_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$. So we study the difference between $\lambda_1(M, g)$ and $\lambda_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$. Especially we find the cases that $\lambda_1(M, g) = \lambda_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ holds good.

It is well-known (cf. [9]) that 2-dimensional closed manifolds are classified as follows.

The Classification Theorem of Closed Surfaces. *A closed surface is homeomorphic to one of the following spaces.*

$$S^2, T^2, \#^n T^2 \ (n \geq 2) : \text{orientable}$$

$$RP^2, \#^n RP^2 \ (n \geq 2) : \text{non-orientable}$$

where $\#^n M$ means the connected sum of n -copies of a manifold M . Moreover the double cover of $\#^n RP^2$ ($n \geq 2$) is homeomorphic to $\#^{n-1} T^2$.

In this paper we show the following results.

THEOREM A. *If M is homeomorphic to RP^2 , then*

$$\lambda_1(M, g) > \lambda_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$$

for every metric g on M .

THEOREM B. *If M is homeomorphic to $\#^n \mathbf{RP}^2$ ($n \geq 2$), there exists a metric g on M such that*

$$\lambda_1(M, g) = \lambda_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}).$$

The author would like to thank J. Takahashi and the referee for useful comments.

1. Preliminaries

Let us consider the Riemannian double covering (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) of (M, g) . We define the isometry $J : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ as follows. For each point p of (M, g) , let two points \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2 of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) be the fiber of a point p in (M, g) . Then we define J by exchanging two points \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2 . Let $E(\lambda)$, $E^+(\lambda)$ and $E^-(\lambda)$ be the spaces of C^∞ functions on \tilde{M} such that

$E(\lambda)$ = the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue λ ,

$$E^+(\lambda) = \{f \in E(\lambda) \mid f \circ J = f\},$$

$$E^-(\lambda) = \{f \in E(\lambda) \mid f \circ J = -f\}.$$

PROPOSITION (P. Buser [3], p. 306). *$E(\lambda)$ is decomposed orthogonally as $E^+(\lambda) \oplus E^-(\lambda)$.*

Since all eigenfunctions on (M, g) are lifted to ones on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) canonically, the eigenvalues on (M, g) are in $\text{Spec}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$. The eigenfunctions on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) which come from ones on (M, g) are invariant by J . Conversely every $f \in E^+(\lambda)$ is reduced to the eigenfunction on (M, g) . The eigenvalues on (M, g) coincide with the ones on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) satisfying $E^+(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$.

The eigenfunctions on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) which do not come from ones on (M, g) have non-zero components of $E^-(\lambda)$ under the above decomposition. Thus we concentrate our attention on the non-zero smallest λ such as $E^-(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$. We denote it by ν . Our purpose is to compare $\lambda_1(M, g)$ with ν .

2. Proof of Theorem A

In this section the main tool is the nodal domain theorem (cf. [6] and [7]).

PROPOSITION (S. Y. Cheng [6], p. 186). *Let g be any Riemannian metric on S^2 . Then the nodal line of a first eigenfunction is a smooth simple closed curve.*

We assume that (M, g) is homeomorphic to \mathbf{RP}^2 . Then its Riemannian double cover (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) is homeomorphic to S^2 . If the first eigenfunction φ on (M, g) lifts to the first eigenfunction $\tilde{\varphi}$ on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) , the nodal set of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a simple closed curve and the number of the nodal domains is two. We denote one of them by D . Since $\pi_1(\tilde{M}) \cong \{e\}$, the nodal set ∂D is contractible. Then D is homeomorphic to an open 2-disk. Its boundary ∂D is regularly embedded. Then its closure \bar{D} is homeomorphic to a closed 2-disk. Without loss of generality we take D the positive nodal domain. Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is invariant by the isometry J , we have $J(\bar{D}) = \bar{D}$.

We apply the Brouwer's fixed point theorem (cf. [8] p. 19) to $J : \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D}$. Then J has a fixed point. But by the definition of J , it does not have any fixed points. It is a contradiction.

3. Proof of Theorem B

The first eigenvalue λ_1 is characterized by the Rayleigh quotient, that is,

$$\lambda_1(M, g) = \inf \frac{\int_M |\nabla f|^2 dv}{\int_M f^2 dv}$$

where f runs over all non-vanishing functions orthogonal to constant functions in $L^2(M, g)$.

Our method to prove Proposition B is an analogue of Cheeger's construction in [5] of the deformation of Riemannian metrics g_ε on S^2 such that $\lambda_1(S^2, g_\varepsilon)$ converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let us recall the construction.


Step 1. Connect two canonical spheres, $(S^2, g) \# (S^2, g)$, by the tube whose radius is ε and length is l . We express it by (S, g_ε) .

Step 2. We consider the test functions f_ε which is equal to c on the right-hand bulb, $-c$ on the left-hand bulb and change linearly from c to $-c$ across tube. We choose c so $\int_S f_\varepsilon^2 dv = 1$.

Step 3. By the Rayleigh quotient, $\lambda_1(S, g_\varepsilon)$ converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Now we proceed this method to $\#^n \mathbf{RP}^2$ for $n \geq 2$. We consider $\#^n \mathbf{RP}^2$ as $(\#^{n-1} \mathbf{RP}^2) \# \mathbf{RP}^2$. We take a suitable metric on $\#^n \mathbf{RP}^2$ in such a way that

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(\#^{n-1} \mathbf{RP}^2)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbf{RP}^2)} = n - 1.$$



We connect $\#^{n-1}\mathbf{R}P^2$ and $\mathbf{R}P^2$ by the tube whose radius is ε and length is l . We denote it by (M, g_ε) . We consider the test functions f_ε which are equal to 1 on $\#^{n-1}\mathbf{R}P^2$ and $-(n-1)$ on $\mathbf{R}P^2$, and decrease from 1 to $-(n-1)$ across the tube. We may take f_ε such that they are orthogonal to constant functions in $L^2(M, g_\varepsilon)$ and $|\nabla f_\varepsilon| \leq d$ where d is a constant depending only on l and n . It follows from the Rayleigh quotient that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_1(M, g_\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon)$ be the double cover of (M, g_ε) . Then $\lambda_1(M, g_\varepsilon)$ belongs to in $\text{Spec}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon)$. We denote it by $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ in brief. In Sect.1, we treat $E^-(\lambda)$. We denote by $\nu(\varepsilon)$ the non-zero first $E^-(\lambda)$ -type eigenvalue on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon)$. We only have to compare $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ with $\nu(\varepsilon)$.

PROPOSITION (C. Anné and B. Colbois [2]). *Let (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) be two connected orientable Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension. We connected them by two tubes whose radii are both ε and lengths l_1 and l_2 , respectively. We denote it by (M, g_ε) with a little smoothing at the connected parts. We express $\text{Spec}(M, g_\varepsilon)$ as*

$$\text{Spec}(M, g_\varepsilon) = \{\lambda_0(\varepsilon) < \lambda_1(\varepsilon) \leq \lambda_2(\varepsilon) \leq \dots\}.$$

Let

$$\{\mu_0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots\}$$

be the union of $\text{Spec}(M_1, g_1)$, $\text{Spec}(M_2, g_2)$, $\text{Spec}_D([0, l_1], \text{can})$ and $\text{Spec}_D([0, l_2], \text{can})$ (the D of the Spec_D means the Dirichlet condition) counting with multiplicity.

Then for any n we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_n(\varepsilon) = \mu_n.$$

Epecially $\lambda_0(\varepsilon) = 0$, $\mu_0 = \mu_1 = 0$ and $\mu_2 > 0$.

REMARK. In [2] they treat p -forms on (M, g) . It is necessary for them to assume that $\dim M \geq 3$. But for functions the arguments there hold good in $\dim M = 2$. As for it see also [1].

We apply the above proposition to $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon)$. Since $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\varepsilon) = 0$, $v(\varepsilon)$ converges to some positive value which is bigger than or equal to μ_2 . The positive value depends on the equipped metrics on $\#^{n-1} \mathbf{R}P^2, \mathbf{R}P^2$ and the lengths of the tubes l_1, l_2 . By the continuity of the eigenvalue in the parameter ε , there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda(\varepsilon) < v(\varepsilon)$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$.

Hence for all the metrics g_ε on M such that $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$\lambda_1(M, g_\varepsilon) = \lambda(\varepsilon) = \lambda_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_\varepsilon).$$

4. Example

Here we give an example which clarifies Theorem B. Let $K(a, b)$ be the flat Klein bottle as the quotient space of $(\mathbf{R}^2, \text{can})$ identifying by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + b)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (x + a/2, -y)$. The double cover of $K(a, b)$ is the flat torus $T(a, b)$ as the quotient space of $(\mathbf{R}^2, \text{can})$ identifying by $(x, y) \mapsto (x + a, y)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + b)$.

The spectra of $K(a, b)$ and $T(a, b)$ are given in [4] as follows:

$$\text{Spec}(K(a, b)) = \left\{ 4\pi^2 \left(\frac{m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2} \right) : m, n \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } n \neq 0 \text{ for } m : \text{odd} \right\},$$

$$\text{Spec}(T(a, b)) = \left\{ 4\pi^2 \left(\frac{m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2} \right) : m, n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\lambda_1(K(a, b)) = \begin{cases} 4 \frac{\pi^2}{b^2} & \text{for } \frac{a}{2} \leq b, \\ 16 \frac{\pi^2}{a^2} & \text{for } b \leq \frac{a}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_1(T(a, b)) = \begin{cases} 4 \frac{\pi^2}{b^2} & \text{for } a \leq b, \\ 4 \frac{\pi^2}{a^2} & \text{for } b \leq a. \end{cases}$$

By comparing $\lambda_1(K(a, b))$ with $\lambda_1(T(a, b))$, we have

$$\lambda_1(K(a, b)) = \lambda_1(T(a, b))$$

for $a \leq b$.

References

- [1] C. Anné, Spectre du Laplacien et écrasement d'anses, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **20** (1987), 271–280.
- [2] C. Anné et B. Colbois, Spectre du Laplacien agissant sur les p -formes différentielle et écrasement d'anses, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 545–573.
- [3] P. Buser, *Geometry and Spectra of Compact Riemann Surfaces*, Birkhauser, 1992.
- [4] M. Berger P. Gauduchon et E. Mazet, *Le Spectre d'une Variété Riemannienne*, *Lec. Notes in Math.* **194** (1974), Springer.
- [5] J. Cheeger, A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian, *Problems in Analysis: A Symposium in Honor of Salomon Bochner*, Princeton, 1970, pp. 195–199.
- [6] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of Laplacian, *Proc. Symp. in Pure Math.* **27** (1975), 185–193.
- [7] ———, Eigenfunctions and nodal sets, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **51** (1976), 43–55.
- [8] M. J. Greenberg and J. P. Harper, *Algebraic Topology, A First Course*, Benjamin, 1981.
- [9] H. Seifert and W. Threlfall, *Lehrbuch der Topologie*, Teubner, 1934.

Department of Mathematics,
Tokyo Institute of Technology
2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku,
Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
e-mail address: yoshiji@math.titech.ac.jp